Minireview

First International Wolbachia Conference Wolbachia 2000

STEFAN OEHLER¹ and KOSTAS BOURTZIS^{1,2*}

¹Insect Molecular Genetics Group, IMBB, Vassilika Vouton, P.O. Box 1527, Heraklion 71110, Crete, Greece; and ²Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Management, University of Ioannina, 2 Seferi Street, Agrinio 30100, Greece Tel: +30-641-39514, +30-81-394541, Fax: +30-641-47191, +30-81-394530, E-mail. bourtzis@imbb.forth.gr

Received August 2, 2000; Accepted August 26, 2000

From June 7 to 12, 2000, the "First International Wolbachia Conference" took place at the Orthodox Academy, Kolymbari, Crete, Greece. More than 100 researchers from four continents gathered to exchange their latest findings on the somewhat enigmatic bacterium Wolbachia pipientis. The talks of this meeting gave a thorough overview over the current trends in Wolbachia research and an outlook on a new development that promises to provide researchers with new tools and enable them to approach as yet unanswered questions of Wolbachia-host interactions.

Wolbachia has taken a slow route to its present popularity. It was first identified in the mosquito Culex pipiens in 1924 (Hertig and Wolbach, 1924) and described in 1936 as the new genus and species Wolbachia pipentis (Hertig, 1936). Scientific progress in modern biology nearly always turns out to be technology-driven. And so with the advent of PCR techniques, it became possible to easily probe for the presence of Wolbachia in the cytoplasm of its host organisms and to obtain the necessary sequence information to allow the construction of molecular phylogenies. In the early 90's, O'Neill and colleagues

^{*}The author to whom correspondence should be sent.

described a 16S rDNA-based PCR assay (O'Neill et al., 1992) which detects Wolbachia infections in a variety of arthropod species (insects, terrestrial crustaceans, mites and arachnidae) and also filarial nematodes. Using the same gene as a molecular marker, they classified Wolbachia as a close relative of mammalian pathogens such as Rickettsia, Ehrlichia, Cowdria and Anaplasma, all of which belong to the same group, α -Proteobacteria, from which the modern mitochondria are descended. Since then, Wolbachia have been intensively studied by a growing number of researchers. Recent reviews summarise the development of the field, current knowledge and open issues (Werren, 1997; Bourtzis and O'Neill, 1998; Bourtzis and Braig, 1999; Stouthamer et al., 1999). What has increasingly attracted the interest of researchers are the effects that, in many cases, Wolbachia exert on their host's reproduction. Wolbachia-associated reproductive alterations include: the induction of parthenogenetic development; overriding chromosomal sex determination to convert infected genetic males into functional females; malekilling; hybrid-breakdown, which was first reported at the conference; and most commonly the induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Each of these reproductive effects favors transmission of this maternally inherited bacterial agent. In the following, we will very briefly introduce some aspects of the Wolbachia-host biology and illustrate them with examples from presentations given at the "First International Wolbachia Conference".

Wolbachia-induced reproductive phenotypes: Just variants of each other?

It is presently not clear if the different *Wolbachia*-induced host phenotypes are based on separate modifications caused by the bacteria or if they are variations of the same endobacterium-host interaction. In this context, it might be worth to recall that *Wolbachia* phylogeny shows no congruence with the induced reproductive phenotypes (van Meer et al., 1999). There is, of course, the possible explanation that the respective traits evolve easily and hence repeatedly. It became evident from several presentations at the conference that the *Wolbachia*-induced phenotypes are not restricted, as previously accepted, to specific host groups and that more than one phenotype might be expressed in a given host group (see examples below). Also, a given *Wolbachia* strain can induce different phenotypes in different host backgrounds, as was reported for the wSca strain by Tetsuhiko Sasaki (University of Tokyo). This bacterial strain, when present in its natural host *Ostrinia scapularis*, induces feminization. However, artificially transferred into another moth, *Ephestia kuehniella*, leads to male killing (for definition, see below). Surprisingly,

naturally infected *Ephestia kuehniella* with the wKue *Wolbachia* strain express cytoplasmic incompatibility.

Cytoplasmic incompatibility

Cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) is the best-studied reproductive effect of Wolbachia infections. CI has been documented in diverse insect taxa including Coleoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Orthoptera and Lepidoptera, as well as in the terrestrial isopod Porcellio dilatatus and in mites. In short, CI appears as a form of embryonic lethality in crosses between infected males and uninfected females. In haplo-diploids, where unfertilised eggs develop into haploid males, CI crosses result in male-biased offspring. Bidirectional CI is observed between host strains that are infected with Wolbachia of different incompatibility classes.

Very little is known about how *Wolbachia* induces CI. Based on the current genetic and cytogenetic evidence, the mechanism of CI involves a dual action: first, a 'modification' of sperm chromosomes and second, a 'rescue' process in the eggs (Breeuwer and Werren, 1993; Bourtzis et al., 1998). There have been many suggestions as to how modification and rescue in CI is achieved molecularly. There are no *Wolbachia* in mature sperms. Thus, it seems clear that the chromosomes are modified during spermatogenesis. This can be either understood as the excretion of a product from *Wolbachia*, which modifies chromatin, or as depletion by the bacterium of a factor which is necessary for proper sperm maturation. A modification of this idea is that *Wolbachia* induces the host to produce or deplete/suppress (Bourtzis and O'Neill, 1998) such factors. Infected eggs (in which bacteria are present) then somehow alleviate this modification, uninfected eggs do not.

In this context, the identification (Shinji Masui, University of Tokyo) of a type IV secretion system in a *Wolbachia* strain from *Teleogryllus taiwanemma*, a cricket, may be illuminating, since secretion systems of this type are used by pathogenic bacteria as different as *Helicobacter*, *Agrobacterium* and virulent *Escherichia coli* strains to secrete factors which modify the host-metabolism.

A complementary way to gain insight into *Wolbachia*-induced alterations of the host- metabolism is not to look at the signal(s) given by the endocellular bacterium, but instead at host genes induced or repressed upon *Wolbachia* infection. Cort Anderson (University of Chicago, USA) told the audience that there is an increase of zipper-RNA, encoding non-muscle myosin heavy chain (MHC) in the testes of infected *D. simulans* males. This is intriguing, as MHC plays a role in cell division and oogenesis. Whether it also has a function in sperm development, however, remains to be seen.

Parthenogenesis

Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis (PI) had previously only been reported for various wasps (Hymenoptera), where males are normally haploid and develop from unfertilised eggs (arrhenotokous parthenogenesis). It has been shown that Wolbachia somehow induces genome duplication, giving rise to diploid unfertilized eggs which then develop as parthenogenetic females (Stouthamer and Kazmer, 1994). At the conference, there were first reports for PI outside the Hymenoptera. Andrew Weeks (University of Amsterdam, Netherlands) presented data about Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in a genus of haplo-diploid phytophagous Tetranychidae mites, the Bryobia. Hiroaki Noda (National Institute of Sericultural and Entomological Science, Ibaraki, Japan) reported on a parthenogenesis-inducing Wolbachia strain in a species of predatory thrips, Franklinothrips vespiformis. There was also a presentation about Wolbachia-induced parthenogenesis in the springtail Folsomia candida (Hexapoda, Collembola) by Tom Vandekerckhove (University of Gent, Belgium).

Feminisation

Wolbachia-induced feminisation (F) has been previously only found in isopod crustaceans where Wolbachia-infected genetic males develop into functional females. The default development of isopods takes the female route. It is the action of the androgenic gland that effects development into males (Suzuki and Yamasaki, 1991). Gilbert Martin (CNRS Poitiers, France) presented work on the woodlouse Armadillion vulgare. If injected into male A. vulgare, Wolbachia produce so called neofemales whose androgenic glands are hypertrophied with an increased production of androgenic hormone. Following up the etiology of this hypertrophy might give a lead to the molecular action of Wolbachia, which, in this case appears to be mechanistically very different from the effect on insects or mites. The meeting also provided the first report of Wolbachia inducing feminization outside Isopoda. Daisuke Kageyama (University of Tokyo, Japan) described the trait in two moth species, Ostrinia furnacalis and O. scapularis (Lepidoptera: Crambidae).

Male killing

Michael Majerus (University of Cambridge, United Kingdom) gave a survey of male killing (MK) bacteria, reporting that this phenotype can be caused by a variety of intracellular eubacteria, among them *Spiroplasma* (Hurst et al., 1999b), *Flavobacteria* (Hurst et al., 1997), *Rickettsia* (Werren et al., 1994) and *Wolbachia* (Hurst et al., 1999a). Male embryos fail to develop into adults. He

then presented the novel finding of a nuclear suppresser of male killing in a dipolid species, the ladybird beetle *Cheilomenes sexmaculatus*. Greg Hurst (University College, London) reported on *Wolbachia*-induced male killing in *Drosophila bifasciata*. The data suggest that the penetrance of MK correlates with the density of bacteria in the eggs. This system might be a step forward in experimental elucidation of the mechanism of MK because of the particular suitability of a *Drosophila* species as model system. Interestingly, the same *Wolbachia* strain also induces CI in the same host.

Hybrid breakdown

Filipa Vala (University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands) reported the first case of a *Wolbachia* infection causing a hybrid breakdown phenotype in populations of the phytophagous mites *Tetranychus urticae*. Hybrid breakdown results in an increased F2 recombinant male offspring mortality. Data presented indicate that there is genetic variation of the effects associated with *Wolbachia* infection, and that this variation is host determined. It has to be noted that hybrid breakdown is an important postzygotic isolation mechanism and thus, *Wolbachia* may be responsible for reproductive incompatibilities observed between different populations of *T. urticae*.

Wolbachia in filarial nematodes

One of the most exciting developments in *Wolbachia* research is the identification of this multi-faceted bacterium in filarial nematodes which are major human and animal pathogens. In nematodes, *Wolbachia* appears to have a truly mutualistic relationship with its hosts. This mutualistic symbiosis is evident from the congruence of nematode and *Wolbachia* phylogenies (Bandi et al., 1998). Also, the nematodes appear to depend on the bacteria, as antibiotic treatment, which abolishes the *Wolbachia*, leads to defective development and retarded growth of their nematode hosts (Genchi et al., 1998; Hoerauf et al., 1999).

There is now even strong evidence that Wolbachia contributes to the pathogenicity of their nematode host. M. Taylor and H. Cross (Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK) and Norbert Brattig (Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Germany) reported that lipopolysaccharides of Wolbachia origin are responsible for inflammatory reactions suffered by nematode carriers treated with chemotherapy against the nematodes (Brattig et al., in press; Taylor et al., 2000). Interestingly, Claudio Bandi (University of Milano, Italy) found that the major Wolbachia surface protein is recognized as an antigen by carriers.

Wolbachia phylogeny and horizontal transmission

Analysis based on the 16S rDNA, ftsZ and wsp genes allow four groups of Wolbachia to be distinguished (Werren et al., 1995; Bandi et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 1998). Groups A and B occur only in arthropods, C and D only in filarial nematodes, indicating that there is no frequent horizontal transmission of Wolbachia between arthropods and nematodes. Sometimes, the phylogeny of endobacteria matches that of their hosts. This is the case with the Wolbachia and their nematode hosts (Bandi et al., 1998; Bazzocchi et al., 2000). In arthropods, however, there are significant deviations between the respective evolutionary trees. Closely related species often carry very different Wolbachia, indicating substantial horizontal transmission on an evolutionary scale (Cook and Butcher, 1999; Masui et al., 1997; Schilthuizen and Stouthamer, 1997; Vavre et al., 1999; Werren et al., 1995a, b). Examples of horizontal transfer on a more limited time scale, however, are rare. Two reports at the "First International Wolbachia Conference" are therefore in this context of particular interest. Hiroaki Noda (National Institute of Sericultural and Entomological Science, Ibaraki, Japan) presented evidence that two species of planthoppers, Laodelphax striatellus and Sogatella furcifera, share the same Wolbachia strain with their common endoparasite Elenchus japonicus, indicating horizontal transfer through the parasite. Richard Stouthamer (Wagening Agricultural University, Netherlands) reported on a significant rate of transmission of Wolbachia between larvae of parasitic wasps in a shared host. Wolbachia transmission from infected to uninfected wasp larvae occurred in up to 30% of shared hosts (Huigens et al., 2000).

Wolbachia phylogenies are becoming even more complex since it was reported at the conference that these bacteria can recombine. Francis Jiggins (University College of London, United Kingdom) presented data indicating that the sequences of the ftsZ and wsp genes isolated from the same set of Wolbachia strains yielded incompatible phylogenies.

Wolbachia - endoparasite or endosymbiont?

Wolbachia as an obligatory intracellular bacterium depends on its host to provide it with as yet unknown factors. The benefit, if any, for the arthropod host species is not obvious in all cases. Thus, there will not always be a clear distinction between an endoparasitic or endosymbiotic role. If Wolbachia infection has no benefit for the host organism and vertical transmission is less than 100%, one should see gradual loss of the bacterium from a population, unless there is efficient horizontal transmission. For this, however, there is scant evidence (but see the previous paragraph). It is thus assumed that

vertical transmission is mainly responsible for maintenance of an infection in most populations so far studied. This would imply that in many cases of *Wolbachia* maintenance in host populations, a benefit to the host has to be implicated even if it has so far been elusive.

Tracy Reynolds (La Trobe University, Australia) reported such a case. There are stable *Wolbachia* infections of *D. melanogaster* in Queensland with a frequency of greater than 80%. No CI is exhibited under field conditions and fecundity of infected females is lower than that of uninfected ones. No obvious factor explaining maintenance of infection could be identified. Where CI is exhibited, however, infected populations can gain a reproductive advantage over uninfected ones and eventually replace them (Turelli and Hoffman, 1991).

In filarial nematodes, however, Wolbachia has developed into an obligatory symbiont (see above). The nature of their essential role for the host has yet to be determined.

Wolbachia and speciation

There are several studies providing clues that *Wolbachia* might have an effect or even be a driving force in speciation (Laven, 1967; Giordano et al., 1997; Bordenstein and Werren, 1998; Shoemaker et al., 1999). Jack Werren (University of Rochester, USA) reviewed the possible mechanisms by which *Wolbachia* could promote speciation, while Seth Bordenstein presented data suggesting that *Wolbachia*-induced bidirectional incompatibility may be associated with incipient speciation in the genus *Nasonia*. Although there is growing evidence for the involvement of *Wolbachia* in speciation events, the extent of this involvement still remains controversial.

Genomics

As mentioned above, *Wolbachia* belongs to the α -Proteobacteria, the bacterial division from which modern mitochondria are descended. It has been suggested that their ability to manipulate the reproduction of their hosts so successfully is due to their ability to "communicate" with mitochondria. Chris Bazinet (St. John's University, NY, USA) reported interference with mitochondrial pathways by rickettsial endosymbionts, while Charles Kurland (University of Uppsala, Sweden) pointed out that the genomic evolution of mitochondria is an extreme example of what happens to endosymbionts in time. The genome of mitochondria, with far less than 100 ORF's, seems most related to that of α -Proteobacteria. Thus, it is a likely assumption that they evolved from a *Rickettsia*-like parasitic ancestor. They have many metabolic

reductions in common with the typhus bacterium *Rickettsia prowazekii* (Andersson et al., 1998), an obligate intracellular parasite with just 834 protein encoding genes, which one could envisage as an analog to a mitochondrial ancestor undergoing reductive genome evolution.

Hajime Ishikawa (University of Tokyo, Japan) and Siv Andersson (University of Upssala, Sweden) presented the genomic structure of two *Buchnera* species. This bacterium is another example of an obligate endocellular bacterium. However, it lives in a mutualistic relationship. Even though it contains, with 583 ORFs, even fewer genes than *Rickettsia*, it still provides amino acids and vitamins to its host. Characteristically, it possesses the genes for synthesis of all essential amino acids.

The specific characteristics of different obligate endocellular bacteria and mitochondria tempts to speculate on the evolution and adaptation of *Wolbachia*. It will also show similar genome reduction as *Rickettsia*, probably less advanced and thus correspond to an even earlier stage of mitochondrial evolution. As *Wolbachia* strains C and D are obligate symbionts of their nematode hosts, they might have retained anabolic pathways that the arthropod strains A and B have already dispensed with. Comparative genomic analysis will show.

The genetics and biochemistry of *Wolbachia* are, due to their fastidious nature (*in vitro* culture has not yet been achieved), as good as nonexistent. Now that the age of genomics has truly come upon us, genetics will change for good. "Bacterial genomics have", as James D. Watson said, "absolutely made microbiology exciting again" (Watson, 1999). There is little room for doubt that this will hold particularly true for *Wolbachia* research. Genomics permit, to some extent, the bypassing of traditional genetics and biochemistry. Biochemical functions can be inferred from coding and regulatory sequences, while comparisons between related genomes allow the identification of specific metabolic differences.

Two projects to identify the complete genome of several *Wolbachia* strains have been presented at the conference. Scott O'Neill (Yale University, USA) reported on a project jointly funded by the NIH and New England Biolabs to sequence two *Wolbachia* strains at the Institute for Genomic Research (Rockville, USA). The first is present in *Drosophila melanogaster* while the second is found in the filarial nematode *Brugia malayi*. Kostas Bourtzis (University of Ioannina and IMBB, Heraklion, Greece), the host of the Conference, introduced the "European Wolbachia Project". The project is funded by the European Union and is a collaborative effort of eight laboratories from six European countries. The aim of the project is to generate not just the complete and annotated genome sequence of three *Wolbachia* strains, respectively responsible for the induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility (from *Drosophila simulans*), parthenogenesis (from *Muscidifurax uniraptor*) and feminization

(from Armadillidium vulgare), but also to provide tools for the analysis of parasite-host interactions. Genome analysis will be complemented by proteomics and DNA microarrays of the Drosophila host and its parasite. It is furthermore intended to develop a genetic transformation system for Wolbachia as a basis for manipulation and mechanistical studies of specific bacterial functions. The ultimate goal of this project is to identify and characterize Wolbachia genes involved in the induction of the three phenotypes as well as host (Drosophila melanogaster) genes involved in host-Wolbachia interaction. Identification of these genes will be a major breakthrough in deciphering the biology of this unculturable bacterium, understanding Wolbachia-host symbiotic associations and uncovering the evolution of intracellular symbiosis. In addition, this will be the first step towards development of environmentally-friendly technologies that will have wide applications in arthropod management.

The "Second International Wolbachia Conference" is scheduled for July, 2002 at the same venue. Participants should then hear that the genomes of the abovementioned Wolbachia strains are available. With the help of functional genomics, some of the bewildering variety of Wolbachia induced reproductive phenotypes might already have found a satisfactory molecular explanation.

REFERENCES

- Andersson, S.G., Zomorodipour, A., Andersson, J.O., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Alsmark, U.C., Podowski, R.M., Naslund, A.K., Eriksson, A.S., Winkler, H.H., and Kurland, C.G. 1998. The genome sequence of *Rickettsia prowazekii* and the origin of mitochondria. *Nature* 396: 133–140.
- Bandi, C., Anderson, T.J.C., Genchi, C., and Blaxter, M.L. 1998. Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filiarial nematodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 265: 2407–2413.
- Bazzocchi, C., Jamnongluk, W., O'Neill, S.L., Anderson, T.J., Genchi, C., and Bandi, C. 2000. wsp gene sequences from the *Wolbachia* of filarial nematodes. *Current Microbiology* 41: 96–100.
- Bordenstein, S.R. and Werren, J.H. 1998. Effects of A and B Wolbachia and host genotype on interspecies cytoplasmic incompatibility in Nasonia. Genetics 148: 1833–1844.
- Bourtzis, K. and Braig, H.R. 1999. The many faces of Wolbachia. In: Rickettsiae and Rickettsial Diseases at the Turn of the Third Millennium. D. Raoult, T. Hackstadt, eds. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 199–219.
- Bourtzis, K., Dobson, S.L., Braig, H.R., and O'Neill, S.L. 1998. Rescuing Wolbachia have been overlooked. *Nature* 391: 852–853.
- Bourtzis, K. and O'Neill, S.L. 1998. Wolbachia infections and arthropod reproduction. *Bioscience* 48: 287–293.

- Breeuwer, J.A. and Werren, J.H. 1993. Cytoplasmic incompatibility and bacterial density in *Nasonia vitripennis. Genetics* **135**: 565–574.
- Cook, J.M. and Butcher, R.D.J. 1999. The transmission and effects of Wolbachia bacteria in parasitoids. Researches on Population Ecology 41: 15–38.
- Genchi, C., Sacchi, L., Bandi, C., and Venco, L. 1998. Preliminary results on the effects of tetracycline on the embryogenesis and symbiontic bacteria (*Wolbachia*) of *Dirofilaria immitis*. *Parassitologia* 40: 247–249.
- Giordano, R., Jackson, J.J., and Robertson, H.M. 1997. The role of *Wolbachia* bacteria in reproductive incompatibilities and hybrid zones of *Diabrotica* beetles and *Gryllus* crickets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94: 11439–11444.
- Hertig, M. 1936. The rickettsia, *Wolbachia pipientis* (gen. et sp.n.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito, *Culex pipientis*. *Parasitology* **28**: 453–486.
- Hertig, M. and Wolbach, S.B. 1924. Studies on rickettsia-like microorganisms in insects. *Journal of Medical Research* 44: 453–486.
- Hoerauf, A., Nissen-Pahle, K., Schmetz, C., Henkle-Duhrsen, K., Blaxter, M.L., Buettner, D.W., Gallin, M.Y., Al-Quaod, K.M., Lucius, R., and Fleischer, B. 1999. Tetracyline therapy targets intracellular bacteria in the filiarial nematode *Litomosoides sigmodontis* and results in filiarial infertility. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 103: 11–18.
- Huigens, M.E., Luck, R.F., Klaassen, R.H., Maas, M.F., Timmermans, M.J., and Stouthamer, R. 2000. Infectious parthenogenesis. *Nature* **405**: 178–179.
- Hurst, G.D.D., Hammerton, T.C., Bandi, C., Majerus, T.M.O., and Bertrand, D. 1997. The diversity of inherited parasites of insects: the male-killing agent of the ladybird beetle *Coleomegilla maculata* is a member of the Flavobacteria. *Genetical Research* 17: 1–6.
- Hurst, G.D.D., Jiggins, F.M., Graf von der Schulenburg, J.H., Bertrand, D., West, S.A., Goriacheva, I.I., Zakharov, I.A., Werren, J.H., Stouthamer, R., and Majerus, M.E.N. 1999a. Male-killing *Wolbachia* in two species of insects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 266: 735–740.
- Hurst, G.D.D., Graf von der Schulenburg, J.H., Majerus, T.M., Bertrand, D., Zakharov, I.A., Baungaard, J., Volkl, W., Stouthamer, R., and Majerus, M.E. 1999b. Invasion of one insect species, *Adalia bipunctata*, by two different male-killing bacteria. *Insect Molecular Biology* 8: 133–139.
- Laven, H. 1967. Speciation and evolution in Culex pipiens. In: Genetics of Insect Vectors of Disease. J. Wright and R. Pal, eds. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 351–275.
- Masui, S., Sasaki, T., and Ishikawa, H. 1997. *groE*-homologous operon of *Wolbachia*, an intracellular symbiont of arthropods: a new approach for their phylogeny. *Zoological Science* 14: 701–706.
- O'Neill, S.L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A.M., Karr T.L., and Robertson, H.M. 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings of the National Acadademy of Sciences of the USA* 89: 2699–2702.
- Schilthuizen, M. and Stouthamer, R. 1997. Horizontal transmission of parthenogenesis-inducing microbes in *Trichogramma* wasps. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.* Series B: Biological Sciences 264: 361-366.

Shoemaker, D.D., Katju, V., and Jaenike, J. 1999. Wolbachia and the evolution of reproductive isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria*. Evolution 53: 1157–1164.

- Stouthamer, R. and Kazmer, D.J. 1994. Cytogenetics of microbe-associated parthenogenesis and its consequences for gene flow in *Trichrramma* wasps. *Heredity* 73: 317–327.
- Stouthamer, R., Breeuwer, J.A.J., and Hurst, G.D.D. 1999. Wolbachia pipientis: Microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 53: 71–102.
- Suzuki, S. and Yamasaki, K. 1991. Sex-reversal of male *Armadillidium vulgare* (Isopoda, Malacostraca, Crustacea) following andrectomy and partial gonadectomy. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 83: 375–378.
- Taylor, M.J., Cross, H.F., and Bilo, K. 2000. Inflammatory responses induced by the filarial nematode *Brugia malayi* are mediated by liposaccharide-like activity from endosymbiotic *Wolbachia* bacteria. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 191: 1429–1436.
- Turelli, M. and Hoffmann, A.A. 1991. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*. *Nature* 353: 440–442.
- van Meer, M.M., Witteveldt, J., and Stouthamer, R. 1999. Phylogeny of the arthropod endosymbiont Wolbachia based on the wsp gene. Insect Molecular Biology 8: 399–408.
- Vavre, F., Fleury, F., Lepetit, D., Fouillet, P., and Bouletreau, M. 1999. Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission of Wolbachia in host-parasitoid associations. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16: 1711–1723.
- Watson, J.D. 1999. In: Interviews on Genomics. Bioassays 21: 175-182.
- Werren, J.H. 1997. Biology of Wolbachia. Annual Review of Entomology 42: 587-609.
- Werren, J.H., Hurst, G.D.D., Zhang, W., Breeuwer, J.A.J., Stouthamer, R., and Majerus, M.E.N. 1994. Rickettsial relative associated with male-killing in the ladybird beetle (*Adalia bipunctata*). *Journal of Bacteriology* **176**: 388–394.
- Werren, J.H., Windsor, D., and Guo, L.R. 1995a. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among neotropic arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **262**: 197–204.
- Werren, J.H., Zhang, W., and Guo, L.R. 1995b. Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 261: 55-63.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F., and O'Neill, S. 1998. Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of Wolbachia strains using wsp gene sequences. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 265: 509–515.