

Retrieved from DalSpace, the institutional repository of Dalhousie University

http://hdl.handle.net/10222/80556

Version: Pre-print

Publisher's version: Cassidy C, Sim M, Somerville M, Crowther D, Sinclair D, Elliott Rose A, Burgess S, Best S, & Curran J. (2021) Using a Learning Health System Framework to Examine COVID-19 Pandemic Planning and Response.

Title page

2	Full Title: Using a Learning Health System Framework to Examine COVID-19 Pandemic Planning	
3	and Response	
4	Short Title: Learning Health System Framework and COVID-19 Response	
5		
6	Authors : Christine Cassidy ^{1,3*} , Meaghan Sim ² , Mari Somerville ¹ , Daniel Crowther ¹ , Douglas	
7	Sinclair ³ , Annette Elliott Rose ³ , Stacy Burgess ³ , Shauna Best ³ , Janet A. Curran ^{1,3}	
8		
9	Affiliations:	
10	¹ School of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Dalhousie University, Halifax NS, Canada	
11	² Research, Innovation & Discovery, Nova Scotia Health, Halifax NS, Canada	
12	³ Izaak Walton Killam (IWK) Health Centre, Halifax NS, Canada	
13		
14	*Corresponding author; E-mail: jacurran@dal.ca	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Abstract

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has presented a unique opportunity to explore how health systems adapt under rapid and constant change and develop a better understanding of health system transformation. Learning health systems (LHS) have been proposed as an ideal structure to inform a data-driven response to a public health emergency like COVID-19. The aim of this study was to use a LHS lens to identify assets and gaps in health system pandemic planning and response during wave one of the COVID-19 pandemic. Methods: An integrated knowledge translation approach guided this concurrent triangulation mixed methods study. We examined relevant organizational documents and system performance data generated between January 1st, 2020 and August 31st, 2020 using directed content analysis and descriptive statistics. Additionally, we conducted qualitative semistructured interviews with health care providers, patients and families, leadership and management teams, and health centre support staff. Lastly, we used a triangulation matrix to compare and contrast summaries of all quantitative and qualitative data and identify healthsystem receptors and research-system supports relevant to the seven characteristics of the LHS. **Results**: We identified six key priorities relevant to the pandemic response during wave one, including access to health care, personal protective equipment, visitor restrictions, pandemic assessment centre (PAC), working from home, and food services. We identified several health system assets within the LHS characteristics, including appropriate decision supports and aligned governance. Opportunities for improvement were identified in the LHS characteristics of engaged patients and timely production and use of research evidence.

- 45 **Conclusion**: The LHS provided a useful framework to examine COVID-19 pandemic response
- 46 measures. We highlighted opportunities to strengthen the LHS infrastructure for rapid
- 47 integration of evidence and patient experience data into practice and policy for future pandemic
- 48 planning and response.

Introduction

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

The COVID-19 pandemic has been described as an extreme stress test of the health system and society at large and has led to widespread societal changes.(1) In March 2020, Canadian health systems implemented a range of policies and protocols to reduce the risk of transmission in hospital and clinical settings. Telemedicine and virtual care options quickly filled the space across a number of specialties for non-urgent care. (2,3) However, there was also a significant decrease in the use of emergency departments for non-COVID-19 related urgent care. (4,5) COVID-19 and pandemic measures have had a major impact on patients and families. For example, some pregnant and parenting families experienced fear and significant psychological distress during the perinatal and postnatal periods.(6) Adolescents with psychiatric disorders were at an increased risk of a break or change in their care and management (7). These challenges have led to careful consideration for the need to develop of sustainable healthcare system adaptations.(8) This was also a stressful times for the health care workforce who were called to adapt to rapid and constant change in their workplace and at home. (9) COVID-19 has presented an unparalleled opportunity to explore how health systems adapt under rapid and constant change and develop an understanding of the barriers and enablers to transformation, to inform resilient and sustainable systems. Learning health systems (LHS) have been proposed as an ideal structure to inform a data-driven response to a public-health emergency like COVID-19.(10) A LHS is an environment in which "science, informatics, incentives and culture are aligned for continuous improvement and innovation, with best practices seamlessly embedded in the delivery process and new knowledge captured as an integral byproduct of the delivery experience".(11) A LHS is able to respond rapidly to changing evidence and incorporate lessons learned from patient experiences on a continuous basis. There is deliberate overlap between clinical practice, quality improvement, and research and innovation.(12) This structure is critical to accelerate the most up-to-date research into real-word practice. Lavis et al. define a rapid-learning health system as a combination of a health system and a research system that is: 1) anchored in patient needs, perspectives and aspirations; 2) driven by timely data and evidence; 3) supported by appropriate decision supports and aligned governance, financial and delivery arrangements; and 4) enabled with a culture of rapid learning and improvement.(13) Learning cycles are the fundamental processes of LHS which seek to strike a balance between patient and provider experiences and health system costs.(13)

COVID-19 has changed the course of health care and has been identified as an excellent case for highlighting the urgent need to develop learning health systems. (14,15) Given the rapidly evolving response required for COVID-19, a LHS framework can offer a structure for examining continuous learning and improvement during pandemic planning and response. Further, implementation research has a crucial role to play in identifying important barriers and enablers to the development of a LHS and tailoring interventions to support its use in practice. (15) As such, the overall aim of this study was to examine the COVID-19 wave one pandemic planning and preparedness work (up to August 2020) operationalized at a Canadian women and children's tertiary health centre through a LHS lens. Our goal was to identify promising strategies for pandemic planning and preparedness work for future waves.

- Findings from previous phases of this larger program of research will be reported elsewhere.(16,17) This paper aims to triangulate data using a LHS framework(13) and address the following research questions:
 - i. What were the assets and gaps in the initial COVID-19 planning and preparedness work?
 - ii. What are the key resources and strategies that should be considered for planning and preparedness for subsequent waves of COVID-19 and future pandemic planning?
 - iii. How can a LHS be used as a framework to inform pandemic response planning?

Methods

Study design

Guided by an integrated knowledge translation approach, (18) our concurrent triangulation mixed methods design(19) examined qualitative and quantitative data from a range of sources and involved iterative cycles of data collection, data confirmation and data analysis. Our team of clinicians, managers, researchers and administrators met regularly throughout the project to discuss project milestones, preliminary impressions, gaps in data collection and data analysis.

Project summaries were also distributed to all team members via email every two weeks. REB approval (Institutional approval #1025812) was obtained prior to commencement of data collection.

Study setting

This study was conducted at a tertiary pediatric and women's health centre serving children and families from the four Atlantic Canadian provinces (hereinafter referred to as the 'Health Centre').

Data sources

Administrative and textual data sources

We examined relevant organizational documents and system performance data generated between January 1st, 2020, and August 31st, 2020, including health administrative and human resource data; policies and directives developed or adapted in response to the pandemic; health centre communications; town hall meeting notes; and meeting notes from special committees convened in response to COVID-19. Health Centre communication, and decision, directive and policy documents were examined by one reviewer. Data were sorted and organized according to date, target population (staff/patients or public), and type (e.g., social media communications, newsletters, meeting minutes).

Qualitative interviews

We conducted semi-structured interviews with the following stakeholder groups: 1) health care providers, 2) leadership and management team, 3) operations and support workers, and 4) patients and families. Participants either received care or provided care/service in the health centre during Wave 1. Interviews were guided by a semi-structured interview guide based on the Theoretical Domains Framework(20) to explore participants' beliefs and attitudes about the

pandemic planning and response processes. All interviews took place using Zoom videoconferencing technology at a time that was convenient for the participant. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Detailed methods and findings from this work are reported elsewhere.(16,17)

Data analysis

Administrative and textual data sources

Patient census data for inpatient and ambulatory care areas for the period of January 1st to July 30th for 2019 and 2020 and human resource (HR) related to staff hiring, absence from work, sick hours, unpaid leaves, terminations and redeployment for the period of March 1st to July 30th for 2019 and 2020 were examined using descriptive statistics (i.e., frequency counts). Key decisions, directives and policies related to the pandemic response were categorized based on groups developed from the pillars of infection prevention and control and the Federal COVID-19 Pandemic Guidance for the Health Care Sector document.(21,22) These groups included: 1) Detection, which refers to any directive, decision or policy related to increasing and supporting COVID-19 detection; 2) Prevention of Exposure, which refers to any directive, decision or policy related to preventing exposure to COVID-19; and 3) Surge/Treatment, which refers to any directive, decision or policy related to increasing hospital capacity to treat and manage high COVID-19 patient volumes.

Qualitative interviews:

Qualitative interviews

All interviews were transcribed verbatim and de-identified before being imported to NVivo. Transcripts were coded using a directed content analysis(23) followed by inductive thematic analysis.(24) The Theoretical Domains Framework(20) (TDF) was used as a coding framework for directed content analysis. Members of the coding team worked independently to identify relevant themes for each of the TDF domains and met to discuss findings as a group. Themes were then consolidated into major themes by two members of the coding team and again reviewed and refined by the full coding team. Finally, the themes with accompanying quotes were sent to interview participants and shared with the full research team for feedback and verification. For this phase of the study, these qualitative findings were used to identify key pandemic response priorities.

Data triangulation

Six members of the research team participated in data triangulation. We compared and contrasted summaries of all qualitative and quantitative data through a series of individual and group tasks. A matrix structure was developed to sort and organize data according to the Rapidlearning Health System framework outlined by Lavis et al.(25) The team worked together to identify a) key pandemic response priority areas, and b) health-system receptors and research-system supports relevant to the seven characteristics of the LHS: 1) engaged patients, 2) digital capture, linkage and time sharing of relevant data, 3) time production of research evidence, 4) appropriate decision supports, 5) aligned governance, financial and delivery arrangements, 6) culture of rapid learning and improvement, and 7) competencies for rapid learning and improvement (Table 1). Initial findings were shared with the full research team during a virtual

meeting for verification and clarification. Next key findings were merged to reveal assets and gaps in the initial COVID-19 pandemic response during wave one.

Table 1. Learning Health System Characteristics (13)

LHS Characteristic	Definition
Engaged patients	Systems are anchored on patient needs, perspectives and aspirations (at all levels) and focused on improving their care experiences and health at manageable per capita costs and with positive provider experiences.
Digital capture, linkage and timely sharing of relevant data	Systems capture, link and share (with individuals at all levels) data (from real-life, not ideal conditions) about patient experiences (with services, transitions and longitudinally) and provider engagement alongside data about other process indicators (e.g., clinical encounters and costs) and outcome indicators (e.g., health status).
Timely production of research evidence	Systems produce, synthesize, curate and share (with individuals at all levels) research about problems, improvement options and implementation considerations.
Appropriate decision supports	Systems support informed decision-making at all levels with appropriate data, evidence, and decision-making frameworks.
Aligned governance, financial and delivery arrangements	Systems adjust who can make what decisions (e.g., about joint learning priorities), how money flows and how the systems are organized and aligned to support rapid learning and improvement at all levels.
Culture of rapid learning and improvement	Systems are stewarded at all levels by leaders committed to a culture of teamwork, collaboration and adaptability.
Competencies for rapid learning and improvement	Systems are rapidly improved by teams at all levels who have the competencies needed to identify and characterize problems, design data- and evidence-informed approaches (and learn from other comparable programs, organizations, regions, and sub-regional communities about proven approaches), implement these approaches, monitor their implementation, evaluate their impact, make further adjustments as needed, sustain proven approaches locally, and support their spread widely.

Results

Detailed results from the administrative and textual data and qualitative interviews will be published elsewhere. (16,17) Following data triangulation, we identified six key priorities as important assets and gaps relevant to the pandemic response during wave one and provide a summary of prominent issues related to the initial pandemic response. The six priorities are: 1) Access to Health Centre, 2) Personal protective equipment (PPE), 3) Visitor Restrictions, 4) Pandemic Assessment Centre (PAC), 5) Working from Home, and 6) Food Services (Table 2).

Table 2. Six key identified priority areas with corresponding definition

Description of Key Priority
Encompasses any relevant data related to access to the health
care which arose because of the pandemic response. This
includes cancellations and closures, restrictions to labs and
diagnostic imaging, the creation of the Pandemic Response Unit
(PRU), and virtual care.
Encompasses any relevant data related to PPE which arose
during the pandemic response. This includes
directives pertaining to the usage of masks and scrubs, the
sourcing and storing of PPE, and the PPE-related educational
efforts targeted at the staff.
Encompasses any relevant data related to visitor
restrictions due to the pandemic response.
Encompasses any relevant data pertaining to the creation,
operation and changes of the PAC.
Encompasses any relevant data related to the transition and
process of working from home. As well, it includes the IT
infrastructure and changes which took place to ease the
transition and process of working from home.
Refers to any relevant data related to the closure and
cancellation of Food Services and any additional food supports
that were developed during the initial pandemic response
(wave one).

The following results represent the data triangulation findings mapped onto the LHS characteristics.

Engaged patients

During the Health Centre's COVID-19 response, patients were passively engaged through the dissemination of rapidly changing information to patients and families through various channels. Social media platforms (Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram) and the Health Centre's website were the main avenues of communication with public regarding cancellations, closures, reopening of services and visitor restrictions. Despite these efforts, study findings highlight a shift from patient-centered care during the first wave of the pandemic response. For example, critical policies related to strict visitor restrictions and access to the health centre were developed and implemented by the leadership team as part of the rapid response to managing the impact of the pandemic; however, patient and family partners were not involved in this in this process. The Health Centre did launch the COVID-19 Patient Survey in August 2020 to gather feedback from patients and families about their experience throughout wave one of the pandemic response.

Digital capture, linkage and timely sharing of relevant data

From the outset of the pandemic, teams worked quickly to *capture, link, and share* relevant COVID-19 data. The Health Centre developed a new structure to collect administrative data related to PAC, including volumes of patients and number of registrations. The Health Centre's Incident Management Committee (IMC) tracked and used PAC administrative data to inform decisions regarding redeployment to PAC, required capacity and changes in service hours. To keep all staff and physicians informed, the COVID-19 subsite on the Health Centre's intranet was

instrumental in linking staff to up-to-date and relevant information regarding the evolution of the pandemic.

Several teams also gathered department-specific data to inform their decision-making and information dissemination. These teams included the Airway Management Group (intubation for COVID-19 patients), Mental Health and Addictions (service changes and usage), human resources (changes in staffing), and Strategy & Organizational Performance team (weekly PPE reports).

Additionally, efforts were made to link data provincially, with the Health Authorities' System

Performance and Analytics Teams collaborating to develop the COVID-19 Dashboards.

Timely production of research evidence

In response to the pandemic, the health centre participated in a provincial funding initiative to support efforts to generate evidence to address a range of research questions relevant to COVID-19. Seven COVID-19-related studies were launched as part of the province's COVID-19 Health Research Coalition in the areas of Discovery Science, Health System Improvements and Social Sciences. The health centre's Research Services Office collaborated with other pediatric and women's centres across Canada to develop a protocol to quickly close non-COVID related research, employing a work from home strategy for health service researchers and modifying the Research Ethics Board approval process to expedite COVID-19 related studies. While the studies funded through the provincial initiative addressed key issues related to COVID-19, our findings identified limited formal linkages between and within the healthcare community and research community for timely sharing of research evidence to support policy and practice change.

Informal communication with trusted sources was identified as the most prevalent strategy for knowledge exchange during wave one.

Appropriate decision supports

The Health Centre relied on new and existing *decision-support systems* in their pandemic response. Provincially, the Health Centre is a member of key working groups set up by the Department of Health and Wellness through Public Health with the office of the Medical Officer of Health which guided provincial health system readiness. Locally, PPE tracking systems and work from home guidelines were developed to guide decision-making in these areas. Although the existing, pre-COVID-19 Pandemic Response plan provided some logistical information related to system response, it was not used to guide the specific organization-level COVID-19 response as it contained high level suggestions which did not cover the full breadth of the required response.

Aligned governance, financial and delivery arrangements

Throughout the pandemic response, *systems shifted to align* with national, provincial and local decisions and directives. To ensure success of these changes, teams adapted directives to meet the specific needs of the organization and its patient population. The People and Technology committee worked with unions to facilitate rapid staffing changes and redeployment brought about by the pandemic response and supported staff who shifted to working from home. To

support financial arrangements and delivery, business continuity planning was initiated for all departments in order to further adjust to the rapid changes brought about by the pandemic.

Culture of rapid learning and improvement

The COVID-19 pandemic created a *culture of rapid learning and improvement* in order to respond to the fast-paced changes needed to curb the spread of the virus. The Health Centre worked closely with provincial organizations and governing bodies to share pandemic-related evidence, develop actions and implement key decisions. Rapid changes were made to the delivery of virtual care, with Mental Health and Addictions Services being recognized as a leader in this area. Staff and patient feedback were brought to the IMC, facilitating open discussion and helping to maintain an awareness of patient needs among staff.

Competencies for rapid learning and improvement

Fear and uncertainty of the pandemic facilitated organizational *capacity for rapid learning and improvement*. The pandemic response created a unified objective for the Health Centre which was enacted by all staff at all levels of the organization. To address unprecedented challenges, the Leadership Team coordinated the pandemic response by: a) collaborating with provincial organizations and governing bodies; b) creating new committees (i.e., COVID-19 response committee); and c) leveraging existing teams (People & Organization Development, Logistics and Resources Committee, Clinical Program Operation Committees, etc.). Looking ahead, the

commitment to shift operations back to pre-pandemic functioning while remaining agile to reimplement COVID-19 restrictions across the organization during subsequent waves of the pandemic.

Discussion

This study used a LHS framework to identify assets and gaps in the implementation of the COVID-19 pandemic measures at a Canadian women and children's tertiary health centre during wave one (up to August 31st, 2020). A LHS includes cycles of continuous learning and offers a valuable framework to organize a systematic and data-driven response to health system crises like COVID-19.(10) Our study examined data from multiple sources and identified several opportunities to improve the learning health system infrastructure.

Engaging patients in rapid decision-making

LHS are anchored on patient needs, perspectives and aspirations.(13) Engaging patients in health research and health care delivery has seen exponential growth in recent years.(26) Aligning communication strategies with the principles of patient engagement and patient- and family-centered care has been identified as critically important during the COVID-19 pandemic.(27) The Health Centre in this study had well-established structures and mechanisms for engaging patients and families such as a Family Leadership Council, a Youth Advisory Council, as well as an established practice of including parent and youth in research. Engaging patients and families in co-creating care is also outlined in the health centre mission statement. However, due to

uncertainty related to scarce and evolving evidence related to COVID-19 and the rapid pace of decision-making required to managed wave one, many of the usual ways of working based upon patient and family-centred care principles were limited during the first phase of pandemic planning and response. (28,29) As in many health care organizations, non-essential services and personnel were moved to work-from-home or furloughed. In our study, communicating changes to patients and families regarding how to access care was a key priority for the Health Centre. However, balancing communication of general access policies with tailored messages for special circumstances proved challenging. Patients and families need to be involved in designing care in complex situations such as a pandemic response to ensure care is patient centered. (30) The visitor restrictions and physical distancing measures that were implemented proved challenging for some parents and patients who felt isolated from their support network and struggled to build trusting relationships with their care providers. This can have significant impact on patient and health outcomes; the inability to see, touch and talk to loved ones during a hospital stay can increase the burden of illness. (31)

However, as Hart et al.,(32) recommend, restrictions on family presence does not need to replace the principles of family-centred care. Moving forward, public and patient engagement will be critical for decision-making about removing COVID-19 restrictions.(33) Similar to how workplace communications have shifted drastically to online communications, patients and families can be engaged via teleconference and videoconference methods in both planning and care delivery. These strategies are needed to support continued pandemic response, as well as planning for post-pandemic health care delivery.(34) Engaging patients and families in this way

will address the ethical imperatives and economic and social benefits from patient engagement (35,36) and strengthen a LHS structure for future rapid-learning and health system change. For subsequent waves of the pandemic and as we move forward post-pandemic, efforts are needed to format feedback channels to better facilitate management and leadership response to pertinent issues and develop a mechanism to support tailored communication to patients and families.

Ethical framework for learning health systems

Participants revealed tensions as patients, families, and health care providers experienced the impact of policies and practices deployed throughout the first wave of the pandemic. For instance, health care provider participants identified the ethical and moral dilemmas that were experienced when enforcing visitor restrictions to prevent transmission of the virus. Other research has identified the need to examine the ethical implications of restrictive public health and physical distancing measures, use of technology and data for contact tracing, and the impact of guidelines on equity-seeking populations.(37) Ethical considerations are not included as a main characteristic of Lavis et al.'s LHS framework.(13) Comparatively, Menear et al.(38) developed a framework for value-creating LHS in which an ethical component is described as a main LHS pillar. Given the ethical implications of many COVID-19 responses, and ethical component seems like a timely addition to LHS frameworks to support challenging decision-making.

Improved digital capture, linkage and timely sharing of relevant data

A key component of a LHS is digital capture, linkage, and timely sharing of data (patient experiences, provider outcomes, and other process and outcome indicators), to make timely, evidence-informed decisions.(13) In this study, administrators and care providers worked quickly to capture, link and share local contextual data related to COVID-19. Several working groups and new teams were organized. However, there was limited interdepartmental sharing of these data and integration of patient experience data into decision-making. There was a stronger focus on broader-level systems data (i.e., PPE use, volume of patients in pandemic assessment centre, human resources re-deployment etc.). A lack of an existing data capture system and the pace of new knowledge led to more reactive initiatives in response to the pandemic and lack of capacity for sharing data, whereas having a comprehensive decision support system, including an electronic health record (EHR), could have supported a proactive response to the pandemic.

Previous research demonstrates the ability of EHRs to capture, link and share data. EHRs with decision support system capabilities have shown to improve patient safety, preventative care, implementation of evidence-based care guidelines, and communication and management of clinical information for providers and patients. (39) EHRs allow for predictive models to be embedded within clinical decision supports to allow for real-time risk prediction and support decision-making. (40) In addition to EHR and decision support systems, a LHS will not be realized without adequate digital capture of the care experience. This includes infrastructure that allows for collection and integration of patient reported experience measures and patient reported outcome measures. (41)

Our findings suggest that during wave one, limited real-time health outcomes and experience data were collected to inform rapid decision-making. Further, limitations with provincial information technology support systems meant that significant manual work from decision support services was required during the first wave to generate reports to guide decision-making. In a priority setting exercise to inform Canada's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, McMahon et al. (37) identified the need for timely access to data for researchers, decision-makers, and front-line care providers to inform policy and care delivery decisions, including the rapid analysis of effective and evidence-informed response strategies. COVID-19 has highlighted critical gaps in data capture across Canada, including a lack of ability to link data and collection of race and ethnicity data, which risks further impacts of pandemic policies on existing health and social inequities. (37) Efforts are urgently needed to build a digital infrastructure that includes care experience data, process and outcome indicators, to inform rapid cycles of policy and care delivery decisions.

Role of embedded research for timely production of evidence

Our study identified a gap in the Health Centre's ability to rapidly generate and incorporate research evidence to support policy and practice decisions related to COVID-19. The Research Services Office quickly focused on the critical administrative tasks of halting non-COVID-19 related research studies and streamlining Research Ethics Board processes to rapidly support projects related to the treatment of COVID-19. While the early focus of research production was on the treatment of COVID-19, members of the Executive Leadership also recognized the impact that the pandemic measures could have on patients, families, health

centre staff and providers. Consequently, they collaborated with a provincial funding initiative to commission work to study the impact.

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

388

389

Several factors contribute to the gap in generating and incorporating research evidence into policy and practice decisions. First, this was an unprecedented event with limited published research evidence available to guide policy and practice change, particularly in the early phases of the pandemic. Second, the existing health system-research structures and partnerships that support the timely inclusion of evidence into decision-making were not well established. To be most effective in supporting a LHS, "researchers must be fully integrated into their internal environments where health problems are articulated, priorities and plans set, new initiatives developed and launched, and resultant changes managed".(12) Translation of research into practice can be challenging but having researchers and research programs embedded in health system operations promote direct implementation of evidence-based practices. (42) Moving forward, there is a need to build and strengthen partnerships with health service researchers and implementation scientists internal and external to the health centre to allow for ready access to best available evidence and support the design and evaluation of policy and practice change strategies. Implementation researchers working in collaboration with health system partners can rapidly scale up and spread promising practices to address the changing needs of patients, health care providers, and the health system. To actualize a LHS moving forward, there is an opportunity for novel integrated systems where embedded researchers inform decisionmaking processes through timely production of evidence.

Use of LHS as a framework to study implementation

A LHS framework provides an opportunity to enhance health systems, such as the participating Health Centre, to achieve optimal patient outcomes.(13) While LHS are a relatively novel approach to health care, early evidence indicates its effectiveness in supporting health care providers to reduce diagnostic errors(43) and improve patient safety by enhancing interprofessional collaboration to reduce medication errors.(44) Overall, the literature primarily focuses on LHS theory rather than its applicability in practice.(41) To address this limitation, Lavis et al.(13) utilized a LHS framework to map assets and gaps in provincial health systems across their ability to meet the care needs of patients, providers, etc.

Building on Lavis' approach, we used their LHS characteristics as a framework for mapping the assets and gaps, through quantitative and qualitative data sources, in the Health Centre's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our evidence suggests that the organization was already implementing many features of a LHS pre-pandemic and has the capacity and infrastructure to further develop as a LHS without radically altering the way it functions (i.e., leveraging existing assets). Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has helped accelerate the Health Centre as a functioning LHS. Our study provides an example of applying a LHS lens to analyzing health system decision-making and identifying key components needed to achieve desired patient and health system outcomes. To move the science forward on LHS, efforts are needed to build on existing theories and schematic frameworks and provide practical guidance to researchers and health system decision-makers on how to actualize a LHS in practice. More specifically, research is

needed to develop measurement tools, implementation strategies for LHS adoption, and LHS indicators in practice and policy.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the urgent need to develop a LHS informed data-driven response to a public-health crisis and complex health system challenges. This study used a LHS framework to examine the COVID-19 pandemic planning and preparedness work conducted at a Canadian women's and children's health centre. We identified key assets and gaps related to engaging patients in decision-making, improving digital capture, linkage and sharing of relevant data, and timely production of evidence. Overall, this study identified promising strategies for future pandemic planning and preparedness work. Further, we outlined opportunities to strengthen the LHS infrastructure to promote the rapid integration of evidence and lessons learned from patient experiences into decision-making.

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge the participants involved in this research, including patients, caregivers, health care professionals, health centre staff and managers.

Without their contribution, this work would not have been possible. We would also like to thank the team members who contributed to the broader program of research on this topic: Karen Turner, LeeAnn Larocque, Laura Cole, Sheri Price, Audrey Steenback, Jeanna Parsons Leigh, Tara Sampalli, Jane Palmer, Jeannette Comeau, Shannon MacPhee and Darlene Inglis.

454 References

- COVID-19: A Stress Test for A US Health Care System Already Under Stress | Health Affairs
 Blog [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 7]. Available from:
- 458 https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20200310.530622/full/
- 459 2. Mann DM, Chen J, Chunara R, Testa PA, Nov O. COVID-19 transforms health care through telemedicine: Evidence from the field. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2020 01;27(7):1132–5.
- 461 3. Cukier A. Driven to distraction, doctors and patients are renegotiating virtual visits. CMAJ. 2020 Nov 2;192(44):E1372–3.
- 463 4. McDonnell T, Nicholson E, Conlon C, Barrett M, Cummins F, Hensey C, et al. Assessing the Impact of COVID-19 Public Health Stages on Paediatric Emergency Attendance.
- International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020 Jan;17(18):6719.
- 466 5. Barten DG, Latten GHP, van Osch FHM. Reduced Emergency Department Utilization During 467 the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Viral Fear or Lockdown Effect? Disaster Med 468 Public Health Prep. 2020 Aug 12;1–4.
- 469 6. Ravaldi C, Wilson A, Ricca V, Homer C, Vannacci A. Pregnant women voice their concerns and birth expectations during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. Women Birth. 2020 Jul 13;
- Guessoum SB, Lachal J, Radjack R, Carretier E, Minassian S, Benoit L, et al. Adolescent
 psychiatric disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. Psychiatry Res. 2020
 Sep;291:113264.
- 474 8. Moreno C, Wykes T, Galderisi S, Nordentoft M, Crossley N, Jones N, et al. How mental 475 health care should change as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet 476 Psychiatry. 2020 Sep;7(9):813–24.
- 9. Douma M, Picard C, McLellan H. Canadian Emergency Nurses Caring During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Canadian Journal of Emergency Nursing. 2020 Apr 7;4.
- 479 10. Romanelli RJ, Azar KMJ, Sudat S, Hung D, Frosch DL, Pressman AR. The Learning Health
 480 System in Crisis: Lessons from the Novel Coronavirus Disease Pandemic. Mayo Clin Proc
 481 Innov Qual Outcomes [Internet]. 2020 Oct 29 [cited 2020 Dec 15]; Available from:
 482 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7598312/
- 483 11. Institute of Medicine. Roundtable on Value and Science-Driven Health Care: The Learning
- Health System and its Innovation Collaboratives: Update Report. Washington, DC; 2011.
- 485 12. Reid RJ. Embedding Research in the Learning Health System [Internet]. Vol. 16. 2016 [cited 486 2020 Apr 21]. Available from:
- https://www.longwoods.com/content/24724/healthcare papers/embedding-research-in-defined by the content of the
- 488 the-learning-health-system

- 489 13. Lavis JN, Gauvin F-P, Mattison CA, Moat KA, Waddel K, Wilson MG, et al. Creating Rapid-490 learning Health Systems in Canada. McMaster Health Forum. 2018 Dec 10;36.
- 491 14. Douthit BJ. The influence of the learning health system to address the COVID-19 pandemic:
- 492 An examination of early literature. The International Journal of Health Planning and
- 493 Management [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 30];n/a(n/a). Available from:
- 494 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hpm.3088
- 495 15. Ghaffar A, Swaminathan S, Sheikh K, Al-Mandhari A, Jhalani M, Sambo B, et al.
 496 Implementation research is crucial to countries' efforts to strengthen learning health
 497 systems. Health Policy Plan. 2020 Nov 1;35(Supplement 2):ii4–6.
- 498 16. Crowther D, Sim M, Cassidy C, Turner K, Gallant A, Curran J. Managing the development and 499 implementation of COVID-19 pandemic measures in a hospital setting: Healthcare provider 500 and hospital staff perspectives. Manuscript in preparation. 2021;
- 501 17. Curran J, Turner K, Somerville M, Crowther D, Sim M, Gallant A. Care during COVID-19: A qualitative investigation of patient and caregiver experiences during wave one of the pandemic. Manuscript in preparation. 2021;
- 504 18. Kothari A, McCutcheon C, Graham ID. Defining Integrated Knowledge Translation and
 505 Moving Forward: A Response to Recent Commentaries. International Journal of Health
 506 Policy and Management. 2017 May 1;6(5):299–300.
- 507 19. Creswell J, Plano Clark V. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. 2nd ed. Los Angeles; London: SAGE; 2011. xxvi+457.
- 509 20. Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, O'Connor D, Patey A, Ivers N, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. Implementation Science. 2017 Jun 21;12:77.
- 512 21. Branch-Elliman W, Price C, Bessesen M, Perl T. Using the Pillars of Infection Prevention to Build an Effective Program for Reducing the Transmission of Emerging and Reemerging Infections. Current Environmental Health Reports. 2015 Sep 1;2.
- Canada PHA of. COVID-19 pandemic guidance for the health care sector [Internet]. aem.
 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 6]. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/en/public-
- 517 health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/covid-19-
- 518 pandemic-guidance-health-care-sector.html
- 519 23. Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. Qualitative 520 Health Research. 2005 Nov 1;15(9):1277–88.
- 521 24. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology. 2006 Apr;3(2):77–101.

- 523 25. Lavis JN, Gauvin F-P, Reid R, Bullock H, Wodchis WP, Hayes A. Creating a Rapid-learning
- Health System in Ontario [Internet]. Hamilton, Canada: McMaster Health Forum; 2018 31
- [cited 2020 May 10]. Available from: https://www.mcmasterforum.org/find-
- evidence/products/project/creating-a-rapid-learning-health-system-in-ontario
- 527 26. Manafo E, Petermann L, Mason-Lai P, Vandall-Walker V. Patient engagement in Canada: a
- scoping review of the 'how' and 'what' of patient engagement in health research. Health
- Research Policy and Systems. 2018 Feb 7;16:5.
- 530 27. Rosenbluth G, Rosenbluth G. Communicating Effectively With Hospitalized Patients and
- Families During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Hospital Medicine [Internet]. 2020 Jul 1
- 532 [cited 2020 Dec 18];15(7). Available from:
- https://www.journalofhospitalmedicine.com/jhospmed/article/223588/hospital-
- medicine/communicating-effectively-hospitalized-patients-and
- 28. Zeh RD, Santry HP, Monsour C, Sumski AA, Bridges JFP, Tsung A, et al. Impact of visitor
- restriction rules on the postoperative experience of COVID-19 negative patients undergoing
- 537 surgery. Surgery. 2020 Nov 1;168(5):770–6.
- 538 29. Munshi L, Evans G, Razak F. The case for relaxing no-visitor policies in hospitals during the
- ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. CMAJ. 2021;193(4):E135–7.
- 540 30. Smith M, Saunders R, Stuckhardt L, McGinnis JM. Chapter 7. Engaging Patients, Families and
- Communities. In: Committee on the Learning Health Care System in America; Institute of
- Medicine Best care at lower cost: the path to continuously learning health care in America.
- 543 Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2013.
- 544 31. Clinical communication with families in the age of covid-19: a challenge for critical care
- teams [Internet]. The BMJ. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 18]. Available from:
- 546 https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/08/11/clinical-communication-with-families-in-the-age-
- of-covid-19-a-challenge-for-critical-care-teams/
- 548 32. Hart JL, Turnbull AE, Oppenheim IM, Courtright KR. Family-Centered Care During the
- 549 COVID-19 Era. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2020 Aug;60(2):e93–7.
- 550 33. Immonen K. The views of patients and the public should be included in policy responses to
- covid-19 [Internet]. The BMJ. 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 5]. Available from:
- https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/03/30/the-views-of-patients-and-the-public-should-be-
- included-in-policy-responses-to-covid-19/
- 34. PatelShriji, LorenziNancy, SmithTerrell, R C, Jr S. Critical Insights from Patients during the
- Covid-19 Pandemic. NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery [Internet]. 2020 Jul 13
- 556 [cited 2021 Mar 5]; Available from: https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.20.0299
- 557 35. Majid U, Wasim A. Patient-centric culture and implications for patient engagement during
- the COVID-19 pandemic. Patient Experience Journal. 2020 Nov 11;7(3):5–16.

- 559 36. Carman KL, Dardess P, Maurer M, Sofaer S, Adams K, Bechtel C, et al. Patient and family engagement: a framework for understanding the elements and developing interventions and policies. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013 Feb;32(2):223–31.
- McMahon M, Nadigel J, Thompson E, Glazier R. Informing Canada's Health System
 Response to COVID-19: Priorities for Health Services and Policy Research. Healthcare Policy
 [Internet]. 2020 Jun 8 [cited 2020 Jun 15]; Pre-(Release). Available from:
 https://www.longwoods.com/content/26249/healthcare-policy/informing-canada-s-health-
- 565 https://www.longwoods.com/content/26249/healthcare-policy/informing-canada-s-health-566 system-response-to-covid-19-priorities-for-health-services-and-policy-r
- 38. Menear M, Blanchette M-A, Demers-Payette O, Roy D. A framework for value-creating learning health systems. Health Res Policy Syst. 2019 Aug 9;17(1):79.
- 39. Atasoy H, Greenwood BN, McCullough JS. The Digitization of Patient Care: A Review of the
 Effects of Electronic Health Records on Health Care Quality and Utilization. Annual Review
 of Public Health. 2019;40(1):487–500.
- Lee TC, Shah NU, Haack A, Baxter SL. Clinical Implementation of Predictive Models
 Embedded within Electronic Health Record Systems: A Systematic Review. Informatics
 (MDPI) [Internet]. 2020 Sep [cited 2021 Mar 2];7(3). Available from:
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7710328/
- Zurynski Y, Smith CL, Vedovi A, Ellis LA, Knaggs G, Meulenbroeks I, et al. Mapping the
 Learning Health System: A Scoping Review of Current Evidence. Australian Institute of
 Health Innovation and the NHMRC Partnership Centre for Health System Sustainability,
 Macquarie University. :63.
- Kilbourne AM, Goodrich DE, Miake-Lye I, Braganza MZ, Bowersox NW. Quality
 Enhancement Research Initiative Implementation Roadmap: Toward Sustainability of
 Evidence-based Practices in a Learning Health System. Med Care. 2019;57 Suppl 10 Suppl
 3:S286–93.
- Meyer AND, Upadhyay DK, Collins CA, Fitzpatrick MH, Kobylinski M, Bansal AB, et al. A
 Program to Provide Clinicians with Feedback on Their Diagnostic Performance in a Learning
 Health System. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2021 Feb;47(2):120–6.
- Jeffries M, Keers RN, Phipps DL, Williams R, Brown B, Avery AJ, et al. Developing a learning health system: Insights from a qualitative process evaluation of a pharmacist-led electronic audit and feedback intervention to improve medication safety in primary care. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(10):e0205419.