

# Retrieved from DalSpace, the institutional repository of Dalhousie University

http://hdl.handle.net/10222/81976

Version:Postprint

**Publisher's version:** 8. Alimohammadi, M., Tackley, H., Holmes, B., Davidson, K., Lake, C.B., Spooner, I., Jamieson, R.C., Walker, T.R. 2020. Characterizing sediment physical property variability for bench scale dewatering purposes. Environmental Geotechnics.

https://doi.org/10.1680/jenge.19.00214

Date: April 10, 2020

Number of words: 4867, Number of figures: 7, Number of Tables: 1

## Characterizing Sediment Physical Property Variability for Bench Scale Dewatering Purposes

## Author 1

- Masoumeh Alimohammadi, Graduate Student
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0001-8244-9802

## Author 2

- Hayden Tackley, Graduate Student
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0001-7180-8267

## Author 3

- Baillie Holmes, Graduate Student
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0003-1285-5623

## Author 4

- Kirklyn Davidson, Graduate Student
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0001-9154-4692

## Author 5

• Craig B. Lake, Professor

- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0001-7913-8536

## Author 6

- Ian S. Spooner, Professor
- Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, Canada
- 0000-0003-2860-0780

## Author 7

- Rob C. Jamieson, Professor
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0003-3170-3203

## Author 8

- Tony R. Walker, Assistant Professor
- Centre for Water Resources, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering & School for Resource and Environmental Studies, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada.
- 0000-0001-9008-0697

# Corresponding Author

- Masoumeh Alimohammadi
- email: masi.alimohammadi@dal.ca
- Civil and Resource Engineering Department, Dalhousie University, 1360 Barrington Street, P.O.
   Box 15000, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada.

#### Abstract

A field sampling program was undertaken to assess the variability of physical characteristics of contaminated sediments in a large (160 ha) effluent stabilization lagoon. The objective of this paper is to use this "field lab" as a basis for comparing different sampling techniques (i.e. discrete and composite) for remediation-based evaluations (i.e. sediment volume estimates and bench scale dewatering studies). The distribution of sediment thickness measured throughout the lagoon by gravity core sampling is presented for context. Selected gravity core sediment samples are evaluated with respect to physical property (water/solids content, bulk density, and particle size) variability in both the vertical (i.e. within a single gravity core) and spatial directions (among gravity cores). Composite samples created via homogenization of a single entire gravity core is performed to compare to the discrete and average physical properties of a nearby gravity core. Vacuum-based samples are also compared to gravity core samples in terms of particle size. It is demonstrated that by understanding sediment variability, composite samples can be shown to be an efficient method of obtaining representative samples. When large samples for dewatering trials are required, vacuum sampling can produce samples with similar mean particles size to discrete and composite samples.

#### Keywords chosen from ICE Publishing List

Contaminated material; Geotechnical engineering; Waste management & disposal

## List of notations

None

#### 1 Introduction

2 The Boat Harbour Stabilization Lagoon (BHSL) is part of an industrial wastewater treatment facility (160 ha 3 in plan area, Tackley, 2019) located in Pictou County, Nova Scotia, Canada. This lagoon was originally a 4 tidal estuary until it was separated from the Atlantic Ocean (i.e. Northumberland Strait) via modifications 5 introduced by the provincial government in 1967 (Hoffman et al., 2019). These modifications were completed in order to transition the estuary into a wastewater treatment facility for predominately pulp and 6 paper process effluent, although other industrial operations are also known to have contributed to the 7 wastewater effluent since 1967 (Hoffman et al., 2019). During operation, up to 75,000 m<sup>3</sup> of wastewater 8 9 was discharged to the treatment facility daily (GHD, 2018). Over 50 years of operation have resulted in the 10 accumulation of a thin layer of organic-rich, black sediment in the BHSL (GHD, 2018). This black sediment, 11 which is underlain by a native grey marine sediment, contains a mix of inorganic and organic contaminants 12 (i.e. metal[loid]s, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs], dioxins and furans) present above regulatory limits (Hoffman et al., 2017; Hoffman et al., 2019). BHSL has been effectively closed since January 2020; 13 14 remediation to its pre-industrial state is underway (i.e. a tidally influenced estuary). It is anticipated that dredging of the BHSL will result in the dewatering of greater than 577,000 m<sup>3</sup> of unconsolidated sediment 15 followed by storage in a secure containment cell (GHD, 2018). This site serves as an opportunity to 16 17 compare different sediment sampling methodologies for remediation purposes, such as those explored in 18 this paper.

19

Given that sediment properties are site and location specific, it is critical before establishing a 20 dewatering/remediation approach that effective sampling protocols be developed to ensure representative 21 22 samples are obtained. This is true for bench scale dewatering trials as well as estimating required volumes for future sediment containment structures. Sediment characteristics such as solids content, particle size 23 24 distribution, and density must be established to prepare a basis for an effective sediment management plan 25 (Reis et al., 2007). Past studies have also identified the need for assessing sediment properties prior to remediation efforts (e.g. Mao, 1997; Ya, 2017) as physical and chemical properties of sediment deposits in 26 27 aquatic ecosystems may vary spatially (Reis et al., 2007).

Understanding the variability in sediment composition is particularly important when considering bench 29 30 scale dewatering studies and sediment volume estimations, as these studies often require the use of large 31 sample volumes from a given depth and location to represent the contaminated sediment throughout a 32 large sampling area. The BHSL is an excellent "field lab" to assess how different sampling methods influence the determination of sediment physical characteristics; the large areal extent and potentially highly 33 34 variable characteristics with depth at BHSL are typical of other contaminated waste ponds. The objectives of this paper are: 1) to present a method of comparing time-consuming discrete sampling techniques to 35 36 more time-efficient composite sample techniques. This is particularly relevant for volume estimates of 37 contaminated sediments, and, 2) to present a method of comparing composite sampling techniques to a 38 vacuum sampling technique developed for this project. The vacuum sampling method produces large 39 volumes of sediment for bench scale dewatering purposes but involves significant physical disturbance of the sample. To investigate these objectives, the distribution of sediment thickness measured throughout the 40 lagoon by gravity core sampling is presented; extrusion of these gravity core samples are then performed to 41 42 evaluate sediment physical properties in both the vertical (i.e. within a single gravity core) and spatial 43 directions (between gravity cores) and provide context for the sediment's variability. Composite samples 44 are created by homogenization of single entire gravity cores to compare to the discrete subsamples and 45 average discrete subsample physical properties (i.e. water/solids content, bulk density, and particle size) of a nearby gravity core and also provide some comparison to vacuum samples. Vacuum-based samples are 46 47 compared to gravity core samples (both discrete and composite) in terms of particle size (i.e. a key physical 48 parameter for dewatering).

49

#### 50 **2. Experimental Work**

#### 51 2.1 Sediment sampling

Figure 1 shows sampling locations (i.e. gravity core and vacuum samples) throughout the BHSL, taken over a four-year period (i.e. 2016-2019). Area A was isolated from the remainder of the BHSL in March 2017 by an earthen berm (shown in Figure 1) for pilot dewatering studies (GHD, 2018). The rest of the lagoon, which was receiving effluent at the time of sampling, has been subdivided into three regions (Area B, C, and D) in this study to assess the variability of sediment characteristics throughout the BHSL. 58 The majority of samples (151 cores in total) were obtained using a gravity corer (60 cm in length and 59 6.5 cm in diameter) (Glew et al., 2001). The device was ideal for this site, as much of the contaminated 60 sediment was shallower than the core length. This coring method has been shown to be reliable for taking core samples sufficient for precise paleolimnology work (Dunnington et al., 2017). The device consisted of 61 a collar, which secured the core barrel, and a spring release mechanism. The weight of the device, when 62 secured to the core barrel, allowed it to easily penetrate the sediment (see Figure S1). During the core 63 64 penetration, the top of the core barrel remained open until a weighted messenger was lowered to trigger the device. At this time, the spring mechanism was activated, and suction was maintained in the core barrel 65 66 with a rubber stopper until the sample was brought to the surface. Upon recovery, the sample was then 67 sealed at each end for transport. The method is simple, inexpensive, and does not require supplementary 68 mechanical assistance (i.e. a winch).

69

70 151 cores were used for thickness determination to assess variability throughout BHSL. A spatial analysis of the thickness distribution in the BHSL was conducted using the ArcGIS (10.5) "Topo to Raster" 71 interpolation method for "lake polygons". This method is specifically designed to analyze contour and 72 73 elevation inputs (Esri, 2019) and can be constrained to the limit of a given polygon (BHSL in this case). The 74 interpolation used a 1 m x 1 m cell length (resolution), with contour lines representing each 5 cm change in 75 thickness. The map presents a realistic interpretation of the sediment thickness, based on the data which 76 was available at the time of publication (additional data points may alter this interpolation). This information 77 is presented to provide an indication of the distribution of sediment throughout the BSHL.

78

In addition, 30 of the 151 sediment cores were selected for detailed physical testing (i.e. beyond sediment thickness determination) and were transported to Dalhousie University laboratories for analysis. Nineteen (19) of these cores were used to obtain vertically discrete samples (described in detail below), and the remaining 11 were used for depth integrated composite samples. Variability in physical properties (i.e. particle size, water / solids content) at different depths (vertically) were investigated using discrete vertical samples. Sample 19-01 was selected for discrete particle size analyses. To obtain these discrete samples,

sediment in the core was sampled at 5cm intervals using an extruder (Glew et al., 2001). As can be seen in 85 86 Figure S2, the extruder apparatus consisted of an aluminum rod (shaft) connected to a base and situated 87 vertically. An extruding disk that was slightly smaller than the core barrel diameter was placed at the top of 88 the rod. A core holder (collar) was situated below the extruding disk, which allowed for an accurate and 89 controlled descent of the core barrel. After carefully removing the rubber stopper at the bottom of core barrel, the core barrel was mounted on top of the extruding disk. The top rubber stopper was then removed 90 91 from the core barrel and a sampling stage was attached to the top of the barrel. The core barrel was moved 92 downward to remove the top water until the top of the sediment was even with the sampling stage. A series 93 of spacer plates (5cm aluminum cuboids) were placed on the adjuster disc, which was situated on the shaft, 94 below the collar. The adjuster disc was carefully moved upward until the top of the aluminum cubes 95 touched the bottom of the core holder, then was secured in place. At this time, one of the aluminum cuboids was removed, and the core barrel gently pulled downward to extrude 5 cm of sediment from the barrel. 96 97 Each extruded sample was then removed from the sampling stage into pre-labeled sample bags (showing 98 core ID, depth and date), weighed, and refrigerated at 4°C until further analysis. Although each increment 99 was 5 cm, smaller increments were used to section the 5 cm increment near the black / grey sediment interface. After weighing each 5 cm sediment interval, the center portion of each interval was isolated for 100 101 further analysis, while the surrounding sediment was trimmed and discarded to avoid portions which may 102 have been smeared due to the sampling tube penetration.

103

104 In addition to discrete samples, 11 individual cores were homogenized to create a composite sample that 105 simulates the mixing of the sediment that will occur during a dredging process. Composite samples were 106 also used to compare to sediment properties at each discrete sampling location (spatially) throughout the 107 BHSL. For each composite sample, the total thickness of sediment in one core was mixed, weighed, and 108 then stored in sterile containers at 4°C. At the time of analysis of the composite specimens, sediments in 109 the container were mixed thoroughly, homogenized, and a representative sample from each composite 110 sample was selected for water / solids content, density and particle size analyses. All 11 composite 111 samples were then evaluated for mean and variation range values of each of these physical properties.

A vacuum sampling method was used to simulate sediment sampling conditions which could arise during a 113 114 dredging procedure. A barge of 4.5 m × 2 m was constructed from high density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe 115 (sealed) to form the support for the wooden platform decking. The barge and sampling gear were then 116 towed by a boat to the desired sampling location. Anchors were used to fix barge in position while sampling 117 was performed. A gas-powered generator was used to power an electric submersible vacuum pump (560-118 watt stainless steel sewage pump), which in turn was used to recover the sediment. The pump was hand-119 lowered into the water, to the surface of the sediment, via a rope secured to the pump. Upon engagement of the pump, the sediment was drawn through a 50 mm diameter tube, 3 m in length, to the surface of the 120 121 barge and placed in a 20L container (see Figure S3). The pump was situated at various locations on the 122 basin bottom in order to obtain eight 20 L containers of the sediment, which were then transported to 123 Dalhousie University in Halifax, Canada for characterization tests. Vacuum sampling results in a 124 significantly disturbed sample with added water being entrained in the vacuum process.

125

#### 126 **2.2** *Physical analysis*

127 Physical characteristics of the black sediment were evaluated at Dalhousie University laboratories. The 128 water / solids content (relevant ASTM standard D2216, last revised 2019), bulk density, specific gravity (ASTM D854, 2014), organic material (ASTM D2974, 2020), and particle size were measured for selected 129 130 samples (i.e. discrete and composite cores). Each measurement was repeated three times for all 131 experiments. Water / solids content and density measurements are important for remediation projects utilizing containment approaches for volume estimates of remediation projects while particle size analyses 132 133 are useful when developing dewatering approaches. Specific gravity and organic carbon determinations 134 were used for characterization purposes only.

135

Particle size distributions of the sediment were evaluated using a micro flow imaging technique (MFI-DPA4100/4200-Series B) (Mackie, 2010), which counts particle sizes from 2 to 400 µm. In this technique, 1 ml of sample fluid (1% dilution by volume was used for all of the samples in this study) was captured in successive image frames as the sample stream passed through a flow cell. Frame images displayed during operation provided immediate visual feedback on the nature of the particle population in the sample. Images were also digitally analyzed using the software to compile a database containing count, size, and concentration, and to produce parameter distributions using histograms and scatter plots (Sharma et al., 2010).

144

#### 145 2.3 Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis was performed for the physical test date collected. The mean physical properties 146 147 obtained for discrete, composite, vacuum samples as well as area groupings were compared using Tukey's comparison test of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in Minitab. ANOVA is used to determine whether 148 149 the mean of two or more groups differ, and Tukey's method is used to formally test whether the difference 150 between a pair of groups is statistically significant. Tukey's method also provides a range of values showing 151 the confidence interval for the difference between the means for each pair of groups. If this range does not 152 include zero, it means that the difference between these means is significant (Minitab express support, 153 2019).

154

#### 155 **3. Results and Discussion**

As previously mentioned, the black sediment layer in the BHSL consisted mostly of solids accumulated from predominantly pulp and paper treated wastewater since the 1960s. Results from organic material testing showed the solid portion of black sediment contained 25% - 31% organic carbon with a specific gravity of 1.71 ( $\pm$  0.13 SD).

160

For context of the distribution of sediment thickness throughout the BHSL, Figure 2 presents the frequency distribution of black sediment thicknesses measured in the 151 gravity core samples. For example, a 35 cm thickness of black sediment was measured in 16 samples." An average thickness of 26.6 cm (±12.2 SD) was measured in black sediment. The maximum thickness of approximately 45 cm for the black sediment was identified in core samples of BH 17-34, BH 17-33, and BH 19-82-100.

166

A contour map of the spatial distribution of sediment thickness determined using the methods previously
 described is presented in Figure 3. The results show the black sediment was not evenly distributed across

the BHSL; sediment thickness is greatest in Area B (west side of BHSL, near the location of cores BH 17-34 and BH 17-33). A bathymetric survey indicates that this location is the deepest part of the basin (Spooner and Dunnington 2016). The thicker sediment in this location is likely due to its proximity to the effluent inflow point (Figure 3), and with increasing distance from that point (notably in Areas C and D), the thickness decreases.

174

175 To examine the influence of this varying distribution of sediment on its physical properties, Figure 4 shows both water and solids content, versus depth for the 19 discrete gravity core samples. Results are plotted 176 177 relative to distance from the black / grey interface (dotted line). Due the large amount of data (shown in 178 Figure 4S), data has been presented in term of mean and one standard deviation from the mean for each 179 depth. The focus of this study was the black sediment characterization, however, grey sediment properties 180 (water / solids content) were also evaluated in selected cores and are shown for reference. Results show 181 that discrete samples, regardless of location, show a similar water / solids content trend. As expected, 182 water content decreased with depth (Figure 4(a)), as self-weight consolidation of settled particles occurred. 183 In this study, the black sediment exhibited high water contents (max 3200%) near the surface (0-5 cm) which decreased to around 500% at the black / grey sediment interface. 184

185

Water /solid content and density are key parameters to understand for remediation projects involving containment cells of dredged sediments. The self-weight consolidation process also resulted in the solids content increasing with depth from an average of 2% at the water / black sediment interface to around 12% at the black / grey sediment interface (Figure 4(b)). Likewise, the density of the black sediment increased with depth, increasing from 1.01 g/cm<sup>3</sup> near the surface (0-5cm) to 1.17 g/cm<sup>3</sup> at 45 cm below the black sediment-water contact (as presented in Table 1 and Figure S5). The density of composite samples, however, was 1.07 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, which was close to the average density of discrete samples (1.10 g/cm<sup>3</sup>).

- 193
- 194
- 195

196

Table 1. Density values of discrete and composite samples.

Depth (cm)	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
	Mean + SD
0-5	1.01 ± 0.13
5-10	$1.06 \pm 0.03$
10-15	1.08 ± 0.04
15-20	1.07 ± 0.04
20-25	1.18 ± 0.21
25-30	1.13 ± 0.08
30-35	1.10 ± 0.02
35-40	1.11 ± 0.02
40-45	1.17 ± 0.07
Average of discrete samples	1.10 ± 0.05
Composite samples	1.07 ± 0.05

197

198 Box-whisker plots (Figure 5(a) & 5(b)) present the range of water / solids content of black sediments for the 199 cores used to create discrete and composite samples, as well as samples taken using the vacuum pump. 200 For individual discrete samples, the plot represents data taken vertically in the core, while for composite 201 samples, the plot represents the data analysis of a combination of all composite samples. For comparison, 202 the data analysis of all discrete samples for each of the four areas (A, B, C, and D) are also shown. The 203 box-whisker plot is a standard technique for presenting a 5-number summary of a dataset which consists of 204 the minimum and maximum range values, the upper and lower quartiles, and the median (the line that 205 divides the box into two parts). In the box plot, an outlier is an observation that is numerically distant from the rest of the data and is defined as a data point that is located outside the whiskers of the box plot. This 206 collection of values is an effective way to summarize the distribution of a dataset (Williamson et al., 1989). 207 208 In Figure 5, boxes with the same pattern show the cores taken from the same area, while grey colored 209 boxes represent the data analysis for the collection of discrete samples from the given area. The solid black 210 boxes represent the vacuum samples taken from Area A and B, and the solid white box shows the dataset 211 of composite samples.

212

One-way ANOVA test results (using the Tukey method with 95% confidence) indicated that there was no significant difference between water / solids content of different cores representing discrete samples throughout the BHSL. In this study, Tukey's results are shown with the letters on the graphs. In Figure 5, samples attributed with identical letters are not significantly different.

217

218 As presented in Figure 5, an average water content and solids content of 957% and 9% respectively was 219 obtained for the composite samples (over the entire depth of black sediment in a given core). These values 220 are statistically similar to the average water / solids content of discrete samples, suggesting that composite 221 sampling can be an acceptable method of identifying the properties of discrete samples for this site. This 222 result is important for sediment volume estimates, as composite samples can yield higher volumes and are 223 more readily gathered when compared to discrete samples; as a result composite samples were used for 224 other dewatering studies by the authors (e.g. Alimohammadi et al. 2019). The locations from which the 225 gravity core samples were taken were thought to effectively represent the entire basin. Average percent 226 water content (corresponding solids contents are shown in brackets) were measured for Areas A, B, C, and 227 D respectively, as follows: 1052%(11.5%), 1150%(10.3%), 1188%(8.9%), and 1153%(11.9%). Statistical 228 analysis of this data showed no significant difference between discrete, composite or area samples. These 229 results suggest that all black sediment samples are consistent in terms of water / solids content despite the 230 location from which they were taken. It can be concluded that this sediment maintained spatial consistency 231 throughout the BHSL (spatial direction), and therefore sediment gathered through composite sampling 232 should be representative of the average found throughout the basin.

233

234 The solids contents obtained from Area A and B (sampling locations are shown in Figure 1) using the 235 vacuum sampling technique were 0.5% and 2.8%, respectively. The solids content of vacuum samples was significantly lower than that obtained by gravity coring (discrete and composite), because of water mixing 236 237 with the sediments during the procedure (Figure 5(b)). Even though the same sampling procedure was 238 used for both Areas A and B, a lower water content and a higher solids content was measured in Area B, 239 which can be explained by the fact that thickness of black sediment is greater at the location of sampling in 240 Area B, allowing the intake to be more immersed in the sediment at the time of sampling. This confirms that 241 water / solids content measurements are not comparable to gravity core methods due to the high level of 242 disturbance in the samples.

Particle size distributions of discrete sample BH 19-01 and vacuum sample BHVP 18-01 (taken from 244 245 Area B), two composite samples BH17-57, BH17-58, and vacuum sample of BHVP 18-05 (taken from Area 246 A) are presented in Figure 6(a). Results show that the black sediment had a similar particle size distribution 247 at various depths and locations, regardless of the sampling method. The results (Figure 6(a)) indicate that 248 85% of sediment particles (discrete or composite) are finer than 11  $\mu$ m (D<sub>85</sub> = 11  $\mu$ m). However, a D<sub>85</sub> value of vacuum sampling was slightly less than 11 µm as shown in this figure, indicating that sediment particles 249 250 obtained by vacuum sampling were slightly finer compared to coring samples. The majority (>80%) of 251 particles (~10<sup>7</sup>) range between 2 µm-10 µm, in the case of gravity coring (Figure 6(b)). As the particle size increased from 10 µm to 100 µm, the number of particles decreased from ~10<sup>6</sup> to ~2×10<sup>3</sup>. When obtained 252 253 by vacuum sampling, however, the black sediment contains fewer particles at each certain size compared 254 to sampling by gravity coring. For instance, the number of particles at 100 µm and 200 µm is 100 and 10, respectively, in BHVP samples, while results show almost 20 times more in coring samples (discrete and 255 256 composite). These findings show that sediment / water mixing during the vacuum sampling results in 257 dilution (a decrease in the number of particles), and perhaps a reduction in aggregation of particles during 258 the process.

259

Geotextile dewatering is one feasible option for recovering and processing these sediments prior to 260 containment based on studies related to developing remediation options for the BHSL (GHD, 2018). An 261 262 understanding of particle size becomes important as it influences dewatering. Figure 7 compares the range 263 of average (mean) size of the black sediment particles at different depths to that of the composite and 264 vacuum samples. The average particles size ranged between approximately 6 µm and 12 µm. One-way 265 ANOVA test (Tukey method) results indicate that there was no significant difference in particle size at various depths. Tukey's results are shown with the letters on the graph 7, and samples attributed with 266 267 identical letters are not significantly different.

In addition, the average particle size of composite samples was statistically similar to discrete samples at
various depths, and at different locations in the BHSL (9.3 µm, 10.0 µm, 10.4 µm, and 10.5 µm for Area A,
B, C, and D respectively). The vacuum sampling method resulted in similar particle sizes distribution

(slightly larger for Area A (9.9 µm) and finer for Area B (8.9 µm)) to other composite and discrete samples,
indicating that sediments throughout the BHSL are consistent in terms of particle size.

273

## **4. Conclusions**

275 This paper presents results of a field sampling program performed to assess the impact of various sampling 276 methods (i.e. discrete versus composite versus vacuum) on the physical characteristics of contaminated 277 sediments obtained from a large effluent stabilization lagoon. The overall objective of this paper was to use this "field lab" as the basis for assessing how different sampling techniques can be relied upon for 278 279 representative samples for remediation-based evaluations (i.e. sediment volume estimates and bench scale 280 dewatering studies). A method of comparing time-consuming discrete sampling techniques to more time-281 efficient composite sample techniques was presented as well as a method of comparing composite 282 sampling techniques to a vacuum sampling technique developed for this project.

283

284 The distribution of sediment thickness measured throughout the lagoon by gravity core sampling was shown to vary substantially throughout the 160 ha site. Extrusions of these gravity core samples to obtain 285 discrete and composite samples indicate that there was no significant difference between physical 286 287 characteristics (water / solids content, density, particle size) of composite samples taken from different 288 areas within the BHSL when compared to discrete samples. For this particular site, it appears that 289 composite sampling would provide reasonable physical parameters when compared to more time-290 consuming discrete sampling methods (i.e. should reflect the overall physical characteristics of the black 291 sediment throughout the basin for practical purposes).

292

The physical characteristics of vacuum-obtained samples were compared to gravity core samples (both discrete and composite). This sampling method resulted in more water entrained in the samples, lower solids content (~0.5–2.8%) and slightly finer particles in the samples. However, the mean particle size for the vacuum sampling was not statistically different than that of discrete and composite samples.

297

The sampling evaluation process investigated in this study provides evidence that more expeditious methods can be used to characterize sediments over large-scale, both in spatial and stratigraphic extent. The results may also provide guidance on how to choose sampling techniques for obtaining representative samples for aquatic sediment projects.

- 302
- 303

## 304 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of Nova Scotia Lands and Government of Nova Scotia. Partial funding was also provided by NSERC (RGPIN-2018-04049) awarded to Dr. Lake. The authors thank the reviewers of this paper for the thoughtful and constructive comments which improved the overall focus and readability of the paper.

#### 310 References

- Alimohammadi M, Tackley H, Lake CB et al. (2019): Effect of different sediment dewatering techniques on
   subsequent particle sizes in industrial derived effluent. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering.
   10.1139/cjce-2019-0269.
- ASTM (2014) D 2974-14: Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash, and Organic Matter of Peat and Other
   Organic Soils. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- ASTM (2019) D 2216-19: Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content
   of Soil and Rock by Mass. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- ASTM (2014) D 854 14: Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Soil Solids by Water Pycnometer.
- 319 ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- 320 Dunnington DW, White H, Spooner IS et al. (2017): A paleolimnological archive of metal sequestration and
- release in the Cumberland Basin Marshes, Atlantic Canada. J FACETS, doi:10.1139/facets-2017-0004
- 322 Esri (2019). How Topo to Raster Works. See https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/tool-reference/3d-323 analyst/how-topo-to-raster-works.htm
- GHD Limited (2018) Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment, Boat Harbour Remediation Planning and
   Design, Pictou County, Nova Scotia, Nova Scotia Land Inc., Project No. 11148275, Report No. 6.
- Glew JR, Smol JP and Last WM (eds) (2001) Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments. In
   Sediment Core Collection and Extrusion. Springer, Dordrecht, vol. 1: Basin Analysis, Coring, and
   Chronological Techniques, pp. 73–105, https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47669-X
- Hoffman E, Lyons J, Boxall J et al. (2017) Spatiotemporal assessment (quarter century) of pulp mill metal(loid) contaminated sediment to inform remediation decisions. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment **189(6)**: 257-274. doi: 10.1007/s10661-017-5952-0.
- Hoffman E, Alimonhammadi M, Lyons J et al. (2019) Characterization and spatial distribution of organic
   contaminated sediment derived from historical industrial effluents. Environmental Monitoring and
   Assessment 191(9): 590. doi: 10.1007/s10661-019-7763-y.
- Mackie AL (2010) Feasibility study of using cement kiln dust as a chemical conditioner in the treatment of
   acid mine effluent. M.Sc. thesis, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University,
   Halifax, Canada.

- Mao S (1997) High water content sludge dewatering via freeze-thaw. Master thesis, Department of Civil
   and Environmental Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.
- Minitab (2019) See https://support.minitab.com/en-us/minitab-express/1/help-and-how-to/modeling statistics/anova/how-to/one-way-anova/before-you-start/overview/
- Reis E, Lodolo A, Miertus S (2007) Survey of sediment remediation technologies. International center for
   science and high technology. See https://clu-in.org/download/contaminantfocus/sediments/Survey-of sediment-remediation-tech.pdf
- Sharma DK, King D, Oma P, Merchant C (2010) Micro-Flow Imaging: Flow microscopy applied to sub visible particulate analysis in protein formulations. AAPS Journal **12(3)**: 455-464. doi: 10.1208/s12248-
- **347 010-9205-1**
- Spooner I, Dunnington D (2016) Boat Harbour gravity core sediment survey. Nova Scotia Lands Inc., Nova
   Scotia, Canada,
- Tackley H (2019) The behavior and migratory fate of select heavy metals during the dewatering of an
   effluent derived sediment. Master thesis, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering Dalhousie
   University, Halifax, Canada. Available from http://hdl.handle.net/10222/76263.
- Williamson DF, Parker RA, Kendrick JS (1989) The box plot: a simple visual method to interpret data. Ann
   Intern Med 110(11): 916-921. doi: https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-110-11-916
- 355 Ya J (2017) Electro-dewatering Treatment of Pulp and Paper Mill Biosludge: The Effects of Conditioners.
- 356 Master thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry University of Toronto,357 Canada.
- 358
- 359

#### 360 **Figure Captions**

- Figure 1. Spatial coverage of sediment sampling locations in Boat Harbour ("BH 19" symbols identify multiple samples taken within a 1 m distance from each other at a specific location).
- 363 Figure 2. Histogram of black sediment thickness for 151 gravity cores.
- Figure 3. Isopach map of black sediment thickness based on 151 samples presented in this study.
- Figure 4. (a) Water and (b) Solid contents at various depths taken via discrete sampling of selected cores
- 366 (dashed grey line shows interface between black and grey sediments, distances expressed from this
- interface). The symbols represent the mean values, the error bars represent one standard deviation fromthe mean.
- 369 Figure 5. (a) Water and (b) solids content variation of black sediment in discrete and bulk samples.
- 370 Samples labelled with identical letters (i.e. a, b, or c,) were not significantly different from each other (p
- 371 <0.05 level). Samples labelled with different letters are significantly different from each other (p<0.05 level).</p>
- 372 Vertical lines denote areas from which samples were obtained (i.e. Area A, B, C or D).
- Figure 6. (a) Particle size, and (b) count distribution of discrete samples of (BH 19-01), composite core
- samples of (BH17-57 and BH17-58), and Vacuum samples of (BHVP 18-01 and BHVP 18-05)
- Figure 7. Variation in mean particle size versus depth and location. Samples labelled with identical letters
- 376 (i.e. a) were not significantly different from each other (p <0.05 level).