

Search



rabble blogs are the personal pages of some of Canada's most insightful progressive activists and commentators. All opinions belong to the writer; however, writers are expected to adhere to our guidelines. We welcome new bloggers -- contact us for details (/COntact).

## Why Europe's plan on sharing migrant quotas is not good enough

Please chip in to support more articles like this. <u>Support rabble.ca today for as little as \$1 per month!</u> (https://secure.rabble.ca/donate/)



(https://secure.rabble.ca/donate/)

Raluca Bejan (/category/bios/raluca-bejan) May 13, 2015

ANTI-RACISM (/ISSUES/ANTI-RACISM)
WORLD (/ISSUES/WORLD)



Search Q



On Wednesday, the European Commission is set to launch a new migration plan to directly deal with the Mediterranean migrant boat crisis. Most leftists were quick to label it as a radical step in the right direction while the usual neocon personas represented by Britain's David Cameron and Hungary's Viktor Orban were quick to resist it.

Led by Germany, the proposed plan aims to establish a quota system for refugee sharing across the 28 EU member states. The idea behind the plan is to equally portion some responsibility in terms of resettling asylum seekers. It is no secret that most refugees end up at the shores of Europe's most economically depressed nations, such as Greece and Italy or on the small Mediterranean island of Malta, mainly due to the geographical position of these states.

Yet these countries lack the necessarily means for assisting refugees' later resettlement and for dealing with the increased migrant smuggling rates. For instance, in the currently indebted Greece, the unemployment rate hits 25 per cent (with many excluded from such counts) while those lucky enough to be working are scrapping by with less than three euros per hour. It is mainly why many asylum seekers are making their ways towards the wealthier nations of Germany, Sweden and Austria and are later absorbed by these wealthier nations.

Germany and Sweden are currently taking half of the asylum seekers in the EU, yet this is not equally reciprocated by the wealthy nations of Britain or France. Last year alone, Germany processed close to 200,000 asylum seekers while the U.K. numbers were about six times lower. The proposed quota system will definitely balance out such discrepant numbers and create a fairer resettlement system.



Search

While the overall gap measurements will take into consideration the unequal economic position that many EU member states are occupying within the Union, it ignores the unequal political position in terms of inclusion or exclusion in the privileged free travel Schengen area, or the current migration flows within the EU. Schengen was established as a space with no internal border controls under an all matching visa system. Not all EU member states are part of the Schengen system. While Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus and Romania are kept out due to claims of unpreparedness in terms of "border controls," other countries like Ireland and the United Kingdom have negotiated Schengen opt-outs in order to tighten border controls against irregular entries. Schengen membership/no membership and the way such membership was established should nonetheless constitute an added criterion when looking at a border related manner from the framework of a non-boarded space.

Next, in terms of migration flows, EU cannot ignore the high east-to-west migration numbers coming from the 2007 A2 new member states and Europe's poorest nations, Bulgaria and Romania. For instance, since 2007, U.K. has been granting national insurance numbers on a yearly basis to an average of 22,000 Romanians and 14,000 Bulgarians -- and this is when migration curbs were restricting the flow of workers from these countries (transitional provisions have been lastly lifted in 2014).

Although these A2 states have a somewhat significant size, with a minimum wage of 184 euros a month for Bulgaria and 218 euros a month for Romania, it is difficult to imagine how they could accommodate refugee resettlement, particularly when their own people are migrating due to lack of economic opportunities.

This is not to say that the new member states should not participate in the common European efforts of finding a solution to the migrant crisis. Yet the criteria of which countries should bear more weight in finding the solution should consequentially reflect the inequalities that currently exist between the EU member states. Brussels should additionally include minimum wage considerations as well as assessments of internal migration flows within the Union.

**Raluca Bejan** is a doctoral student at University of Toronto where she studies immigration.

Image: Flickr/Vito Manzari



Search

Thank you for reading this story...

More people are reading rabble.ca than ever and unlike many news organizations, we have never put up a paywall – at rabble we've always believed in making our reporting and analysis free to all, while striving to make it sustainable as well. Media isn't free to produce. rabble's total budget is likely less than what big corporate media spend on photocopying (we kid you not!) and we do not have any major foundation, sponsor or angel investor. Our main supporters are people and organizations — like you. This is why we need your help. You are what keep us sustainable.

rabble.ca has staked its existence on you. We live or die on community support -- your support! We get hundreds of thousands of visitors and we believe in them. We believe in you. We believe people will put in what they can for the greater good. **We call that sustainable.** 

So what is the easy answer for us? Depend on a community of visitors who care passionately about media that amplifies the voices of people struggling for change and justice. It really is that simple. When the people who visit rabble care enough to contribute a bit then it works for everyone.

And so we're asking you if you could make a donation, right now, to help us carry forward on our mission. **Make a donation today** (/donate).

## **COMMENTS**

We welcome your comments! rabble.ca embraces a pro-human rights, pro-feminist, anti-racist, queer-positive, anti-imperialist and pro-labour stance, and encourages discussions which develop progressive thought. Our <u>full comment policy can be found here (/about/comment-policy)</u>. <u>Learn more about Disqus on rabble.ca and your privacy here. (/node/115848)</u> Please keep in mind:

## Do

- Tell the truth and avoid rumours.
- Add context and background.
- Report typos and logical fallacies.
- Be respectful.
- Respect copyright link to articles.
- Stay focused. Bring in-depth commentary to our discussion forum, **babble** (/babble).

- Use oppressive/offensive language.
- Libel or defame.
- Bully or troll.
- Post spam.

Don't

Engage trolls. Flag suspect activity instead.

4/5