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#### Abstract

Quantitative studies of benthic foraminifera and stable isotopes from 4 DSDP/ODP holes (552A, 608, 547A, 646B in the North Atlantic Ocean), and one inland borehole (Sale Briquetterie, Morocco) have been conducted to determine the deep-water circulation in the North Atlantic and its possible relationship to the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis during the Messinian. The Messinian sequences in these holes are well controlled by integrated magneto-, isotope- and bio-stratigraphy. Our data show a distinct relationship between the Atlantic deep-water circulation and the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis.

Benthic foraminifera from Salé core clearly show three major stages of water exchange, which are constrained by local tectonic movements during the Messinian: Stage 1 occurred between $7,12-6.2 \mathrm{Ma}$ is characterized by paleo-Mediterranean outflow water (PMOW) leaking, suggesting an anti-estuarine circulation system. This model suggests that the paleo-Mediterranean Basin probably was equivalent to an euxinic environment where the bottom water had become stagnant and poorly oxygenated below sill depth. Stage 2 ( $6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) is marked by a reversed current (i.e., Atlantic inflow into the Mediterranean). This reversed current reflects a strong negative water balance in the Mediterranean Basin caused by strong evaporation, and marks the onset of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. Stage $3(5.9-5.32 \mathrm{Ma})$ is characterized by a significant uplift of Morocco and salinity crisis in the Mediterranean Basin. In Stage 3, seven glacioeustatic sea-level lowerings occurred, once every 100,000 yrs, coinciding with the eccentricity orbital parameter. These sea-level fluctuations may have served as an "automatic choke" in controlling the Atlantic water infilling, and have caused the cyclic evaporite deposits in the Mediterranean Basin. At about 5.6 Ma , a major transgression is observed in Salé core, which is considered to have caused the unconformity that separates the Lower and Upper Evaporites in the Mediterranean Basin. Such sea-level changes are also observed in abyssal North Atlantic indicated by similar numbers of deep-water turbidite cycles at Site 646 and climate cycles recorded at Site 552. It is clear that the cause of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis has been associated with both Moroccan uplift and sea-level lowerings that coincide with eccentricity forcing.

In the deep ocean, three major paleoceanographic phases similar to those in Morocco are also determined in the intervals of 7.12-6.2 (Stage 1), 6.2-5.9 (Stage 2), and $5.9-5.32 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Stage 3), respectively. During Stage 1, the North Atlantic was characterized by relatively strong, altered North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) and Antarctic Bottona Water (AABW) fluxes, indicating relatively good ventilation in the open ocean. This is also supported by the presence of an unconformity at Site 547 that cut off the early Messinian section ( $7 \cdot 12-6.2 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). AABW may have pinched out at least as far as $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Atlantic Ocean at this time. During Stage 2, AABW was significantly reduced during the onset of Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. In Stage 3, deep-water circulation varied significantly. In particular, both NADW and AABW were greatly reduced at 5.75 and 5.55 Ma, respectively. These two major reductions are coeval with two major glaciations recorded in stable oxygen isotopes, indicating the cause coupled with climate cooling and Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. The salinity crisis could have caused open ocean to be more sluggish. However, brief returns of NADW and AABW have occurred during the "interglacial" at about 5.6 Ma . This return has been linked to the major unconformity (resulting from inundation) that separates the Lower and Upper Evaporites in the Mediterranean Basin.
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## CHAPTER 1

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. This study

### 1.1.1. Importance and problems of the Messinian deepwater circulation

The Messinian Stage is considered to be one of the most important intervals of the Neogene, where a number of paieoceanographic and paleoclimatic events, termed "Terminal Miocene events" (Van Couvering et al., 1976; Kennett, 1983) occurred. In particular, the formation of the Giant Salt in the Mediterranean Sea could have drained off more than six percent of dissolved salts and turned the world oceans less alkaline, resulting in deep waters that were more undersaturated in calcium carbonate, and thus caused more extensive carbonate dissolution in deep oceans (Ryan, 1973). The concurrent freshening of surface sea water resulting from the loss of the salts may have enhanced the formation of high latitude sea ice, leading to the great burst of glaciation in Antarctica, and further to the formation of global deep water circulation (Kennett, 1983).

Messinian deep water circulation has not only been closely related to tectonic movement, marine sedimentation patterns, and faunal migrations, but also to redistribution of salt and heat on a global scale. The latter is particularly crucial to the modulation of paleoclimate. Various scientists (e.g., Stommel, 1958a, b; Blanc and Duplessy, 1982; Hooper and Weaver, 1987; D.B. Scott, pers. comm., 1993; K.J. Hsü, pers. comm., 1992; Ryan, 1973) postulated that the shutting-off of the Paieo-Mediterranean Outflow Water (PMOW) into the Atlantic Ocean and salt extraction during the Messinian Salinity Crisis could have caused a series of paleoceanographic changes in the world oceans and changes of global climate. Some important recent studies suggest that glacial and interglacial cycles ma; have been linked with deep water circulation (Broecker and Denton, 1990; Broecker et al., 1990; Lehman and Keigwin, 1992a; Lehman and Keigwin, 1992b;

Lehman and Keigwin, 1992c; Veum et al., 1992), rather than with Milankovitch forcing alone (i.e., precession, eccentricity and obliquity). Broecker and Denton (1989) estimated that the production of the North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) releases an amount of heat to the North Atlantic north of $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ which is equivalent to $25 \%$ of incoming solar radiation.

However, after over twenty years of study, our knowledge of the Messinian deep water circulation in the North Atlantic and its relationship to the Messinian Salinity Crisis is still limited, leaving various assumptions poorly understood. This may he attributed to: 1) incomplete stratigraphic sections in oceanic basins and misinterpreted stratigraphic records; 2) low sampling resolution; 3) concentration on large size fractions ( $>150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for benthic foraminiferal siddies, which eliminates $\sim 80 \%$ of the small population of total benthic fauna (Kurihara and Kennett, 1992; Schröder et al., 1987); and 4) difficult stratigraphic correlation between open ocean and the Mediterranean due to the isolation of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean during the Messinian.

### 1.1.2. Objectives and approaches

The primary objectives of the study were: 1) to determine the response of the North Atlantic bottom waters, namely the North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW), the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW), and the Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) to the Messinian Salinity Crisis; and 2) to evaluate the relationships between the Messinian bottom water masses, paleoclimate, and tectonic evolution in the North Atlantic Ocean and inland Morocco. A secondary objective of the project was to procure accurate Messinian stratigraphic control based on an integration of biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy, and isotopic stratigraphy. To achieve the above objectives, four approaches were undertaken:

1. Continuous and complete (or nearly so) Messinian sections were selected from stratigraphically important locations which may have been influenced by deep water masses;
2. High sampling resolution. Low sampling resolution obviously does not satisfy the frequent connections and isolations between the Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea, resulting from highly fluctuating Messinian climate and tectonic activities during Messinian time;
3. Foraminiferal and stable isotope analyses. Deep water masses are distinguished in today's oceans by combinations of temperature, salinity, and geochemical tracers (such as $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$. However, reconstructions of water masses in past oceans rely primarily on deep-water organism biofacies and deep-water benthic foraminiêeral isotope analyses (i.e., geochemical tracers). Study of benthic foraminifera is essential because stable isotopic studies alone yield uncertain conclusions resulting from the difficulties of separating isotopic value from temperature fluctuation and ice volume variation. Certain benthic foraminiferal assemblages are considered bottom water indicators because they are sensitive to environmental parameters such as oxygen content, temperature and salinity, which are expected to have replaced each other when the bottom waters changed during pre-"desiccation", "desiccation", and post-"desiccation" of the Messinian.

The variation of oxygen and carbon stable isotope values recorded in benthic calcareous fossil tests results from changes in the isotopic composition of the seawater caused by environmental changes. The isotopes provide information not only for stratigraphic correlation, but also for paleoenvironmental gradients. AABW is distinguished from NADW by its strongly positive $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$, lower $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and high nutrients (Worthington, 1970). MOW is even higher (averaging $\sim 1.5 \%$ ) in $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ than NADW due to low productivity in the Mediterranean (Hodell et al, 1989). These isotope criteria are used to determine bottom water characteristics in my study. In addition, oxygen isotope values in planktonic foraminiferal tests indicate climatic changes. For example, a high $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ value implies a low temperature, and vice versa, in the surface dwellers planktonic foraminifera.
4. Messinian stratigraphic control. To determine the bottom water characteristics at selected time slices within the Messinian, a reliable integrated stratigraphic scheme was
investigated. Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy for all selected sites both from abyssal basin and inland, and isotope stratigraphy for Site 608 are investigated in this study, while nannofossil biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy and isotope stratigraphy for the remaining selected sites (or whichever possible) are reinterpreted based on the available data obtained during each DSDP/ODP leg, and the latest development of Messinian stratigraphy and chronology.

### 1.2. Brief geological background of the North Atlantic Ocean

In this introductory chapter, I will provide brief geological and physical oceanographic background information, which may be potentially necessary for interpretation of data in this study.

### 1.2.1. Tectonics

The opening of the North Atlantic began in the Middle Jurassic, some 165 million years ago, although the central Atlantic, Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico might have formed little earlier (Sclater and Tapscott, 1979). The Norwegian-Greenland seas started to open between $60-63 \mathrm{Ma}$. Most of the major topographic features of the Atlantic had formed and the Vema Channel between the Rio Grande Rise and South America subsided to the depth below 4000 m by 36 Ma , allowing AABW formed in the Weddell Sea to enter the North Atlantic Basin. An important change at this time was the change in motion between Greenland and North America. Greenland changed from a rotation about northern Baffin Bay to a rotation about a pole near the center of the Sahara. This movement resulted in the opening of the Baffin Bay and Labrador Sea. During the early to middle Miocene overflow water from the Norwegian-Greenland seas began to flow in significant quantities into the North Atlantic, creating basic circulation patterns existing in the modern Atlantic Ocean (Kennett, 1983).

By the late Miocene, the North Atlantic basin had attained its approximate present size, shape, and depth. The circum-equatorial seaways were virtually closed at about 5.5 Ma. Major tectonic subsidence of the Iceland-Faeroe ridge caused by sea-floor spreading between Greenland and Scandinavia (Vogt, 1972; Talwani, Udintsev et al., 1976; Nilsen and Kerr, 1978; van Hinte, 1979; Thiede, 1980) in the North Atlantic is considered to have occurred during the middle Miocene, although the subsidence might have been initiated during the Oligocene.

Several important fracture zones along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Fig. 1-1), such as the Romanche Fracture Zone at the equator north of the Brazil Basin, Vema Fracture Zone at $\sim 11^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone at $\sim 52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, may have served an important role in deep water circulation. Miller et al. (1987) suggested that AABW could have entered into the eastern North Atlantic from the western Atlantic across the Romanche Fracture Zone during the Miocene. In addition, McCartney et al. (1991) and McCartney (1992) determined that AABW diluted by NADW in the western North Atlantic Basin, enters the northeastern Norti: Atlantic through the Vema and Romanche Fracture zones at $\sim 11^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and near the equator, respectively. They observed that the abyssal circulation in the northeastern Atlantic basins such as Gambia Abyssal Plain, South Canary Basin, and North Canary Basin is strongly influenced by the Vema Fracture Zone. The Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone serves as a major conduit through which the Norwegian Sea overflow water moves into the Irminger Basin, and then into the Labrador Basin in the western North Atlantic Ocean (Broecker and Peng, 1982; McCave and Tucholke, 1986; Arthur et al., 1989).

The Mediterranean Sea, which played a particularly important role in late Miocene paleoceanography and paleoclimatology, has experienced great changes in its configuration since the Miocene. This inland Sea has been considered a relic sea transferred from the Tethys as a result of the northward movement of the Africa plate (Suess, 1901). On the contrary, Argand (1924) considered that the Tethys was completely terminated by the Alpine Orogeny, and the modern Mediterranean basins were formed during a major


Fig. 1-1. Ailantic ocean floor (from Kennett, 1983).
extensional phase in late Oligocene and early Miocene. However, the study of heat flow (Erickson and Von Herzen, 1978) curing DSDP Leg 42A suggested that the Mediterranean basins originated a quite different geological times. Hsü and Bernoulli (1978) suggested that only Levantine and Ionian seas in the Eastern Mediterranean may represent relics of Tethys, while the Tyrrhenian, Balearic and Aegean seas were formed during the late Cenozoic as evidenced by high heat flow. The Aegean Sea, lacking oceanic crust, is the youngest, mainly having subsided during the Quaternary. Hsü and Bernoulli (1978) reported that the Tyrrhenian Sea was formed as a back-arc basin largely prior to the Messinian salinity crisis. There are some crucial controversies for the origin of the Balearic Basin. Hsü et al. (1978) claimed a subsidence of the Balearic in early Miocene, whereas Stanley (1974) suggested a foundering in the Pliocene. This is a critical issue for the genesis of the Giant Salt in the Balearic basin as to whether or not the deep Mediterranean basins were desiccated as Hsü, Ryan and Cita (1973) proposed.

### 1.2.2. Seaways

The history of basin development in the Cenozoic North Atlantic is a history not only of the progressive tectonic enlargement, but also of the opening of seaways that apparently are important in exchanging surface and deep water between the oceanic basins (Berggren and Hollister, 1974; Miller and Tucholke, 1983; Miller et al., 1987). Deep water exchange between the oceanic basins via seaways may result in differential chemical fractionation of water masses, local and global climatic fluctuations, and changing biogeographic provincialism. During the Messinian Stage, several seaways are considered to be crucial in Atlantic and Mediterranean paleoceanography, such as the Panamanian Isthmus, the Gibraltar Strait, the Iceland-Faeroe Channel, the Denmark Strait, and the Vema Channel.

The Panamanian Isthmus served as a communication conduit of seawater between the Atlantic and Pacific before Pliocene time. This conduit shoaled since the late Miocene
causing a possible global decrease of carbon-13 (Bender and Keigwin, 1979), and its final closure took place at about 3.5 to 2.5 Ma (late Pliocene), triggering the initiation of the Northern Hemisphere glaciation (Keigwin, 1978; Marshall, 1988; Coates et al., 1992; Collins, 1993). Many studies (e.g., Gartner et al., 1987; Duque-Caro, 1990; Coates et al., 1992) show that the benthic assemblages pattern on the Atlo- i ic side became quite different from that on Pacific side when the shoaling of the Panamanian Strait took place.

The Iceland-Faeroe Sill (about $600-1000 \mathrm{~m}$ below present sea level), once above sea level, began to subside about 28 Ma , as a result of sea-floor spreading, linking the Arctic Ocean as a heat sink to the Atlantic, and thus the world oceans. The main body of the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge subsided below the sea level by the middle Miocene (Vogt, 1972; Talwani, Udintsev et al., 1976; Thiede, 1980; van Hinte, 1979), although there is a possibility that some of the deeper sills such as the Denmark Sill and Faeroe Bank Channel may have subsided earlier (Roberts et al., 1983; Write and McKenzie, 1989). This significant subsidence allowed fundamental deep-water mass exchanges between the Arctic and the other oceans (Schnitker, 1980).

The base of Denmark Strait is about 600 m below the present sea level. The history of its evolution is least well documented. It has been suggested to have begun a rifting since Anomaly 24, some 56 million years ago (White and McKenzie, 1989), but it did not subside below the sea level until 35 Ma (Miller et al., 1987). The Denmark Strait probably reached its present depth during the late Miocene.

The Strait of Gibraltar (about $300-400 \mathrm{~m}$ below present sea level) is the only passage between the Mediterranean Sea and the world ocean at the present time. Major water exchange between the inland sea and open ocean depends on this passage. However this strait is geologically young. It was opened only some 5 million years ago (at the beginning of the Pliccene). Prior to the Pliocene, two seaways, namely the Betic Passage in southern Spain, and Rifian Corridor in northwestern Morocco, existed for water exchange between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. These coupled seaways have
been called the "Twin-channel" (Benson et al., 1991). These twin-channels completely closed at the end of the Messinian ( $\sim 5.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) as a result of the uplift of the Betic-Rifian Orogeny, immediately followed by the opening of the Gibraltar Strait. The uplifting and restricting of the twin-channel probably, in part, caused the isolation of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean at this time.

### 1.3. Physical Oceanography of the North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea

### 1.3.1. Deep-water circulation

Deep water circulation is driven by temperature- and salinity-related density differences, which may result in global climate change through redistribution of oceanic heat and salt. There are three major source areas in the world ocean producing deep water masses, namely the Norwegian-Greenland seas in the north, the Weddell Sea and the Ross Sea in the Antarctic, which produce super-cooled, high salinity, high density waters that sink to the deep ocean. Today's North Atlantic Ocean is dominated by three major deep water masses, i.e., the northward-flowing Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW; Fig. 1-2), the southward-flowing North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW; Figs. 1-2; 1-3) with a branch entering the eastern North Atlantic Ocean is known as Northeast Atlantic Deep Water (Fig. $1-3$ ), and by the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW; Fig. 1-4; also see "Overflow" below for more details).

The Antarctic Bottom Water is one of two principal components of Southern Ocean circulation, the other being the Antarctic Circumpolar Water (CPW) (Pudsey et al.. 1988). This southern hemisphere thermohaline flow is cold and nutrient-enriched, formed mainly $(\sim 70 \%)$ in the Weddell Sea by the increase of salinity and density due to the sea ice formation, and associated with the melting and freezing at the bottom of ice shelves in the Antarctic (Gordon, 1975; Foldvik and Gammelsrod, 1988). Although AABW (density $\sigma_{t}:=$ 27.9) is not as dense as the deep waters in either the Norwegian-Greenland seas or the Mediterranean Sea, it does not have to pass over shallow ridges or through straits where


Fig. 1-3. Schematic map showing the main paths followed by the two types of North Atlantic Deep Water - North-East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW) and North-West Atlantic Bottom Water (NWABW) - in relation to the topography of the sea floor and the flow of Labrador Sea Water (LSW). Flow data are from Open University (1989).


Fig. 1-4. Distribution of (a) temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and (b) salinity (\%) at 1000 m depth in the North Atlantic, showing the spread of Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) (after Open University, 1989).

large quantities of less dense water become entrained in it, and thus it is the most dense water in deep open ocean (Open University Course Team, 1978). AABW flows northwards after leaving the Weddell Sea, and reaches about $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Atlantic Ocean through the Argentine Basin and Vema Channel north of the Brazil Basin (Gordon, 1975). As mentioned earlier, this water also enters into the eastern North Atlantic through Vema and Romanche Fracture zones in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. It becomes stronger during interglacial periods (Pudsey et al., 1988).

During Messinian time, AABW not only occurred in the western North Atlantic, but also in the eastern North Atlantic (Murray et al., 1986, Murray, 1986). This type of water was also suggested to be in the Labrador Sea during the Tortonian (Kaminski et al., 1989).

The history of circulation of $A A B W$ is equivocal. One idea is that $A A B W$ entered the North Atlantic when the Vema Channel subsided during the Pliocene (McCoy and Zimmerman, 1977). Other suggestions are that it flowed into the North Atlantic during the middle Miocene. Murray et al. (1986) reported that the earliest AABW was recorded at 10 Ma. AABW moved northward progressively, and reached about $57^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ at 5.0 Ma when MOW was shut off.

The North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) is a nutrient-enriched and cold deep water mass. It is initiated in the Norwegian-Greenland seas when the Mediterranean Outflow Water and the Gulf Stream enter there through the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge and sink to the bottom as they cool (Gordon, 1975). This denser water mass feeds back across the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge and Denmark Strait to the Atlantic Ocean, and combines with intermediate water south of the Ridge to form NADW (Turnau and Ledbetter, 1989), although Zahn et al. (1987) suggested a contrast for the late Quaternalj. Characteristics of NADW are apparent in the western boundary current as far as $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Eventually, a part of the water mass flows further southward, and is entrained within CPW entering the other major oceans.

In the North Atlantic Ocean, however, two types of NADW have been recognized. They are the North East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW) and North West Atlantic Bottom Water (NWABW). NEADW crosses the sill between Iceland and Scotland with temperature about $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and salinity about $35.03 \%$. Some of this water continues south in the eastern basin, whereas the remainder flows into the western basin. NWABW crosses the sill between Iceland and Greenland. It is considered to be a combination of NorwegianGreenland Deep water (NGDW), NEADW and Labrador Sea Water (LSW), with temperature $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and salinity $34.91 \%$ (Open University Course Team, 1978)(refer to "Overflow" for more details).

Most recently, some scientists (Broecker et al., 1990; Broecker, 1990; Lehman and Keigwin, 1992a; Lehman and Keigwin, 1992b; Lehman and Keigwin, 1992c) have proposed a twist to the model of the conveyor-belt/salt-oscillator hypothesis. This conveyor model contains two subsurface belts in the North Atlantic Ocean, which carry NADW southwards. The upper belt is fed mainly by open ocean convection in the Labrador Sea, and the lower one primarily by convection within the Norwegian Basin (Lehman and Keigwin, 1992b). The movement of these subsurface belts eventually are driven by warm salty surface water which includes the Gulf Stream and Mediterranean Outflow. Schmitz and McCartney (1993) hypothesized that most NADW may upwell in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current regime, eventually to form Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) and AABW, while small amounts continue into the Facific and Indian oceans. A similar conveyor belt was originally suggested by Gordon (1986) and Proecker (1987) (Fig. 1-5).

NADW production began prior to the late Miocene (Schnitker, 1980; Blanc and Duplessy, 1982; Miller and Fairbanks, 1985; Wooddruff and Savin, 1989). However, it has experienced great changes since then. For example, there has been an abrupt increase in its production rate immediately prior to the large scale melting of the Northern Hemisphere ice sheets and a reduction during the last glacial maximum recorded in the Southern Ocean


Fig. 1-5. The great ocean conveyor logo (after Broecker, 1987)
(Charles and Fairbanks, 1992). In addition, Wright et al. (1991) suggest a complete shutdown at 8.6 Ma , and highest productions at $9.8-9.3 \mathrm{Ma}, 7.8-7.0 \mathrm{Ma}$, and after 5.8 Ma during the late Miocene. During the middle Miocene, NADW dominated the entire North Atlantic Ocean and it is considered to have been initiated when the main IcelandFaeroe ridge subsided. NADW seems to have been much stronger in the Messinian than in the middle Miocene.

### 1.3.2. Surface Circulation in the North Ailantic Ocean

### 1.3.2.1. The Subtropical Gyre

The North Atlantic Subtropical gyre (Fig. 1-6) is the main clockwise surface oceanic circulation system in the area, which is related to the overlying regions of high pressure in the atmosphere, and to the anticyclonic wind fields where the westerlies blow eastward from North America at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and trade winds westward from Africa at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Because the center of the mid-latitudes anticyclone in the atmosphere tends to be located over the eastern rather than the western side of the ocean, whereas the Subtropical gyre is nearly centered in the western side, the gyre becomes asymmetrical in physical characteristics between the east and west. In the east of the gyre, relatively slow, wide, shallow and diffuse currents occur (known as the eastern boundary currents, such as the Canary Current), whereas fast, narrow, deep and intense currents are developed in west of the gyre (known as the western boundary currents, such as the Gulf Stream)(Open University Course Team, 1989). The western boundary current is typically only some 100 km in width but has a velocity greater than $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The eastern boundary current (the Canary Current) is more than 1000 km wide with a velocity of less than $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Open University Course Team, 1978). The central water mass in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre is situated in the Sargasso Sea. It serves as a $700-800 \mathrm{~m}$ deep warm water pool in which the upper layer of most of the North Atlantic Ocean is produced (Pickard and Emery,
1982). This water mass is characterized by high temperature (about $25-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), low nutrients, low biological productivity, and little terrigenous input.

### 1.3.2.2. The Gulf Stream

The Gulf Stream (Fig. 1-6) plays an extremely important role in the formation of Atlantic deep water circulation (e.g., Worthington, 1976; McCartney, 1992). This stream is originally derived from the North Equatorial Current joined by some of the South Equatorial Current across the Equator as a function of the deflection by the Brazilian coastline. Much of the North Equatorial Current enters the Caribbean Sea through the passage between the Lesser Antiles, and continues through the Yucatan Channel into the Gulf of Mexico. The ouilet from the Gulf of Mexico is through the Strait of Florida, most of the water taking a direct path from the Yucatan Channel into the Strait of Florida and not taking part in the circulation of the Gulf of Mexico itself (Open University Course Team, 1978). The Gulf Stream (s.s.) may be considered to extend from the Strait of Florida to the Grand Banks of Newfoundland $\left(\sim 55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$. It has two sections separated by a line east of the Cape Hatteras. In the first section between Florida and Cape Hatteras, the current runs along the Blake Plateau following the continental slope with a depth limited to about 800 m , and remains narrow and well-defined, and meanders are restricted to a maximum of 55 km amplitudes. In the second section beyond Cape Hatteras, after leaving the continental slope, the flow moves into deeper water ( $\sim 4000-5000 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and becomes more complex in chemophysical nature, with meanders commonly over 350 km in amplitude. The meanders between Cape Hatteras and the Grand Banks often break off as independent eddies with estimated lifetimes of more than 2 years after separating from the Gulf Stream (Open University Course Team, 1978).

By the time Gulf Stream reaches the Grand Banks of Newfoundland, the it has broadened considerably and become more diffuse. East of this area it is more correctly referred to as the North Atlantic Current (or North Atlantic Drift). The North Atlantic

Fig. 1-6. The Gulf Stream in relation to the surface circulation of the Atlantic; the Stream consists of water from the equatorial current system (much of which comes via the Caribbean/Gulf of Mexico) and water that has recirculated in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. The broken lines represent cool currents (after Open University, 1989).


Current divides into a number of branches. Most of the water tums south-eastwards to contribute to the Canary Current and to recirculate in the subtropical gyre (Open University Course Team, 1978). One branch turns westward into the Irminger and Labrador Basins across the Mid-Atlantic Ridge from the northeastern Atlantic region (Lehman and Keigwin, 1992; McCartney and Talley, 1984). Other branches continue north-eastwards into the Greenland-Norwegian Sea through the Iceland-Faeroe Channel, and then sink to the bottom as they are super-cooled.

### 1.3.3. Overflows

In the North Atlantic Ocean, six overflow waters have been described (Worthington and Wright, 1970; Worthington, 1976): 1) Denmark Overflow; 2) Norwegian-Greenland Overflow; 3) Mediterranean Sea Outflow through the Strait of Gibraltar; 4) Labrador Sea Water; and 5) Inflow of AABW north of the Brazil Basin (refer to 1.3.1. Deep water circulation for details). All these sources ccntribute to the North Atlantic Deep Water.

The Denmark Strait Overflow (about 50 km in width near the sill) is mainly composed of Arctic Intermediate Water spilling out primarily through Denmark Strait (Price and Baringer, in prep.). This overflow joins the Iceland-Faeroe Overflow in the western Irminger Basin, and is pushed southward along the continental slope of Greenland by Coriolis force.

The dense Norwegian Sea Deep Water spills out mainly through Iceland-Faeroe Channel at rate of 0.8 m per second (Price and Baringer, in prep.), termed the NorwegianGreenland Overflow. It is strongly steered by Coriolis force along the east side of the MidAtlantic Ridge (i.e. the Reykjanes Ridge). This water returns northward along the west side of the Reykjanes Ridge after passing the Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone, and then is joined by the Denmark Strait Overflow in the northeastern Irminger Basin. Another eastern branch of the Iceland-Faeroe Overflow moves around the Rockall Plateau, and later merges into the western one in the east side of the Reykjanes Ridge (Stow and Holbrook, 1984).

Labrador Sea Water is formed when water from the surface of the Labrador Sea sinks to the bottom of the western arm of the western North Atlantic Basin. This water was mistaken to be the major source of the North Atlantic Deep and Bottom Water (e.g., Defant, 1941; Worthington, 1954; Stommel, 1958c). Since the work of Cooper in 1955, the Norwegian Sea overflows have come to be recognized as the main northern source of deep bottom water for the Atlantic and, in fact, the world ocean (Cooper, 1955; Worthington, 1976). This water mixes with the Denmark Strait and Iceland-Faeroe overflows in the northwestern North Atlantic Basin to form NADW which enters the main weste in basin along the continental margin of the North America (Worthington, 1976; Price and Baringer, in prep.; Price, 1992; Broecker and Peng, 1982).

Mediterranean Outflow Water contributes to the NADW as a signi, cant source. During winters, cooling and evaporation, associated particularly with the dry Mistral wind, can lead to convection right down to the sea floor. The deep water thus formed has T-S characteristics of $12.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $38.4 \% 0$, corresponding to $\sigma_{t}=29.1$. (Open University Course Team, 1978). The water flowing into the Atlantic through the Strait of Gibraltar (at a rate of 1.3 m per second; Price et al., 1993) is called the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW). When it mixes with Atlantic water, it is reduced to about $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in temperature and about $36.5 \%$ in salinity, corresponding to $\sigma_{t}=27.8$ in density. It measures about 100 m in thickness near the Strait of Gibraltar (Price et al., 1993). At present, MOW flows out through the bottom of the Strait, while Atlantic water into the Mediterranean rides over the MOW, forming an anti-estuarine pattern. MOW contains two major branches, one that flows westward into the western basin across the Mid-Ocean Ridge, and another that goes into the Norwegian Sea contributing to Norwegian Sea overflows (Reid, 1979; Loubere, 1987). Thus this outflow serves as an important driving force for oceanographic and climatic changes, locally and globaliy, resulting from the redistribution of its heat and salt.

During the Messinian Stage, this MOW is envisioned to have stopped due to the closing of the seaways between Spain and Morocco and to eustatic sea-level lowering. As
a result, the open ocean became less saline and more undersaturated in calcium carbonate, and thus increased oceanic carbonate dissolution (Ryan, 1973; Thunell et al., 1987). Mediterranean Messinian deep waters outflow were interpreted by Hodell et al. (1989) and Benson et al. (1991) from foraminiferal and ostracod assemblages, and their stable isotope values in northwestern Morocco. MOW stopped entering the North Atlantic Ocean near the Tortonian/Messinian boundary, corresponding to the carbon-13 shift, which implies that cold water of the North Atlantic entered the Mediterranean Sea via a siphon between 6.4 and 5.7 Ma in the Rifian Corridor of north western Morocco (Benson et al., 1991). In addition, Benson et al. (1991) proposed a "twin-channel" model (see above for definition) to interpret the water balance between the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. They speculated that the Atlantic inflow entered the Mediterranean Sea through the Rifian Corridor in Morocco, while the Mediterranean Outflow came out via the Betic Passage in southern Spain driven by Coriolis rce.

### 1.4. Geological and paleoceanographic background of the Messinian Stage

### 1.4.1. Development of the Messinian Stage

The term "Messinian" was first introduced by Mayer-Eymar of Zurich in 1868 for the sulfurous gypsum, brackish, and fresh water deposits near Messina in Sicily (Italy) that straddled the Miocene/Pliocene boundary. This term was not commonly used in geological community until 1959 when the Congress of the Committee of Mediterranean Neogene Stratigraphy was held in Vienna, Austria. Selli (1960), almost ımmediately after the Congress, proposed a composite reference section as a neostratotype at Pasqueasia and Capodarso in central Sicily, and defined the Messinian as the only stage suitable for the Mediterranean upper Miocene between the Tortonian and the lower Pliocene. This section contains the Tripoli diatomites, evaporites, and brackish deposits (the so-called Lago Mare deposits), overlain by the lowermost Pliocene normal marine marls (known as the Trubi Marl) which resulted from the permanent inundation by Atlantic water into the

Mediterranean Sea (also called "Zanclean Deluge" by Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a) following the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. The incomplete stratigraphic records of the neostratotype led Colalongo et al. (1979) to establish the Falconara section in Sicily as the Messinian Stage boundary stratotype.

The presently accepted Messinian/Pliocene boundary stratotype was proposed by Cita (1975). She defined the boundary at the base of the Trubi Marl at Capo Rossello, Realmonte, Province of Agrigento, Sicily. This was once considered to be the best land section then available in the Mediterranean region. Cita $(1975 ; 1976)$ suggested that Miocene/Pliocene boundary is associated with the base of the Sphaeroidinellopsis Acme Zone within the upper part of paleomagnetic polarity Chron 5, estimated to have an age of 5.3-5.4 Ma based on the cross-correlation of Capo Rossello section and DSDP sites 125 and 132. Others (Berggren et al., 1976; Saito et al., 1975) suggest the boundary at the last occurrence (LO) of Globoquadrina dehiscens and first occurrence (FO) of Globorotalia tumida, with an age estimate of 5.3 Ma . Most recently, Benson et al. (in press.) found that this section still fails to meet the operational requirements of Giobal Section Boundary Stratigraphy and Point (GSSP) because of some important limitations of the section. For example, diachronous biostratigraphic markers, missing paleomagnetic chrons, and no marine record that precede the boundary datum, an unconformity between the Trubi Marl and Arenazzolo Formation-the last non-marine Lago Mare deposits, and no significant stable isotope changes correlatable outside of the Mediterranean. These critical problems have led Benson et al. to suggest 'he composite Bou Regreg Section of northern Morocco near Rabat as a global Miocene/Pliocene boundary stratotype (Benson et al., in press).

The Bou Regreg reference section begins in the upper Tortonian (Chron 7, ca. 7 Ma), and is continuous throughout the Messinian, well into the upper Zanclean (Cochiti Subchron; ca. 3.7 Ma ), with abundant and well preserved bathyal and outer neritic microfauna characterized by well-known biostratigraphic markers (Benson et al., in press). The Miocene/Pliocene (M/P) boundary is placed in the paleomagnetic polarity
reversal between the Chron 5N1 and Gilbert Chron, marked by the beginning of the Globorotalia margaritae Acme Zone, estimated to have an age of 5.35 Ma . The age estimate for the stratotype is close to the new geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS; 5.16 Ma ; Cande and Kent, 1992; $=4.86 \mathrm{Ma}$ of Berggren et al., 1985), and close to the astronomical time scale (5.32 Ma; Hilgen and Langereis, 1993) and to the GPTS of Berggren et al. (5.3 Ma; 1995).

The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is suggested to be marked by the first occurrence (FO) of Globorotalia conomiozea (D'Onofrio, 1975), and this has been widely accepted by most of the recent workers (Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a; Krijgsman et al., 1994; Colalongo et al., 1979; Hilgen and Langereis, 1988; 1993; Hodell et al., 1994, and Berggren et al., 1995). However, the FO of the species is considered not to be used as a zonal marker to define the lower limit of the Messinian in the Southwest Pacific and New Zealand (Roberts et al., 1994) due to its marked diachrony ( $\mathrm{FO}=6.05 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). This observation led Roberts et al. (1994) to conclude that the FO of G. conomiozea is not suitable for global correlation between ocean basins.

Several age estimates marking important Messinian boundaries and events were recently accepted by the International Conference on the Biotic and Climatic Effects of the Messinian Event on the Circum-Mediterranean in Benghazi, Libya, January, 1995. These will be adopted in this study: 1) the beginning of the Messinian Stage, 7.12 Ma (ref. Berggren et al., 1995); 2) the end of the Messinian Stage, 5.32 Ma (ref. Berggren et al., 1995); and 3) the first postulated cut-off of Atlantic Inflow into the Mediterranean basin, 5.8 Ma (Gautier et al., 1994; or near paleomagnetic Subchronozone C3An.1n, 5.5894 Ma (Berggren et al., 1995). However Benson et al. (1995) calibrated this level to 5.94 Ma based on the study of the orbital precession from Salé Briquetterie section, Morocco.

DSDP/ODP (Deep Sea Drilling Project and Oceanic Drilling Program) drilling in the North Atlantic reveals that the Messinian sections are not well preserved in abyssal and bathyal depths, and most of them may contain incomplete Messinian section as a result of
bottom water erosion and strong carbonate dissolution. Only very few DSDP/ODP sites display a continuous Messinian section, such as DSDP Site 552A in the Atlantic (Keigwin, 1987), 588 in the Pacific (Hodell et al., 1986), and ODP Site 758 (Farrell et al., 1995). These sites, therefore, have become the most important ones to reveal the mystery of the Messinian paleoceanographic history. In this thesis I provide an integrated magneto-, bioand isotope stratigraphy with good stratigraphic resolution in defining the Tortonian/Messinian and Pliocene/Messinian boundaries from some selected DSDP/ODP Holes and a land borehole in Morocco. I expect that my stratigraphic study will furnish a strong basis for re-construction of paleoceanographic history and for further evaluation of the relationship between the global deep water circulation and Mediterranean Salinity Crisis during the Messinian Stage.

### 1.4.2 The Mediterranean Salinity Crisis

Messinian Salinity Crisis has been considered to be a spectacular geological event, which resulted in the deposition of a large volume of evaporites (about $2000 \mathrm{~m}-3000 \mathrm{~m}$ thick; also known as "Giant Salt") in the Mediterranean Sea. Such Messinian evaporites are not only encountered in the abyssal Mediterranean basins, but also in the circumMediterranean lands and islands in the Eastem Mediterranean Sea, such as Italy, Crete, Sicily, Cyprus and so on. This Giant Salt has led Hsü and his DSDP (Legs 13 and 42A) colleagues, Ryan and Cita (Hsü et al., 1973a, Hsü et al., 1973b, Hsü, 1973, Hsü, 1978, Hsü, 1987, Hsü, 1988) to formulate a spectacular hypothesis - the desiccation of the Mediterranean Sea (also known as "Deep-basin/shallow-water model") to explain the formation of the Giant Salt. This model has been highly questioned, because it requires at least 13 interludes of Atlantic water inundating the desiccated Mediterranean Sea. As a result, these 13 interludes should generate the same number of evaporitic depositional cycles, but these have not been unearthed either in the deep sea or on the land. Four other models have also been proposed to explain the origin of the evaporites, namely: 1)
shallow-basin/shallow-water proposed (Bourcart, 1962; Neseroff, 1973a, b; Montadert et al., 1978; Drooger, 1975; and Fabricus et al., 1978); 2) deep-water/deep basin (Bandy, 1973; Van Couvering et al., 1976; and Ruggeri and Sprovieri, 1976; and Rouchy, 1982a, b); 3) Barred basin (Selli, 1973); and 4) endorheic basins (Gvirtzmann and Buchbinder; 1978; Sonnenfeld, 1975; Dietz and Woodhouse, 1988; and Drooger, 1975). Benson and Raki-El Bied (1991a) describe the diagnostic characteristics, evidence, and mechanisms of these models. Although the origin of the "Giant Salt" is controversial, it is evident that the Messinian Salinity Crisis plays an important role in Late Miocene paleoceanography.

### 1.4.3. Global Carbon-13 Shift

The carbon-13 shift is another important Messinian paleoceanographic event which occurred in deep marine regimes, and shows a permanently decreased oceanic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ value at $\sim 6.3 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Berggren et al. 1985 time scale), slightly above the Messinian/Tortonian boundary (Loutit and Kennett, 1979; Loutit and Keigwin, 1982; Keigwin, 1979; Keigwin, 1987; Keigwin and Shackleton, 1980; Haq et al., 1980; Hodell et al., 1986; Hodell and Kennett, 1986; Hodell et al., 1989). The major cause of the shift has not been well established, however, it is widely accepted that the shift may be linked to sea-level lowering that may have increased the influx of organic matter from the continents into the open oceans (Loutit and Keigwin, 1982; Benson et al., 1991). Bender and Keigwin (1979) suggested that the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift may indicate a different abyssal circulation pattern before the shift resulting from the shoaling of the Isthmus of Panama, or a global decrease in upwelling rate. Other factors, such as increase of bottom circulation rates, and increase in biogenic silica removal in the Southern Ocean high productivity zone have been also considered to have affected the oceanic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ value (Loutit and Keigwin, 1982). Stratigraphically, this shift can be used as a constraint of the lower Messinian for global correlation because no diachroneity has been recognized between basins.

### 1.4.4. Global cooling

Microfossils (Kennett, 1967a; Ingle, 1967; Kennett and Vella, 1975; Barron and Keller, 1983) and evidence for expansion of Antarctic glaciation (Mercer, 1978; Mercer and Sutter, 1982; Shackleton and Kennett, 1975; Kennett, 1977) indicate global cooling in the Late Miocene. The global cooling could have resulted in a major relative sea-level lowering by about 70m (Vail et al., 1977; Hodell and Kennett, 1986; Cita and Ryan, 1978). In the deep North Atlantic and Pacific oceans two possible glacial events occurred at 5.2 Ma and 4.8 Ma , respectively (Keigwin, 1987). The former glacial ( 5.2 Ma ) also has been determined in northeastern Morocco by Hodell et al. $(1989,1994)$. The study of a raised coral atoll of Niue in the South Pacific shows eight episodes of the sea-level fluctuations in the intra-Messinian suggested by eight unconformities (Aharon et al., 1993); in Mallorca of the Western Mediterranean Sea, Pomar and Ward (1994) determined three six-order depositional cycles; and most recently, ODP Leg 151 shipboard scientists (1994) discovered that the first significant quantities of dropstones appeared in the Norwegian Sea near the late Miocene/Pliocene boundary, probably indicating glacial expansion of the Arctic. Obviously all this evidence may have been linked to global climate deterioration.

## CHAPTER 2

## STUDY METHODS AND MATERIAL

### 2.1. Methods

### 2.1.1. Foraminiferal amalysis

All samples were washed through a $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh using tap water, and dried in an oven at temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benthic foraminifera greater than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from all sites were examined. Samples for benthic foraminiferal analysis were split with mini-microfossil splitter, and an aliquot was procured to obtain the desired number of specimens ( $\sim 300$ ) for counting and identification. Samples were not soaked in hydrogen peroxide solution to avoid artificial destruction of shells during lab preparation. Planktonic foraminifera greater than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from all sites were studied for biostratigraphy.

### 2.1.2. Oxygen and carbon stable isotope analysis

72 samples both for benthic and planktonic foraminifera from Site 608 with a resolution of 5-7 samples in each core were picked for oxygen and carbon stable isotope analysis. Stable isotopic analyses were conducted at the University of Florida, USA, by Dr. David A. Hodell. Specimens of the benthic foraminifera Cibicidoides spp. and Planulina wuellerstorfi greater than $212 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (some of them are $>150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ when specimens are insufficient) were measured for stable isotopes on the mass spectrometer after 3 seconds of cleaning in an ultrasound bath. The planktonic foraminifera Globigerina bulloides (size range $212-250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for the intervals from samples $12-2$ to $17-2$ and from $19-5$ to $24-6$ were also measured. However, for the interval from Sample 17-1 to 20-2, we substituted Orbulina (size range $212-250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) because of insufficient specimens of $G$. bulloides resulting from intense carbonate dissolution. It is considered that Orbulina probably has similar isotope values to $G$. bulloides because both species inhabit surface waters.

Necessary overlaps have been taken for isotope value correlation between the two taxa. All these samples were washed in a ultrasound bath for 1 second because of their delicate tests, prior to analysis in the mass spectrometer.

Samples were reacted in a common acid bath of ortho-phosphoric acid at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a VG Isogas autocarbonate preparation system. Isotopic ratios of purified $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas were measured on-line by a triple-collector VG Isogas Precision Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (PRISM). All results are reported in standard delta notation and corrected to PDB (Hodell et al., 1994). Analytical precision, based on routine analysis of an internal carbonate standard (Carrara Marble), was $\pm 0.07$ for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\pm 0.03$ for $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (see Hodell et al., 1994).

The stable isotopic data for ODP Hole 646B, DSDP Hole 552A, and Salé Borehole of Morocco are from Aksu and Hillaire-Marcel (1989), Keigwin (1987) and Hodell et al. (1994), respectively.

### 2.1.3. Strontium stable isotope analysis

Sr isotope analyses for Hole 646B were performed on more than 200 specimens of mixed calcareous benthic and planktonic foraminifera picked from the greater than $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ size fraction and dissolved in 1.5 N NHL. Standard ion exchange techniques (fide Miller et al., 1991) were used to separate strontium for analyses on a VG Sector mass spectrometer at Rutgers University, NJ, USA. Internal precision (inter-run variability) is approximately $\pm 0.000008$ (mean $2 \sigma$ error; Miller et al., 1991); external precision (inter-run variability) is approximately $\pm 0.000030$ or better (see Miller et al., 1991). At Rutgers, NBS 987 is routinely measured as 0.710252 ( $2 \sigma$ standard deviation $0.000026 ; \mathrm{n}=35$; Miller et al., 1991) normalized to ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr} /{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ of 0.1194 . Farrell et al. (1995) estimated that the rate of increase of $87 \mathrm{Sr} /{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ during the Messinian (from 4.8 Ma to 7.0 Ma ) was $\sim 0.00005 / \mathrm{m}$.y., yielding a stratigraphic resolution of 0.44 Ma . The rate of increase of ${ }^{87 \mathrm{Sr}}{ }^{366} \mathrm{Sr}$ was low for the interval from 2.5 to 4.8 Ma , yielding a stratigraphic resolution of $1.69 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. Sr-
isotope age estimates were determined using these equations calculated from the regression of Farrell et al. (1995):

Age=59941.95-(Sr 87/86)x84530.85 ( $\mathrm{Sr}=0.709080-0.709056$ )
for $2.5-4.8 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Miller, pers. comm. based on Farrell et al. data, 1994)

Age $=15640.06$ - $(\mathrm{Sr} 87 / 86) \times 22050.72(\mathrm{Sr}=0.709056-0.708955)$
for 4.8-7.3 Ma (Miller, pers. comm. based on Farrell et al. data, 1994)

### 2.1.4. Paleomagnetics

Paleomagnetic data are available for all sites selected for this study, except for DSDP Hole 547A. These published data were used to solve the chronostratigraphic problems with necessary re-interpretation. The Messinian Stage includes Chronozone C3r (=the lower reversal of Gilbert Chron), Chronozone C3An (=Chron 5), Chronozones $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Bn}$ and the upper part of C 3 Br (=Chron 6). Age estimates of the chronozones are after Berggren et al. (1995).

### 2.1.5. Time series analysis

In Salé Borehole of Morocco, time series for the interval from the top of Chronozone C3Bn to the top of C3An ( $6.935-5.894 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) were analyzed by Hodell et al. (1994) because the age of the interval is well controlled magnetically. The time series for Hole 552A was studied by Beaufort and Aubry (1990) based on the old time scale of Berggren et al. (1985). In this study, standard time series analysis techniques were used to estimate the power spectral density as a function of frequency for oxygen-18 and carbon-13 stable isotopic signals (interval from the lowermost C3r to the top of the Messinian; 5.894$5.32 \mathrm{Ma})$ for DSDP Hole 552 A , and planktonic/benthic ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ) ratio, oxygen-18 and
carbonate signals (interval from the middle of C3Ar to the top of the Messinian; $\sim 6.7$ to 5.32 Ma ) for Salé Borehole.

In the present study, depth is interpolated uniformly to make the number of data points equal to power of 2 for fast Fourier transformation. All signals from Hole 552A and Salé core were linearly detrended. The power spectrum for all signals reveals relatively significant peaks at $100-\mathrm{kyr}$ in the Salé core, and 123 -kyr at DSDP Hole 552A, which correspond to the Milankovitch cycles of orbitai eccentricity ( $100-\mathrm{kyr}$ and $123-\mathrm{kyr}$, respectively). My results of Hole 552A are similar to those given by Beaufort and Aubry (1990).

### 2.2. Material

Four DSDP/ODP (Deep Sea Drilling Project/Oceanic Drilling Programs) holes, namely 552A, 547A, 608 and 646B (Fig. 2-1) have been carefully selected for this study from all Atlantic DSDP/ODP sites drilled before 1990. These sites are considered either to have good Messinian sedimentary records or good locations where deep water masses maj' have been recorded. Another borehole drilled in the Bou Regreg Valley of northwestern Morocco, where some excursions of Atlantic and Mediterranean water exchange are expected to have been taken place during Messinian time, was provided by Dr. R. H. Benson at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C..

### 2.2.1. DSDP Hole 552A: Core location and description

DSDP Hole 552A (Leg 81) was drilled on the Hatton Drift at the base of Hatton Bank, west margin of the Rockall Plateau $\left(56^{\circ} 02.56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 13.88^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right.$; water depth 2301 m), south of Iceland-Faeroe Ridge (Fig. 2-1). It was hydraulically piston cored to 183.5 m , yielding almost $100 \%$ recovery. One of the major objectives to drill this site was to understand the evolution of Quatemary/Tertiary paleoclimate and deep water circulation based on a consideration of the outflow of the Norwegian Sea Water plunging into the

North Atlantic Ocean across the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge. The Hatton Drift is envisioned to have been deposited under the influence of bottom currents flowing northeastward against the slope of the Hatton Bank. Deep waters at this site lie above the southward-flowing North Atlantic Deep Water that fills the deeper part of the Iceland Basin and mantles the Reykjanes Ridge south of Iceland (Roberts, Schnitker et al., 1984).

Hole 552A contains a continuous late Miocene-Pliocene sedimentary record and no turbidites or hiatuses have been determined in this interval although possible slumping may have occurred in the Pliocene from core 17 to 24 (Stow and Holbrook, 1984). However, two hiatuses are present within the miadle Miocene and between the middle Miocene and the Oligocene. It was lithologically divided into four sediment units and the interval of the late Miocene-Pliccene is attributed to Subunit Ila ranging from 44 to 168.5 m in subbottom depth (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1984a). This subunit is composed of relatively uniform foraminiferal-nannofossil ooze and nannofossil ooze, with minor (about 10\%) component of biogenic silica. The dominant color is bluish white, and carbonate content remains at more than $90 \%$ lhroughout the subunit. Laminations recognized by color are abundant in cores 11 to 29, but rare or absent in the base of the subunit (cores 30-36).

### 2.2.2. Moroccan Borehole: Core location and description

The Salé Moroccan borehole was provided by Dr. R.H. Benson of the Smithsonian Institute of National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C., USA for benthic and planktonic foraminiferal analyses of this study. It was drilled from a large brick quarry (the Salé Briquetterie) on the road to the airport just east of Rabat, northeastern Morocco. The objective for this hole was to reconstruct the history of water exchange between the Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic Ocean for the Messinian Salinity Crisis. Because this hole is situated in the one of two gateways (called the Rifian Corridor; another one in southern Spain that is called Betic Passage) between the Mediterranean and Atlantic during the Messinian ; it could have recorded important information on water circulation at this time


Fig. 2-2. Detailed location of the Salé Briqueterie Borehole in northwestern Morocco.
(Hodell et al., 1989; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a, b). The drilling was accomplished in two phases in April 1987 and September 1988 under contract to SOLMAROC, a local drilling company. The section was cored by continuous "mazier" drilling (cookie cutter bit) using a one metre barrel with a diameter of 74 mm . During the first phase of drilling, 72 cores were recovered to a maximum depth of $\sim 75 \mathrm{~m}$ below the quarry floor. These cores are designated as S -series. During the second phase of drilling the first 60 m were drilled without recovery and 104 cores were recovered below this level reaching a maximum depth of approximately 170 m . These cores are designated as B-series. The lowermost part of the B-series was drilled by destructive rotary drilling and only spot cores were obtained. The overlap between the S - and B -series drilling is about 7 m (between 66 and 73 m below the base of the quarry floor. The depth offset between the two holes is $\sim 5 \mathrm{~m}$ and this amount was added to all the core depths measured in the field for the B-series samples (Hodell et al., 1994).

Deposits ranging from the upper Tortonian to the Zanclean consist predominately of blue marine marls that outcrop in high bluffs along the Bou Regreg River and its tributaries in brick clay quarries on the southern flank of the Gharb Basin, with abundant and well preserved outer shelf and upper bathyal microfossil faunas. This sequence is continuously deposited and no sedimentation gaps have been determined, because it was deposited on the Atlantic side of Morocco, rather than on the Mediterranean side. In addition the sedimentation rate in the Salé borehole is high. For example, the rate during the lower Gilbert exceeded $13 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{kyr}$, which results in a sampling frequency of $\sim 4,000$ years. During Chron C3An and C3Ar, the sedimentation rate averaged about $5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{kyr}$, yielding a sample spacing of about 10,000 years (Hodell et al., 1994).

Stable isotopes were measured with benthic foraminiferal species, Planulina ariminensis from the S and B series samples by Hodell et al. (1994). Planktonic foraminifera are inadequate for isotope measurements because of poor preservation.

### 2.2.3. DSDP Site 608: Core location and description

Hole 608 was drilled during DSDP Leg 94, 1983 on the southern flank of the King's Trough tectonic complex near the axis of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge ( $42^{\circ} 50.205^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $23^{\circ} 05.252^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$; water depth 3541.8 m ). The major purposes to drill the hole were to determine the geological history of the intraplate King's Trough tectonic complex and to test for east-west differences in polar-front movement and sea-surface temperature changes. The hole was continuously cored with the variable-length hydraulic piston core (VLHPC) for cores 1-16 (core recovery over 95\%), and with extended core barrel (XCB) that extended into basement at 530.9 m sub-bottom (cores 17-57, with core recovery averaged over $86 \%$ for the late Miocene interval). The interval of the late Miocene and early Pliocene is recognized within the lithologic Unit II, equivalent to the acoustic Unit $C$ that is relatively transparent. The lithologic Unit II contains high carbonate content (90-95\%), and is virtually featureless below 140 m (subbottom). It has been subdivided into 2 subunits: Subunit IIa ( $76-220 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is white to very light gray foraminiferal-nannofossil ooze to 122 m and nannofossil ooze below, and the Unit Ilb, beginning at 220 m and ending at 257 m , consists of white nannofossil chalk. A graded foraminiferal sand layer (about 30 cm ) occurs at ca. 115 m (Pliocene), which has been interpreted as a possible turbidite or as a result of deep water activity (Ruddiman et al., 1987a, b). No hiatuses have been reported in this interval selected in this study.

### 2.2.4. DSDP Hole 547A: Core location and description

DSDP Hole 547A (Leg 79) was drilled in the Moroccan Basin, the northwestern part of Mazagan Flateau off the Moroccan coast $\left(33^{\circ} 46.84^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 09^{\circ} 20.98^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right.$; water depth 3948 m ) and southwest of the Strait of Gibraltar. It is expected that this region was influenced by paleo-Mediterranean Outflow Water (PMOW). Hole 547A was hydraulically piston cored to 674.5 m , and achieved core recovery of $49.4 \%$ in average. The late Miocene-early Pliocene section has been lithologically recognized in lower Unit I and

## DSDP Hole 608



Fig. 2-3. Seismic stratigraphy and comparison with lithologic units at DSDP Site 608 (After Ruddiman et al., 1987a).
upper Unit II. These sediment units are equivalent to the seismic sequences Ma 1.3 and Ma 1.2, respectively (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1984b). The sediments in this section are well oxidized foraminiferal-nannofossil ooze, generally slightly clayey, with about $25 \%$ terrigenous detritus. These sediments were interpreted to be deposited at an accumulation rate of $\sim 22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{y}$. above the foraminiferal lysocline where the dissolution of foraminiferal skeletons increases rapidly. Two hiatuses have been suggested by Hinz et al. (1984): one situated right above 100 m (subbottom depth) may cut much of the Pliocene, and another one is situated between the middle and late Miocene. The interval from $50-210 \mathrm{~m}$ is characterized by calcite overgrowth and cementation with subordinate clay overgrowth, but fossils were not reported to be recrystallized (Moore, 1984). Cementation must be related to the depth of burial, not the range of sediment (Moore, 1984). No detailed biostratigraphy of planktonic foraminifera was conducted during the Leg 79 cruise for the late Miocene.

### 2.2.5. ODP Hole 646B: Core location and description

Hole 646B was drilled during ODP Leg 105, 1985 on the northern flank of the Erik Ridge in the southeastern part of the Labrador Sea and southwest of Greenland ( $58^{\circ} 12.559^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 48^{\circ} 22.147^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$; water depth 3461.3 m ). One of the major objectives for this hole was to determine the history of paleocirculation in the North Atlantic and the Arctic oceans because it is located in the region where maximum influence from NorwegianGreenland Sea Overflow Water is expected (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1986). Because of the difficulty of pulling core barrel from the bottom, the interval below the lowermost Pleistocene (HPC core 14) was drilled with XCB (extended core barrel) coring, which yields poorer core recovery (only 48\%). In this hole, the late Miocene reportedly ranges from $\sim 500 \mathrm{~m}$ (subbottom depth) to the base of the hole, which is bracketed by the seismic reflector R3/4 and R2, while the early Pliocene occurred approximately between R2 and R1. Both the late Miocene and early Pliocene have been attributed to the seismic Unit 3, equivalent to lithologic Unit II. Unit II is lithologically dominated by terrigenous clay-rich


Hinz et al., 1984).
sediments. Major lithofacies are silty clay ( $69 \%$ ), clayey silt ( $11.5 \%$ ), clay ( $11 \%$ ), and nannofossil silty clay and clayey silt (6\%). Nannofossils in the unit are the main biogenic component, which reaches about $50 \%$ of total fauna and flora in abundance. The terrigenous detritus deposits are suggested to have been carried for some distance along the continental margin of Greenland in a bottom nepheloid layer, and periodic contributions to the nepheloid layer may have been from river discharge or from low-concentration turbidity currents or from plumes of turbid water originating on the shelf (Srivastava et al., 1986). In addition, this unit shows very little variation in either texture or sedimentary structure. Except for a few thin paralleled-laminated layers, all sediment is bioturbated (Srivastava et al., 1986).

Fig. 2-5. Correlation between physical property measurement (density, water content, porosity, velocity), major reflectors and seismic units, with lithology, Hole 646B (After Srivastava et al., 1989a).


## CHAPTER 3

## RESULTS OF MICROPALEONTOLOGY AND STABLE ISOTOPES

### 3.1. Salé borehole, Morocco

### 3.1.1. Distribution of Moroccan benthic foraminifera

In this study, 124 samples for the interval from 3 m to 173 m were quantitatively analyzed for benthic foraminifera greater than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, providing an average sampling spacing of 1.37 m . Over 100 species have been identified (see Appendix A) in these samples, and these are the basis for paleobathymetric and paleoceanographic reconstruction in the Rifian Corridor of Morocco. As shown in Fig. 3-1A, foraminiferal abundance increases rapidly above Chron 5 (equivalent to the upper Messinian), but highly variable. The abundance decreases dramatically to about 5,000 per cc on average below the Chron 5/Gilbert boundary, and remains constantly low during Chrons 5 and 6. During the earliest .. Iessinian (lower Chron 6), i. reaches the minimum. In the interval of the upper Tortonian the benthic foraminiferal abundance increase rapidly again. Two major peaks occur between 40 ani 50 m during the late Messinian, and 160-170 m during the upper Tortonian (Fig. 3-1A).

Benthic foraminiferal percentages of total fauna (benthic plus planktonic) increases rapidly from the bottom to the top, with 7 major stepwise fluctuations throughout the core (Fig. 3-1B). Similar to the abundance distribution, a distinct shift of the benthic/planktonic ratio occurs in Chron 5. During the Chron Gilbert, the ratios range from $30 \%$ to $55 \%$, while less than $25 \%$ during lower Chron 5 through Chron 7, except for 2 spikes in this interval, indicating that the planktonic foraminifera dominate the lower Messinian and uppermost Tortonian (Fig. 3-1B). This percentage is attributed to the change of paleobathymetry. Smaller benthic values indicate deeper water depth, and greater values indicate shallower water depth. Note that the spike in Chron 6 is associated with carbonate

Fig. 3-1. Distribution of benthic foraminiferal abundance (A) and benthic foraminiferal percentage in the total foraminiferal fauna (B) in Salé core, northwestern Morucco.

dissolution because less resistant planktonic foraminifera are easier to dissolve in carbonate-undersaturated deep water.

Table 3-1 lists benthic foraminiferal assemblages for the different stages of the Messinian. Detailed information on distribution of dominant and geologically or ecologically significant benthic species are given in Figs. 3-1 to 3-4, Table 3-1, and in Appendix A. As indicated in the figures, the Messinian section in this borehole is dominated by Globocassidulina subglobosa, Cassidulina reniforme, Cibicidoides spp, Trifarina angulosa, Gavelinopsis praegeri, Eponides weddellensis, Bolivina albatrossi and others. The benthic foraminiferal data show that there is a major faunal change in Chron 5, although some species, such as Discorbinella bertheloti, Bulimina mexicana/costata, Gavelinopsis praegeri, and Planulina ariminensis, show no significant changes. A high peak (up to $15 \%$ ) of Bolivinita pseudothalmanni is recorded in the lower Gilbert (lower C3r).

### 3.1.2. Oxygen stable isotopes

Oxygen stable isotopic data used here are from Hodell et al. (1994). As shown Fig. $3-5 \mathrm{~A}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values from the base of the section to 148 m are about $+0.8 \%$. There is a brief depletion that averages about $0.3 \%$ in the interval between $148-140 \mathrm{~m}$ just below the Messinian/Tortonian boundary. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values are enriched by $\sim 0.4 \%$ immediately across the Messinian/Tortonian boundary, varying from +1.0 to $1.4 \%$ with average of about $1.2 \%$, indicated by both unsmoothed and 3-point running average curves. In general, these values remain consistently high in the interval from 140 m to 53 m , reaching a maximum ( $1.8 \%$ ) between 60 and 70 m . Hodell et al. (1994) determined that glacial/interglacial cycles are superimposed upon the overall high mean values of the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ signal. Glacial values commonly reach $1.3 \%$, while interglacial values average about $0.8 \%$. The isotopic values are depleted to $\mathrm{C} .6-1.0 \% 0 \mathrm{n}$ average in the interval from 53 m to the top of the section, with minimum values about 0.3 to $0.4 \%$. This is quite different from those in deep ocean,

Fig. 3-2. Relative abundance of Globocassidulina subglobosa, Epistominclla exigua,
Eponides weddellensis and Bolivina albatrossi in Salé core, northwestern Morocco.

Depth (meter below surface)


Fig. 3-3. Relative abundance of Cibicidoides mundulus + pachyderma, Bulimina aculeata, Trifarina angulosa, and Bolivina lowmani in Salé core, northwestern Morocº.

Depth (meter below surface)


Fig. 3-4. Relative abundance of Eponides? sp. A, Oridorsalis umbonatus, Pleurostomella spp. Fursenkoina pontoni, and Heronallenia crosbyi in Salé core, northwestern Morocco


Table 3-1. Benthic foraminiferal assemblages in the different stages of the Messinian, Salé Borehole, Morucco.

|  | G. subglobosa $10-15 \%$; C. reniforme $\sim 5 \%$; E. exigua $0-1 \%$; |
| :---: | :---: |
| Late Messinian (5.9-5.32 Ma) | C. mundulus + pachyderma $10-15 \%$; T. angulosa $\sim 10 \%$; B. lowmani 1-5\%; G. praegeri 5-10\%; Rosalina 1\%; B. dialata $\sim 3 \%$. |
| "Middle" Messinian (6.2-5.9 Ma) | G. subglobosa $5-10 \%$; C. reniforme $\sim 5 \%$; C. mundulus + pachyderma $<10 \%$; T. angulosa $0-10 \%$; G. praegeri $<5 \%$; E. weddeilensis $10-25 \%$; U. peregrina $<2 \%$; E. exigua up to $15 \%$. |
| Early Messinian (7.12-6.2Ma) | G. subglobosa $10-20 \%$; E. weddellensis $10-15 \%$; E. exigua 2-10\%; C. mundulus $\dagger$ pachyderma $<10 \%$; B. albatrossi 5-10\%; U. peregrina $10 \%$; O. umbonatus $2-4 \%$; H. crosbyi $2 \%$; Gyroidina spp. 5-10\%. |

Fig. 3-5. Stable isotopes of Salé borehole, northwestern Morocco. A: oxygen-18, and B: carbon-13. Data are from Hodell et al., 1994.
e.g., DSDP Hole 552A where such a distinct depletion was not recognized (Keigwin, 1987). I consider that it may have been related to local temperature increase caused by tectonic uplifting of Morocco during the late Messinian.

### 3.1.3. Carbon stable isotopes

As with oxygen stable isotopes, the carbon stable isotopic data are also provided by Hodell et al. (1994). As given in Fig. 3-5B, higher $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values (about $1.3 \%$ in average) occur in the interval between 175 m and 140 m during the uppermost Tortonian. However, two distinct fluctuations are determined in this interval. The maximum spike (greater than $1.6 \% 0$ ) is seen in the interval between 145 m and 150 m in Subchron C4n. A major decrease by $1.0 \%$ (minimum ca. $0.0 \%$ ) occurs above 140 m near the Messinian/Tortonian boundary, which is known as global Chron $6 \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift. Values of $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ remain permanently low throughout the Salé borehole. However, secondary fluctuations occur frequently. From 110 m to $\sim 30 \mathrm{~m}, \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values are slightly enriched by $0.2 \%$. The values deplete again above 30 m , with minimum values of about $0.0 \%$.

### 3.2. DSDP Hole 552A

### 3.2.1. Distribution of Benthic Foraminifera of DSDP Hole 552A

71 samples from the interval of 87.5 m to 163.55 m subbottom (msb) were quantitatively analyzed for benthic foraminifera greater than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This yields an average sampling interval of 1.07 msb (meters in subbottom) per sample. About 70 species have been identified in these samples. As shown in Fig. 3-6, benthic foraminiferal abundance is high during the Tortonian, usually over 4,000 per cc sample. It consistently decreases throughout the Messinian. Two major decreases are recognized in the late Messinian and early Pliocene, where abundances drop below 1000 per cc . In comparison with planktonic foraminifera, benthic foraminifera are extremely low (less than $3 \%$ ) in percentage of total foraminiferal abundance (Fig. 3-6). During the late Messinian and early Pliocene, benthic
faunas are distinctly reduced (less than $2 \%$ ).
In general, the benthic foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by Globocassidulina subglobosa, Epistominella exigua, Eponides weddellensis, Bolivina cf. pygmaea, and Eponides tumidulus. These species make up $50-60 \%$ in relative abundance. G. subglobosa is about $10 \%$ higher in the Messinian and Tortonian than in the Pliocene. Nuttallides umbonifera occurs in low percentage in the early Messinian, Tortonian, and the upper part of planktonic foraminiferal Zone PL 1 (lower Pliocene). It is almost absent in the upper Messinian and lower part of Zone PL-1. Detailed information on distribution of benthic foraminiferal species is given in Figs. 3-6 to 3-8 and Appendix B.

### 3.2.2. Oxygen stable isotopes

Oxygen isotopes were measured both from benthic foraminifera (Planulina wuellerstorfi) and surface dweller Globigerina bulloides by Keigwin et al. (1987) and Keigwin (1987). In this study, I re-plotted the latter data in Figs. 3-9 and 3-10, together with a smoothed 3-point running average curve. As shown in the figures, oxygen isotopes from benthic and planktonic foraminifera generally exhibit a similar pattern. However a major difference occurs in the earliest Messinian ( $145-150 \mathrm{msb}$ ), where the benthic isotopic values increase, while the planktonic values decrease; planktonic foraminitera indicate a major increase ( $\sim 1.7 \%$ ) in the interval between 135 and 145 m subbottom, while the benthic values remain approximately the same as in the preceding interval. Two important isotope maximum incursions indicating global glaciations both in benthic and planktonic foraminiferal shells were recognized in the upper Messinian with a duration of ca. 15,000 years at 106 m and 119 m subbottom, respectively. More specifically, the occurrence of the lower $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ maximum from benthic foraminifera is at 118.82 msb , while that from planktonic foraminifera is at 119.15 msb , suggesting a delay of $\sim 10,000$ years (see Keigwin et al., 1987; Keigwin, 1987; and Chapter 4 for more details).

### 3.2.3. Carbon Stable Isotope:

$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data from benthic (Planulina wuellerstorfi) and planktonic foraminifera (Globigerina bulloides) provided by Keigwin et al. (1987) and Keigwin (1987) are replotted in Figs. 3-9 and 3-10. It is clear that the carbon isotopes from both benthic and planktonic foraminifera show a distinct shift from high values to low values $\sim 148 \mathrm{msb}$, immediately above the Messinian/Tortonian boundary. The average decline in $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values constantly continue to the top of the Messinian interval, although a number of secondary order fluctuations have occurred (Figs. 3-9 and 3-10). A tendency towards increased $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values occurred near the Pliocene/Messinian boundary at this site (see Keigwin et al., 1987; Keigwin, 1987 for more details).

### 3.3. DSDP Hole 608

### 3.3.1. Distribution of benthic foraminifera

61 samples for the interval from 105.3 msb to 219.7 msb were quantitatively analyzed for benthic foraminifera greater than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, yielding an average spacing of 1.87 m . Note that within the Messinian, the sampling spacing is about 1.3 m per sample with stratigraphic resolution of $\sim 50 \mathrm{kyrs}$. About 70 species have been identified (Appendix C).

Total benthic foraminiferal abundance is similar to that in DSDP Hole 552A, varying from 200 to 500 per cc. A few peaks over 600 per cc occur in Cores 14, 17, 20. The abundance of benthic foraminifera reaches a minimum in Core 18. This is caused by carbonate dissolution as indicated by high planktonic foraminiferal fragments and suddenly increased benthic foraminifera relative to the planktonic foraminifera (i.e., $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{P}$ ratios), where both of them climax (Fig. 3-11). The planktonic foraminiferal fragments decrease dramatically in the Pliocene immediately across the Messinian/Pliocene boundary.

Generally speaking, the benthic faunas are dominated by Globocassidulina subglobosa, Epistominella exigua, and Eponides weddellensis (Fig. 3-12). Secondary important species include Oridorsalis umbonatus, Eponides tumidulus, Gyroidir $\quad$ pp.,

Fig. 3-6. Distribution of benthic foraminiferal abundance and relative abundance of Epistominella exigua and Globocassidulina subglobosa.

Subbottc m Depth (m), DSDP Site 552A


Fig. 3-7. Relative abundance of Eponides tumidulus, Bolivina pygmaea, Eponides weddellensis, Gyroidina spp., Uvigerina peregrina and Nonionella spp.

Subbottom Depth (m), DSDP Site 552A


Fig. 3-8. Relative abundance of Nuttallides umbonifera, Trifarina angulosa, Ehrenbergina trigona, Bolivina pseudopunctata, Melonis barleeanum, Sttlostomella antillea, and Bolivina inflata.

Subbottom Depth (m), DSDP Site 552A


Fig. 3-9. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes of Planulina wuellerstorfi (benthic foraminifera), DSDP Hole 552A (Data are from Keigwin, 1987).
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Fig. 3-10. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes of Globigerina bulloides (planktonic foraminifera), DSDP Hole 552A (Data are from Kpigwin, 1987).
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Fig. 3-11. Benthic foraminiferal abundance, percentage of planktonic foraminiferal fragments in total planktonic foraminifera, and percentage of benthic foraminifera of total fauna, DSDP Site 608.


Fig. 3-12. Relative abundance of Epistominella exigua, Nuttallides umbonifera, Eponides weddellensis and Globocassidulina subglobosa, DSDP Site 608.
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Fig. 3-13. Relative abundance of Oridorsalis umbonatus, Cassidulina reniforme, and Eponides tumidulus, DSDP Site 608.


Fig. 3-14. Relative abundance of Pullenia quinqueloba, Gyroidina spp., and Melonis barleeanum, DSDP Site 608.

Depth Subbottom (m), DSDP Site 608


Puilenia quinquelobu ,Melonis barleeanum, etc. (Figs. 3-13 and 3-14). E. exigua reaches three major peaks in Cores 13 and 14, 17-19, and 22-24, where E. weddellensis is lowered in its relative abundance. G. subglobosa is relatively high from Cores 15 to 20 within the Messinian section. Nuttallides umbonifera is rellatively low (>5\%) from Cores 15 to 21 , reaching a minimum in Core 18 where carbonate dissolution has taken place.

### 3.3.2. Oxygen stable isotopes

All stable isotopic data from this site are given in Appendix D and illustrated in Figs 3-15 \& 3-16 Oxygen stable isotopes in benthic foraminifera ( $P$. wuellerstorfi) show a permanent enrichment ( $\sim 2.3 \%$ in average) from the upper part of Core 22 to Core 12 (fig. $3-15$ ). In tinis interval, five $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ incursions, which reach about $2.6 \%$, occur in Core 22 , Core 19, Core 17, Core 15 and Core 14. A major $\delta^{18}$ O depletion occurs in cores 23 and 24 , which reaches $\sim 1.8 \%$.

In planktonic foraminifera ( $G$. bulloides, solid line), the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ pattern is rather different from that in benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (Fig. 3-16). No major depletion is recorded in cores 23 and 24, and no significant variations are observed below Core 21. Similar to $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ in benthic foraminifern, two major planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichments occur in Core 15 (but it is stratigraphically slightly higher than the peak in benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ) and Core 17. In Core 19, an enriched $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ peak is recorded although it is not as clear as that recorded in benthic $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$. It is considered to have been related to the absence of $G$. bulloides resulting from the carbonate dissolution in this interval. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ in $O$. universa (broken line) shows a major depletion in the dissolution interval from Core 17 to Core 19 (see Chapter 4 for a discussion on the depletion).

### 3.3.3. Carbon stable isotopes

All $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data from DSDP Site 608 are provided in Appendix D, and shown in figs $3-15 \& 3-16 . \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of $P$. wuellerstorfi varies greatly, particuiarly below Core 17. In the

Fig. 3-15. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes of Planulina wuellerstorfi (benthic foraminifera), DSDP Site 608.
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Fig. 3-16. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes of Globigerina bulloides and Orbulina universa (planktonic foraminifera; solid lines $=G$. bulloides; broken lines $=O$. universa), DSDP Site 608.

interval from Core 24 to Core 18, three enriched incursions are recognized. They occur in Core $24(1.1 \% 0)$, Cores 21 and $20(1.3 \% 0)$, and Cores 19 and $18(1.3 \% 0)$, respectively. A constant depletion above Core 17 with values of $\sim 0.6 \% 0$ is observed.

Planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shows a similar trend to the berthic $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$, except the interval between 175 m and 195 msb (cores 21 and 20 ) where $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of $G$. bulloides (solid line) does not show significant increase although it trends to increase. Howe ser, $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of O. universa (broken line) indicates a rapid increase at Core 20 . In general, both planktonic and benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ exhibit two depletion shifts within the interval from 160 to 195 msb. These two shifts will be discussed in great detail in Chapter 4.

### 3.4 DSDP Hole 547A

### 3.4.1. Distribution of benthic Foraminifera

37 samples in this hole have been analyzed, with a sampling space of $0.6-0.8 \mathrm{~m}$. Over 90 species have been identified (see Figs. 3-17, 3-18, and Appendix F). Au seen in Fig. 3-17, benthic foraminiferal abundance is low from Cores 5-9, less than 1000 per cc , while in Cores 3 and 4, it is over 1000 per cc. Planktonic foraminiferal fragments are relatively low in this hole, usually less than $5 \%$ of total planktonic foraminireral tests. Two spikes over $5 \%$ occur in Cores 5 and 8 (Fig. 3-17). In comparison with DSDP Holes 552A and 608, benthic foraminifera are extremely high relative to planktonic fauna in the interval from Cores 5 to 8, making up 20 to $40 \%$ in total foraminiferal fauna (Fig. 3-17). This obviously indicates high carbonate dissolution rates in this interval. From Cores 5 to 9, the benthic foraminiferal fauna is dominated by G. subglobosa $(20-30 \%)$, E. exigua $(\sim 20 \%)$, E. weddellensis $(-20 \%)$, and $N$. umbonifera (10-15\%). In Cores 3 and 4, the fauna is dominated by Bolivina inflata, Bolivinita pseudothalmanni and Bolivina spp., making up $\sim 50 \%$ of total benthic fauna. These species are relatively common in bathyal environments (see Chapter 6). Therefore it may indicaie that downslope transportation may have taken place at this time. The downslope transportation may also have occurred in Cores 5 and 6

Fig. 3-17. Distribution of benthic foraminifera, Globocassidulina subglobosa, Nuttallides umbonifera, Epistominella exigua, and Eponides weddellensis, DSDP Hole 547A. H1, H 2 , and H 3 are hiatuses.


Fig. 3-18. Relative abundance of benthic foraminifera, Bolivina pygmaea, B. pseudothalmanni, B. inflata, B. pseudoplicata, Trifarina angulosa, Pullenia bulloides, Oridorsalis umbonatus, Sphaeroidina bulloides, Cibicidoides spp., Planulina wuellerstorfi, Stilostome lla spp., Gyroidina spp., and Uvigerina peregrina, DSDP Hole 547A. H1, H2, and H 3 are hiatuses.

as indicated by low relative abundance of these two species. Other deep-water species are constantly low in relative abundarce (Fig. 3-18).

### 3.5. ODP Site 646B

### 3.5.1. Distribution of benthic foraminifera and other flora

Ninety samples from Corcs 40-80 ( $389-789 \mathrm{~m}$ subbottom depth (msb)) were studied for benthic foraminifera. Over one hundred species have been identified (Appendix G). As seen in Fig. 3-19, the total number of benthic foraminifera per cc are rather consistent throughout the hole, but increase slightly downwards. During the Tortonian these numbers are particularly low.

As indicated in Fig. 3-19, the Messinian interval from Cores 45X to 77X is dominated by agglutinated foraminifera, while calcareous foraminifera dominate the intervals of Pliocene and Tortoniar. Benthic/planktonic foraminiferal ratios are high in the Messinian section, except for some particular levels (Fig. 3-19). They are relatively low during the Pliocene and Tortonian. Nevertheless, both calcareous/agglutinated and benthic/planktonic ratios exhibit high amplitudes of variations.

Three poorly-preserved, smali-sized, brown-colored marsh-type agglutinated benthic foraminiferal species, i.e., Trochammina cf. squamata, Ammotium sp. A, and Miliammina jusca are encountered in this hole. Ammotium sp. A occurs in most samples from Cores $42 \mathrm{X}-1$ to $65 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}$, with the highest peak ( $15 \%$ ) at Core $57 \mathrm{X}-3$. It is rare below Core 65 (Fig. 3-21). Miliammina fusca is found in Sample 63X-CC, 10-14cm. These forms are well known to inhabit in marsh or coastal elurironments today (e.g. Scott and Medioli, 1980). Trochammina cf. squamata varies episodically in abundance from 0 to $100 \%$ (Fig. 3-19) with high amplitudes of variation. These forms are concentrated in nine levels throughout the hole, which are separated by corresponding numbers of deep-water foraminiferal layers accompanied with relatively low proportion of shallow water fauna (Fig. 3-19), suggesting nine turbidite cycles. They occur in Cores 77X-74X Cores 74-

Fig. 3-19. Distribution of benthic foraminifera from ODP Hole 646B. The column to the right shows cyclic turbidites marked by marsh benthic foraminifera and other shallow water sediment components, interbeddea with deep water benthic foraminifera.
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Fig. 3-20. Relative abundance of agglutinated benthic foraminifera, Trochammina sp. A, Rhizammina spp., Ammotium sp. A, Psammosphaera spp., Lagenammina spp. and Cornuspirella difés

Depth (meters subbottom)


Fig. 3-21. Relative abundance of deep water calcareous benthic foraminifera, Oridorsalis umbonatus, Nuttallides umbonifera, Epistominella exigua, Eponides weddellensis, Nonionids, and Gyroidina spp., from ODP Hole 646B.


Fig. 3-22. Relative abundance of deep water calcareous benthic foraminifera, Eponides tumidulus, Globobulimina auriculata, Melonis pompiloides, Pullenia bulloides, $P$. quinqueloba, and Melonis barleeanum, from ODP Site 646B.


72X, Core 67X Core 65X, Core 62X, Core 57X, Cores 52X-55X Cores 45X-48X, Cores 42X-43X, respectively. In these turbidite layers, plant seeds, oxidized plant fragments, rounded quartz, highly polished benthic foraminiferal shells, wood fragments, pyrite-filled foraminiferal shells, highly concentrated mica, and gypsum crystals, which indicate the origin as shallow water, are also commonly observed (see discussion section for details).

Deep-water coarse agglutinated foraminifera, for example, Rhizammina spp., Psammosphaera spp., Lagenammina spp., are found commonly from Cores 42X-7 to $51 \mathrm{X}-1$, from $55 \mathrm{X}-2$ to $63 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}$, and from $65 \mathrm{X}-7$ to $68 \mathrm{X}-4$. These coarse foraminifera are not present or extremely rare down to Core 80X-2 from Core 69X-1 (Fig. 3-21). Other deep-water agglutinated foraminifera, such as Martinottiella communis, Cyclammina cancellata, and Recurvoides spp. occur sporadically in this Hole.

The greatest abundance of deep-water calcareous foraminifera occurs in the Tortonian and early Pliocene (below Core 77X, and above Core 43X). Calcareous foraminifera are dominated by Nonionids (including Pullenia bulloides, P. quinqueloba, Melonis barleeanum) ranging from 0 to 30\%) (Fig. 3-22), Eponides weddellensis (0-25\%), Oridorsalis umbonatus (0 to 10\%), Eponides tumidulus (0-7\%), and Gyroidina spp. (0$5 \%$ ), with considerable amplitudes of variation. Epistominella exigua and Nuttallides umbonifera are extremely low in abundance, usually less than $2 \%$ in the Messinian section. I found a spike ( $\sim 3-6 \%$ ) of $N$. umbonifera near seismic reflector R3 in the interval between 680.48 and 703.8 msb (Cores 72X-1 to 74X-4) similar to that of Kaminski et al. (1989; $\sim 20-80 \%$ ), but much lower in percentage. This probably resulted from the different size ranges of benthic foraminifera performed in this study ( $>63 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and Kaminski et al.'s study ( $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The fraction of $>150 \mathrm{~m}$ could eliminate a large number of small-sized benthic foraminifera that comprise the major component ( $\sim 80 \%$ ) of total benthic community, $E$. exigua reaches a peak of $23.3 \%$ in the Tortonian (Fig. 3-20). As mentioned above, these bathyal calcareous foraminifera act to separate the Trochammina cf. squamata-concentrated layers in the Messinian section. Melonis barleeanum, a typical form in glacial sediments in

Table 3-2. Sr measurements and age estimates for the Tortonian/Messinian and Messinian/Pliocene boundaries in cores from Site 646B. All data listed below were analyzed by Drs. Miller and Liu at Rutgers University, NJ, USA. The Age equations are after Farrell et al. (1995).

| Core | Sr87/83 | Error $\pm$ | Age (Ma) | Age error | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 646B, 41-2 | 0.709058 | 0.000008 | 4.67 | 0.68 | Age=59941.95-(Sr87/86\%84530.85(Sr=0.709080-0.709056) |
| 646B, 41-6 | 0.709062 | 0.000005 | 4.34 | 0.42 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 43cc | 0.709062 | 0.000005 | 4.34 | 0.42 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 46-3 | 0.709033 | 0.000007 | 5.37 | 0.15 | Age $=15640.06-(\mathrm{Sr} 87 / 86) \mathrm{X} 22050.72(\mathrm{Sr}=0.709056-0.708955)$ |
| 646B, 48-2 | 0.709054 | 0.000007 | 4.91 | 0.15 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 74-1 | 0.708991 | 0.000008 | 6.3 | 0.18 | lbid |
| 646B, 75-2 | 0.708967 | 0.000008 | 6.83 | 0.18 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 76cc | 0.708951 | 0.000008 | 7.18 | 0.18 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 78-2 | 0.708956 | 0.000044 | 7.07 | 0.97 | Ibid. |
| 646B, 780 c | 0.708988 | 0.000007 | 6.36 | 0.15 | Ibid |
| 646B, 80-1 | 0.708948 | 0.000007 | 7.25 | 0.15 | Ibid. |

lower bathyal DSDP sites in northern Atlantic (Murray, 1984) and in the NorwegianGreenland Sea (Talwani et al., 1976), is common from Cores 41X-2 to 50X-3. It is low in percentage in Coies 53-55, and almost absent from Cores 56-80 (Fig. 3-22). Another calcareous deep-water species, Cornuspirella diffusa is found up to $\mathbf{\sim} 20 \%$ in Tortonian section, but absent in the upper Messinian (Fig. 3-20).

### 3.5.2. Sr stable isotopes

11 samples have been measured for strontium isotopes $\left({ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{\beta 6} \mathrm{Sr}\right)$ to determine the Tortonian/Messinian and Messinian/Pliocene boundaries, and presented in Table 3-2 (See Chapter 4 for more details).

## CHAPTER 4

# INTEGRATED BIO-, MAGNETO-, AND STABLE ISOTOPE STRATIGRAPHY OF THE MESSINIAN 

### 4.1. Stratigraphy

### 4.1.1. Salé Briquetterie Borehole, Morocco

### 4.1.1.1. Biostratigraphy

Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of this borehole was previously reported by Hodell et al. (1994) and Benson et al. (1995), and several important datums for Messinian planktonic foraminiferal bio-events have been identified in this borehole. In the course of the present benthic foraminiferal study (Chapter 3), I realized that some important biodatums are slightly different from those of Hodell et al.(1994), probably as a result of increased sampling resolution and examining of smaller size fractions or because of low abundance of specimens. In this study, I have examined 90 samples from the Salé borehole (one sample per 2 meters in average). My observations of planktonic foraminifera, together with those from Hodell et al. (1994; marked with asterisks) are plotted in Fig. 4-1, and some critical bioevents and their age estimates are shown in Table 4-1.

Between 135m and 150 m , I identified several important bioevents: 1) FO Globorotalia conomiozea. My specimens of Gt. conomiozea recovered in Sample B72 are typical conic form with 4 chambers in the last whorl and flat dorsal side, with raised dorsal sutures; 2) The coiling change of Globorotalia menardii from dextral to sinistral occurred in Sample B72 at the T/M boundary, which was not reported by Hodell et al. (1994). It is interesting that this coiling change is juxtaposed with the FO of Gt. conomiozea. Hodell et al. (1994), however, observed another important coiling change of Globorotalia menardii from sinistral to dextral at 148.15 m (sampie B81), representing the latest Tortonian Stage, which is equivalent to PF-Event 1 of Sierro et al. (1993), who suggested a southward

Fig. 4-1. Planktonic foraminiferal stratigraphic ranges of Salé borehole, Morocco. Arrows indicate changes of coiling direction. X indicates single fossil occurrences. Magnetostratigraphic data and absolute age are from Hodell et al., 1994. T and reversed T are the first and last occurrences of planktonic faunas. $D$ and $S$ represents right and left coiling, respectively. Bioevents with asterisks are from Hodell et al., 1994.


Table 4-1. Paleomagnetic and biological stratigraphic events from Salé borehole, Morocco. Age ertimates are calibrated to the new time scale by Berggren et al. (1995). Paleomagnetic data are from Hodell et al.(1994).

| Sample | Events | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{M})$ | Age estimates <br> (Ma) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | C3r base | 84.65 | 5.894 |
| B19 | C3r bn.1r top | 98.95 | 6.137 |
| B33 | C3An | 101.75 | 5.32 |
| B36 | LO Gt. conomiozea | 102.85 | 6.2 |
| B37 | FO Neogloboquadrina S/D | 108.9 | 6.269 |
| B43 | C3An.1r base | 110.95 | 6.0 |
| B45 | FO Gt. margaritae | 116.85 | 6.567 |
| B51 | C3Ar top | 132.75 | 6.935 |
| B66 | C3Ar base | 136.95 | 7.091 |
| B70 | C3Br top | 139.05 | 7.12 |
| B72 | FO Gt. conomiozea | 139.05 | 6.0 |
| B72 | LO Gt. lenguaensis | 141.15 | 6.8 |
| B74 | FO Neogloboquadrina D/S | 143.3 | 7.34 |
| B76 | C3Br base | 145.25 | 7.562 |
| B78 | C4n.1r top | 148.15 | 7.4 |
| B81 | Gt. menardiii D/S |  |  |

retreat of the form in the North Atlantic; 3) A major turnover of Gt. menardii/Gt. miotumida occurred at 138.0 m (B71), which was also reported by Hodell et al. (1994) at the same level, indicating a major climate change from subtropical to temperate. This turnover has also been observed in other regions, e.g., the northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea (Sierro, 1985; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a, b; Sierro et al., 1993); 4) A coiling change of Neogloboquadrina a costaensis from dextral 'o sinistral occurs at a level of 141.15 m (Sample B74). This coiling shift is also observed at DSDP/ODP sites in the North Atlantic Ocean, e.g., 552A, 646B (see below). Another coiling change of the taxon from sinistral to dextral is identified in Sample B88 during the late Tortonian; 5) The last occurrence (LO) of Globorotalia lenguaensis is found in Sample B70 ( 136.95 m), just above the T/M boundary; and 6) Hodell et al. (1994) reported that an acme of Globorotalia suterae is present in Subchron C4n.1n at $\sim 7.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ in Salé borehole, slightly younger than its FO (7.8 Ma; Berggren et al., 1995). This acme may allow stratigraphic correlation between the Italian stratotype sequence and those in the Atlantic.

During the Chronozone C3r (the lower Gilbert) ranging from 84 m to the top of the borehole, no significant bioevents have occurred. This is called the biostratigraphic "quiet zone" (see Benson et al., 1991a; Hodell et al., 1994), except for the LO of Globorotalia plesiotumida at a level of 18.54 m (Sample S17).

No deposition breaks have been detected in this borehole as shown in Fig. 4-2. However, sedimentation rate during the latest Tortonian is rather slow, about 14 m per million years in average ( $=1.4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{k} . \mathrm{y}$ ). During the lower Messinian ( 7.12 to 5.894 Ma ), sedimentation rates greatly increase, with an average of $44.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{y} .(4.44 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{k} . \mathrm{y}$ ). In the upper Messinian, the sedimentation rate reaches $130 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{y}$., suggesting the shallowing of the location resulting from the uplifting of Morocco.

Fig. 4-2. Depth-age plot of Salé borehole, Morocco. Paleomagnetic and stable isotopic data are from Hodell et al., 1994. Vertical arrows indicate early occurrences and horizontal arrows indicates late occurrences.


### 4.1.1.2.Stable Isotope Stratigraphy

Oxygen Stable Isotopes: Detailed oxygen isotope stages in the Sale borehole were numbered by Hodell et al. (1994). TG22 was the oldest oxygen isotope stage in the lower part of reversed subchron of the Gilbert (Chronozone C3r) at $\sim 5.75 \mathrm{Ma}$, which was also recognized by Shackleton et al. (1995) in the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean. "TG" signifies the time interval from the lowermost part of Subchronozone Thvera to the base of the Gilbert (equivalent to Chronozone C3r). The oxygen isotope records at Leg 138, Site 846 from the Pacific Ocean between TG22 and Subchronozone C3An.1n were not recognizable fci the stage nomenclature due to the low-amplitude variation, and no stage has been identified beiow C3An.1n by Shackleton et al. (1995). Hodell et al. (1994) extended oxygen isotope stratigraphy of the Salé borehole starting from TG22 down to the upper Tortonian, following the extension of stage numbering scheme of Shackleton et al. (1995) from ODP Site 846 in the eastern equatorial Pacific.

In B series samples, the oxygen isotope record exhibits distinct evidence of 41-kyr (obliquity) cyc' , during Chronozones C3An and C3Ar. Hodell et al. (1994) identified 34 stages (i.e., C3An. $\delta^{18}$ O.1 to C3An. $\delta^{18}$ O.34) in Chronozone C3An from 6.567 to 5.894 Ma, with a duration of 673,000 years, and 18 stages (e.g., C3Ar. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O} .1$ to C3Ar. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O} .18$ ) in C3Ar from 6.935 to 6.567 Ma , with a duration of 368,000 years (Hodell et al., 1994). In addition, they also recognized 14 oxygen isotope stages (from TG21-TG34) in Thvera-C3r interval.

The S series samples provide a relatively poor signature of the oxygen isotope record (Hodell et al., 1994). Above stage TG20, only two distinct glacial stages, i.e., TG14 and TG12, were recognized. TG stages from 1 to 9 are difficult to determine because of low-amplitude variation. The oxygen isotope curve between TG14 and TG20 in the Salé borehole shows 7 stages, while in the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean, only 5 stages were identified (Shackleton et al., 1995). T stages in Subchronozone Thvera were not well defined due to poor magneto-and bio-stratigraphic control (Hodell et al., 1994), and
probably confused by extremely high sedimentation rates in this interval. Nevertheless, Hodell et al. (1994) distinguished 4 distinct glacial stages, namely TG 12, TG14, TG20 and TG22 in S series interval. TG22 was also identified in B series samples and in DSDP Hole 522A, and thus it is considered to be an extremely useful isotope event in global stratigraphic correlation.

Carbon Stable Isotopes: In the Salé borehole, the most distinct ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ event useful for Messinian stratigraphy, is the dramatic decrease in the isotope value just above the Tortonian/Messinian boundary at $\sim 140 \mathrm{~m}$ (Chronozone C3Bn, $=7.1 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). This decrease has been determined elsewhere in the world ocean, and is termed "the Carbon-13 Shift". In Salé, the decreased $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values continue to the top of the section, although a number of fluctuations occurred throughout this section (see Hodell et al. 1994 for more detail).

### 4.1.1.3. Magnetostratigraphy

As in stable isotopes, magnetostratigraphic study of the Salé borehole was also done by Hodell et al. (1994). Their study reveals that the polarity patterns from the B series samples are complete from C 3 r (the lower Gilbert) down to the top of C 4 n , and thus can be correlated to the GPTS of Cande and Kent (1995) and of Berggren et al. (1995). The Chronozone C3r/C3An boundary was placed at $84.35 \mathrm{~m}(5.894 \mathrm{Ma})$; C3An/C3Ar at 117.7 $\mathrm{m}(6.567 \mathrm{Ma}) ; \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Bn}$ at $133.5 \mathrm{~m}(6.935 \mathrm{Ma})$; the $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Bn} / \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Br}$ at $138.25 \mathrm{~m}(7.091$ $\mathrm{Ma})$; and $\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{n}$ at 145.49 m ( 7.375 Ma ).

The entire magnetic record in the $S$ series samples is poor relative to that of the $B$ series core. The reversed polarity below 40 m in the S series is attributed to C 3 r (the lowermost Gilbert), whereas the polarities in the interval above 40 m are not possible to explain, including the Subchronozone Thvera, the oldest normal polarity of the Chron Gilbert, because of either weak magnetization or unstable behavior of thermal demagnetization (Hodell et al., 1994).

### 4.1.1.4. Boundary placement

Based on these observations, I place the Tortonian/Messinian (T/M) boundary at 139.05 m (sample B72), defined by the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea juxtaposed with D/S coiling change of Globorotalia menardii at the top of Chronozone C3Br, with an age estimate of 7.12 Ma calibrated to the GPTS of Berggren et al. (1995). It is 0.8 m below the C3Bn/C3Br boundary at 138.25 m , and 2.35 m lower than that of Hodell et al. (1994) who located the boundary at 136.7 m within Chronozone C3Bn based on their observation of the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea. The FO of Globorotalia conomiozea is used to define the Tortonian/Messinian boundary in the Atlantic and Mediterranean regions (Channell et al., 1990a; Krijgsman et al., 1994; Berggren et al., 1995).

The Messinian/Pliocene (M/P) boundary is difficult to determine directly because of the absence and uncertainty of geological and biological events in this interval. Hodell et al. (1994) suggested that the boundary may be extrapolated based only on the absence of the lower Pliocene species Gt. puncticulata in the Salé core because it may indicate that the sediments are older than Pliocene age. In this study, I provide the LO of Gt. plesiotumida as secondary supporting evidence, despite the uncertainty of the stratigraphic importance of the LO of Gt. plesiotumida at 18.54 m (Sample S17). As observed that boreholes E68138 and E66-73 in the Gulf of M. o (Zhang et al., 1993), this taxon last occurred in the upper Miocene slightly older than $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary. I therefore suggest a placement of the M/P boundary on the top of the Salé section between $S$ series samples S7 and S11 at $\sim 10 \mathrm{~m}$ below surface with the LO of the species. This is, to some extent, in agreement with Hodell et al. (1994), who suggested that the top of the Salé section is older than the FO of Globorotalia puncticulata.

### 4.1.2. DSDP Site 552A

### 4.1.2.1. Biostratigraphy

Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy in this hole was initially studied by Huddlestun (1984) in low resolution during the Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 81, based only on core catchers, and no diagnostic bioevents defining the Messinian Stage were reported. In this study, I examined 56 samples from cores 19 to 34, yielding an average of 3.5 samples per core for first and last occurrences of diagnostic planktonic foraminiferal taxa. As shown in Figs. 4-3 and 4-4, a possible small hiatus has been recognized by cutting off the Subchronozones C 3 Br .1 n to C 3 Br .2 n (duration $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$ ) in the lower part of Core 32 at $\sim 7.34 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the uppermost Tortonian) as shown by calcareous nannofossils (Keigwin et al., 1987). However, planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphic events fail to support the hiatus because of the absence of diagnostic zonal markers in such a short interval. In the entire section from cores 19 to middle 32, no hiatuses are present, except for possible reworking in cores 19 and 20, which is suggested by middle Miocene planktonic foraminifera, such as Globorotalia mayeri, and Globorotalia praefohsi.

The sedimentation rates as seen in Fig. 4-4 appear to be consistent for the most part of the Messinian despite a rapid increase in the lower part of Gilbert (C3r), but during the early Pliocene the sedimentation rates are relatively slow.

Several important bioevents and their age estimates, based on Berggren et al.'s (1995) new time scale, are plotted in Fig. 4-3 and listed in Table 4-2, and described as follows:

1) Globorotalia conomiozea s.s. first occurs at 150.16 m subbottom (msb; Sample $32-2,16-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) at the top of Chronozone C 3 Br , nearly at the same level as observed in the Salé borehole. Gt. conomiozea is a conic form, with 4 chambers in the last whorl, flat dorsal side and raised sutures on the dorsal, which was not reported in this hole by Huddlestun (1984). The LO of Gt. conomiozea occurs at a level of 137.33 msb (sample $29-3,83-87 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) near the top of Subchronozone C3An.1n, in contrast to the Salé borehole where the LO is in the middle of C3An.
2) A coiling direction change of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis occurred at 154.62 msb (Sample 33-1, 112-116cm) in the upper part of Chronozone C4n, below the T/M boundary.
3) In Hole 552A no coiling changes of Neogloboquadrina were determined in Chronozone C3An as recognized in Salé borehole and DSDP Hole 609 (Hooper and Weaver, 1987). However, I have determined two coiling changes below the Messinian/Pliocene boundary, and three in the interval between the upper PL-1a and PL1 b of Pliocene planktonic foraminiferal zones (Fig. 4-3).
4) A lineage of Globorotalia margaritae has been distinguished in Hole 552A. The FO of the species is found at 137.33 msb (Sample 29-3, $87-89 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) within Subchronozone C3An.2n, while a similar form which does not have a well developed keel in the first few chambers in the last whorl, called Gt. cf. margaritae, first occurred in sample 31-4, 1115 cm at a level of 148.11 msb . Gt. primitiva, ancestral to St. margaritae, last occurs in sample $28-\mathrm{CC}, 8-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ at 133.5 msb . These bioevents provic : usefil information for stratigraphic correlation between basins.
5) Globoquadrina dehiscens last occurs at 152.4 msb (sample 32-3, 94-98cm) immediately below the Tortonian/Messinian boundary. This species was found to last occur in Core 34-CC (Huddlestun, 1984).
6) The LO of Globorotalia lenguaensis is identified at 154.62 msb (sample 33-1, $112-116 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) below the $T / M$ boundary in this hole. It was reported in Sample 33-CC by Huddlestun (1984). As in the Salé core, it displays a distinct diachrony because the LO falls far away from the line of correlation (LOC) (Fig. 4-4).
7) Gt. puncticulata first occurs at 95.35 msb (Sample 20-CC, 4-8cm), where it was also reported by Huddlestun (1984). The FO of Gt. crassaformis occurred at 91.00 msb (19-CC, $10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), which is different from the level reported by previous study of Huddlestun (1984) who found the FO at 67.0 msb (Sample 14-CC). These two FOs mark the Pliocene planktonic foraminiferal subzones PL-1a/PL-1b and PL-1b/PL-1c

Table 4-2. Paleomagnetic, oxygen stable isotopic, and biological stratigraphic events from DSDP Hole 552A. Age estimates are calibrated to the new time scale by Berggren et al. (1995). Age estimates with asterisks are calibrated to the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995) in this study. Paleomagnetic data are from Keigwin et al., 1987. Oxygen isotopic age estimates are from Shackleton et al., 1995.

| Sample | Events | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{M})$ | Age estimates <br> $(\mathrm{Ma})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{cc}, 10-14$ | FO Gt. crassaformis | 91.00 | $4.3^{*}$ |
| $27-1,4-8$ | FO Gt. puncticulata | 95.35 | $4.6^{*}$ |
|  | TG14 | 107.0 | 5.55 |
| TG22 | 119.0 | 5.75 |  |
| $27-2,049$ | C3r base | 124.44 | 5.894 |
| $28-3,075$ | C3An.1r top | 132.25 | 6.137 |
| $29-1,099$ | C3An.1r base | 134.49 | 6.269 |
| $29-3,83-87$ | FO Gt. margaritae | 137.33 | 6.0 |
| $30-3,074$ | C3Ar top | 141.98 | 6.567 |
| $32-1,144$ | C3Ar base | 149.94 | 6.935 |
| $32-2,16-20$ | FO Gt. conomiozea | 150.16 | 7.12 |
| $32-2,144$ | C3Br top | 151.44 | 7.091 |
| $32-3,051$ | C3Br base | 152.01 | 7.34 |
| $32-3,94-98$ | LO Gq. dehiscens | 152.4 | 5.8 |
| $33-1,112-116$ LO Gt. lenguaensis | 154.62 | 6.0 |  |
| $34-2,098$ | C4n.1r base | 160.98 | 7.65 |

1. 

Fig. 4-3. Planktonic foraminiferal stratigraphic ranges and zones of DSDP Hole 552A. Magnetostratigraphic and stable isotope data are from Keigwin (1987). " $R$ " represents rare occurrence, and " F " indicates few occurrence in abundance. * indicates interval reworked or contaminated. Age estimates are from Berggren et al. (1995).
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Fig. 4-4. Depth-age plot of DSDP Hole 552A. Paleomagnetic and stable isotopic data are from Keigwin, 1987.

boundaries, respectively, based on the time scale of Berggren et al. (1985).
In the interval between cores 21 and 26, no critical planktonic foraminiferal bioevents have been recognized. This interval may be equivalent to the "quiet zone" determined in the Salé core by Benson et al.(1991b), and Hodell et al. (1994).

### 4.1.2.2. Stable isotopic stratigraphy

Oxygen Isotopes: Oxygen isotope data from the surface dweller Globigerina bulloides provided by Keigwin (1987), are plotted against binstratigraphic data (Fig. 4-3) for correlation. I have attempted to establish oxygen isotope stages associated with 41-kyrs obliquity cycles for the Hole 552A as recorded in Salé borehole. With the exception of Chronozone C3An in which I have tentatively determined 34 stages (C3An. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O} .1-34$; Fig. $4-5$ ), it is difficult to determine the stages in Chronozones C3r, C3Ar and C3Bn based on the smoothed oxygen isotope curve of three running point average with new timescale of Berggren et al. (1995), probably because of relatively low sedimentation rates in these intervals. I thus consider that the 41-kyrs quasi-periodicity of Earth's obliquity observed at this site (Keigwin, 1987) may only be applied to the interval of C3An, rather than the entire Messinian sequence. The 41 kyrs quasi-periodicity was not evident in benthic foraminiferal (Planulina wuellerstorfi) isotope records provided by Keigwin et al. (1987).

In addition, two important oxygen isotope maxima indicating global glaciations both in benthic and planktonic foraminiferal tests were recognized in the upper Messinian with a duration of ca. 15,000 years. It is interesting that the occurrence of the lower ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ maximum from benthic foraminifera is at 118.82 msb , while that from planktonic foraminifera is at 119.15 msb , suggesting a delay of $\sim 10,000$ years. The delay of the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ maximum occurrence in benthic foraminifera is considered to have occurred within $41-\mathrm{kyrs}$ cycles, and thus I suggest that it may not critically affect the age placement. The time difference of the oxygen isotope maximum between the benthic and planktonic may result from ice volume variation and temperature fluctuation in deep water and surface water
realms. Therefore, I attribute these two isotope events to the stages TG22 (5.75 Ma) and TG14? ( 5.55 Ma ) in Chronozone C3r based on the age estimates as interpolated from Shackleton et al.(1995) in the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean. TG14 is characterized by ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment, and also indicating a possible glaciation, as reported in the eastern Pacific (Shackleton et al., 1995) and in Morocco (Hodell et al., 1994). These two chemo-events were calibrated to 5.2 and 4.8 Ma respectively in previous study (Keigwin, 1987) using the GPTS of Berggren et ai. (1985).

Carbon Stable Isotopes: As in the Salé borehole, a $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift is observed in the upper part of Chronozone C3Bn at $\sim 148 \mathrm{msb}$, immediately above the Tortonian/Messinian boundary. The decreased $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values continue to the top of the Messinian interval, although a number of secondary order of fluctuations have occurred (see Keigwin, 1987 for more details). The tendency of increasing in $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values occurs near the Pliocene/Messinian boundary at this site.

### 4.1.2.3. Magnetostratigraphy

Magnetos atigraphy at this site was carried out by Keigwin et al. (1987) (Fig. 4-3). As shown in the figure, magnetochronozones from C4n to C3An were well recovered in the interval from cores 26 to 34 (below $\sim 120 \mathrm{msb}$ ), with the exception of some minor subchronozones, namely C 3 Br .1 n to C 3 Br .2 n (a duration of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$ ), which were cut off by a small hiatus in the uppermost Tortonian. Chronozone C3An ranges from 125.49 msb (Core $27-2,094 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to 141.28 msb (Core $30-3,048 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); C3Ar ranges fron) 141.28 msb to 150.25 msb (Core $32-2,025 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); C3Bn is only about 1 meter thick, ranging from 150.25 msb to 151.26 msb (Core $32-2,126 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); and C 3 Br and C 4 n representing the Tortonian spans from 151.26 msb to 164.47 msb (Core $35-1,97 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). No reliable magnetostratigraphy was acquired from Core 13 to 25 , because of coring disturbance and generally very weak magnetizations ( $<2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}$ ) (Keigwin et al., 1987).

Fig. 4-5. Oxygen isotopic stratigraphy of the planktonic foraminifera, Globigerina bulloides, DSDP Hole 552A. The interpretation of the isotopic stages are based on the nomenclature of Shackleton et al., 1995. Odd numbers corresponds to interglaciation, while even ones indicate glaciations. 34 stage are identified in Chron C3An, which are consistent with those recognized in Salé borehole, Morocco. In other chrons, i.e., C3r, C3Ar, and C4n, the isotopic stages are difficult to identify.


### 4.1.2.4. Boundary placement

The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is placed at 150.16 msb at the top of Chronozone C 3 Br with the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea s.s. at this site. It is supported by a number of secondary supportives, such as the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment, and D/S coiling direction change of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis near the boundary. The Globorotalia shift from menardii to miotumida observed in Salé core has not been determined in this hole by either Huddlestun (1984) or in this study, probably due to lack of these taxa.

The Pliocene/Messinian boundary is rather difficult to determine because no definite bio-, magneto-, and chemo-events have occurred in this "quiet zone" interval. However, a relevant age estimate of the boundary may be interpolated through several pieces of indirect evidence: 1) The FO of Gt. puncticulata. This event occurs in the lower Pliocene in temperate area (Berggren, 1977; Keller, 1978; 1979a-c) and was used to define the PL-1a and PL-1b boundary, while the FO of $G$. crassaformis denotes the PL-1b/PL-1c boundary (Berggren, 1977; Berggren et al., 1985), although it is absent, rare or delayed in most low-latitude regions (Keigwin, 1982; Thunell, 1981; Srinivasan and Chaturvedi, 1992; Berggren et al., 1995). In spite of the current thought that the FOs of the two species may be simultaneous in (sub)tropical regions (Berggren et al., 1995), I recognized that Gt. puncticulata occurred prior to Gt. crassaformis (Fig. 4-3), which may provide the validity to separate the subzones of the lowermost Pliocene Zone PL-1. A similar observation was also reported at this site by Huddlestun (1984). In this study I tentatively calibrate these events to 4.3 Ma for Gt. crassaformis and 4.6 Ma for Gt. puncticulata based on the GPTS of Berggren et al. (1995). In this case, I consider that the first occurrence of $G t$. puncticulata denotes the lower Pliocene at this site, and that the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary must be below this level; 2) TG14 oxygen isotope stage at 106 msb . This was estimated to be 4.8 Ma (Keigwin, 1987), and now it is calibrated to $\cdot 5.55 \mathrm{Ma}$ using the GPTS of Berggren et al. (1995), below the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary (Shackleton et al., 1995); 3) By using my age-depth
plot (Fig. 4-2), I interpolate the M/P boundary at 103 msb between the FO of Gt. puncticulata and TG14 isotope stage. This boundary assignment is in agreement with Keigwin (1987), who suggested that this oxygen-18 isotope enrichment reflects continental glaciation and sea-level lowering which contributes to the isolation of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean and the salinity crisis of the Mediterranean region during the Messinian. He further suggested that this interpretation is consistent with magnetostratigraphy, biostratigraphy and isotope stratigraphy at Site 397 and land work in the Mediterranean region (Keigwin, 1987).

### 4.1.3. DSDP Site 608

### 4.1.3.1. Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy

Planktonic foraminifera: Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy for the Messinian interval of this hole was studied by Weaver (1987) using core catcher samples and hence low resolution. In my study, 4 samples from each core were examined from Cores 24 to 14 for biostratigraphic study. Only one sample was examined from Core 12 because it was out of my biostratigraphic and paleoceanographic scopes. Some major differences of critical and diagnostic planktonic foraminiferal FO and LO bioevents, such as Gt. conomiozea, Gt. margaritae, Gt. suterae, Gt. puncticulata, Gt. crassaformis, have been recognized between the studies of Weaver (1987) and mine. For example, I found the FO of Gt. conomiozea in Sample 29-CC, 6-10 (184.9 msb), while Weaver (1987) detected it in Sample 19-CC
( 174.2 msb ). A small hiatus ( $0.6 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.) suggested by nannofossils is present in the upper Tortonian at $\sim 205 \mathrm{msb}$ within Chronozone C4Ar, which cut off the entire nannofossil zone NN10 equivalent to Chrons 9 and 10 partium. No other hiatus has been detected in the Messinian and lower Pliocene sections based on my integrated bio-, magneto- and isotope stratigraphy, although my age-depth plot (Fig. 4-8) suggests that the sedimentation rates from the uppermost Tortonian to middle Messinian are relatively low (only about 11.5 m

Fig. 4-6. Late Miocene and Pliocene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of DSDP Site 608. Paleomagnetic data are from Clement and Robinson (1983) and re-interpreted in this study. Back-slash bar in polarity column indicates low intensity of paleomagnetics. Sr dating is from Miller et al., 1991.


Table 4-3. Paleomagnetic and biological stratigraphic events from DSDP Hole 608. Age estimates are calibrated to the new time scale by Berggren et al. (1995). Age estimates with asterisks are calibrated to the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995) in this study. Paleomagnetic data are from Clement and Robinson (1987).

| Sample | Events | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | Age estimates (Ma) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-2, 90-94 | LO G. nepenthes | 124.60 | 4.18 |
| $14 \mathrm{cc}, 10-14$ | FO Gt. crassaformis | 130.44 | 4.3* |
| 15-1,100-104 | FO Gt. puncticulata | 132.80 | 4.6* |
| 15-5, 80-84 | LO Gt. conomiozeal miotumida | 138.60 | 5.32 |
| 19-4, 25 | C3r base | 170.09 | 5.894 |
| 19cc, 10-14 | FO Gt. margaritae | 174.19 | 6.0 |
| 20-5, 25 | C3Ar base | 181.96 | 6.935 |
| 20cc, 6-10 | FO Gt. conomiozea | 184.88 | 7.12 |
| 21-3, 25 | C3Ar base | 188.56 | 8.699 |
| 22-1, 90-94 | LO Gq. dehiscens | 195.80 | 5.8 |
| 22-1, 90-94 | LO Gt. lenguaensis | 195.80 | 6.0 |
| 22-4, 24 | C4Ar top | 199.66 | 9.205 |
| 22cc, 6-10 | FO Gt. suterae | 202.90 | 7.8 |
| 22cc, 6-10 | FO Gt. plesiotumida | 202.90 | 8.3 |
| 23-3, 98 | C5 top | 208.49 | 9.74 |

per million years). Sedimentation rates were increased in the upper Messinian within the lower reversed Gilbert (C3r).

My biostratigraphic results with some species double checked by Dr. W.A. Berggren at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, MA, USA, are plotted in Fig. 4-6, and age estimates calibrated to the GPTS of Berggren et al. (1995) $=20$ listed in Table 4-3. The following bioevents for the Messinian in this hole are considered to be the most important:

1) Typical 4-chambered Gt. conomiozea first occurred in Sample 20-CC, 6-10 at 184.38 msb within paleomagnctic Chronozone C 3 Br , and last occurred in sample $15-5$, $80-84$ at a depth of 138.60 msb . I caution that the 4 chambered forms from Cores 17 to 15 differ from Gt. conomiozea s.s. found in Core 20 by having smaller size and thinner test, which I call Gt. conomiozea/miotumida plexus. It is evident that the LO of Gt. conomiozea plexus at this site is considerably higher than the LOs found in other holes examined in this study. It is indeed rather difficult to determine as to whether or not it is diachronous based on planktonic foraminifera alone. However, I consider that the LO of Gt. conomiozea plexus at this site may be the real last occurrence, because of the following four observations: 1) It last occurred in the middle part of the Gilbert, rather than in Chronozone C3An as observed in DSDP Hole 552A and Moroccan Salé borehole; 2) It seems to be correlative with oxygen isotope stage TG14? (see Fig. 4-9), which appeared below the Messinian/Pliocene boundary; 3) It occumred near the S/D coiling shift of $N$. acostaensis between oxygen isotope stages TG14 and TG22 as identified in Hole 552A; and 4) It is overlain by Pliocene taxa Gt. puncticulata and Gt. crassaformis which first occurred at 132.80 and 130.44 msb, respectively.
2) As in Hole 552A, the Messinian interval is dominated by sinistrally coiled $N$. acostaensis (Fig. 4-6 and Table 4-4). A coiling shift from dextral to sinistral occurs at a level of 195.8 msb at the base of C 4 n , which is probably equivalent to that in Hole 552 A (top C4n); the other four coiling changes are observed below Chronozone C4n (from C5 to

Table 4-4. Coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis during latest Miocene and early Pliocene at Site 608.

| Samples | Depth (m) | \% sinistral tarm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-4,80-84 | 98.7 | 4.2 |
| 12-2,80-84 | 105.3 | 8 |
| 12-4,80-84 | 108.3 | 0 |
| 12-6, 120-124 | 111.7 | 0 |
| 13-1,80-84 | 113.4 | 4.3 |
| 13-3, 90-94 | 116.5 | 4.8 |
| 13-5,90-94 | 119.5 | 0 |
| 14-1, $80-84$ | 123 | 7.4 |
| 14-2,90-94 | 124.6 | 12.2 |
| 14-3, 90.94 | 126.1 | 22.4 |
| 14-4,90-94 | 127.6 | 37.5 |
| 14-5, 80-84 | 129 | 30 |
| 14cc, 10-14 | 130.44 | 73.3 |
| 15-1, 100-104 | 132.8 | 97.1 |
| 15-2,90-94 | 134.2 | 64.2 |
| 15-3, 80-84 | 135.6 | 18.6 |
| 15-4,80-84 | 137,1 | 5.9 |
| 15-5,80-84 | 138.6 | 95.7 |
| 15-6, 80-84 | 140.1 | 100 |
| 150c, 10-14 | 140.83 | 95 |
| 16-1, 80-84 | 142.2 | 92.9 |
| 16-2,90-94 | 143.8 | 95.1 |
| 16-3, 80.84 | 145.2 | 100 |
| 164, 110-114 | 147 | 95.6 |
| 16-5,90-94 | 148.3 | 93 |
| 16-6, $90-94$ | 149.8 | 97.7 |
| 16cc, 10-14 | 150.86 | 100 |
| 17-1,90-94 | 151.9 | 100 |
| 17-2, 90-94 | 153.4 | 83.3 |
| 17-3, 90-94 | 154.9 | 91.2 |
| 17-4, 60-64 | 156.1 | 92.4 |
| 17cc, 10-14 | 156.43 | 94.1 |
| 18-1, 60-64 | 157.1 | 93.3 |
| 18-2, 60-64 | 158.6 | 100 |
| 18-3, $62-66$ | 16.12 | 97.4 |
| 18-4,68-72 | 161.68 | 97.2 |
| 18-5, $64-68$ | 163.14 | 98.8 |
| 18-6, 20-24 | 164.2 | 93.2 |
| 18cc, 6-10 | 164.73 | 98.2 |
| 19-1,80-84 | 165.9 | 98.1 |
| 19-2,80-84 | 168.4 | 90.2 |
| 19-3, 80-84 | 169.9 | 93.8 |
| 19-4,80-84 | 171.4 | 94.2 |
| 19-5,80-84 | 172.9 | 89.8 |
| 19-6,14-16 | 173.74 | 98.6 |
| 190c, 10-14 | 174.19 | 87.8 |
| 20-1, 102-106 | 176.72 | 86.4 |
| 20-2, 80-84 | 178 | 93.6 |
| 20-3, 60-64 | 179.3 | 91.5 |
| 20-4, 80-84 | 181 | 86.2 |
| 20-5, 104-108 | 182.74 | 76.7 |
| 20-6, 110-104 | 184.3 | 75 |
| 20cc, 6-10 | 184.88 | 82.4 |
| 21-1, 90-94 | 186.2 | 94.4 |
| 21-2,90-94 | 187.7 | 64 |
| 21-3, 90-94 | 189.2 | 82 |
| 21-4, 80-84 | 190.6 | 77 |
| 21-5, 60-64 | 191.9 | 39 |
| 22-1, 90-94 | 195.8 | 84.4 |
| 22-2,90-94 | 197.3 | 75 |
| 22-3, 90-94 | 198.8 | 34 |
| 22-4, 100-104 | 200.7 | 31.1 |
| 22-5,80-84 | 201.7 | 11.6 |
| 22cc, 6-10 | 202.9 | 15 |
| 23-1,90-94 | 205.4 | 7 |
| 23-2,90-94 | 206.9 | 2.1 |
| 23-3, 100-104 | 208.5 | 76.2 |
| 33-4, 81-85 | 209.81 | 58 |
| 23-5, 100-104 | 211.5 | 83.5 |
| 3-6, 104-108 | 213.04 | 75.5 |
| $33 \infty, 10-14$ | 214.12 | 7 |
| 24-2,80-84 | 216.4 | 1.1 |
| 4-3, 110-114 | 218.2 | 1 |

C4r). In the Pliocene, two are recognized; planktonic foraminiferal Zones PL-3, PL-2 and PL-1 partium are dominated by dextrally coiled $N$. acostaensis (Fig. 4-6).
3) Globoquadrina dehiscens last occurred at 195.8 msb (sample 22-1, 90-94) below the Tortonian/Messinian boundary, juxtaposed with the LO of Gt. lenguaensis. The LO of the species was calibrated to 5.8 Ma below the Tortonian/Messinian boundary based on the last occurrences in the Pacific (Berggren et al., 1995). As illustrated in Fig. 4-8, these two LOs are far below the LOC, suggesting a pronounced diachrony.
4) The FO of Globorotalia margaritae has been distinguished at 174.2 msb (sample 19-CC, 10-14), within Subchronozone C3An. 2 n as observed in Hole 552A. A similar form which is not well keeled on the first few chambers in the last whorl, called Gt. cf. margaritae, first occurs immediately above the $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}$ boundary.
5) The presence of Gt. margaritae and Gt. primitiva (also called cibaoensis) at 137.1 msb (sample 15-4, 80-84) indicates Zone PL-1a in the revised zonal biostratigraphy of Berggren et al. (1995), suggesting an age older than $\sim 4.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Berggren et al., pers. comm.).
6) Gt. puncticulata first occurs at 132.8 msb (sample 15-1, 100-104), while Gt. crassaformis first occurred at 130.44 msb (14-CC, 10-14), only about $\sim 2$ meter above the former. I have not made further subdivisions for Zone PL-1 here, although it is possible to do so with these biodatums; and
7) Globorotalia suterae first occurs in sample 22-CC, 6-10 at 202.9 msb in C 4 Ar ( $\sim 9.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ ), about $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. older than that in Sale borehole where it occurs in Subchron C4n.1n( $\sim 7.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). Comparing with the age estimate of Berggren et al. (7.8 Ma; 1995), a distinct diachrony ( $\sim 1.7 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.) is present here.

Nannofossils: Nannofossil biostratigraphy in this hole was initially studied by Takayama and Sato (1987), and additional study followed by Gardner (1992). The nannofossil biostratigraphic schemes provided in these two studies are quite different for the Messinian boundaries. The Messinian/Pliocene boundary was placed between Samples

17-CC and 17-3, 46-47 by Takayama and Sato (1987), and at Sample 14-6, 46-47 ( 129.86 msb ) by Gardner (1992), respectively. Both assignments of the boundary were based on the presence of the LO of Discoaster quinqueramus they identified. This problem remains unsolved as I have no unwashed material available for further investigation of nannofossils in this study.

The T/M boundary was placed at the same level at 164.7 msb (Sample 18-CC) by both Takayama and Sato (1987) and Gardner (1992), defined by the FOs of Amaurolithus delicatus and $A$. primus. This level is contradictory to the T/M boundary suggested by the first occurrence of Globorotalia conomiozea ( 184.88 msb ), used to define the T/M boundary by planktonic foraminiferal means (see below).

Due to the difficulty of the placement of boundaries, the nannofossil data from Takayama and Sato (1987) have been re-interpreted by M.-P Aubry (pers. comm., 1994) based on the most recent concept of nannofossil zonation attributes for this study. With particular caution, Aubry interprets the section quite differently from Takayama and Sato (1987). First of all, she places a short hiatus at the NN11/NN12 zonal boundary, or slightly below (Fig. 4-7). I consider that this hiatus may be caused by intense carbonate dissolution which occurred in this interval. Another hiatus cutting off the entire Zone NN10 is recognized in the upper Tortonian by both Takayama and Sato (1987) and Aubry (pers. comm.). Thus the Zones NN11 and NN9 are unconformable based on the FO of Discoaster quinqueramus. However this hiatus was not reported by Gardner (1992). Second, she extends the Zone NN12 up to the top of Core 14 using the FO of Ceratolithus rugosus, rather than using the FO of Discoaster asymmetricus because it has been shown to be quite unreliable, yielding a thick Zone NN12 from Core 17-14. This assignment allows us to interpolate the $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}$ boundary in lower NN12 by using the definition of the Messinian/Pliocene boundary proposed by Berggren et al. (1995). The interval between the LO of $C$. rugosus and the LO of Amaurolithus delicatus could represent an undifferentiated

Fig. 4-7. Late Miocene and Pliocene nannofossil biostratigraphy of DSDP Site 608.
Paleomagnetic data are from Clement and Robinson, 1983 and re-interpreted in this study.
Back-slash bar in polarity column indicates low intensity of paleomagnetics. Nannofossil data are from Takayama and Sato, 1983. Left column biozonations are re-interpreted by Aubry (pers. comm., 1994) for this study, and right column zonations are given by Takayama and Sato, 1983. Shaded bars on left of the figure correspond to the zonal patterns on right.


Fig. 4-8. Depth-age plot of DSDP Hole 608. Paleomagnetic data from Clement and Robinson, 1983 are reinterpreted in this study.
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Fig. 4-9. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopic stratigraphy of the benthic foraminifera (Planulina wuellerstorfi) of DSDP Site 608. See Fig. 4-7 for detailed explanations.
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Zone NN13/14. Another undifferentiated Zone NN15/NN16 is suggested in Cores 13 and 12 by Aubry (pers. comm., 1993).

### 4.1.3.2 Stable isotopic stratigraphy

Oxygen stable isotopes: A significant depletion of oxygen stable isotope in benthic foraminifera (Fig. 4-10) is recognized in the interval from Chronozones C5 to the middle part of C4A (Cores 23, 24, and lower 22); this event was also determined by Miller et al., 1991. A major $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment is identified at 200.4 msb , probably indicating ice volume increase during the late Tortonian. Miller et al. (1991) defined this shift as Mi7 (Miocene Isotope Stage 7), a late Miocene $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ stage. In general, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values exhibit a tendency of increase during the Messinian (from 185 to 135.6 msb ).I identify $3 \mathrm{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichments in this interval at $171.4,148.3$, and 137.1 msb , respectively. These three events are probably equivalent to C3An.180.2, TG22? and TG14? as observed in Salé borehole (Hodell it al. (1994), and in the North Atlantic Ocean (Keigwin et al., 1987). The oxygen isotope values become depleted in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ by about $0.2 \%$ immediately above the Messinian/Pliocene boundary.

Planktonic foraminiferal oxygen isotopic data (G. bulloides, solid line; Fig. 4-10) display no pronounced $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion in the late Tortonian and no Stage Mi7 as recognized in benthic foraminifera. My data show that a general $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment starts near the Tortonian/Messinian boundary. Two distinct $8^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichments are observed in planktonic foraminifera within the Messinian, and they may be equivalent to TG22? and TG14?.I caution that Stage TG14? of the planktonic foraminifera seems to have a longer duration, which continues up across the Messinian/Pliocene boundary. Analogous to the benthic foraminifera, planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}$ O depleted rapidly above the Messinian/Pliocene boundary, indicating ice volume reduction and paleoclimate warming. It should be mentioned that a significant $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion both from planitonic (Orbulina, dash line; Fig.

Fig. 4-10. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopic stratigraphy of the planktonic foraminifera (Globigerina bulloides and Orbulina universa), DSDP Site 608. Solid lines represent Globigerina bulloides and dot lines represent Orbulina universa. Proper overlap between the two species is considered. See Fig. 4-7 for more details.
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$4-10$ ) and benthic foraminifera (Fig. 4-9) in the lower part (reversed) of the Gilbert could alsu be associated with strong carbonate dissolution in this interval.

Carbon Stable Isotopes: At Site 608, both benthic and planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals are characterized by high amplitude fluctuations in the selected section of this study. Three distinct $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ depleted shifts from benthic foraminifera have been observed in Cores 23, 20, and 18, respectively (Fig. 4-9). The former one occurred in the late Tortonian and the later two occurred in Messinian time. Similar shifts are also identified in planktonic foraminifera (Fig. 4-10), although the late Tortonian shift in Core 22 is not as clear as that in the benthic foraminifera. It is well known that during the Messinian only one major $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift has been recorded elsewhere in the world ocean immediately above the Tortonian/Messinian boundery. It is urique for Site 608 to have two major shifts. In comparison with my benthic foraminiferal study, I realize that the rapid $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ increasing in Cores 19 and 18 matches an intense carbonate dissolution interval indicated by high planktonic foraminiferal fragments, high benthic/planktonic ratios and low abundance of benthic foraminifera (see Chapter 7). This phenomenon suggests that the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ increase may have been caused by carbonate dissolution, and the shift in Core 18 may not be real. If the assumption is correct, then the shift in Core 20 could be real. I prefer the latter because the FO of Gt. conomiozea occurred in Core 20, which is considered to be most reliable marker of the Tortonian/Messinian boundary in the North Atlantic.

### 4.1.3.3. Magnetostratigraphy

The magnetostratigraphy was initially studied by DSDP drilling staffs Clement and Robinson (1987), and the results below Core 20, equivalent to the late Tortonian, were reinterpreted by Miller et al. (1991). More detailed magnetostratigraphic interpretation for the Messinian and lower Pliocene section was given by Gardner (1992). The latter interpretation was quite different from the initial study by Clement and Robinson (1987). According to Gardner (1992), Chronozone C3B marking the lowermost Messinian seems
to be missing. The interval from Core 14 to 16 contains magnetizations below the noise level of the cryogenic magnetometer, therefore, magnetostratigraphic zones are difficult to interpret.

In this study I have re-interpreted the magnetostratigraphy based on the previous studies and the most recent progress on geochronology (Berggren et al., 1995). My interpretation indicates that magnetostratigraphic records are complete, and no sedimentation breaks are present from the uppermost Tortonian to the lower Pliocene except for a possible small hiatus present within Chronozone C4Ar (Fig. 4-6). This interpretation is consistent with my planktonic foraminiferal and stable isotope stratigraphic studies.

### 4.1.3.4. Placement of Boundaries

As mentioned above, the Messinian/Pliocene boundary positions reported by Takayama and Sato (1987) and by Gardner (1992) are contradictory based on the nannofossil studies. The LO of Discoaster quinqueramus identified by Takayama and Sato is much lower than the LO of Gt. conomiozea/miotumida plexus, while that reported by Gardner (1992) is even higher than the FOs of Gt. puncticulata and Gt, crassaformis. Thus I suggest that the LO of $D$. quinqueramus appears to be unreliable in this hole. In this case, I present my observation, mainly relying upon my planktonic foraminiferal and stable isotope studies. Based on the LO of Gt. conomiozea/miotumida plexus that last occurred at the end of the Messinian, marking the Messinian/Pliocene boundary (Berggren, 1977; Berggren et al., 1995; Berggren, pers. comm.). and the remarkable $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment (TG14?), I would place the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary at 138.6 msb within Core 15 . The TG14 $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment is considered to be associated with latest Messinian climate deterioration and Mediterranean Salinity Crisis as observed in Hole 552A by Keigwin (1987). In addition, the S/D coiling shift of $N$. acostaensis between oxygen isotope stages TG14? and TG22? and overlying Pliocene taxa Gt. puncticulata and Gt. crassaformis first occurred above the

LO of Gt. conomiozea/miotumida can also be indirect supportives of my placement of the M/P boundary.

As mentioned above, the placement of the $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}$ boundary becomes rather difficult, because of the contradictory nannofossil (Takayama and Sato, 1987; Gardner, 1992) and planktonic foraminiferal data (this study), as well as the double $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts in the interval between Cores 18 and 20. Two boundaries are suggested by biostratigraphic data: Nannofossils suggest the boundary at the base of Core 18 , while planktonic foraminifera suggest it at the base of Core 20 . Interestingly, each boundary meets a major $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift. However I realize that the shift in Core 18 seems to be artifact because: 1) It falls completely within an intense carbonate dissolution interval. As reported by $\operatorname{Berger}$ (1970) and Berger et al. (1975), planktonic foraminifera dwell in surface oceanic water when they are young, and gradually migrate down to the depth as they grow. As a result, the early stages of the shells are depleted in oxygen-18 and enriched in carbon-13, while the later stage receives more oxygen-18 and less carbon-13 due to the change of water masses with depth. Based on this observation, $I$ assume that the carbonate dissolution could remove outer layers (or whorls) of foraminifera, either completely or partially, which could result in an altered signal since the deeper water ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ would be removed. It is also observed in the same interval in benthic foraminifera (Fig. 4-9), where smaller sized Planulina wuellerstorfi and Cibicidoides ( $>150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) are used for stable isotope measurements; and 2) Image processing using laser light distinctly shows that the planktonic foraminiferal shell (Protentelloides dalhousiei Zhang and Scott, 1995) bears a great number of micro-perforations in each single perforation (Kuang and Cada, submitted). These micro-perforations are not visible either in light microscope or scanning electronic microscope, because they are blocked by clay, mud or dust, but they are visible by removal of the fine particles with a specialized mathematic filter (Kuang and Cada, submitted). I speculate that these micro-perforations may play an important role in deep marine carbonate dissolution, and therefore effect the stable isotopic composition. If so,
then the carbon- 13 shift in Core 19 and 18 may be an artifact. and the real shift must be in Core 20. Interestingly, this speculation agrees with the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea and magnetostratigraphic data, (i.e., Chronozone C3Br). The FO of Globorotalia conomiozea falls within C3Br where the T/M boundary was proposed (Berggren et al., 1995). I, therefore, rely upon my investigation of planktonic foraminifera and place the T/M boundary at 184.88 msb , rather than that of nannofossils. Of course, further investigation for nannofossils may be needed.

### 4.1.4. DSDP Site 547A

### 4.1.4.1. Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy

No detailed planktonic foraminiferal or nannofossil biostratigraphy for the late Miocene and early Pliocene was reported by scientists during DSDP drilling of Leg 79, but was briefly mentioned by Winterer and Hinz (1984) and Hinz et al. (1984) in DSDP initial results. They reported that the uppermost Miocene rests unconformably on the middle Miocene. In this study, 37 samples from Cores 4 to 9 have been analyzed for planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, about 4-6 samples per core. My biostratigraphic results are plotted in Fig. 4-11, and age estimates are listed in Table 4-5, which forms an age-depth plot (Fig. 4-12) of the hole. No magnetostratigraphy or stable isotope stratigraphy were carried out for this interval during the DSDP drilling or in this study. The late Mioceneearly Pliocene section was extremely condensed and interrupted by several sedimentation gaps, resulting from carbonate dissolution and possible deep water crosion (see Discussion).

The FO of Gt. plesiotumida is observed at 149.9 msb (Sample 9-3, 90-94 cm). It is consistent with the others identified at other sites in this study. Gt. lenguaensis last occurred at 136.9 msb (Sample 8-1, 120-124 cm).

I have identified a number of important planktonic foraminiferal bioevents in Core 8. Gt. conomiozea is recorded in the interval from the middle Core 8 to the top of Core 6
(Fig. 4-11), bracketing the Messinian Stage. In Cores 8-6, several FOs of other species, such as Globorotalia pseudomiocenica, Globorotalia crassula, Globorotalia cf. exilis, Sphaeroidinella immatura are recognized. A single specimen of Gq. dehiscens, which occurred with a Cretaceous species Globotruncana in sample 6-1, $30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 117.8 msb ), is considered to have been reworked.

In Cores 3 through 5, faunal components are composed of Pliocene microfossils. Those fossils allow us to denote convincing biostratigraphic assignments for the Pliocene.

Based on these results, I place the Tortonian/Messinian and Messinian/Pliocene boundaries at 141.80 msb and 117.80 msb respectively marked by the FO and LO of Gt . conomiozea. The juxtaposition of the FO of Gt. conomiozea, the FO of Gt. margaritae, and the LO of Gt. suterae at 141.8 msb (Sample $8-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) indicates that the lower Messinian section and probably the uppermost Tortonian section are missing (see below for more discussion). The Messinian/Pliocene boundary is dashed because I have no other means to support as to whether or not the LO of Gt. conomiozea is true. According to the present study, the LO of Gt. conomiozea is usually diachronous in this area (refer to Salé borehole and DSDP Site 552A). However, the attribution of Messinian section is secure because Gt. conomiozea always occurs within the Messinian as so far I have ascertained. Sporadic Pliocene deposits are recognized in the upper section of the hole, which are interrupted by two hiatuses.

### 4.1.4.2. The hiatuses

Three hiatuses are recognized (Figs. 4-11 and 4-12). A distinct hiatus (H3) is present at the Tortcnian/Messinian boundary. This hiatus is suggested by the juxtaposition of the FO of Gt. conomiozea, the FO of Gt. margaritae, and the LO of Gt. suterae at 141.8 msb (Sample 8-4, 80-84cm) and may cut off the lower Messinian section and probably the uppermost Tortonian. As discussed above, the FO of Gt. conomiozea occurred within paleomagnetic Chronozone C3B (age estimate 7.12 Ma ), while the FO of Gt. margaritae

Fig. 4-11. Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of DSDP Hole $54^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$. Age estimates are from Berggren et al., 1995. S and D indicate coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis. Reversed T and T represent first and last occurrences, respectively. H indicates hiatus. * represents reworked specimens.


Fig. 4-12. Depth-age plot of DSDP Hole 547A. No paleomagenetic data are available at this site (See Table 4-5 for more details).
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Table 4-5. Biological stratigraphic events from DSDP Hole 547A. Age estimates were calibrated to the new time scale by Berggren et al. (1995). Age estimates with asterisks are calibrated to the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995) in this study. Age estimates with two asterisks are from Berggren et al., 1985 without calibration to the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995) as this has not been changed in the new time scale.

| Sample | Events | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{M})$ | Age estimates <br> (Ma) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3-1, 45-49 | FO Gt. tosaensis | 89.43 | $3.11^{* *}$ |
| $4-1,45-49$ | LO Gq. altispira | 98.95 | 43.09 |
| $4-1,45-49$ | LO Gt. margaritae | 98.95 | 3.58 |
| $4-1,45-49$ | LO G. nepenthes | 99.95 | 4.18 |
| $5-1,31-35$ | FO Gt. crassaformis | 108.31 | $4.3^{*}$ |
| $5-1,31-35$ | FO Gt. puncticulata | 108.31 | $4.6^{*}$ |
| $6-1,30-34$ | LO Gt. conomiozea | 11.80 | 5.32 |
| $8-1,40-44$ | LO Gt. lenguaensis | 136.90 | 6.0 |
| $8-4,80-84$ | FO Gt. margaritae | 141.80 | 6.0 |
| $8-4,80-84$ | FO Gt. conomiozea | 141.80 | 7.12 |
| $8-4,80-84$ | LO Gq. dehiscens | 141.80 | 5.8 |
| $8-5,20-24$ | FO Gt. suterae | 142.70 | 7.8 |
| $9-3,90-94$ | FO Gt. plesiotumida | 149.90 | 8.3 |

(6.0 Ma by Berggren et al., 1995; my datums indicates an age of ca. 6.3 Ma in this study) and the LO of Gt. suterae ( $\sim 6.4 \mathrm{Ma}$, this study) occurred within Chronozone C3An ( $\sim 6.4$ $\mathrm{Ma})$ in Holes 608, 552A, and Salé borehole. I emphasize that the FOs of $G t$. margaritae in Holes 608, 552A and Salé consistently occurred in Chronozone C3An at 6.3 Ma , about 0.3 m.y. older than that given by Berggren et al. (1995). If I use the age estimate of Gt. margaritae given by Berggren et al. (1995), then the duration of the hiatus is at least 1.12 m.y.(Fig. 4-12); if using the age estimate given in this study, then the duration is about $0.82 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. These data suggest that the lower Messinian is missing at Site 547A. The upper Tortonian section bracketed by the FO of Globorotalia plesiotumida (8.3 Ma, Berggren et al., 1995) at 149.9 msb (Sample $9-3,90-94 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and the FO of Gt. conomiozea is thin, only about 6.3 m thick (actual recovery) in over 1 million years. It seems to indicate that the section may be either partially missing or extremely condensed.

A possible short hiatus ( H 2 ) in the early Pliocene is suggested by the juxtaposition of the FOs of Gt. puncticulata and Gt. crassaformis, with a duration of 0.1 m.y., indicating that the Subzone PL-1b is probably missing. Although the FOs of Gt. puncticulata and Gt. crassaformis may not be reliable for global correlation due to the absence and delay in low latitudes (fide Berggren et al., 1995), these two datums in temperate areas appear to be suitable for regional stratigraphic correlation.

Hiatus $1(\mathrm{H} 1)$ is identified by the juxtaposition of the LO of Globigerina nepenthes, the LO of Gt. margaritae, and the LO of Globoquadrina altispira, which have been calibrated to $4.18 \mathrm{Ma}, 3.58 \mathrm{Ma}$, and 3.09 Ma , respectively as zonal markers of PL1b/PL2, PL2/PL3, and PL4/PL5 boundaries (Berggren et al., 1995). Hence, it is clear that the hiatus cut out at least three entire zones, i.e., PL2-PL4, with a duration of $1.09 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. I attribute these hiatuses to deep water production in the abyssal plain during the early Messinian and early Pliocene.

### 4.1.5. ODP Site 646B

### 4.1.5.1. Biostratigraphy

The Miocene-Pliocene biostratigraphy was studied by shipboard scientists at ODP Leg 105 (Knüttel et al., 1985; Aksu and Kaminski, 1989), and no further subdivision for the Messinian was given. I re-examined the first and last occurrences (FO and LO) of planktonic foraminifera from Cores 80 to 41 to determine the Tortonian/Messinian and Messinian/Pliocene boundaries. The dominant planktonic foraminiferal taxa at this location are Neogloboquadrina atlantica, $N$. pachyderma, Globigerina bulloides and Globorotalia scitula. These taxa yield poor stratigraphic resolution for the Messinian and earliest Pliocene intervals due to their long life spans. Globorotalia conomiozea, the critical marker for the Tortonian/Messinian boundary currently proposed by Berggren et al. (1995) is not present. However I have determined a major coiling change of Neogloboquadrina from dextral to sinistral at 734.10 msb (Sample 77X-5, 20-24), followed by a long interval of sinistral Neogloboquadrina usually up to Messinian/Pliocene boundary as seen at Holes 552A and 608. The first occurrence of the coiling change occurs slightly below the Tortonian/Messinian boundary within the paleomagnetic Chronozone C4n (upper Tortonian) in North Atlantic Ocean (DSDP Holes 552A, and 608, and Salé Borehole), although it could be slightly diachronous. Thus it may be important to consider it as a secondary criteria for the T/M boundary when the other markers are not available (Fig. 413).

Aksu and Kaminski (1989) reported that the FO of Globorotalia margaritae occurred in Sample 53X-CC (506.90 msb) and the LO in Sample 39X-5, 11-13 (368.12 msb ); the FO of Globorotalia puncticulata in Sample 41X-2, 26-30cm (383.08 msb), and the LO in sample 36X-1, 82-87cm ( 331.95 msb ) (Fig. 4-13). Unfortunately, I was unable to find these two taxa, possibly because of either low diversity or smaller-sized samples used in this study. These two taxa are useful in determining the upper section of the Messinian and early Pliocene.

Fig. 4-13. Stable isotopic, magneto- and biostratigraphy, ODP Hole 646B.
Magnetostratigraphy is re-interpreted after Clement et al., 1989; nannofossil data are from Knüttel et al., 1989; oxygen and carbon stable isotopes are after Aksu and Hillaire-Marcel, 1989; T and reversed T represent last and first occurrences of fauna and flora; Sr age estimates are conducted by Drs. Miller and Liu at Rutgers University. D and S represent right and left coiling.


Nannofossil biostratigraphy was studied by Knüttel et al. (1989), and the data are plotted here in support of my biostratigraphic interpretation (Fig. 4-13). The interval from Cores 80X to 76X was designated as nannofossil Zone NN10 by Knüttel et al. (1989), which was based on the FO of D. berggrenii at Core 80X and the FO of Discoaster quinqueramus at 721.44 msb (76X-CC). However, I caution that the FO of Discoaster quinqueramus may not be the real first occurrence because Discoaster berggrenii first occurred at a level of about 760 msb (Sample 80X-CC; Knüttel et al., 1989). According to Perch-Nielsen (1985), Bukry (1973, 1975), and Martini (1971), the FO of both $D$. quinqueramus and $D$. berggrenii marks the base of NN11. Under these circumstances, I would tentatively place the NN10/NN11 boundary in Sample 80X-CC at 760 msb .

From Cores 53 to 41, no certain nannofossı ${ }^{\text {onal }}$ assignments were made by Knüttel et al. (1989). They tentatively placed the NN11/NN12 boundary at 497.2 msb (Sample 53X-CC) using the LO of D. quinqueramus and NN12/NN13/14 boundary at 435.1 msb (Sample 46X-CC) indicated by a single occurrence of Ceratolithus acutus. The interval from Core 46 to $41 \mathrm{X}-4,43-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ was tentatively attributed to an undifferentiated Zone NN13/14 based on the FO of Ceratolithus rugosus at Sample 46X-2, $25-27 \mathrm{~cm}$. However, I realize that D. berggren tends rather consistently up to 49X-CC, which has much higher occurrence than D. quinqueramus. According to Perch-Nielsen (1985), D. berggrenii goes out at the end of NN11a before the extinction of $D$. quinqueramus. Concerning these observations, I would suggest that the NN11/NN12 boundary may be up to 462 msb (Sample 49X-CC), which is about 35 m higher than the boundary assignment given by Knüttel et al. (1989). Furthermore, these two species were also identified in Core 43X, although they were explained as reworking (Knüttel et al., 1989). In any case this interval seems to be extremely difficult to give definite zonal boundaies because of paucity of nannofossils, downslope transportation, and possibly down caved sediments in the poorly recovered section. Hence integrated chronology must be considered when zones are being constructed.

### 4.1.5.2. Stable isotopic stratigraphy

Although the $\left({ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{\beta 6} \mathrm{Sr}\right)$ values are relatively disordered, the values in the lower section between 757.8 and 699.7 msb still show somewhat constant increase upwards at an average rate of $7.4 \times 10^{-6} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$, which is similar to that from the Niue section in the Pacific ( $8.5 \times 10^{-6} / 10 \mathrm{~m}$; Aharon et al., 1993). Two samples (78X-CC, 26-30 and 78X-2, 1620) within the section exhibit values higher than the adjacent samples. My age estimates are calculaıs from the ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr} /{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ range of 7.25 Ma (Sample $80 \mathrm{X}-1,80-84$ ) to $6.30 \mathrm{Ma}(74 \mathrm{X}-$ $1,70-74$ ). Sample $76 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}$ was dated at 7.18 Ma , very close to the age of Tortonian/Messinian boundary ( 7.12 Ma ) based on the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995).
${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{\beta 6} \mathrm{Sr}$ ratios from the interval between 450.9 and 384.1 msb (Core 48X-2 to 41X-2) exhibit alternative changes (Table 3-1). These values vary from 0.709033 to 0.709062 , with general increase upwards, yielding ages ranging from 5.37 (with error $\pm 0.15 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) to 4.34 Ma (with error $\pm 0.42 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). In Sample $41 \mathrm{X}-2,130-134, \mathrm{Sr}$ exhibits a value of $0.709058 \pm 0.000008$. These disordered orientations of Sr values are considered to be caused either by sample contamination or by down-slope mass transportation, but I prefer to attribute it to the latter. In any case, a full consideration of all chronological tools must be used, and I should not completely rest upon the Sr data (Dr. Liu at Rutgers University, pers. comm.).

Carbon and oxygen isotopes: Stable isotopes were analyzed by Aksu and HillaireMarcel (1989) using $N$. atlantica for entire Messinian section chosen in this study. As shown in Fig. 4-13, a distinct decrease in $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ occurred in Core 75 at level of 709.15 msb , a decreasing from -0.7 to $-1.4 \%$. This shift is probably correlative with those from Hole 552A, the Morocco borehole, and other oceans where a global $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift is recognized (Keigwin, 1987; Hodell et al., 1986). The light values continue up to the top of Core 50 X without significant fluctuations, followed by a relative increase of $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 471.5 msb.

For oxygen stable isotopes, three intervals of major $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ events were obtained from the Messinian section: 1) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment shift increased by about $2 \%$ at 730 msb (Core 77X). It appears to be related to the coiling shift of $N$. atlantica at 734 msb . Aksu and Hillaire-Marcel (1989) suggested that the increase may represent a late Miocene cooling in the surface waters in the Labrador Sea, with little or no long-term continental ice storage. I attribute this enrichment to the global cooling at the beginning of the Messinian as observed elsewhere in the world ocean (e.g., Keigw:- 1987; Hodell et al., 1986; Hodell et al., 1994); 2) In the interval from 471 to 421 msb (Cores 50X to 45X) there is an enrichment of about $2 \%$ which may be associated with the latest Miocene glaciation found in Hole 552A and Morocco at 5.6 Ma , as interpreted by Aksu and Hillaire-Marcel (1989); and 3) There is a $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ decrease of about $2 \%$ above 421 msb (Core 45). I attribute this shift to the global warming across the Messinian/Pliocene boundary.

### 4.1.5.3. Magnetostratigraphy

The magnetostratigraphic data used here are from Clement et al. (1989). The polarity interpretations are based on the inclination data alone by Clement et al. (1989). I must caution that the magnetic polarities may not be reliable without the support of other stratigraphic means because of the cyclic turbidite features in this hole during the Messinian (Chapter 5, this thesis). Here I re-interpreted the polarities based on currently available strontium dating (Fig. 4-13). The polarity sequence from 620 to 700 msb was correlated with Chronozone C4 to the top of Chronozone C4An. Chr isce e C3A (Chron 5) was considered to be missing (Clement et al., 1989). My Sr a..ting ; ; cates that the sequence from Core 62 to 80 belongs to Chronozone C 3 , including Chrons 5 and 6 , equivalent to the lower Messinian and the uppermost Tortonian.

### 4.1.5.4. Boundary Placement

Neither the Tortonian/Messinian nor the Messinian/Pliocene boundaries can be easily assigned because of the downslope transportation during the Messinian Stage (See Chapter 5, this thesis). To provide a better solution, I place the T/M boundary between Core 75X and 78X within Chron 6. This placement may be supported by the following observations: 1$)^{87} \mathrm{Sr} /{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ age estimate ranges from 7.07 to 7.18 Ma in Cores 77 X and 76X; 2) Chron $6 \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift at Core 75 X . As seen elsewhere, Chron $6 \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift is not situated exactly at the T/M boundary, but slightly above it (see DSDP Hole 552A and Moroccan Salé borehole, this study); 3) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment in Core 77 X ; 4) Neogloboquadrina coiling change from the dextral to sinistral, which occurs immediately below the $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}$ boundary as seen in Hoie 552, Hole 608 and Moroccan Salé borehole; and 5) The FO of $D$. berggrenii occurred in Core 76X, which is obviously delayed (Fig. 4-14; Table 4-6) as a result of down-slope transportation (Chapter 5, this thesis). The FO of Globorotalia conomiozea marking the base of the Messinian is absent at this location. I consider that the assignment of the T/M boundary for this hole is relatively solid, and consistent with the other placement of the $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{M}$ boundaries in other holes in this study.

The Messinian/Pliocene is tentatively suggested between Cores 46X and 50X in C3r (lower Gilbert reverse) based on the following observations: 1) The LO of $D$. berggrenii in Sample 49X-CC. It must be mentioned that this event may stratigraphically yield a lower $M / P$ boundary relative to that of $D$. quinqueramis, because the former is a zonal marker separating Subzones NN11a and NN11b (Perch-Nielsen, 1985); 2) The Sr age of 5.37 Ma (Sample $46 \mathrm{X}-3,30-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with a value of $3.709033 \pm 0.0000007$. McKenzie et al. (1988) reported a mean ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ value of $0.708995 \pm 0.00002$ derived from 5 samples at the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ bcundary of the Capo Rossello stratotype, which is significantly different from that given by Farrell et al. (1995). Farrell et al. reported a ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ ratio value of about 0.70904 at the M/P boundary from ODP Site 758 in the Indian Ocean by

Fig. 4-14. Depth-age plot of ODP Site 646B. Age estimates are from Berggren et al.[1995] and Benson et al. (in press) (see Table 2 for details).

Table 4-6. Age estimates of nannofossils from Berggren et al. (1995) and Benson et al. (in press) and strontium stable isotopes.

using the new time scale of Berggren et al. (1995). $\mathrm{My}^{87} \mathrm{Sr}{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ is obviously simiiar to that of Farrell et al. (1995). Strict attention must be adhered to when the Sr age estimates are undertaken as constraints of the boundary because of the poor results obtained from this interval; 3) the interpolation of the age of the FO of G. margaritae ( 6.0 Ma of Berggren et al., 1995) at 506.9 msb and FO of G. puncticulata (4.6 Ma of Berggren et al., 1995) at 383.08 msb ; and 4) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion above Core 45 X and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment in the interval from Core 47X to 46X. These isotope events are basically identical to those observed in Holes 552A and 608, and other locations in the world ocean (Hodell et al., 1986). My boundary assignment is higher than those of Knüttel et al., 1989 and Baldauf et al., 1989, who placed the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary in Core 53 X and 52 X , respectively.

### 4.2. Discussion

### 4.2.1. Diachroneity and Synchroneity of Planktonic Foraminifera

As discussed previously, DSDP/ODP Sites 552A, 608, 646B and Salé Moroccan borehole yield ideal planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy and stable isotope stratigraphy for the early Messinian section, except for the Messinian section in which poor paleomagnetic records were obtained. This provides a base line for assessment of future biostratigraphic study, and allows tentative evaluation of the degree of diachrony and synchrony of the planktonic foraminifera in the North Atlantic Ocean. Some important planktonic foraminiferal events are critical in determining the Messinian boundaries; my planktonic foraminiferal datums calibrated to the new geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS), using Berggren et al. (1995), are plotted in Fig. 4-15, with deep-water site arrangement from north to south to facilitate comparison.

Globoquadrina dehiscens, a morphologically diagnostic species occurs in three DSDP holes, i.e., 547, 608 and 552A. It is not identified in Salé borehole, which may imply tt: - Gq. dehiscens has an earlier extinction than those in other sites. According to the calibration given by Berggren et al. (1995) the LO of Gq. dehiscens has age estimate of 5.8

Fig. 4-15. Diachrony and synchrony of selected late Miocene and early Pliocene planktonic foraminiferal taxa from DSDP Holes 552A, 608,547A and Salé borehole of Morocco.


Ma near the top of Chronozone C3An, below the Messinian/Pliocene boundary based on the last occurrences in the Pacific (Berggren et al., 1995), and in the South Atlantic (Rio Grande Rise) (Berggren, 1977, 1983). In my material, the LO of the species may be calibrated to C4Ar, with age estimate of 8.6 Ma . As indicated in Figs. 4-3 and 4-4, the LO is far below the LOC, suggesting a remarkable diachrony. However at Sites 547A and 552A, the LOs are obviously truncated by hiatuses (Fig. 4-15). No age for the LO in Hole 547A can be estimated due to a relatively large hiatus that cuts off the interval from the uppermost Tortonian and lower Tessinian.

Globorotalia lenguaensis occurs in four boreholes but not at ODP Site 646B. This species has received attention the ate Miocene stratigraphic purposes because of its diagnostic morphology and geographically widespread distribution. The LO of the species was calibrated to the top of Chronozone C4An in Hole 519 (South Atlantic) by Poore et al. (1983). However, the most recent studies have calibrated it to the lower part of Subchronozone C3An.1n, yielding an age estimate of 6.0 Ma in ODP Hole 840 (Tongan Platform) (Chaproniere et al., 1994) and ODP Hole 806B in Ontong-Java Plateau equatorial west Pacific (fide Berggren et al., 1995), as well as Rio Grande Rise (Berggren, 1977). In Gulf of Mexico boreholes E66-73 and E68-136, the LO of Gt. lenguaensis is juxtaposed with the FO of Gt. plesiotumida within nannofossil Zone NN10 (Zhang et al., 1993; Aubry, 1993). In comparison with the age estimate given by Berggren et al. (1995), the LO found in my three locations is far below the LOC (Figs. 4-2, 4-4, 4-8 and 4-15) and below the FO of Gt. margaritae, while in Hole 547A it is found in the late Messinian above the FO of Gt. margaritae, showing a noticeable diachrony. In addition I also realized that the LO of this species in my material occurs in different chronozones, for instance, in C 3 Br in Salé borehole, C4n in Hole 552A, and C4r in Hole 608. At ODP Site 840 in the Pacific Ocean, this LO is found within the upper Chronozone C3An.1n (Chaproniere et al., 1994). However, Kennett and Srinivasan (1983) reported that it occurs in planktonic foraminiferal Zone 17A, which is similar to my observation. Nevertheless, my observation in this study
yields similar agreement with the identification of Zhang et al. (1993) in Gulf of Mexico and the observation in South Atlantic by Poore et al. $(1983,1984)$, where it was calibrated to C4ANy ( $\sim 8.7 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). Although the LO may not be considered as a helpful bioevent in stratigraphic assignment due to possible diachrony (Fig. 4-2), it may provide a secondary criterion in determining the Messinian Stage.

Globorotalia conomiozea first occurs constantly in the middle Chronozone C3Br at my locations, except for the DSDP Hole 552A where it first occurs at the top of C3Br. As mentioned previously, Krijgsman et al. (1994) calibrated the FO of the species to Chronozone C3Br.1r in Crete of the Eastern Mediterranean with an astrochronologic age estimate of 7.1 Ma. The age of the FO adopted by Berggren et al. (1995) is 7.12 Ma, which is basically identical to the age estimate of Krijgsman et al. (1994). In terms of the occurrences of Gt. conomiozea in the North Atlantic and the Mediterranean region, I suggest that the FOs of the species are synchronous and can be used as critical markers of the T/M boundary, although the significance of global correlation is uncertain due to the delayed occurrence ( 6.05 Ma ) in the southwest Pacific Ocean as reported by Roberts et al. (1994), and South Pacific Ocean reported by Hodell and Kennett (1986). However, Berggren has cautioned that the forms from the North Atlantic and South Pacific are probably not identical in morphology (pers comm., 1993). In addition, the LO of $G t$. conomiozea is obviously diachronous as shown in Fig. 4-15. It ranges from the middle Chronozone C3An (Salé borehole) to the middle Gilbert (e.g., Site 608). I do not find other similar extinctions of Gt. conomiozea in DSDP Holes 552A and 608. The extinction in Salé borehole is about 0.23 m.y. earlier than that in Hole 552A, while in Hole 608 the LO is at the M/P boundary. Gt. conomiozea in Salé disappeared about $0.23 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. earlier than that in Hole 552A. Therefore, in stratigraphic point of view, it cannot be considered as a useful stratigraphic bioevent.

Globorotalia margaritae s.l. includes several subspecies i.e., Gt. margaritae primitiva, Gt. praemargaritae, Gt. margaritae margaritae, and Gt. margariae evoluta. The
detailed Gt. margaritae phylogenetic lineage was discussed by Benson et al. (in press) and Cita (1973). This species was originally described from the upper Miocene, Las Hernandez, a local equivalent of Cubagua Formation, Venezuela (Bolli and Bermúdez, 1965). In this paper I will discuss the Gt. margaritae margaritae (Gt. margaritae s.s.) since this is considered to be the most important component in margaritae gioup in Messinian stratigraphic study. Gt. margaritae s.s. in my DSDF Hole 552A, 608 and Salé borehole first consistently occurs in Chronozone C3An.2n (Fig. 4.15), which coincides with that in ODP Hole 8iv of Tong Platform (Chaproniere et al., 1994). No distinct diachrony has been detected. In Hole 547A, it is truncated by a hiatus which occurred in the lower Messinian, and thus certain attribution of age is not known (Fig. 4-15). The FO was also reported to occur in C3An.1r by Benson et al. (in preparation) in Bou Regreg, Morocco, which is slightly higher than my records. In the Mediterranean Sea, the FO of Gt. margaritae s.s. occurs in the lowermost part of the Gilbert reversal at DSDP Site 132, corresponding to the upper part of Ceratolithus tricorniculatus zone (Cita, 1973, 1976), and its total range zone was attributed to the lowermost Pliocene known as the Trubi Formation (Cita, 1975). Similarly, Langereis and Hilgen (1991) reported that the FO of the species in the Mediterranean Sea is immediately above the base of Zanclean in the middle of Thvera at 4.93 Ma . It is evident that the FO of the species shows a remarkable diachrony between the Mediterranean and open Atlantic Ocean. The lack of the species in the upper Messinian in the Mediterranean Sea could be related either to the limited Atlantic inflow into the Mediterranean basin or to the extremely constrained paleoenvironment during the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis, which probably has led to the salinity crisis in the Mediterranean. In addition, my records suggest that the FO of Gt. margaritae may serve as a zonal marker to define the middle C3An in the North Atlantic Ocean, and that the most recent calibration of the FO of the species to the middle of C3An. $1 \mathrm{r}(\sim 6.2 \mathrm{Ma})$ given by Berggren et al. (1995) is reasonable for the North Atlantic Ocean.

At my locations, I recognize that the FO of Gt. puncticulata is slightly earlier than that of Gt. crassaformis, in agreement with its original phylogenetic pattern (Fig. 4-15). I have not observed the first occurrence of Gt. crassaformis prior to Gt. puncticulata, or simultaneous first occurrences as reported by various workers (e.g., Keigwin, 1982; Thunell, 1981; Keller, 1978; Weaver and Clement, 1987; Scott, 1982; Hornibrook et al., 1989). I, therefore, suggest that this may serve as an important zonal bioevent in separating the subzones of the lowermost Pliocene Zone PL-1 in my study area, even if it is not global.

### 4.3. Summary

Four DSDP/ODP Holes (552A, 646B, 608, and 547A) from the North Atlantic Ocean and one land borehole from Morocco were studied for integrated bio-, magneto-, and stable isotope Messinian stratigraphic study with high resolution sampling. In general, my results show that the Tortonian/Messinian boundaries in all holes are well controlled with bio, magneto- and stable isotopic events, while the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{P}$ boundary is relatively difficult to determine based on any singie mean of chronological dating. Major conclusions are given as follows:

1) In Salé Borehole, Morocco, the Tortonian/Messinian boundary is located at 139.05 m (sample B72), mainly defined by the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea, with an age estimate of 7.12 Ma . The Pliocene/Messinian boundary is interpolated between S series samples S 7 and S 11 at $\sim 10 \mathrm{~m}$ below surface just above the LO of Gt. plesiotumida. This is in agreement with that given by Hodell et al. (1994).
2) In DSDP Hole 552A, the Tortonian/Messinian boundary is defined on the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea s.s. at 150.16 msb in Chronozone C3Br. The Fliocene/Messinian boundary is interpolated based on several pieces of indirect evidence, namely 1) The FO of Gt. puncticulata, 2) TG14 oxygen isotope stage at 106 msb , and 3) Using my age-depth plot (Fig. 3).
3) In DSDP Hole 608, the Messinian/Pliocene boundary is placed at 138.6 msb within Core 15 , mainly relying upon the LO of Gt. conomiozea/miotumida plexus and the marked $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment (TG14?). The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is placed at the FO of Gt. conomiozea withir Core 20 at 184.88 msb . A small hiatus may be present within the Messinian (between Cores 18 and 17) as suggested by nannofossils, where a strong carbonate dissolytion has taken place.
4) In DSDP Hole 547A, the condensed Messinian section is bracketed by the FO and LO of Gt. conomiozea, ranging from the middle of Core 8 to the top of Core 6 . Three niatuses are identified in this hole, one in the Messinian, which separates the upper Messinian from the upper Tortonian; and two in the Pliocene.
5) Neither the Tortonian/Messinian nor the Messinian/Pliocene boundaries in ODP Hole 646B can be easily assigned because of rare foraminifera markers and the downslope transportation during the Messinian Stage. The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is placed between Core 75 X and 78 , using ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr} /{ }^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ age estimate, Chron $6 \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift at Core 75 X , $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment in Core 77X, Neogloboquadrina coiling change from the dextral to sinistral, and the FO of $D$. berggrenii occurred in Core 76X.

The Messinian/Pliocene boundary is tentatively suggested between Cores 46X and 50X, based on the following observations: 1) The LO of $D$. berggrenii in Sample 49X-CC, 2) The Sr age of $5.37 \mathrm{Ma}, 3$ ) The interpolation of the FO of $G$. margaritae at 506.9 msb and FO of G. puncticulata at 383.08 msb ; and 4) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion above Core 45 X and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment.

Diachrony and synchrony of some important Messinian planktonic foraminifera from these Atlantic_DSDP/ODP holes and Salé borehole, such as the LO of Gq. dehiscens, the LO of Gt. lenguaensis, the FO and LO of Gt. conomiozea, the FO of Gt. margaritae s.s., the FO of Gt. puncticulata, and the FO of Gt. crassaformis are discussed for understanding some of the paleoceanographic changes. This stratigraphy now permits us to compare intra-Mediterranean paleoceanographic changes with those in the open Atlantic

Ocean to determine what effect the Mediterranean salinity crisis had on deep-water paleoceanography during the Messinian Stage.

## CHAPTER 5

# MESSINIAN DEEP-WATER TURBIDITES AND GLACIOEUSTATIC SEÁ-LEVEL CHANGES IN THE NORTH ATLANTIC: LINKAGE TO THE MEDITERRANEAN SALINITY CRISIS 

### 5.1. Deep-water turbidite deposits

Deep water turbidites are characterized by marsh foraminifera and terrigenous deposits, and occur in 9 discrete layers throughout the Messinian and lower part of Pliocene at Site 646B (Fig. 5-1).

Pliocene turbidite cycle $1\left(\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathbb{L} 1\right.$ ) includes 5 samples ( $42 \mathrm{X}-1,100-104 \mathrm{~cm}$ through $43 \mathrm{X}-2,50-54 \mathrm{~cm} ; 392$ to 402.7 msb . Benthic foraminifera are relatively rare, dominated by small Trochammina cf. squamata, which ranges from $20 \%$ up to $53 \%$ of the total fauna. Calcareous foraminifera are small, thin-shelled, and filled with pyrite. This layer is composed of abundant mica, and very fine, grey silts or clay, with gypsum crystals. The sediments represent typical deltaic deposits formed in an organic-rich environment. A few deep-water species are present, (e.g., Eponides weddellensis, Melonis barleeanum; Epistomine lla exigua) at low percentages. These may have been mixed with the shallow water forms during the down-slope transport.

Layar 2 (i.e., Messinian turbidite cycle MTL 1) includes 7 samples (45X-CC, 2024 cm to $48 \mathrm{X}-1,110-114 \mathrm{~cm} ; 420.7$ to 450.2 msb ). This layer is dominated $T$. cf. squamata (up to $55 \%$ ) and Ammotium sp. A (up to $\sim 5 \%$ ). Oxidized plant fragments are common. Some highly rounded and polished quartz grains ( $>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter) and benthic foraminiferal shells occur at the base of the layer. All these indicate transport from a shallow organic rich paleoenvironment. Eponides weddellensis and Melonis barleeanum are found in low percentages in this interval.

Layer 3 (MTL 2) contains 5 samples (52X-CC, 20-24 c"1 to 55X-2, 80-84 cm; 489.62 to 518.8 msb ). This interval is dominated by Trochammina cf. squamata ( $70 \%$ to $95 \%$ ), and about $2 \%$ Ammotium sp. A. Calcareous foraminifera occur at less than 1\%. In Sample $54 \mathrm{X}-1,140-144 \mathrm{~cm}$, we also found an oxidized, but well preserved piant seed (plate 1, fig. 17) and quartz grains ( $>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter). Oxidized grass/wood fragments are highly concentrated; these materials originated in a shallow water, organic rich paleoenvironment.

Layer 4 (MTL 3) includes 4 samples ( $57 \mathrm{X}-3,40-44 \mathrm{~cm}, 57 \mathrm{X}-4,22-26 \mathrm{~cm}, 57 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}$, $20-24$, and $58 \mathrm{X}-1,50-54 \mathrm{~cm} ; 539.2$ to 546 msb ). This layer is dominated by $T$. cf. squamata ( $\sim 51 \%$ in average and maximum $83.6 \%$ ), with a deep-water agglutinated form Rhizammina spp. (varying from $4 \%$ to $27 \%$ ) and a few deep-water calcareous benthic foraminifera such as Eponides weddellensis and Melonis barlueanum.

Layer 5 (MTL 4; 62X-2, $50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $62 \mathrm{X}-3,80-84 \mathrm{~cm} ; 585.6$ to 587.4 msb ). T. cf. squamata is $66.8 \%$ in Sample $62 \mathrm{X}-2,50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $27.3 \%$ in sample $62 \mathrm{X}-3,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$. Ammotium sp. A reaches $10 \%$ in the former sample. Deep-water agglutinated and calcareous species include Rhizammina spp., Psammosphaera spp., Lagenammina spp., Eponides weddellensis, Melonis barlecanum, Eponides tumidulus, etc. Oxidized plant fragments are present.

Layer 6 (MTL 5) occupies in entire Core 65X ( 613.3 to 622.05 msb ), and is characterized by marsh foraminifera, T. cf. squamata and Ammotium sp. A, with a maximum value of $\sim 94 \%$, with rare calcareous benthic foraminifera such as Eponides weddellensis, Melonis spp., Oridorsalis umbonatus, Eponides tumidulus, Epistominelln exigua, Gyroidina soldani etc. Oxidized plant fragments are common, and a large wood fragment ( $>1 \mathrm{~cm}$ long) occurs at the base of the layer.

Layer 7 (MTL 6) occurs in 3 samples in the lower part of Core 67 X ( $67 \mathrm{X}-3,40-44 \mathrm{~cm}$, $67 \mathrm{X}-5,50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $67 \mathrm{X}-6,50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$; from 635.2 msb to 639.8 msb ). It is dominated by T. cf. squamata varying from 41 to $81 \%$. Rhizammina spp. is rare ( $4 \%$ on average). A
deep-water Trochammina sp. is common (from 7-24\%), and calcareous benthic foraminifera are rare. Oxidized plant fragments and gypsum crystals are present.

Layer 8 (MTL 7), from 661.55 msb to 682.71 msb , spans more than two cores (70X$\mathrm{CC}, 20-24 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $72 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}, 6-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Faunas are cominated by $T$. cf. squamata, with a maximum of $99 \%$. Ammotium sp. A is rare, less than $1 \%$. Wood fragments, oxidized grass/plant fragments and plant fruit (plate 1, fig. 16) are identified in this interval.

Layer 9 (MTL 8), from 703.8 msb to 734.1 msb , (Cores 74X to 77X). This layer is dominated by T. cf. squamata, which varies from $90 \%$ to $100 \%$. Mica and oxidized grass/plant fragments are highly concentrated. Calcareous benthic and planktonic foraminifera are extremely rare.

Turbidites are usually recognized by graded bedding, sediment components, and tractional structures. Typical turbidite sequences are known as Bouma sequences, which consist of 5 divisions, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and E , as function of grain size change from coarse (bottom) to fine (top). However, in the most cases, a complete Bouma sequence is not recorded, especially in distal sequences. From the micropaleontological point of view, all our turbidite layers are characterized by a well stratified two-layer structure, a mixed faunal layer below, with a marsh faunal layer capping the sequence (see Chapter 3), thus suggesting a turbidite origin with the various turbidite packages separated by hemipelagic sediments that have a strictly deep-water fauna. The deep-water faunal layer has Eponides weddellensis, Melonis spp., Gyroidina soldani, Uvigerina spp., Globocassidulina subglobosa, Pullenia bulloides etc., with extremely rare shallow water components; the mixed layer contains mainly deep-water fauna as given above, with lesser amounts of shallow water agglutinated forms such as T. cf. squamata and Ammotium sp. A., which may vary from $10 \%$ to $50 \%$; and the marsh faunal assemblage is dominated by $T$. cf. squamata and Ammotium sp. A ( $60 \%$ to $100 \%$ ). These repeated three-layer packages throughout the hole strongly suggest turbidite origin for the mixed and marsh foraminiferal layers. We suggest that the deep-water faunal layer is formed during high sea-level periods,
and the latter two are formed by down slope transportation from the subaerially exposed continental shelf edge off southwest Greenland, where the marsh foraminifera dwelled (Fig. 5-1). Chaotic lithological textures in the interval bracketed by reflectors R2 and R3/4 (lithclogical Unit 3, now known as an equivalent to the Messinian) were confirmed by acoustic signals of multichannel seisınic reflections reported by Arthur et al. (1989; fig. 9 of p. 967 ), suggesting the presence of turbidites during Messinian time.

It is difficult to determine exactly how many turbidite flows in each layer based on the homogenous sedimentary structure, log data, faunal compositions, and the poor core recovery. The turbidite layers vary considerably in thickness (recovered thicknesses ranging from 1 m to 12 m ). As distal phases of turbidites, their thicknesses suggest that each layer certainly contains numerous turbidite flows that formed during lower sea-level stands.

In ODP Site 646B, the typical Bouma sequence does not exist, because the sediment compoLents are relatively homogenous, and mainly composed of clay (Srivastava et al., 1989a, pt. 1, p. 488, f.g. 54; Srivastava et al., 1989b). In the layer 2, coarser sediments such as polished quartz grains and rounded, large shallow-water benthic foraminiferal shells occur at the base of the layer, while finer components at the top, indicating fining upwards. Younger turbidites (early Pliocene) at this site have parallel- and cross laminated siliciclastic silts, but those are attributed to traction transportation by vigorous bottom currents (contour currents) in the vicinity of Eirik Ridge (Hiscott et al., 1989).

Significant changes at Site 646 in texture of the Messinian section were observed and considered to be related to an intensification of deep-water currents (Cremer, 1989). Similar conclusions on the association with active deep-water circulation were also suggested by Kaminski et al. (1989) based on the presence of epibenthic suspensionfeeders, Rhizammina, which strongly dominated in lithological Unit 3 (equivalent to the Messinian) at this site. Wolf (1991) also interpreted ciianges in benthic foraminifera from this site in terms of fluctuations in the intensity and composition of the Denmark Strait


Fig. 5-1. Schematic diagram of southern Greenland margin with both high and luw sea-level stands and suggested sediment mechanisms for deep-sea turbidites at ODP Site 646 B . A =high sea-level stand, and $B=l o w$ sea-level stand.

Overflow. Suspension feeding communities require gentle bottom currents to bring them food, and they may be common in the area of contnur currents (Schröder, 1986).

However, when currents are too strong, these epifaunal tubular forms will be the first to be transported (Kaminski et al., 1988a). Concentrations of these forms (Rhizammina) also occur in laminated fine grained turbidites (Kaminski et al., 1988a). Our benthic foraminiferal data show that Rhizammina is concentrated in mixed faunal layers, rather than in deep-water faunal layers which presumably formed in relatively stable environments during high sea-level stands. Scott et al. (1989) saw similar sequences in the Quaternary of Baffin Bay (site surveys for ODP L.eg 105, Site 645), where there were turbidite layers, hemipelagic and then a layer of sediments with only Rhizammina algaeformis linings - this sequence repeated several times. The turbidites did not have marsh foraminifera however beca.se the Quaternary turbidites were fed by glacial debris, not slumping of coastal deposits as we see at Site 646B in the Messinian. Thus we suggest the Rhizammina assemblage to be more likely an association with some down-slope sediment transportation controlled by sea-level fluctuations through the submarine channel in the southwest of Greeniand (Arthur et al., 1989). This transportation may provide sufficient food for the suspension feeders. We speculate that at Site 646 B , the deep-water circulation may play a less important role in the occurrence of Rhizammina - the tubular forms which appear to characterize disturbed sites, but display a poor ability to quickly recolonise substrate (Kaminski et al., 1988b).

### 5.2. Correlation between turbidite layers and glacioeustatic sea-level fluctuations

Maybe one of the most important aspects of the turbidites at Site 646B is their origin and the implication for sea level during the late Miocene. The foraminifera occurring in these turbidite layers are salt marsh foraminifera which have been demonstrated to have an extremely narrow range vertically ( $\pm 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with respect to sea level, and thus provide the
most accurate means of relocating ancient sea level when found "in situ" (Scott and Medioli, 1978, 1980, 1986). During high stands of sea level, carbon (and sediments) are trapped on the continental shelves and the coastal zone is remote to the shelf edge (Fig. 5-1) if we assume a continental margin configuration for Greenland during the Messinian is similar to the present. Hence to have marginal marine foraminiferal fauna in turbidites, sea level must have been $80-100 \mathrm{~m}$ lower to expose the shelf edge, to allow salt marsh formation near the edge (Scott and Medioli, 1986). If sea level fluctuated between the present shoreline and the outer shelf as it does now off southern Greenland, then the presence of the marsh foraminifera in deep-sea turbidites during sea-level low stands suggests the amplitude of sea-level change to have been about 80-100 m . Although clearly the sea level was not at the present Quaternary shoreline in the Miocene, it is reasonable to assume there was a continental shelf in the Miocene with a similar morphology (i.e., wide platform) which when emerged rapi. Ily would have had coastal deposits at the edge of the shelf with an $80-100 \mathrm{~m}$ sea-level lowering. This is why we think these data provide such an accurate measure of sea-level amplitude. In a similar setting in the Indian Ocean (ODP Leg 116, Site 717), there were many terrestrial plant fragments and some shallow water foraminifera, but never as many as at Site 646B (Scott and Leger, 1990) because sea level was probably not at the shelf edge at that location.

To understand the cause of the deep-water turbidite layers at Site 646B, we compare them with the oxygen isotopes of Globigerina bulloides, a surface water dweller, from DSDP Site 552A where a complete, high-resolution oxygen isotope record for the Messinian interval has been obtained (Keigwin, 1987). A smoothed $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ curve from the curve with three-point running average is plotted in Fig. 5-2 against the turbidite layers from ODP Site 646B. This smoothed curve displays nine major episodes of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment (Fig. 5-2), which may correspond to the deep-water turbidite layers. Positive $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ excursions are proxies of cooling and sea-level lowering resulting from increase in

Fig. 5-2. Correlaf:on between the deep-water turbidite layers in Site 646B and oxygen stable isotope enrichment excursions in DSDP Site 552A. Oxygen stable isotopes from Globigerina bulloides were done by Keigwin (1987). Smoothed $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ cycles were obtained from three-point running average curve given by Keigwin (1987). MTL = Messinian turbidite layers. See figure 2 for legends of lithologic section, ODP Site 646B.
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the size of polar ice caps, which is in concert with the turbidite origin of an exposed Greenland shelf.

Using the late Quaternary $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ sea level calibration $\left(0.11 \% o \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}\right.$ per 10 m of sea-level change (Fairbanks and Mathews, 1978; Miller et al., 1987), Keigwin (1987) suggested that a $0.6 \%$ benthic foraminiferal positive shift oxygen isotopes may correspond to 55 m sea-level lowering in the latest Miocene. He noted that this is a minimum estimate, because the unsmoothed $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ data show a 0.75 amplitude for the 5.55 Ma glacial event ( 4.8 Ma event of Keigwin, 1987), which would yield 68 m sea-level lowering. The amplitudes of other enriched benthic $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ peaks at Site 552A vary from 4-5.5\%o (Keigwin, 1987), suggesting $36-50 \mathrm{~m}$ sea-level lowerings. Similar estimates of late Miocene global sea-level lowering ( $40-70 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were derived in southern Spain (Berggren and Haq, 1976), New Zealand (Kennett, 196?b; Roberts et al., 1994), Australia (Carier, 1978), Pacific islands (Adams et al., 1979), Atlantic coastal plain of North America (Adams et al., 1977), Mediterranean region (Hsü et al., 1973a, b; Cita and Ryan, 1979; McKenzie et al., 1979; Müller and Hsü, 1987; Kastens and Mascle, 1990). Aharon et al. (1993) suggest a sealevel change of 10 m amplitude at the beginning of the Messinian, terminating in a large sea-level fall of at least 30 m near the Miocene/Pliocene boundary for Niue (South Pacific Ocean), rather different from these mentioned above. We consider that the amplitudes in Niue may have been coupled with co-variation of tectnic uplifting and glacioeustatic fluctuations because Niue is located in active tectonic region (Cole and Len , 1981; Lincoln and Schlanger, 1987). This, however, remains uncertain because limited available tectonic data from this area (Dubois et al., 1975) suggest a recent (Holocene) uplifting. Much evidence on ice volume growth at this time has been discovered worldwide both from land and ocean (Mercer nd Sutter, 1982; Shackleton and Kennett, 1975; Loutit and Kennett, 1979; Keigwin, 1987; Hayes et al., 1975; Denton and Armstrong, 1969; Bandy et al., 1969; McDougall et al., 1976; Clark et al., 1980; ODP Leg 151 Shipboard Scientists,

1994; Hodell et al., 1986; Hodell et al., 1994b; Kemp et al., 1975; Ciesielski et al., 1982; Pomar and Ward, 1994).

Based on the marsh foraminifera at Site 646B, we suggest a substantially greater sealevel lowering from Site 646 B data $(80-100 \mathrm{~m})$, which is more of a direct measurement based on certain assumptions (see Fig. 5-1). The sea-level changes during the Messinian, unlike in any other periods of the Cenozoic, may have been controlled not only by ice volume changes, but also by the repeated Mediterranean desiccation cycles. Atlantic water refilling into the Mediterranean Sea with an amount of $3.614 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ could yield a global instantaneous sea-level lowering of about 10 m , according to the calculation of Hsü et al. (1977) and Müller and Mueller (1991), while a water transfer from the Mediterranean to global ocean area of $3.6 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ could cause about 10 m sea-level rise, and would be incorporated into the sea-level changes if a complete Mediterranean desiccation had taken place. Based on these considerations, we suggest that 80 m global sea-level lowering at least at 5.55 and 5.75 Ma is quite reasonable. It is obvious that there is an about 30 m difference in amplitude of sea-level changes between the estimates from marsh foraminifera at Site 646 and from $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values at Site 552 . The difference may be attributed to: 1 ) underestimate of amplitudes of Messinian $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ sea-level lowering because of water vapor evaporated from the Mediterranean Sea with lower $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ returning to the open ocean. This would result in a lower $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ value in the open ocean, and possibly the cancellation of part of the ice volume signal; 2) tectonic difference from region to region; and 3) increasing area and altitude of growing ice sheets which lead to lower mean ice sheet $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values (Miller et al., 1987). In this paper, we cannot assess the exact amplitudes of the global sea-level fluctuations during the Messinian, but only those off Greenland. Sea-level fluctuations show great differences between regions, even in the Holocene (Pirazzoli, 1991).

Accurate chronology of the deep-water turbidites cannot be determined directly from our intra-Messinian stratigraphic data of ODP Site 646. The paleomagnetic data are not sufficiently reliable for precise dating because this interval proved less than satisfactory for
magnetostratigraphic study due to poor recovery and drilling distu jance (Clement et al., 1989). However, if we assume the turbidites formed during climatic lowering of sea level, chronology of the turbidites can be suggested from our DSDP Site 552 depth-age plot (Fig. $5-3$ ), which indicates the climatic cycles from the smoothed $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ curve climax at 6.59 , $6.22,6.01,5.89,5.75,5.7,5.65,5.60,5.55$, and 4.6 Ma , respectively, coeval with climate cooling (ice-volume) intervals. It should be pointed out that our calibrations cannot be correlated with 100 k eccentricity from Site 552A given by Beaufort and Aubry (1990). Because of the poor age control for the lower Gilbert paleomagnetic chron, we prefer the age estimates derived from the age-depth plot, rather than time series analysis. The nine oxygen excursions and deep-water turbidite layers could be coeval with eight unconformities in the Niue coral atoll east of Tonga Trencī, South Pacific (Aharon et al, 1993), which were formed during low sea-level stands during Messinian time. However, it is rather difficult to make detailed correlation between Site 646B turbidite layers and sealevel cycles from Niue of the Pacific Ocean (fharon et al., 1993) because they used 6.2 Ma as the Tortonian/Messinian boundary (Berggren et al., 1985) and had poor stratigraphic control. At Site 646B, PTL 1 may be related to the early Pliocene glaciation at 4.6 Ma (Burckle, 1995).

### 5.3. Turbidite layers and sea-level changes linked to the Messinian Salinity Crisis (5.9-5.32 Ma)

The Messinian sequence in the Mediterranean Basin is basically composed of five major successions, i.e.: Tripoli marine formation, Caliza Tosca shallow-water deposits, Lower Evaporite, Upper Evaporite, and a thin iayer of Lago Mare deposits (fresh/brackish water deposits) that capped the sequence. Hsü et al. (1973a, b), in their early work, claimed that to form a thickness of $2,000-3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ evaporites in the Mediterranean Sea, at least 11-13 inundations would be required if all salts were deposited in the center of the Mediterranean basin; or up to 100 inundations would be necessary if the salts were deposited evenly on
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Fig. 5-3. Chronology of episodic climatic cycles from DSDP Site 552A established mainly for tentative age estimates of Messinian turbidite layers at Site 646B. The depth-age plot is originally from Chapter 4 of this thesis. MOIE $=$ Messinian oxygen isotope enrichment. Paleomagnetic and oxygen stable isotope data are from Keigwin (1987).

Age (Ma)

the basin floor. Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991) suggested 7-8 inundations, which is even less than Hsü 's later suggestion ( 8 -10 inundations; fide Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991)). These speculations greatly stimulated scientists to find connections between the isotope records in deep-sea marine sediments and the Mediterranean Messinian Salinity Crisis. So far, these have not been easily proven. Some authors remarked that two oxygen isotopic enrichment events may have corresponded to the Salinity Crisis; one in the youngest Chron 5 and the other in the earliest Gilbert, which have been linked to the formation of the Lower and Upper Evaporites in the Mediterranean Basin. (McKenzie and Oberhänsli, 1985; Hodell et al., 1986; Cita and McKenzie, 1986; Müller and Hsü, 1987; Keigwin, 1987; Chapter 7 of this thesis). Recently, the Messinian Salinity Crisis was correlated to eustatic changes indicated by eight unconformities from Niue coral atoll, South Pacific Ocean (Aharon et al., 1993), but this requires further age re-calibration for each unconformity.

Six oxygen enrichment excursions in the interval jetween 5.9 and 5.32 Ma are recognized (Fig. 5-2), indicating more frequent climatic changes at this time than during the early Messinian (from 7.12 to 5.8 Ma ). This interval is equivalent to the Messinian Salinity Crisis, caused by either limited Atlantic inflow or isolation of the Mediterranean from the Atlantic Ocean near 5.9 Ma suggested by Rerggren et al. (1995) (Note: Gautier et al. (1994) suggest a slightly later time, i.e., 5.8 Ma . The sea-level lowerings that led to the turbidite formation might also have contributed to the repeated isolation of the Mediterranean Basin during the late Messinian, although there are not as many as 11-13 inundations (Hsü et al., 1973a, b), or 7-8 suggested by Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991). We cannot expect the exact number of inundations in the Mediterranean to be recorded in deep water turbidites suggested by Hsü et al. (1973a, b) or by Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991), because of complicated geological/hydrological processes of deep-water turbidites, and poor preservation and/or core recovery at Site 646B where part of the turbidite record could have been missing or not sampled.

### 5.4. Summary

Our benthic foraminiferal data clearly indicate that eight layers (MTL 1-8) of deep-water turbidites during the Messinian and one in the early Pliocene (PTL 1) formed at Site 646B (water depth 3461.3 m ). These deep-water turbidite layers are characterized by high contents of agglutinated marsh benthic foraminifera (e.g., Trochammina cf. squamata, Ammotium sp. A, Miliammina fusca), rounded quartz, polished thick-shelled benthic foraminifera, wood fragments, plant seeds, fruits, and highly concentrated mica, and are interbedded with sediments containing hemipelagic deep-water benthic faunas. These turbidites may be attributed to the distal turbidite facies because of the relatively homogenous clay components. A chronology for these deep-water turbidites have been tentatively , orrelated to the heavy $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ excursions at DSDP Site 552 . The turbidite layers are dated at $6.59,6.22,6.01,5.89,5.75,5.70,5.65,5.60,5.55$, and 4.6 Ma . The turbidites originated from intertidal deposits as indicated by their foraminiferal content, and they are the best direct measurement to define the amplitudes of sea-level lowering of 80 100 m during the Messinian. Sea-level falls could have been caused by increased polar ice volume, or/and by water transfer between the Mediterranean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean in the Messinian.

Our evidence suggests that the six deep-water turbidite layers during the late Messinian from ODP Site 646B and oxygen isotope excursions from DSDP Site 552A may be correlated to the Mediterranean Lower and Upper evaporites, which might have formed if sea level lowered sufficiently to isolate partially or totally the Mediterranean Basin. The most profound climate changes occurred at 5.75 and 5.55 Ma , when the major bodies of the Lower and Upper evaporites were formed. It is evident that the formation of Mediterranean evaporites has had a close affiliation with severe climate fluctuation during the Messinian.

## CHAPTER 6

## PALEOCEANOGRAPHY, PALEOTECTONISM AND GLACIOEUSTATIC SEA-LEVEL: BENTHIC FORAMINIFERAL AND STABLE ISOTOPIC EVIDENCE FROM NORTHWESTERN MOROCCO

### 6.1. Paleoecology, Paleobathymetry and Chron 5 Events

To discuss the water exchange between the Mediterranean and the Atlantic, it is essential to understand the paleo-bathymetric variations in Morocco throughout the Messinian. This section provides relative paleobathymetric estimates based on benthic foraminifera in Salé core although it is well understood that most deep-water benthic species are associated with water mass changes. Table 6-1 lists some important benthic foraminifera from living and dead fauna off northwest Africa (Lutze, 1980), off Portugal (Seiler, 1975), the Gulf of Mexico (Phleger, 1951), as well as the northeastern Gulf of Mexico (Katz and Miller, 1993) for a comparison of depth habitats. The benthic foraminifera from the Gulf of Mexico (Phleger, 1951), together with the others, form a primary base for estimates of paleobathymetry of the Miocene-Pliocene benthic foraminifera in the Guadalquivir Basin, southwest Spain (Berggren and Haq, 1976; Berggren et al., 1976; and Van Couvering et al., 1976). The benthic foraminifera from northwest Africa and Portugal may provide the best comparison relative to those from other areas because of its close geographic location and the size fraction ( $>63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), which is the same as used in this study. However it is somewhat difficult for the comparison because of the difference of species compositions between the late Miocene and the Recent. This difference is probably due to different climate, water mass patterns, or possibe evolutionary turnovers of benthic foraminifera during these two time intervals.

To make better estimates of the paleobathymetry, five groupings of the Salé benthic faunas are given based on the living foraminiferal depth ranges of Lutze (1980) in

Table 6-1. Comparison of water depth ranges of major bathyal benthic foraminifera from world continental slopes. $\mathrm{L}=$ living, $\mathrm{D}=$ dead, $\mathrm{mx}=$ maximum. $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ size fraction are used by Lutze (1980), Phleger (1951), and Seiler (1975); $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is used by Katz and Miller, 1993. Depths are in meters. Note that most species in the table have different depth ranges from place to place, which may indicate a close association with water masses, rather than with water depth alone.

Table 6-1

|  | NW Africa <br> (Lutze, 1980) |  | Gulf of Mexico (Phleger, 1951) |  | NE Guif of Mexico (Katz and Miller, 1993) | Off K. Sines, Portugal (Seiler, 1975) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | D | L | D | D | L | D |
| G. subglobosa | 100-800 | 100-300 | 100-1000 | 80-2000 | 600-1500 | 150-4000 | 150-4000 |
|  | mx 500 | mx 500-700 |  | $\mathrm{mx}=1300$ | $0 \mathrm{mx}-600$ | $\mathrm{mx} \sim 60 \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| C. rentforme | 60-3000 | 100-2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mx -2000 | mx 500-700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rosalina | 100 |  | 200-400 | 200-600 |  | 150 |  |
| E. exigua | 500-3500 | 700-4000 |  | 200-1400 |  |  |  |
| C. oblongus | 20-500 |  | 30-120 | 30-200 |  |  |  |
|  | mx 40 |  | mx 70-100 |  |  |  |  |
| C mundulus |  |  |  |  | mx 600-700 |  |  |
| A beccarii |  |  | 20-50 |  |  |  |  |
| G.prageri | 200-400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O. umbonatus | 1000-4000 | 1000-3000 |  | 90-500 |  |  |  |
| D. bertheloti |  |  |  | 30-200 |  |  |  |
| Gyroidina spp. |  |  |  |  | 500-1500 | 400-4000 | 150-4000 |
| P. bulloides |  |  |  |  | 500-1500 | $900-$ | 500-1700 |
| B. mex/costata | 1300-3000 | 1000-3000 |  | 200-1700 | 00 upper bathyal |  |  |
| U. mexicana |  |  |  | 100-600 | upper bathyal |  |  |
| U. peregrina | 800-3000 | 100-3000 | 100-1500 | 100-1600 |  | 150-2000 | 150-2000 |
|  | mx 1700 | mx 500-800 |  | mx 300-1 | 1300 |  |  |
| T. angulosa | 64-800 | 100-1500 |  |  |  | 400-600 | 400-1700 |
| B. lowmani |  |  | 15-1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 40-1200 \\ & \mathrm{mx} 600-7 \end{aligned}$ | $-700$ |  |  |
| B pseuoplicata | 500-4000 | 100-3000 |  |  |  |  | 400-4000 |
|  | $\mathrm{mx} 200-300$ | mx 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B albatrossi |  |  | $\sim 1000$ | 300-1300 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | mx 600-10 | 1000 |  |  |
| $B$ alazaensis | 300-1300 | 1000-3000 |  | 200-1300 |  | 900 | 900-2000 |
| $T$ bradyi <br> C. lobatulus small Bolivina | 500-1300 | 200-700 |  |  |  | 400-600 | 400-1700 |
|  | 60-500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60-1000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ( $>10 \%$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |

northwest Africa and of Seiler (1975) in Portugal:
Group 1 (water depth $<100 \mathrm{~m}$ ) includes Ammonia beccarii and Rosalina sp., which are rare in abundance. Other shelf species reported in NW Africa (Lutze, 1980, p. 60), such as Elphidium advenum, E. cripsum, Trochammina advena, Bulimina marginata, Patellina corrugata, Uvigerina bononiensis, etc., are not seen in Salé samples. Diversity of the shelf fauna is very low in the Salé section relative to that reported by Lutze (1980). I consider that Ammonia beccarii and Rosalina sp. in the Salé section could be related to down-slope transportation from shelf to slope;

Group $2(20-500 \mathrm{~m})$ contains species such as Gavelinopsis praegeri, Cibicides lobatulus, Cancris sp.A Trifarina angulosa, Bolivina pseudoplicata, Cassidulina laevigata, numerous smali bolivinids etc. This group becomes common both in abundance and diversity in upper section of the sale core;

Group 3 ( $500-1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) includes Planulina ariminensis, Trifarina bradyi, Uvigerina hispida, Bulimina aculeata, and small bolivinids;

Group 4 ( $500-3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) comprises Uvigerina peregrina, Epistominella exigua, Bulimina mexicana, Sphaeroidina bulloides, Oridorsalis umbonatus, Cibicidoides mundulus, Bulimina alazaensis, and Eponides polius. Some species in this group may extend down to $3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ in lower proportion. This group together with Group 3 dominates throughout the Salé section; and

Group 5 is represented by Globocassidulina subglobosa and Cassidulina reniforme, which has a broad depth range from 20 m to $3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ off northwest Africa.

Other dominant or major species in the Salé section, such as Bolivina lowmani and Discorbinella bertheloti, are considered to be upper bathyal species, while $B$. albatrossi is commonly observed in middle bathyal zone ( $600-1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ). These determinations are based on occurrences in the Gulf of Mexico reported by Phleger (1951) and Katz and Miller (1993) (Table 6-1) although there is no direct comparison with those off northwest Africa.

Living species dwelling below 1000 m off northwest Africa are not often observed in the Salé section (see Lutze, 1980, p. 64 and 65).

In addition, planktonic/benthic ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ) foraminiferal ratios can be considered as an indicator of paleodepth or proximity to the open oceans, as they are a function of open water conditions (Murray, 1973, Murray, 1976, Wang et al., 1988). The higher values indicate greater depth, and lower ones suggest shallower environment or less open ocean influence. In the Gulf of Mexico, Celtic Sea, Persian Gulf, and Andaman Sea, planktonic foraminifera make up between $40-70 \%$ in outer shelf, and $>70 \%$ on slope (Murray, 1976). In the Guadalquivir Basin, SW Spain, $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratios were $5: 1$ and $10: 1$ in the bathyal zone (Berggren and Haq, 1976).

Based on these criteria, I subdivide the benthic foraminifera of the Salé section into three major stages reflecting significant changes of paleobathymetry during the upper Tortonian and Messinian:

Stage 1 (interval between 163m and 173m; equivalent to paleomagnetic Subchronozone $\mathrm{C}^{/} \mathrm{r}$; upper Tortonian): This stage is considered to be the upper bathyal, probably shallower than 500 m . It is characterized by high total number of benthic foraminifera ( $20,000-40,000$ specimens per cc ) and high percentage of benthic fauna (Fig. 3-1). Benthic foraminifera are dominated by cassidulinids (20-40\%), Trifarina angulosa ( $5-10 \%$ ), and small bolivinids ( $18-27 \%$ ). The base of the borehole is suggested to be littoral (R.H. Benson, pers. comm., 1995).

Stage 2 (interval between $163-90 \mathrm{~m}$ from the uppermost Tortonian to early late Messinian; C4n to Subchronozone C3An.1n; = upper Chron 5): It is suggested to be a middle bathyal environment with a paleodepth of $600-800 \mathrm{~m}$, indicating sudden subsidence of Morocco during the early Messinian. The benthic fauna is characterized by Groups 3-5 and some other deeper water species, e. g., Eponides weddellensis (18\%), Bolivina albatrossi $(-10 \%)$, Stilostomella spp., Heronallenia crosbyi, Bolivina cf. pygmaea, Cibicidoides bradyi, Karreriella bradyi mainly occur in this interval, while shallow-water
species are extremely rare. Bolivina inflata, dominant in Pliocene sediments in Hole 547A (present water depth $3,938 \mathrm{~m}$ ), is common ( $10-20 \%$ ) in this interval (see Chapter 3). Down-slope transportation may have occasionally occurred, and is recognized by the presence of shallow water species. Abundance of the benthic foraminifera is low ( $<5000$ per cc) and planktonic foraminifera make up $80-90 \%$, indicating a middle bathyal palcoenvironment. Paleodepth estimates is about $600-1000 \mathrm{~m}$ in Guadalquivir Basin, Spain (Berggren and Haq, 1976). The paleodepth estimates of $600-800 \mathrm{~m}$ at Bou Regreg (Gharb Basin) in Morocco were based on changes in light sensitive ocular tubercles of ostracodes and benthic foraminifera (Benson et al., 1991). Benson et al. (1991) further suggested that the Salé section, farther downslope into the Gharb Basin, to be probably about 100 m deeper; this estimate is in agreemen with mine.

Stage 3 ( 90 m to the top of the section; equivalent to the uppermost Subchronozone C3An.1n and C3r). This stage is characterized by fauna Group 2 and other upper bathyal species, such as Bolivina lowmani, and Epistominella cf. takayanagii. Abundance of the benthic foraminifera and benthic/planktonic foraminiferal ratios increase significantly, although frequent oscillations have occurred. Deeper water species are sharply decreased or disappear, while shallow water species, such as Rosalina spp., Sigmavirgulina spp., Ammonia beccarii, Bolivina dialata, Elphidium spp., and Florilus spp., occur more frequently than in Stage 2. But it does not exhibit a typical outshelf benthic foraminiferal characters. At the top of the section, planktonic foraminifera make up over $50 \%$, and according to the recent distribution of planktonic foraminifera, percentages less than $70 \%$ usually occur on the outer shelf (Murray, 1976; Wang et al., 1988). In the Messinian deposits in Guadalquivir Basin, SW Spain, upper bathyal deposits ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}$ ) contain 30\% planktonic foraminifera (Berggren and Haq, 1976). If this is applicable to Moroccan Messinian deposits, $50 \%$ planktonic values may suggest the upper limit of the paleodepths for the Salé section possibly deeper than 200 m , although it may be associated with the width of continental shelf. In any case, all these data suggest an upper bathyal environment
durir.g the late Messinian. I suggest that the paleodepths vary from $30 \mathrm{C}-500 \mathrm{~m}$ in this stage. This upper limit is higher than that of Buzas who suggests $600-800 \mathrm{~m}$ for the whole secion (fide Benson, personal written communication). It appears that Morocco was uplifted abruptly after being deep for about 2 million years.

This benthic faunal turnover, along with a number of coiling changes of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis and extinction of Globorotalia conomiozea during C3An (Chron 5) (see Chapter 4, this thesis) in this borehole is probably caused by the rapid tectonic uplifting. It not only caused the disconnection between the Mediterranean Sea and open ocean, but also the Mediterranean sea-water evaporation and local/regional climate warming as revealed by oxygen isotopes (Hodell et al., 1994) and by megafloras in Mediterranean region (Gregor, 1995) (see below for $\mathrm{S}_{\text {I }}$ ore details).

### 6.2. Paleotectonism

The principle of the method to calculate the vertical movement was provided by Bandy and Arnal (1976), and can be achieved by determining the interrelation of water depth changes and seciment thickness from one stage to the next. Four types of bathymetric changes associated with paleotectonism and their calculations were described by Bandy and Arnal (1960): 1) If the depth of the water is indicated by facies at the end of the preceding stage, the amount of deepening is added to the sedimentary thickness to obtain the total subsidence; 2) If no change of the depth (facies) occurs between the end of tne stage and the end of the preceding stage, the amount of subsidence is the same as the thickness of the section; 3) If the depth indicated by the facies at the end of one stage is less than the depth suggested at the end of the preceding stage, the amount of shoaling is then subtracted from the sedimentary thickness to obtain the subsidence figure; and 4) If, in the last case, the amoum of shoaling indicated is in excess of the sedimentary thickness, the excess of shoaling represents the approximate uplift. The paleotectonism for the Sale section can be calculated using this simple method.
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Table 6-2. Estimates of paleotectonism of the Salé section in Morocco during the late Tortonian and Messinian, using the method of Bandy and Arnal (1960). A net subsidence of $\sim 310 \mathrm{~m}$ is estimated for the interval between 173 and 163 m during the late Tortonian. A net uplift of $\sim 273 \mathrm{~m}$ is estimated during the early Vessinian between 163 m and 99 m . Another net uplift of 287 m is calculared between 99 m and 3 m . Depth (m) refers to the stratigraphic level to the base of the Salé section; Thickness (m) refers to the thickness between two stratigraphic levels in the Salé section; Depth (m) in middle column (Bathymetry column) refers to the estimated water depths in meters indicated by benthic foraminiferal bathymetric ranges in the Salé section.

Table 6-2


Table 6-2 shows the estimates of paleotectonic vertical movement in Salé, Morocco during the late Tortonian and Messinian. A significant increase in water depth suggests a net subsidence of about 310 m during the late Tortonian. A total net uplift of about 560 m has occurred during the period of $\sim 0.7 \mathrm{~m} . y$. from 6 Ma to 5.32 Ma , indicating a rapid tectonic uplifting. According to the benthic foraminiferal records, northeastern Morocco become land and never subsided below sea level after $\sim 5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the earliest Pliocene).

The Rifian Corridor in Morocco and Betic Passage in Spain were probably the only paleo- chaniels to have linked the Mediterranean Sea and the open ocean during the Messinian Stage, and were recognized as the basis of the "twin-channel" model of (Benson et al., 1991). However, the benthic foraminifera from the Guadalquivir Basin reveal that the paleotectonism in that area is somewhat different from that in Morocco. In SW Spain, paleotectonism may have been more complicated. The current revision of the stratigraphy of the Andalusian stratotype in western Guadalquivir Basin (Sierro et al., 1993; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991b) is based on the stratigraphic framework by Berggren and Haq (1976), Berggren et al. (1976), and Van Couvering et al. (1976). It shows that within the Messinian, the Betic passage in SW Spain underwent deepening (latest Tortonian and early Messinian) $\Rightarrow$ shoaling ("early late Messinian", i.e., "Caliza Tosca deposits") $\Rightarrow$ deepening (late Messinian; Green Marl deposits), while the Rifian Corridor in northern Morocco underwent deepening (latest Tortonian and early Messinian) $\Rightarrow$ shoaling (early late Messinian) $\Rightarrow$ further shoaling (late Messinian). It is evident that the pattern of bathymetric changes in SW Spain is different from that in Morocco during the early late Messinian. The distinct "re-subsidence" that occurred in SW Spain after the Caliza Tosca is not observed in the Rifian Corridor in Morocco.

The Caliza Tosca deposits were reportedly as shallow as 30 m , suggesting a neritic paleoenvironment (Berggren and Haq, 1976; Benson et al., in press), and they were considered to have been predominantly associated with the global sea-level lowering (Berggren and Haq, 1976). Stratigraphically, the Caliza Tosca was attributed to the latest

Messinian, and the Green Marl above the Caliza Tosca was considered to be Pliocene in age (Berggren and Haq, 1976). But these are now recognized as the early late and latest Messinian deposits, respectively. Therefore there are no Pliocene deposits recoyered in the Andalusian stratotype (Sierro et al., 1987; Sierro et al., 1993; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991b), probably not even reaching up to the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (Benson et al., in press). Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991b) further suggested that the Caliza Tosca may be about the time of the paleomagnetic reversal between the Chron 5 and Gilbert Chron, with an age of $\sim 5.4 \mathrm{Ma}$ (new time scale 5.75 Ma ). If this is correct, the neritic Caliza Tosca most Shallow water deposits likely reflect global glacioeustatic sea-level change, because it coincides with global cooling at this time as indicated by ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopes at DSDP/ODP Sites $552,608,646$. It is also in agreement with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopic signal (Hodell et al., 1994) and benthic foraminiferal signal (see below for details) in the Salé borehole, although no neritic deposits are determined at this time. The absence of neritic deposits in the Sale section and Bou Regreg section (Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991b; Benson et al., 1991; Benson et al., in press) in Morocco may be attributed to the greater paleodepth ( $\sim 400-500 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Even if the glacioeustatic change has caused about 100 m sea-level lowering at this time, the paleodepth still remained about $300-400 \mathrm{~m}$ in Morocco. However it may be reduced to $30-$ 50 m in the Guadalquivir Basin, SW Spain because of much shallower paleodepth (150200 m estimated by Berggren and $\mathrm{Haq}, 1976$ ). It is noted that the 100 m sea-level lowering appears to be reasonable at this particular time, and it agrees with the estimates of sea-level lowering based on the shallow-water-originated turbidites recorded at ODP Site 646 (Chapter 5, this thesis). In any case, the origin of the Caliza Tosca deposits should be attributed to both glacioeustatic sea-level changes and tectonic uplifting because the Guadalquivir Basin commenced uplift before the Caliza Tosca. Therefore I suggest that the glacioeustatic sea-level change was superimposed upon the major background of paleotectonism.

### 6.3. Circulation systems in the Rifian Corridor during the Messinian

Most recently, a number of detailed studies in oxygen and carbon isotopes and ostracodes from the Rifian Corridor, Morocco have made great progress in Messinian paleoceanography (Hodell et al., 1989; Benson et al., 1991; Benson and Rakic-cil Bied, 1991a; Benson et al., in press; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991b; Benson et al., 1995; Hodell et al., 1994). This work formed a basis of a two-stage model to interpret the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. It is possible that the stable isotopes alone may provide ambiguous interpretation of paleoceanography without detailed faunal and ecological studies. Some previous benthic foraminiferal studies in Moroccan area (e.g., Thomas Ehrendorfer at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, unpublished data) were based on relatively lower resolution sampling and a larger size fraction, and thus provided limited ecological information for understanding the paleoceanography and water exchange systems of the Messinian. Benthic foraminifera with high resolution sampling in this study provide more detail in evaluating the paleoceanography in the Mediterranean region. In other words, my benthic foraminiferal data clearly show three different stages of circulation evolution throughout the Messinian, which may in turn suggest important paleoclimatic changes and some details of the Messinian salinity crisis in the Mediterranean basin. As a hypothesis, I propose a three-stage model for the evolution of circulation in the Rifian Corridor region based on the following observations:

### 6.3.1. Stage 1 - PMOW leaking and anti-estuarine circulation during the early Messinian (7.12-6.2 Ma) <br> A high peak of Uvigerina peregrina (average $\sim 10 \%$ ) is documented in the interval between 105m (sample B39) and 139m (Sample B72) in the Salé borehole during the early Messinian, but its paleoceanographic significance is still debatable because of the confusion of the ecological interpretation of this fauna. As it is known, $U$. peregrina could be associated with various ecological factors, such as low oxygen content, high organic

carbon content, water temperature etc. Several major possible factors regarding the distribution of the fauna are reviewed as follows:

1) Association with depth: Costate Uvigerina peregrina have been recognized as a shallow infauna morphotype ( $0-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Corliss and Chen, 1988; Corliss, 1991). This species was considered the indicator of water depths (Phleger, 1942; Phleger and Parker, 1951; Bandy, 1960; Pflum and Frerichs, 1976), but studies from different regions of the worid ocean show different depth habitats. But in general, it ranges from 500 m to $3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ in water depth, probably with high abundance at $\sim 2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ is observed in northeast US continental margin (Miller and Lohmann, 1982; Phleger, 1942; Cushman, 1918-1931), South Atlantic Ocean (Lohmann, 1978), and northwest African continental margin (Lutze, 1980). It is well documented that the oxygen levels at these depths are usually low.
2) Association with temperature: Some previous studies suggested that $U$. peregrina is restricted to temperature from about $3-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and used it to indicate warm bottom water during the Pleistocene ${ }_{\llcorner }$laciations in the North Atlantic Ocean (Streeter, 1973; Schnitker, 1914). On the contrary, this species is reportedly associated with lower temperature (< $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Lohmann, 1978) in the South Atlantic Ocean. It is obvious that the relationship between the species and temperature is not clear. Miller and Lohmann (1982) concluded that the correlation between $U$. peregrina and temperature is not consistent from region to region, similar to its correlation with water depth.
3) Association with low oxygen and organic carbon: It is widely documented that the abundant $U$. peregrina is closely associated with low dissolved oxygen in the overlying water ( $<5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$ ) (Lohmann, 1978). Similar reports were also from bathyal zones below $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ in the North and South Atlantic Oceans (Streeter and Shackleton, 1979; Schnitker, 1979, 1980). Living $U$. peregrina (7.7\%) climaxes at 996m (Station 8060A) off Cape Sines, Portugal (Seiler, 1975), where the water is mixed with the Mediterranean outflow water (MOW) characterized with high temperature, high salinity, and low oxygen (Gieskes et al., 1970; Gorshkov, 1974; Fuglister, 1960). It is not recorded below 1730m (Seiler,
1975), where the water is not distinctly affected by MOW. off west Africa U. peregrina is abundant from 700 m to $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ off Senegal and Gambia (Haake, 1980), and from $500 \mathrm{~m}-$ $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ off Bojador and Dakar (Lutze, 1980), where the oxygen content is low ( $<4 \mathrm{ml} /$ ) (Lutze, 1980), but it does not show a clear correlation with oxygen content less than $2 \mathrm{ml} / 1$. Schnitker also suggests that $U$. peregrina is low or absent when oxygen content is extremely low ( $2 \mathrm{ml} / / 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$ ) (pers. comm., 1995). In the Gulf of Mexico, $U$. peregrina is abundant in the oxygen minimum zone on continental slope (Pflum and Frerichs, 1976), supporting the correlation between the oxygen content in the water column and $U$. peregrina in surface sediments. The low oxygen characteristics of $U$. peregrina allow Streeter and Shackleton (1979) to recognize the reduction or cessation of the North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) at times of $U$. peregrina peaks during the last glaciation. On the United States continental slope $U$. peregrina is noted to have a close coincidence with organic carbon, not of low oxygen (Miller and Lohmann, 1982). Low oxygen values in the water column are often associated with high organic carbon in the substrate, but sometimes it may not be necessary (Miller and Lohmann, 1982). It is also true that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the sediments could be low, but not in the water column.

The presence of $U$. peregrina in the Rifian Corridor area (e.g., in Salé, Bou Regreg and Ain el Beida cores), together with psychrospheric ostracodes, formed a basis for Hodell et al. (1989), Benson et al. (1991), Hodell et al. (1994), and Benson et al. (in press) to propose a current reversal model which suggests that strong Atlantic water inflows into the paleo-Mediterranean Sea via the Rifian Corridor during the early Messinian (Chron 6 to the top of Chron 5). This inflow is suggested to have replaced the warm, saline PMOW, and thus called "current reversal" (Hodell et al., 1989), or called "siphon event" (Benson et al., 1991). The siphon event has also led to the formation of a horizontal loop circulation between the Mediterranean Sea and North Atlantic Ocean caused by Coriolis force during the early Messinian (Benson et al., 1991). In other words, no outflow entered the Atlantic Ocean ony through the siphon, but through the Betic passage
in southern Spain at that time. The reversal system was considered because $U$. peregrina was found to be common in Pleistocene sediments of the Atlantic Ocean (Schnitker, 1980; Streeter and Shackleton, 1979), and therefore a typical North Atlantic Deep Water benthic fauna for the Messinian. My anti-estuarine circulation model does not agree with this previous interpretation for the following reasons:

1) U. peregrina, together with other costate uvigerinids is rare $(\leqslant 1 \%)$, at least in the eastern North Atlantic where Atlantic water dominates (Chapter 3, this thesis; Thomas, 1986; Murray et a1., 1986; M array, 1984) during the Miocene, although it has been found to be atundant during the Pleistocene glaciations (Schnitker, 1980; Streeter and Shackleton, 1979; Murray et al., 1984). Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a) noted that it is common in the Mediterranean region. This suggests that U. peregrina in the Salé borehole and other locations in the Rifian Corridor may have no close association with the Atlantic fauna during Messinian time, but it may have been associated with the Mediterranean fauna irself as a low oxygen indicator.
2) $U$. peregrina is considered to be an indicator of glaciation, rather than of NADW. It is abundant in oxygen isotope Stage 2 in the Labrador Sea and elsewhere (Scott et al., 1989 (ODP leg 105)) in the Quaternary. As mentioned above, Streeter and Shackleton (1979) used it as an indicator of reduction or cessation of NADW resulting from stagnation of oceans during the last glaciation, rather than of NADW production.
3) U. peregrina climaxes above $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ in present water depth off the Cape Sines, Portugal, where is under the influence of MOW, suggesting a possible association with low oxygen environment, although it occurs sporadically (Seiler, 1980). Therefore $U$. peregrina peaks in the Salé borehole and from the other locations in Rifian Corridor, Morocco are most likely related to the low oxygen. It is noted here that the low oxygen in Morocco may be associated with three factors: 1) world-wide high surface paleoproductivity as observed along the modern continental slopes of the world; 2) low oxygen paleo-Mediterranean outflow water (PMOW); and 3) local high paleoproductivity

Fig. 6-1. A comparis $\cap$ n of benthic foraminiferal assemblages from the Salé borehole, Morocco (this thesis), Portugal (Seiler, 1975), and NW Africa (Lutze, 1980).

caused by mixing of warm, saline MOW and cold Atlantic water near the outlet of MOW on Atlantic side, which may produce high organic carbon at the same time. I suggest that PMOW may have been the major cause of the sudden increase of $U$. peregrina during the early Messinian.
4) Benthic foraminiferal assemblages from Salé borehole, off Portugal, and off west Africa (Fig. 6-1) show major differences in their species composition. As seen in the figure, both in Portugal and west Africa, the major components are cassidulinids ( $\sim 40 \%$ in total faunas), while in the Salé borehole, it makes up only $\sim 15 \%$. It is well documented that cassidulinids are one of the dominant groups in the northeast Atlantic, which makes up 20$40 \%$ throughout the Messinian (DSDP Holes 608, 552A and 547A, this thesis). This suggests that the assemblages off Portugal and west Africa may have closer affinities to the Atlantic fauna than the assemblages in the Salé borehole.
5) The typical NADW indicator, Epistominella exigua decreases and approaches $0 \%$ near the top of the U. peregrina interval. This species makes up 10-20\% at DSDP Site 608, $>20 \%$ at DSDP Site 547A, and 15-20\% at DSDP Site 552A. It strongly indicates that the water in this interval at Salé borehole may be associated with PMOW, rather than a pure NADW.
6) Some deep and cold water indicators sharply decrease in this interval, e.g., Cassidulina reniforme, Bulimina aculeata, Cibicidoides mundulus (Figs. 3-2, 3-3).
7) A carbonate dissolution peak is determined at about 130 m as indicated by an abrupt decrease of planktonic foraminiferal tests (Fig. 3-1). This is most likely associated with PMOW since PMOW could increase $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ dissolution (Thunell et al., 1987; Ryan, 1973).
8) $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopes in the $U$. peregrina interval are more depleted than those in overlying Messinian sediments. Averaged $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values are about $0.5 \% o$ with minimum depleted values of $\sim 0.0 \%$, while they are about $0.9 \%$ in average in overlying Messinian deposits (fig. 6 of Hodell et al., 1994). It suggests that the $U$. peregrina interval may have
been affected by low oxygen, high salinity PMOW, which caused about $2-3 \%_{0} \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ local decrease that was superimposed on the permanent global carbon-13 shift above the Tortonian/Messinian boundary. Such a local $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ depletion is not clear in open ocean (e.g., DSDP Hole 552A, Keigwin, 1987).
9) A continuous enrichment of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (up to middle C3An) in the $U$. peregrina interval is also considered to have been associated with high salinity PMOW. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values in this interval are about $0.1 \%_{0}$ heavier than those in overlying interval. As $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopes mentioned above, this enrichment signal was not clearly recorded in DSDP Hole 552A (Keigwin, 1987) or in the Pacific Ocean (Hodell et al., 1986), and thus probably reflects a local variation of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopes that is superimposed on the global cooling as recorded elsewhere in the world (see Chapter 6 for details). As an alternate interpretation, Hodell et al. (1994) suggested that the increase ; $\mathrm{n}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values during the late Miocene represents, at least in part, an increase in global :e that lowered sea level and contributed to the establishment of the negative water budget in the Mediterranean. In the Mediterranean Sea, similar heavy oxygen isotope ratios were obtained both from dolomite samples (Pierre and Rouchey, 1990) and planktonic foraminifera (ODP Site 654; Glacon et al., 1990) during the early Messinian in the Tyrrhenian Sea (the Mediterranean), suggesting substantial evaporation (Pierre and Rouchey, 1990).
10) Thomas Ehrendorfer (unpublished reports) observed that the costate uvigerinids acme comes in a little earlier than the occurrence of the psychrospheric ostracods assemblage. He attributed the Uvigerina increase to the same environmental changes that favored the development of psychrospheric ostracodes. Unfortunately, the relationship between uvigerinids and psychrospheric ostracod fauna in the Salé section is not clear because quantitative studies of ostracodes are not available at this time. In any case, it is suggested that the costate Uvigerina acme may be interpreted as a main effect of PMOW during the early Messinian, while the psychrospheric ostracodes may indicate a current reversal after the peak of $U$. peregrina (see below for details).
11) At ODP Site 654 in the Tyrrhenian Sea, the first lithologic sign of the impending salinity crisis is the abrupt appearance of dark colored laminated, dolomitic, organic-rich sediments (lithologic Unit III) containing radiolarians, sponge spicules, and diatoms. High concentrations of organic carbon, abundant pyrite, and lack of burrowing suggest an anoxic environment during the early Messinian (Kastens and Mascle, 1990), indicating a stratified basin (Robertson et al., 1990). This unit is considered to be equivalent to the Tripoli Formation exposed in Sicily (Borsetti et al., 1990), which has similar sedimentary history (McKenzie et al., 1979).
12) A similar high peak of $U$. peregrina is also found in the same interval in the Betic Passage in the southern Spain (Sierro, pers. comm., 1995; Hilgen, pers. comm., 1995). It is difficult for the "current reversal model" (Benson et al., 1991; Hodell et al., 1989) to interpret the presence of the species in southern Spain, because their model requires the absence of $U$. peregrina in southern Spain.

It is apparent that my detailed benthic foraminiferal study, together with stable isotopes, strongly suggests PMOW leaking out through the Rifian Corridor at depth, while inflow of less saline, cool Atlantic water spilled into the paleo-Mediterranean basin at surface, reflecting a negative water balance. This allows a reconstruction of an antiestuarine circulation system in this area for the interval from 7.12 Ma to 6.2 Ma marked by the $U$. peregrina peak (Fig. 6-2A). It strongly supports the deep-basin, deep-water evaporation hypothesis of van Couvering et al. (1976) and of Weijermars (1988). My model suggests that the paleo-Mediterranean Basin during the early Messinian probably was equivalent to an euxinic environment where the bottom water became stagnant and poorly oxygenated below sill depth, with low nutrients and unproductive features. An antiestuarine system reflects an arid climate, and is characterized by a negative water balance (namely evaporation exceeds runoff and precipitation). This stage may be considered to be a precondition of the Mediterranean salinity crisis.

Fig. 6-2. Paleotectonism and three stage water circulation systems in Rifian Corridor during the Messinian. Stage A shows an anti-estuarine circulation system from 7.12-6.2 Ma; stage B indicates upwelled Atlantic inflow running into the Mediterranean Sea and cessation of PMOW from 6.2 to 5.9 Ma . Severe restriction to the Mediterranean $\mathrm{S}^{\rho}$ a from the open ocean was mainly caused by tectonic uplifting at this time; Stage C shows that the Mediterranean Sea was completely separated from the Atlantic Ocean from 5.9 to 5.32 Ma , causing the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Mediterranean Basin.



As mentioned above Benson et al. (1991) proposed a "Twin-channel flow model", which suggests a spill of all PMOW through the Betic Passage, north of Alicante, Spain, pushed by Coriolis force, and Atlantic inflow through the Rifian. I believe, that in a view of large scale circulation, this is correct. However, the size of the "island" situated between the Rifian Corridor and Betic Passage and the width of the Betic Passage may have been important factors to control the Coriolis-force-driven Mediterranean circulation (Van Couvering, pers. comm., 1993). The "island" today is measured about $1,000 \mathrm{~km}$ in width from Rabat of Morocco to Alicante of Spain (Benson et al., 1991) with a length of about 200 km . This island may have been larger during the Messinian than today, because the possible tectonic compression is not considered here. It is believed that the "island" was probably large enough to deflect PMOW toward Morocco and then go southward as observed today (see fig. 9 of Benson et al., 1991). One of the best modern counterparts is Sardinia ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~km}$ long) or Corsica ( $\sim 210 \mathrm{~km}$ long) island, which deflect the Mediterranean current in the Tyrrhenian Sea to south along the eastern coast of the Sardinia (refer to fig. 9 of Benson et al., 1991). I believe that an analogous current deflected by the "Rifian-Betic island" could have existed in the Alboran basin, which could have been pushed out through the Rifian Corridor by Coriolis force during the early Messinian, even though it may not have been as strong as the one via the Betic Passage.

It must be noted that the benthic foraminiferal assemblage in the Sale section of Morocco in the $U$. peregrina interval contains some of Atlantic deep water species with low percentage as well, for example, Gyroidina spp., Stilostomella, Heronallenia crosbyi, Oridorsalis umbonatus, as well as psychrospheric ostracodes, indicating a mixture of Atlantic inflow and Mediterranean outflow waters.

### 6.3.2. Stage 2 - Current reversal - Atlantic infill (6.2-5.9 Ma)

A short period with a duration of 0.3 million years (C3An. 1 r and 1 n from $106 \mathrm{~m}-$ 87 m ; sample B40-B22) of current reversal occurred just after the PMOW leaking and
before the dramatic Moroccan uplift marked by benthic foraminifera in the Rifian Corridor (Fig. 6-2B). The reversal duration (about $0.3 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.) is shorter than that of Hodell et al. (1989), Benson et al. (1991); Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a) who suggested ~1.22 m.y. This reversed current is characterized by a peak of the typical NADW indicators, Epistominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis (>20\%), abrupt decrease of U. peregrina, and sudden re-increases of Cibicidoides mundulus and Bulimina aculeata and 0.1\%o decrease of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values (Hodell et al., 1994). All these clearly indicate the domination of NADW and the cessation of PMOW. E. exigua makes up about $5 \%$, with a maximum of $15.21 \%$ that is almost as high as in abyssal North Atlantic controlled by NADW during the Messinian as seen at DSDP Site 552A (this thesis). A number of other deep water species are also recorded, for example, Pleurostomella spp., Eponides? sp. A, Polivina inflata, Bolivina cf. pygmaea, and psychrospheric ostracodes (such as Agrenocythere pliocenica, Oblitacythereis mediterranean) (Hodell et al., 1989; Benson et al., 1991; Benson Rakic-El Bied, 1991a and 1991b; Benson et al., in press; Hodell et ai., 1994). This is equivalent to the top of current reversal proposed by Hodell et al. (1989); Benson et al. (1991).

This reversed current reflects a strong negative water balance in the Mediterranean Basin caused by strong evaporation, and marks the onset of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. At this time, the paleoclimates in the Mediterranean region became unstable. Strong evaporation may also have stimulated water stratifications in the circum-Mediterranean region. Oxygen isotopic records from benthic (Planulina ariminensis) and planktonic (Globigerinoides obliquus) foraminifera display clearly opposite variations in this period in the Ain El Beida core, Morocco (Hodell et al., 1989). In addition, the extinction of conoid Globorotalia conomiozea and a series of coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis suggest significant surface water temperature variations associated with PMOW in Chronozone C3An. 1r and 1 n in Morocco (see Chapter 4 for more details), although the coiling directicn changes of $N$. acostaensis were interpreted as a possible association with orbital forcing (Benson et al., in press). One single coiling direction change of $N$.
acostaensis at DSDP Site 397 was reported in the lower part of Chron 5.2 n (Cita and Ryan, 1979), which is obviously earlier than from those observed in Chron 5.1r and 1n (= C3An.1r and $1 n$ ) in the Rifian Corridor, again indicating a local coiling variation.

This interval is probably equivalent to the Calcare di Base underlain by the Tripoli diatomites in Sicily, because the top of the Tripoli Formation occurs near a coiling direction change of $N$. a costaensis (Sierro et al., 1993). McKenzie et al. (1979/1980) and Pedley and Grasso (1993) reported that Calcare di Base is characterized by an evaporitic limestone facies that heralds the onset of evaporite deposition. In the Salé core, Hodell et al. (1989; 1994) and Benson and Rakic-el Bied (1991a) suggested that the onset of deposition of the lower evaporites probably began in C3An.1n based on a series of coiling changes of $N$. acostaensis. It is interesting that no gypsum was reported in Subchronozone C3An.1n (e.g., Kastens, 1992). The balatino-type (namely, cyclic) gypsum at ODP Site 654 was assigned to C3r (Channell et al, 1990). Gautier et al. (1994) suggested that the evaporite deposition did not begin in the Mediterranean until early Subchronozone C3r at $\sim 5.7$, and the entire salinity crisis was limited to Chronozone C3r between 5.7 and 5.3 Ma , although this appears to be associated with a hiatus between the Tripoli Formation and Calcare di Base. This information suggests that this interval is characterized by the reversed current and represents a more restricted Mediterranean environment that led to the formation of salinity crisis limestone in the Mediterranean region rather than the interval of $U$. peregrina. However, it does not rule out the possibility that some gypsum or gypsum-associated deposits formed in the Mediterranean region because of the late phase of euxinic paleoenvironment and local paleoenvironmental/geographic constraints around paleoMediterranean margins at this time.

### 6.3.3. Stage 3 - Closing of the Mediterranean Sea (5.9-5.32 Ma) and the Salinity Crisis

The present benthic foraminiferal study clearly shows that dramatic tectonic changes (compression and uplifting) beginning at the top of C3An. 1 n , suggest the severe constraints for water exchange between the Mediterranean Basin and the Atlantic Ocean just after the reversed current in upper Chronozone C3An (Fig. 6-2C). Within C3r, the abundance of benthic foraminifera, $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{P}$ ratios, increase of shallow water faunas, and progressively depleted $\delta^{18}$ O clearly mark the gradual tectonic uplifting. As mentioned earlier, the progressively depleted $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (Hodell et al., 1994) is interpreted as a local temperature increase caused by constant Mediterranean evaporation. It is evident that the isolation is the most important geological event during the Messinian and the major cause of the formation of a giant evaporitic salt in the Mediterranean Basin (Hsü, 1973; Hsü et al, 1973a; Hsü et al., 1973b; Hsü, 1987).

As briefly mentioned above, the most recent study (Gautier et al., 1994) suggests that the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis began at about 5.7 Ma in the lowermost of Gilbert reversal (or C3r) in the Sorbas section (Andalusia, SW Spain, and in the Sicilian sections (Caltanissetta Basins). My benthic foraminiferal data may not be suitable to constrain the exact time when the open ocean and Mediterranean Sea were separated during the Messinian because of its upper bathyal environmental characteristics throughout the late Messinian and the formation of major body of evaporite salts. However, the rapid changes and turnover of benthic foraminifera at the uppermost Chron 5 and earliest Gilbert ( $=\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{r}$ ) in the Salé section (Figs. 3-1, 3-3, 3-7, 3-8) resulting from the Moroccan tectonic compression and uplifting, may provide critical information to support the suggestion of Hodell et al. (1989), Hodell et al. (1994), and Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a) that the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis and the formation of the Mediterranean evaporites began within Subchronozone C3An.1n at $\sim 5.9 \mathrm{Ma}$. This estimate based on Salé benthic foraminifera is slightly earlier ( $\sim 0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.) than the age proposed by Gautier et al. (1994).

### 6.4. Paleoclimatic/sea-level fluctuations

Many of the global paleoclimatic changes in the late Messinian have been reviewed in Chapter 5. Detailed paleoclimatic study of the Salé core was carried out by Hodell et al. (1994) based on oxygen stable isotopes with a ranse of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values of 0.5 to $0.6 \%$. Their isotopic signals have shown distinct glacial-interglacial changes driven by insolation resulting from orbital obliquity changes at each $\sim 41-$ kyrs. The $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ signals were partly controlled by changes of continental ice volume that were responding to insolation fluctuations at high latitudes. Hodell et al. (1994) also reported that the oxygen isotopes in the Salé core are associated with the waxing and waning of icesheets identical to the orbital obliquity cycles.

Precessional forcing was not verified from the carbonate data of the Salé section probably as a result of low sampling resolution (Hodell et al., 1994). Precessional forcing was determined in the Bou Regreg Messinian section at Ain el Beida near Rabat, Morocco (Benson et al., 1995), and in Pliocene and Pleistocene sediments in the Mediterranean (Hilgen, 1987, 1991a, b; 1993).

Carbonate cyclicities in the Salé core, however, are reportedly dominated by the eccentricity cycles with periodicity of 100 kyrs for the interval from C3An to C 3 Ar ( 5.894 to 6.935 Ma ) (Hodell et al., 1994). Seven Milankovitch eccentricity cycles (100 kyrs) in the upper section from 5.894 to 5.32 Ma , that are considered to have beer relatively shallow water environment, are determined based on the time series analysis using carbonate data of Hodell et al. (1994) in this study (Fig. 6-3). These cycles also co-vary with the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ positive excursions, smonthed $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratio curve, and percent $B$. lowmani . Therefore I suggest that the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratio and $B$. lowmani cycles and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ positive excursions in this core may have been associated with eccentricity forcing. The major $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichments in Fig. 6-4 indicate that seven glaciations or climate coolings may have occurred in this interval, which have caused corresponding sea-level lowerings as labeled

Fig. 6-3. Power spectrum analysis for oxygen-18 (top), planktonic/benthic ratios (middle), and carbonate (bottom) signals during Chronozone C3r (=lower reversal of the Gilbert with a duration of 5.894 and 5.32 Ma ). The distinct peak corresponds to the Milankovitch cycles of eccentricity ( 100 kyrs ). The oxygen-18 and carbonate data are from Hodell et al. (1994).


Fig. 6-4. Detailed view of Stage 3 in Salé core. During this stage ( $\sim 5.9-5.32 \mathrm{Ma}$ ), 7 sealevel lowerings are recognized using $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratios, $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and Bolivina lowmani. The sea-level cycles are coinciding with eccentricity forcing according to the time series analysis. These sea-level fluctuations has played as an "automatic choke" to control the infilling of Atlantic water to the Mediterranean Basin. SLL $=$ sea-level lowering. 3 point running average oxygen-18 curve is from Fig. 3-10.

Depth (meter below surface)

in the figure (SLL 1-7). Bolivina lowmani is considered to be a depth-dependent species, which decreases in abundance when sea-level drops. Fig. 6-4 clearly shows that these sealevel fluctuations are superimposed on the signals resulting from rapid tectonic uplifting. No major sea-level changes as indicated by indistinct variations of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}$ ratios and CaCO , as well as the absence of shallow water benthic foraminiferal fluctuations, can be recognized below C3An. 1 n because this interval remains deeper ( $600-800 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Similar sealevel changes are observed with turbidite cycles in ODP Hole 646B and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ fluctuations in DSDP Hole 552A (this thesis), although only six cycles are determined in the same time interval. The increases of planktonic foraminifera and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ during the sea-level lowerings or glaciations recorded in the Salé core are not well understood because it cannot be explained with sea-level fluctuations. In the most ideal case, planktonic foraminifera and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ would decrease, while benthic foraminifera would increase in abundance when water depth became shallower. I tentatively interpret that these increases of planktonic faunas and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ may have been associated with increased surface productivity resulting from upwellings during the glaciations. It is well known that during glaciations, winds on land became more intense. When winds blow away from the land, offshore flow of water may be produced, causing water loss near shore or sea-level fall. As a result, the lost nearshore water would be replaced by upwelled nutrient-rich deep water that could cause oceanic surface blooms. The association between surface productivity and dramatic climatic change has been recently recognized in the Indian Ocean (Siesser, 1995). In addition, DeMenocal (1995) reported that during the late Miocene, the eolian deposits were found in marine sediments off west Africa, which supports the presence of intensified winds on African continent at this time. Furthermore, the terrestrial influx that contains high iron (Martin, 1990) may have greatly increased Fe content in oceanic water column, which could stimulate the oceanic surface productivity during glaciations (Martin, 1990). This paleoproductivity increase during the glacials is also supported by Sarnthein et al. (1987),
who suggested that the paleoproductivity increased from interglacial to glacial delimiting clear 100 kyr cycles.

As observed in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopes at Atlantic DSDP Sites 552A, DSDP 608 and Salé core (Keigwin, 1987, Hodell et ${ }^{2}$..., 1994; this thesis), and in the Pacific ODP Site 846 (Shackleton et al., 1995), the benthic foraminifera in the Salé core strongly support major glaciation at 5.75 Ma ( $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{w}$ time scale of Berggren et al., 1995) labeled as SLL 6 (Fig. 6-4). At this time, cassidulinids, Trifarina angulosa, Bolivina lowmani, Melonis spp., and Bolivinita pseudothalmanni are reduced, while some shallow water species (Bolivina dialata and Gavelinopsis praegeri are) increased. These suggest water depth has been decreased suddenly. It is suggested to be equivalent to the Caliza Tosca deposits in Spain, indicating $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ sea-level lowering at this time.

In addition, a warm, tropical planktonic foraminiferal assemblage, characterized by Globorotalia menardii and Globigerinoides spp., has been displaced by a temperate fauna dominated by conoid Globorotalia group (G. miotumida, conomiozea, etc.) at the beginning of the Messinian (e.g., Sierro, 1985), indicating a climate cooling, although there may be a major diachroneity between the Pacific Ocean and the Mediterranean region (Roberts et al., 1994). This is supported by the global $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment at the beginning of the Messinian.

### 6.5. Possible cause of the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Mediterranean

 BasinIt is documented that the Messinian deposits in the Mediterranean region, both sea and land, are basically composed of the following major sequences, i.e., Tripoli marine formation, Calcare di Base, cyclic lower and upper evaporites, and a thin layer of Lago Mare deposits - fresh/brackish water deposits that capped the sequence. Calcare di Base marks a rapid change from a bathyal environment of hemipelagic sedimentation to a shallow, or subaerial environment of carbonate deposition and diagenesis (see Hsü et al.,
1978). The Lower Evaporite sequence is overlain unconformably by the Upper Evaporites of gypsum and marls. Lago Mare deposits are fresh/brackish water deposits, formed in the Mediterranean Basin at the end of the Messinian. The latter three layers were considered to have been formed in a repeatedly desiccated Mediterranean deep basin resulting from the complete isolations of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean (Hsü et al., 1973a, b). Hsü et al. (1973a, b) claimed that to form a volume of $2,000-3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ evaporites in the Mediterranean Sea, 11 interludes of infill from the Atlantic Ocean during the later Messinian would be required if all salts deposited in the center of the Mediterranean Basin (Hsü et al., 1973a, p. 1215; Hsü et al., 1978, p. 1070) (note that Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a) suggested 7-8 interludes of infilling). The speculation of Hsü et al. (1973a) on the repeated infilling greatly stimulated scientists to find connections between the Mediterranean Basin and open ocean. Much work was targeted to southern Spain and Northern Morocco (e.g., Berggren and Haq, 1976; Berggren et al., 1976; Van Couvering et al., 1976; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991b; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a; Benson et al., in press; Benson et al., 1991; Hodell et al., 1989; Hodell et al., 1994; Cita and Ryan, 1978) to seek possible associations between the Mediterranean and Atlantic Ocean because these areas were expected to be the only conduits for water exchange during the Messinian although the Eastern Mediterranean may have been connected to the Indian Ocean for some time (Hsü et al., 1978). The evidence both from Spain and Morocco shows that the Messinian Salinity Crisis may have been controlled by both tectonic movements in southern Spain and northern Morocco and glacioeustatic sea-level changes (e.g., Hsü et al., 1973; Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a), but the corresponding numbers of the repeated infilling resulting from the sea-level changes as suggested by either Hsü et al. (1973) and Hsü et al. (1978), and Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a) were not substantiated. However, in abyssal Atlantic basins outside the Mediterranean Sea, two major oxygen isotopic enrichment events in the youngest Chron 5 and in the earliest Gilbert (Hodell et al., 1986; Cita and McKenzie, 1986; Müller and Hsü, 198 7; Keigwin, 1987), respectively, were found, which
were considered to have been associated with the Salinity Crisis. Most recently, Aharon et al. (1993) reported that the Messinian Salinity Crisis was linked to glacioeustatic sea-level changes indicated by eight unconformites from Niue coral atoll, South Pacific Ocean, but these appear to lack fine timing control (Benson, pers. comm., 1995).

As discussed above foraminiferal and oxygen isotopic data from the Salé core provide critical information for the evaluation of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis during the late Messinian from $\sim 5.9$ to 5.32 Ma . These results strongly suggest: 1) A dramatic tectonic uplifting during late Chronozone C3An and gradual uplifting throughout Subchronozone C 3 r (=lower Gilbert). Possibly, a complete isolation of the Miediterranean Basin from the Atlantic Ocean may have taken place at the end of the Messinian or the earliest Pliocene; 2) Glacioeustatic sea-level changes driven by eccentricity forcing in each 100 kyrs during the late Messinian (5.9-5.32 Ma).

It is clear that the tectonic uplifting in Morocco plays a major role in the circulation restriction and final isolation from the Atlantic Ocean, while the eustatic sea-level changes superimposed on the tectonic background, serve as an "automatic choke" that controls the Atlantic infilling to the Mediterranean Basin and results in cyclic evaporitic deposits (e.g., Hsü, 1973). Seven glacioeustatic sea-level fluctuations recorded in the Salé core coincide well with the 7-8 Atlantic inundations into the Mediterranean Sea given by Benson and Rakic-El Bied (1991a), but is lower than the estimate of Hsü et al. (1973a, b, 1978). In Hole 646B, 6 deep-water turbidite cycles are determined in the same interval of time.

Once again, the amplitudes of the sea-level fluctuations at Salé are difficult to estimate based on the foraminiferal data. However, the most distinct sea-level lowering occurred at 5.75 Ma may have reached $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$. This estimate rests on the observation of Caliza Tosca deposits (shelly calcarenite at the top of the Andalusian stratotype) at Camonia in southwest Spain (Berggren and Haq, 1976), deep-water turbidites that originated near the coast, south of Greenland (Chapter 5), and oxygen isotope values at Salé (Hodell et al., 1994), and in the North Atlantic (Keigwin, 1987; also see Chapter 5, this thesis).

### 6.6. Summary

1) Water depth in northeastern Morocco incleased significantly during the late Tortonian and early Messinian, suggesting a net subsidence of about 310m; a total net uplift of about 560 m occurred from 6 Ma to $5.32 \mathrm{Ma}(\sim 0.8 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.), indicating a rapid tectonic uplifting; the northeastern Morocco has become a land and has never subsided again below sea level after $\sim 5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the earliest Pliocene).
2) Three major circulation stages associated with tectonic changes in the Rifian Corridor area are determined:

Stage 1-PMOW leaking and anti-estuarine circulation during the early Messinian (7.12-6.2 Ma). This stage is supported by evidence observed both in deep oceanic and the Mediterranean Basin. It indicates a pre-condition of the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Mediterranean Basin.

Stage 2 - Atlantic inflow ( $6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ ): This reversed current is characterized by a peak of the typical NADW species, abrupt decrease of $U$. peregrina, decrease of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values, and psychrospheric ostracodes, reflecting a strong negative water balance in the Mediterranean Basin due to strong evaporation, and the onset of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. Both Stages 1 and 2 are considered to be deep-basin, deep-water paleoenvironments.

Stage 3-Closing of the Mediterranean Sea (5.9-5.32 Ma) and Salinity Crisis: Morocco underwent a rapid tectonic changes (compression and uplifting) beginning at the top of C3An.1n, which suggests the severe constraints for water exchange between the Mediterranean Basin from the Atlantic Ocean just after the reversed current in upper Chronozone C3An. It is considered to have been the major cause of the formation of giant svaporitic salts in the Mediterranean Basin. The Mediterranean Salinity Crisis and the formation of the Mediterranean evaporites began within Subchronozone C3An.1n at $\sim 5.9$ Ma , which is slightly earlier ( $\sim 0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.$) than the age proposed before.$
3) The present foraminiferal and oxygen isotopic data from the Salé core strongly suggest that the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Mediterranean Basin was associated with dramatic tectonic uplifting of Morocco during late Chronozone C3An and glacioeustatic sea-level changes driven by eccentricity forcing in each 100 kyrs during the late Messinian (5.9-5.32 Ma). Similar numbers of glacioeustatic sea-level cycles in the same time interval are also recorded in deep water turbidites in the North Atlantic Ocean (ODP Site 646B). I suggest that the tectonic uplifting in Morocco may have played a major role in the circulation restriction and final isolation from the Atlantic Ocean, while eustatic sea-level changes have been superimposed on the tectonic background, and served as an "automatic choke" that controlled the Atlantic infilling to the Mediterranean Basin and resulting in cyclic evaporitic deposits (e.g., Hsü, 1973).

## CHAPTER 7

## ATLANTIC DEEP WATER CIRCULATION PATTERNS: CAUSES AND EFFECTS

### 7.1. Benthic foraminifera as indicators of deep-water circulation

The importance of deep sea benthic foraminifera for determination of deep ocean circulation has been studied intensively in the last 30 years. Most deep-sea benthic foraminifera are sensitive to the physiochemical properties of the water masses in the world oceans and thus are used as indicators of deep-water circulation (e.g., Murray et al., 1986; Murray, 1987; Murray, 1984; Schnitker, 1980; Schnitker, 1986; Schnitker, 1974; Miller and Fairbanks, 1985; Miller et al., 1987; Miller and Tucholke, 1983; Miller and Katz, 1987; Streeter and Shackleton, 1979; Streeter, 1973; Streeter et al., 1982; Lohmann, 1978; Hodell et al., 1985; Corliss, 1982; Zahn et al., 1987; Hermelin, 1986).

Using statistical analysis based on the Quaternary foraminiferal counts of Phleger et al. (1953), together with other Lamont-Doherty data, Streeter (1973) was able to recognize three major benthic foraminiferal biofacies in the North Atlantic Ocean which have been associated with deep-water masses characterized by different temperature and salinity by Worthington and Wright (1970). This study shows that the faunal Group I characterized by Nuttallides umbonifera is closely related to the Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW); Group II dominated by Planulina wuellerstorfi and Epistominella exigua occurs in the samples under the influence of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW); and Group III dominated by Uvigerina peregrina occurs in the area largely influenced by warm and saline Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW). On the other hand, Schnitker (1986) suggested that U. peregrina has been linked to glacial bottom water at about 18,000 years BP and that Atlantic bottom water must have been warm in temperature $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and low in oxygen content at this time, indicating a stagnation of the North Atlantic Ocean in agreement with Streeter (1973, 1976,
1977). In addition, Schnitker (1974) also reported that in the Western North Atlantic Basin, the Epistominella exigua fauna occurs in areas overlain by Norwegian Sea Overflow Water that triggers NADW and approximately within $1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ potential temperature contour; and the Nuttallides umbonifera fauna is best developed within $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ potential temperature contour of AABW. In the area between $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (potential temperature from $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $1.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) exactly where the two bottom waters mingle, the two benthic foraminiferal faunas are no lenger distinguishable (Schnitker, 1974). This may indicate the northern limit of AABW. Ten years later Weston and Murray (1983) and Murray et al. (1986) recognized different water masses in the northeastern Atlantic Ocean, namely, AABW, NADW, and NEADW (Northeast Atlantic Deep Water) from the Miocene to Recent based on analogous benthic foraminiferal species.

More recent studies, however, indicate that some species such as Epistominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis, are associated with photosynthetically produced organic material, called "phytodetritus" (Gooday, 1988; Gooday and Lambshead, 1989; Gooday et al., 1990; Gooday, 1993; Smart et al., 1993). Phytodetritus consists of phyloplankton and zooplankton remains, bound together in a gelatinous matrix to form aggregates up to about one centimeter thick. These originate in the euphotic zone during the spring bloom, and settle over a period of weeks through the water column to form a patchy deposit of light fluffy material on the ocean floor (Smart et al., 1993). Phytodetritus is well documented at bathyal and abyssal depths in the northeastern Atlantic today (Gooday and Turley, 1990), and is considered as an ephemeral food source for above-mentioned opportunists and, therefore, might control their çopulation dynamics (Gooday and Lambshead, 1989; Gooday and Turley, 1990).

In DSDP holes 608 and 552A, available benthic foraminiferal and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data both from planktonic and benthic faunas make a possible comparison to determine the relationship between the surface paleoproductivity and benthic production on the ocean floor. Theoretically, high ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {planktonic }}$ values suggest high ocean surface productivity,
because photosynthesis takes up ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ in water. Another approach to determine the surface productivity to use the difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) between ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {planktonic }}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {benthic }}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}}\right)$. The reason is that ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in oceanic surface water would be enriched because of the uptake of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ by plants during the photosynthesis on ocean surface, while on the ocean floor ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ would be depleted through the release of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ resulting from the decay organisms from ocean surface. Therefore the difference between $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ can be used as an indicator of surface productivity and organic carbon flux from ocean surface to the bottom (Sarnthein and Winn, 1990).

In comparison with carbon stable isotopes from a surface dweller Globigerina bulloides, no clear relationship between the benthic faunas and paleoproductivity has been clearly determined in DSDP holes 608 and 552A (Figs. 7-1 and 7-3). The major peaks of E. exigua and $E$. weddellensis in the figures are characterized by widely varied $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values. Particularly in DSDP Hole 552A, most peaks of E. exigua are associated with $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ depletion, rather than increases (note that depleted $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ suggests low productivity, and vice versa). The strongest evidence for the argument are the two peaks of $E$. exigua in the intervals of 109-114 msb and 121-126 msb in Hole 552A, respectively. As shown in Fig. $7-3$, the upper peak corresponds to the high $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values up to $+0.8 \%$, while the lower peak corresponds to the extremely depleted $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (down to $-0.4 \%$ ) that indicate extremely low surface productivity. In addition, other spikes with single sample at Site 608, however, co-vary with $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 7-1), indicating a possible association with paleoproductivity because Site 608 is geographically close to the area of present-day phytodetritus production in the northeastern Atlantic (Gooday and Turley, 1990). Smart et al. (1994) reported that in the North Atlantic, the percentage of E. exigua shows relatively modest fluctuations ranging from 5-18\% during the Miocene, while in the northern margin of the Bay of Biscay in the area of present-day phytodetritus production it varies greatly from 0 to $\sim 40 \%$. The results in this study obviously show a similarity to the former

Fig. 7-1. Correlation between benthic 'oraminifera (Epistominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis) and oxygen-18 and carbon-13 data in surface dwellers (Globigerina bulloides and Orbulina universa) at DSDP Site 608. It shows no clear relationship between benthic foraminifera and surface prozuctivity as indicated by carbon-13.


Fig. 7-2. Messinian deep-water changes at DSDP Site 608. Note that AABW as indicated by its proxy (Nuttallides umbonifera) decreased during the Messinian Stage, particularly, the onset of Messinian Salinity Crisis, and the North Atlantic Ocean may have been more sluggish during the Salinity Crisis than the early Messinian and early Pliocene as suggested by Uvigerina.


Fig. 7-3. Correlation between benthic foraminifera (Epistominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis) and oxygen-18 and carbon-13 data in surface dwellers (Globigerina bulloides and Orbulina universa) at DSDP Hole 552A. It shows no clear relationship between benthic foraminifera and surface productivity as indicated by carbon-13, except in the interval from 105 and 110 m . Generally, E. exigua peaks are correlated well with enriched excursions of oxygen-18 in $G$. bulloides shells.


Fig. 7-4. Correlation between benthic foraminifera (Epistominell xigua and Eponides weddellensis) and $\Delta \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at DSDP Hole 552 A , showing the relation between benthic fauna and surface productivity.

observation. Based on these observations, I suggest that E. exigua at Sites 552A and 608 is essentially associated with deep-water masses. Paleoproductivity, however, may have played an important role in certain intervals, for example, the interval from 108 to 113 msb (Core 24, Hole 552A; see below for more details), where $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values are extremely high (Fig. 7-3). In the remainder of the cores, paleoproductivity at both sites appears to be less significant although relatively long-term correlation may have occurred in the interval between $144-148 \mathrm{~m}$ as reflected by $\Delta \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {planktonic }}-\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {benthic }}\right)$ at Site 552 (Fig. 7-4). It, however, may have opportunistically superimposed upon the background of influence of water masses.

### 7.2. Temporal and spatial deep-water distribution in the North Atlantic

DSDP Site 552 from North Atlantic deep water region provides an ideal location for the view of paleoceanographic changes during the Messinian due to its location exactly under the path of NADW.

### 7.2.1. The Tortonian

Benthic foraminifera and stable isotopes at DSDP Sites 608 and 552A exhibit clear changes of deep-water circulation during the Tortonian. In the earliest Tortonian at about $10.3 \mathrm{Ma}(=216-220 \mathrm{msb}$, Core 24 ; new time scale) at Site 608 , a brief, relatively strong AABW invasion characterized by higher $N$. umbonifera ( $>10 \%$ ), lower Epistominella exigua, relatively high $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of benthic formminifera (Planulina wuellerstorfi) and relatively low $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ to the northeastern Atlantic Basin is recognized (Fig. 7-2). It may be difficult to determine with low sample resolution because it has a duration of only $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{Ma}$. This strong invasion is followed immediately after a strong NCW (Northern Component Water; analogous to NADW) production determined in the interval of $220-230 \mathrm{msb}$ at Site 608 tased on the large $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference between the North Atlantic (Site 608) and the Southern Ocean (Sites 360 and 704) by Wright et al. (1991).

During the rest of the latest Tortonian (216-186 misb at Site 608; $165-152 \mathrm{msb}$ at Site 552), AABW was still present in the eastern basin as indicated by relatively high percentage of $N$. umbonifera although it may have been much reduced. Correspondingly, Wright et al. (1991) reported that NADW shows a sharp reduction in the Southern Ocean because of the decreased $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ difference between the Pacific and Southern Ocean (Wright et al., 1991). Wright et al. (1991) speculate that the reduction of NADW could allow AABW to fill most of the deep North Atlantic Ocean. This AABW invasion cannot be determined at DSDP Site 547, because of the presence of an unconformity (i.e., H3; see Chapter 4 for details) that probably cut off the latest Tortonian and the early Messinian.

### 7.2.2. The Messinian

A comparison with Salé $M \in$-sinian section shows that three major paleoceanographic stages at Site 552 coincide well with the precondition of Messinian Crisis, the onset of Messinian Salinity Crisis and the Messinian Salinity Crisis observed in Salé borehole, Moroccu (also see Chapter 6). A Similar three stages can also be distinguished at Site 608 (Fig. 7-2). However, it must be noted that Site 608 would not record as much information on paleoceanographic events as in Hole 552A, because this site rests too far from the axes of NADW and PMOW.

Stage $1(7.12-6.2 \mathrm{Ma})$ : Stage 1 stratigraphically ranges from C 3 Br to the top of the lower normal polarity of C3An within the time interval from 7.12-6.2 Ma according to the new time scale given by Berggren et al. (1995). It is equivalent to the Tripoli Formation, a sequence with alternating diatomites and claystones (McKenzie et al., 1979) in the Mediterranean Sea. This interval is suggested as "pre-condition of Messinian Salinity Crisis" (see Chapter 6 for details). Deposits of the Tripoli Formation indicate a restricted paleo-Mediterranean in this period.

In this stage, several minor oscillations alternate between NADW and AABW as indicated by $E$. exigua and $N$. umbonifera during the early Messinian (Figs. 7-3 and 7-6)

Fig. 7-5. Deep-water circulation model during the early Messinian ( 7.12 to 6.2 Ma ) in the North Atlantic Ocean.


Fig. 7-6. Correlation between oceanic Site 552 and inland Salé borehole. It shows that: 1) during the early Messinian, the North Atlantic had relatively good ventilation indicated by relatively high $N$. umbonifera at Site 552, in part associated with outgoing PMOW indicated by $U$. peregrina in Salé core; 2) AABW retreated southward during the Onset of Salinity Crisis indicated by the absence of $N$. umbonifera at Site $552 ; 3$ ) The North Atlantic was stagnant during the Salinity Crisis as indicated by $U$. peregrina at Site 552. Lower and Upper Evaporites were formed in the Mediterranean Basin when both NADW and AABW ware significantly reduced, coeval with glaciations at 7.75 and 5.55 Ma . A brief return of NADW and AABW occurred at $\sim 5.6 \mathrm{Ma}$, which is linked to the major unconformity as a result of the "Mediterranean transgression". This unconformity separates the Lower Evaporite from the Upper Evaporite.

Fig. 7-5. Deep-water circulation model during the early Messinian ( 7.12 to 6.2 Ma ) in the North Atlantic Ocean.
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Fig. 7-7. Deep-water circulation model during the onset of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (6.2-5.9 Ma) in the North Atlantic Ocean.

which may suggest unstable climatic changes. Fig. 7-5 shows a general distribution of deep-water circulation during the early Messinian in the North Atlantic based on the benthic foraminifera. In the Southern Ocean, ODP Site 704 is dominated by NCW as shown by higher $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ values during the early Messinian (Wright et al., 1991). The alternations between NADW and AABW were not reported based on stable isotopes by (Wright et al., 1991). At Site 608, Wright et al. (1991) also reported a major NCW flux between 7.8 and 7.0 Ma (187-170 msb interval), which is calibrated to 7.2 to 5.9 Ma in this study (see Chapter 4), indicating early Messinian age. Therefore, the NCW flux determined by Wright et al. (1991) is consistent with my observation based on benthic foraminifera at Sites 552 and 608. DSDP Site 238 in the Pacific Ocean is characterized by the absence of Uvigerina (Vincent et al., 1980), and at Site 547 in the Madeira abyssal plain off the northwestern Africa the lower Messinian was missing, suggesting the intensification of deep water circulation. It is obvious that the evidence from all the different oceans accounts for relatively good basin to basin ventilation at this time.

In comparison with the distribution of $N$. umbonifera during the latest Tortonian, the paleoenvironment in this stage appears to have been similar to that of the latest Tortonian. AABW at this time was also reported to reach $\sim 50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the eastern Atlantic Basin (DSDP Site 608) by Murray et al. (1987) based on benthic foraminiferal studies using $>125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ size fraction of sediments, although it may have been little more sluggish during the early Messinian as reflected by the presence of $U$. peregrina (Fig. 7-6).

Stage $2(6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma})$ : Stage 2 is represented by the interval from the bottom of C3An. 1 r to the top of C3An. $1 \mathrm{n}(6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). It is interpreted to be equivalent to Calcare di Base underlain by the Tripoli Formation in Sicily, Mediterranean Sea. In this stage, AABW has been significantly reduced at Site 552 as suggested by the absence of $N$. umbonifera (Figs. 7-6 and 7-7), probably indicating relatively poor basin to basin ventilation relative to Stage 1.

Fig. 7-8. Deep-water circulation model during the beginning of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (5.9-5.78 Ma) in the North Atlantic Ocean.


Fig. 7-9. Deep-water circulation model at 5.75 Ma (late Messinian) in the North Atlantic Ocean.


Stage 3 (5.9-5.32 Ma). This stage, equivalent to the latest Messinian is characterized by great oscillations of deep-water circulation. From 5.9 to 5.8 Ma a major AABW reduction has occurred as reflected by absence of $N$. umbonifera (Fig. 7-8), coinciding with the low occurrence of $N$. umbonifera at ODP sites 747, 751, 748 in the Kerguelen Plateau of the Southern Ocean (Mackensen, 1992). The North Atlantic Ocean appears to have been essentially characterized by NADW. At DSDP Site 609, Murray (1987) reported a similarity of deep-water circulation pattern (p. 979, fig. 18) to that of this study. In the Southern Ocean, Mackensen (1992) reported that a NADW-like water mass once bathed the Southern Ocean at this time. These studies are in agreement with the observation of this study. But their studies were based on low sampling resolution, and thus little detail on the deep-water circulation was given for the interval with frequent climatic changes. Moreover, stable isotopes given by Wright et al. (1991) show no major NCW occurred in this interval.

From 5.78 to 5.32 Ma , the present study shows that two major NADW reductions indicated by low abundance of E. exigua have occurred at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma , respectively at Site 552 (Figs. 7-9 and 7-11). NADW is determined at Site 552 before 7.8 Ma and at $\sim 5.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Fig. 7-10). At DSDP Site 547 off northwestern Africa, this interval contains high percentage of $E$. exigua (average $>20 \%$ ) and $N$. umbonifera (average $>10 \%$ ), indicating a mixture of NADW and AABW waters (see Fig. 3-22). My study also shows that AABW may have been absent except for a brief return at 5.6 Ma at Site 552 (Fig. 7-6) and at Site 608 (Fig. 7-2).

### 7.2.3. The early Pliocene

During the early Pliocene, the North Atlantic Ocean appears to be characterized by a mixture of NADW and AABW waters at sites 608 and 552, although alternating oscillations among the two water masses may have occurred at this time (Figs. 7-2, 7-3, 7-6). This is in agreement with the observation of Murray (1987), who determined that

Fig. 7-10. Deep-water circulation model at $\sim 5.60 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the late Messinian) in the North Atlantic Ocean.
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Fig. 7-11. Deep-water circulation model at $\sim 5.55 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the latest Messinian) in the North Atlantic Ocean.


Fig. 7-11. Deep-water circulation model at $\sim 5.55 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the latest Messinian) in the North Atlantic Ocean.


AABW has reached as far as $53^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, north of DSDF Site 611 during the early Pliocene (nannofossil zone NN13). A gradual decrease in the relative abundance of $N$. umbonifera from Site 608 to Site 552 shows that AABW may have decreased from south to north, while NADW does not show such a north- south trend. At Site 547, no clear NADW or $A A B W$ are distinguished from the *enthic foraminiferal distribution, because both signals of $E$. exigua and $N$. umbonifera are extremely diluted by sudden increase of Bolivina inflata and Bolivina pseudothalmanni (see Fig. 3-23; Site 547A). A similar increase is also observed at Site 552 in the early Pliocene. The significance of the sudden increase is not clear at present, probably because of high productivity in ocean surface, or downslope transportation, or low oxygen content in bottom water caused by sluggish circulation, as reported by various workers (Harman, 1964; Cita and Podenzani, 1980; Van der Zwaan, 1980; Sen Gupta et al., 1981; Casey et al., 1981; Thomas, 1986; Thomas, 1987a, b).

### 7.3. Messinian deep-water circulation - possible cause and effect

### 7.3.1. Climate finctuations as a major cause of deep-water circulation

During the beginning of the early Messinian, a cooling period was determined by oxygen stable isotopes from planktonic foraminiferal tests at Site 519 (O. universa) in the South Atlantic Ocean (McKenzie and Oberhänsli, 1985) and at Site 608 (Globigerina bulloides) (Fig. 7-1), eut at Site 552 a m: $\therefore \mathrm{a}$ d rease in planktonic foraminiferal oxygen isotopes has occurred, indicating a significans uifference from Sites 519 and 608. It is interesting that such a decrease is not recorded in benthic foraminiferal ( $P$. wuellerstorfi) oxygen isotopes at the same site (Fig. 7-3). The difference between the sites is not understood. It may be expressed as a local event, rather than a global one. I tentatively propose four interpretations for the difference: 1) This decreased signal could result from low sampling resolution for Sites 519 and $608 ; 2$ ).To some degree, it could have been related to PMOW changes. It is clear that density surface of today's MOW moves up to between 200 and 100 m below sea surface when it moves northward (Barry Ruddick, pers.
comm., 1995), and it could warm up the uppermost layer of water; 3) The signal could have been associated with warm paleo-Gulf Stream that flowed over the site during the Messinian; or 4) It could be a combination of 2 and 3. I prefer the last interpretation, because I speculate that PMOW alone may not have been strong enough to change oxygen isotopic values so significantly.

During the late Messinian two major NADW reductions occur at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma, respectively, as indicated by sudden decrease of E. exigua (Fig. 7-3). These two events are coeval with major AABW reductions and major enrichments of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ known as major glacials in the late Miocene (Keigwin, 1987) (Figs. 7-3 and 7-5). These two glacials could have caused significant sea-level lowerings and southward movement of the Polar Front in the Northern Hemisphere. It was reported that the Polar Front moved to the south of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ during the Last Glacial, resulting in the cessation of NAD)W (Ruddiman and McIntyre, 1977). I speculate that the NADW reductions during the late Messinian may have had a similar origin in assuciation with the Polar Front that moved southward, and further caused the Norwegian-Greenland seas to be stagnant or shut-down production of NADW. Although both glacials are brief, only about 10,000 yrs each in duration (Keigwin, 1987), they appear to have had profound influence on the deep-water circulation. As seen in Fig. $7-3$, NADW reduced dramatically when glaciations started, and came back gradually with the onset of deglaciation. In addition, sea-ler lowerings caused by glaciations could have separated the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean, and further created the Lower and Upper evaporites in the Mediterranean Basin at this time.

A significant increase of $E$. exigua occurs between the two major reductions of NADW in Core 24, indicating a brief return of NADW during the interglacial. It is also supported by high $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ values which reach over $1.2 \%$, indicating a younger deep-water incursion. Atlantic inflow water into the Mediterranean Basin at this time was also recorded in Salé borehole, in which several deep-water benthic foraminiferal species (such as Oridorsalis umbonatus, Stilostomella spp.) increased in abundance. According to the
\|
variations of planktonic foraminifera, $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and stable oxygen isotopes at Salé, Morocco, this inflow may have occurred between SLL 4 and SLL 3 (Fig. 6-4), with a duration of about $10,000-20,000$ years. The return of NADW, together with sea-level fluctuation could have caused an inundation into the Messinian Mediterranean Basin, yielding a major unconformity that separates the Lower Evaporite from the Upper Evaporite as observed in the Mediterranean Basin (Hsü et al, 1973a). Moreover, it is possible that a small amount of PMOW may have occurred as well, as indicated by increased low oxygen species $U$. peregrina, suggesting a water exchange between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean.

It must be mentioned that the increase of $E$. exigua observed at Site 552 may have also been associated with increased surface oceanic productivity because of high $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (values $0.4-0.8 \%$ ) of the planktonic $G$. bulloides (Fig. 7-3). It is known that $\Delta \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ generally indicates surface productivity (Sarnthein and Winn, 1990; Ellen Thomas, pers. comm., 1995). However, at Site $552, \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at this time does not reflect the surface productivity because thir site has received younger NADW that contains high $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 7-3). It is clear that caution must be taken into account when we use $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ as an indicator of surface productivity. It may be used only for a steady state ocean. In addition, an increased NADW flux may also be a possible contribution since it was recognized in the Southern Ocean as a "major NCW flux" which occurred during the "interglacial" interval (Wright et al., 1991). Hence, it appears to be little doubt that the major peak of E. exigua may have been associated with both high paleoproductivity and NADW flux.

At Site 608 (present water depth 3511 m ) and Site 547 (water depth 3948 m ), a strong carbonate dissolution took place exactly in this interval (see Fig. 3-16). It is not completely understood at the moment, but probably because of two reasons: 1) It may have been associated with the Messinian Salinity Crisis when the major body of evaporites (i.e., the Lower Evaporites) formed in the Mediterranean Basin. This is observed at Site 552 because it is located at shallower water depth ( 2311 m ). The formation of Mediterranean evaporites could turn the world ocean less alkaline and raise the lysocline and carbonate
compensation depths, resulting in stronger deep-sea carbonate dissolution (Ryan, 1973);
2) Ii may have been related to the climatic changes that could have lowered sea level as suggested by $\delta^{18}$ O enrichments in this interval at sites 552, 608 and Salé borehole (see Figs. 3-20, 7-3, and 3-10).

### 7.3.2. Association with the Messinian Salinity Crisis

The basin to basin ventilation system during the early Messinian (Stage 1) is also suggested to have been in part associated with less PMOW entering the NorwegianGreenland seas and producing less deep-water overflows across the Faeroe-Scotland sill back to the North Atlantic Ocean. Evidence for the PMOW flux to the North Atlantic through the Betic Passage in southem Spain and Rifian Corridor in Morocco (Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a; McKenzie and Oberhänsli, 1985; Chapter 6) is strong at this time. It is understood that NADW would have increased and AABW would have decreased when more PMOW filled the Norwegian-Greenland seas, and vice versa. It is speculated that the spatial contribution of PMOW during the early Messinian may be similar to the modern MOW that spreads partially northward and enters the Norwegian-Greenland seas (Reid, 1979; Open University, 1989; Barry Ruddick, pers. comm., 1995).

During the onset of Messinian Salinity Crisis, three major coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrina a costaensis within paleomagnetic Chron 5 in Salé borehole are observed. The first S/D coiling change in this interval occurred at 102.85 m (Sample B37) in Subchionozone C3An.1r (Fig. 4-1); the first D/S change occurs at 90.8 m (Sample B25; middle C3An.1n); and the second S/D change at 86.65 m (Sample B21; top of C3An.1n). I have not determined any major changes in DSDP/ODF Holes 552A, 608 and 646B. Hole 547A near the Strait of Gibraltar shows only one D/S change occurs in the late Miocene, but this hole stratigraphically is far beyond control due to carbonate dissolution and a hiatus within the Messinian section (Fig. 4-11). In Holes 552A, 608 and 646B, Chron 5 section is dominated by sinistral Neogloboquadrina. However, two major S/D coiling changes that
are reported in DSDP Site 609 in open Atlantic by Hooper and Weaver (1987), Sites 611, 607 and in the Mediterranean region (Hilgen, pers. comm., 199₹; Sierro, pers. comm., 1995) are identical to those in the Salé borehole; one is in C3An. 1 r (middle Chron 5), and another in C3An.1n (top of Chron 5). In the Pacific Ocean, such coiling changes are not well documented, although some minor changes of Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (maximum ~20\%) were reported in the Blind River section, New Zealand, and DSDP Site 281 by Louit (1981) and Roberts et al. (1994). I suggest that the pattern of the coiling shift in the Blind River section and DSDP Hole 281 appears to be different from those around the Mediterranean region, because 1) The $D: S$ ratio is only $\sim 1: 4$ in the Pacific, while the coiling direction is almost completely shifted from sinistral to dextral or from dextral to sinistral in the Mediterranean region; 2) The coiling change in the Pacific is from dextral to sinistral in C3An.1r, while in the Mediterranean region it is from sinistral to dextral; and 3) There is only one shift recorded in Chron 5 in the Pacific, while three (i.e. S/D, D/S and S/D) around the Mediterranean region. In addition, Keigwin and Shackleton (1980) observed sinistral $N$. acostaensis just above the $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift followed by the FO of Pulleniatina primalis in the Pacific Ocean, but did not report the S/D and D/S shifts in Chron 5. At Site 397 off western Africa, there is one S/D change at Chron $6 / 5$ boundary (Mazzei et al., 1979), which is much lower than the first change at the middle of Chron 5 in Salé core.

The major synchronous coiling changes observed in this study and reported by Hooper and Weaver (1987) are most likely restricted to the Mediterranean region and particular part of the northeastern Atlantic Ocean. If this is true, then these changes may indicate unstable local/regional paleoenvironments, and may be associated with the paleoMediterranean water mass production entering the northeastern Atlantic Ocean during the early phase of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. Here, I tentatively re-construct the paleoMediterranean outflow water (PMOW) history in the North Atlantic (Fig. 7-12) based on the regional coiling change. It is expected that the warm, saline PMOW could cause coiling

Fig. 12. Paleo-Mediterranean Outflow re-construction in the North Atlantic Ocean during the middle and upper Chron $5(=\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{An} .1 \mathrm{r}$ and 1 n$)$.

direction change of Neogloboquadrina pachyderma/acostaensis from sinistral to cxtral in C3An.1r because of the temperature increase of uppe" layer ocean: , water affected by "hot" PMOW and regional temperature increase. The modern MOW is currently reported in the Labrador Sea (Mudie, pers. comm., 1995) and the Norwegian Sea (Reid, 1979). MOW enters the Labrador Sea probably across the Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone over Site 609 and Site 611, and enters the Norwegian Sea through the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge. In addition, Messinian oxygen isotopic study shows a distinct warming at $\sim 120 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ Stage C3An.180.17; Hodell et al., 1994; p. 847, fig. 10) where the first $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{D}$ exactly occurred in C3An.1r, juxtaposed with the first S/D change. The early extinction of Gt. conomiozea at the same level may also have been associated with the regional temperature increase that killed the temperate fauna. We suggest that this marked $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion in Salé borehole may reflect a local climatic warming, because this warming peak is not recognized in other regions, such as in the Blind River section and Hole 281, as indicated by planktonic foraminifera (i.e., N. pachyderma and Globigerina bulloides) at this time (Loutit, 1981). In fact, Loutit's work (1981) suggests a climate cooling. Such a cooling is also detected in DSDP Holes 552A (Fig. 7-3) and 608 (Fig. 3-20) in C3An.1r. Based on these observations, we may conclude that the period of middle C3An.1r may represent a global climate cooling, but local warming may have occurred in some particular areas associated with the warm PMOW activities and the onset of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis.

Tectonic evidence shows that there was a channel present in northern Morocco and southern Spain, to allow water circulation exchange between the North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea during Chron 5. These two channels were called the "twin channel" (Benson et al., 1991a). Benson et al. (1991a) further suggested that the paleoMediterranean outflow water entered the North Atlantic via the Betic Passage in Spain and inflow came into the Mediterranean Sea across the Rifian Channel (also called the "siphon" by Benson et al., 1991a) in Morocco during Chron 5. Benthic foraminiferal studies both in Spain (Berggren et al., 1976; Berggren and Haq, 1976) and Morocco indicated a conduit in
each place as well. It is evident that our interpretation is in agreement with that of Citd and Ryan (1979), rather than that of Hooper and Weaver (1987) who suggested the effect by global glacial cycles based on Neogloboquadrina pachyderma distribution, rather than by the Mediterranean salinity crisis. We assume that if these changes had been caused by global glacial cycles as speculated by Hooper and Weaver (1987), then the S/D coiling change in C3An. 1 r should be determined in all the oceans, but this appears not to be the case.

Another alternate interpretation is that these regional/local coiling changes could have been related to the changing strength of the gyre rotation with the oscillation caused by earth orbital forcing (Benson and Rakic-El Bied, 1991a; Benson, pers. comin.). However the available data appear to support an association with PMOW because the iocations containing Chron 5 coiling changes are on the route of PMOW, and these events are restricted to the Mediterranean region (Hodell et al., 1994). Thus I, prefer the former interpretation. Obviously, further study for this issue may be required to prove my interpretation.

There are two other coiling changes in the lowermost C3r at 80.55 m (D/S; Sample B15), and 76.3 m (S/D; Sample B11), respectively, immediately above Chron 5. Above the last S/D shift at 76.3 m , dextrally coiled $N$. acostaensis extends dominantly up to the top of the section. It does not agree with Hodell et al.'s (1994) observation that the sinistral form dominates for the remainder of the core record abcve 82.6 m . These frequent coiling changes are also considered to be associated with unstable paleoenvironment during the Mediterranean Saiinity Crisis.

In this circumstance, I would prefer to link the deep-water production in Chron 5 and lowermost $\mathrm{C3r}$ to the quasiperiodic coiling changes that may have been controlled by PMOW although these PMOW fluctuations have not been recorded in benthic foraminifera in Salé core.

The Mediterranean Salinity Crisis during the latest Messinian has been widely believed to cause a series of paleoceanographic changes. For example, cessation of deepwater formation could have occurred in the northern hemisphere (Blanc and Duplessy, 1982; Murray, 1987; McKenzie and Oberhänsli, 1985) because MOW no longer entered the Norwegian-Greenland seas as a result of isolation of the Mediterranean Sea from the Atlantic Ocean, and 6\% reduction of global oceanic alkalinity (Ryan, 1973) because of the extraction of a oreat volume of salts in the Mediterranean from open ocean.

As seen at Sites 552 and 608 , a relatively long-term reduction of AABW as reflected by $l$ unbonifera (a proxy of AABW) in the entire interval of the late Messinian from 6.2 (C3An.1r) to 5.55 Ma cannot be simply explained by climate puises. In fact, this reduction coincides extremely well with the Messinian Salinity Crisis and onset of the crisis, except for a brief return of AABW near the Messinian/Pliocene boundary at 5.6 Ma . I interpret that this long-term AABW reduction must have been linked to the Messinian Salinity Crisis although the great climate fluctuations (two brief glaciations) have caused two major reductions of NADW at 5.75 and 5.55 Ma . It is evident that since the onset of the salinity crisis at Subchronozones C3An. 1 r and $1 \mathrm{n}(6.2$ to 5.894 Ma ), PMOW may have been greatly constrained because of the uplift of Morocco. The limited PMOW that drained into the North Atlantic Ocean via Betic Passage of southern Spain may not have been strong enough to enter the Norwegian-Greenland seas to produce NADW to spill over the Iceland-Faeroe Ridge and Denmark Strait. As a consequence, the ocean lost a dynamic feature and became sluggish. This is strongly supported by a major increase of $U$. peregrina within the interval of Messinian Salinity Crisis (5.9-5.32 Ma) both at Site 552 and Site 608 (Figs. 7-2, 3 and 5). It is also supported by a similar spike of Uvigerina peregrina reported at DSDP Site 238 in the Indian Ocean (Vincent et al., 1980). The increase of the species, therefore, is counted as a global event. As discussed in Chapter 6, U. peregrina apparently is an indicator of low oxygen water masses (also Streeter and

Shackleton, 1979). Its increase in this interval may suggest that the global ocean was under relatively poor basin to basin ventilation during the Messinian Salinity Crisis.

### 7.4. Summary

The present deep-water benthic foraminiferal and stable isotopic studies show that:

1) A comparison of benthic foraminifera with $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}}$ suggests that the production of Epistominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis may not be necessarily related to the oceanic surface productivity during the Messinian. Instead, they are associated with deep-water masses, and thus may be used as indicators of deep-water masses.
2) During the Messinian, three major paleoceanographic stages recognized in deep sea cores.

Stage 1 (7.12-6.2 Ma): Stage 1 is equivalent to the "pre-condition of Messinian Salinity Crisis" as in Salé borehole. It has several minor oscillations alternate between NADW and $A A B W$, which may suggest unstable climatic changes.

Stage $2(6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma})$ : Stage 2 is equivalent to Calcare di Base underlain by the Tripoli Formation in Sicily. In this stage, AABW has been significantly reduced at Site 552, probably indicating relatively poor basin to basin ventilation relative to Stage 1 .

Stage 3 ( $5.9-5.32 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). This stage is equivalent to the Messinian Salinity Crisis, characterized by great oscillations of deep-water circulation. A major AABW reduction has taken place in this stage. From 5.8 to 5.32 Ma , two major NADW reductions occurred at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma , respectively.
3) Climate fluctuations are the major cause of the changes in of deep-water: circulation. During the late Messinian the two major NADW reductions at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma are coeval with major enrichments of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ known as major glacials in the late Miocene. These two glacials could also have caused significant sea-level lowerings and southward movement of the Polar Front in the Northern Hemisphere.

Brief returns of NADW and AABW have taken place at $\sim 5.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ (interglacial), with a duration of about $10,000-20,000$ years. The return of NADW, together with sea-level fluctuation could have caused an inundation into the Messinian Mediterranean Easin as recorded in Salé borehole, yielding a major unconformity that separates the Lower Evaporite from the Upper Evaporite as observed in the Mediterranean Basin. In addition, it is possible that a smail amount of PMOW may have occurred as well, as indicated by increased low oxygen species $U$. peregrina, suggesting a water exchange between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean at this time.
4) The formation of deep-water circulation is aiso associated with the Messinian Salinity Crisis. The relative dynamic basin to basin ventilation system during the early Messinian (Stage 1) has been in part associated with less PMOW.

During the onset of Messinian Salinity Crisis, coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrinu acostaensis in Salé borehole, DSDP sites 609, 611, 607 and in the Mediterranean region indicate an unstable local/regional palzoenvironments, and may be associated with the paleo-Mediterranean water miss production entering the northeastern Atlantic Ocean during the early phase of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis.

The Messinian Salinity Crisis has caused the world ccean to be sluggish. The relatively long-term reduction of AABW and pulse variations of NADW in the interval from 6.2 (C3An.1r) to 5.32 Ma is inked to the Messinian Salinity Crisis because it coincides extremely well with the Salinity Crisis and onset of the crisis. It is also supported by the global increase of $U$. peregrina in this interval, which suggests that the global ocean was under relatively poor basin to basin ventilation at this time.

## CHAPTER 8

## CONCLUSIONS

This multi-disciplinary study leads to the following major conclusions:

### 8.1. Stratigraphy

To obtain detailed time control for the Messinian paleoceanographic study, four DSDP/ODP Holes (552A, 646B, 608, and 547A) from the North Atlantic Ocean and one land borehole from Morocco were analyzed for integrated bio-, magneto-, and stable isotope Messinian stratigraphic study with sampling interval. These results indicate:
a) In Salé Borehole, Morocce, the Tortonian/Messinian boundary is located at 139.05 m (sample B72), defined by the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea, with an age estimate of $7.12 \mathrm{Ma}(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{Br})$. The Pliocene/Messinian boundary is interpolated between S series samples S 7 and S 11 at $\sim 10 \mathrm{~m}$ just above the LO of Gt. plesiotumida .
b) In DSDP Hole 552A, the Tortonian/Messinian boundary is defined by the FO of Globorotalia conomiozea s.s. at 150.16 msb in Chronozone C3Br. The Pliocene/Messinian boundary is based on indirect evidence, namely 1) The FO of Gt. puncticulata, 2) TG14 oxygen isotope stage at 106 msb , and 3) Using our age- depth plot.
c) In DSDP Hole 608, the Messinian/Pliocene boundary is placed at 138.6 msb within Core 15 , mainly relying upon the LO of Gt. conomiozea/miotumida plexus and the marked $8^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment (TG14?). The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is placed with the FO Gt. conomiozea within Core 20 at 184.88 msb . A small hiatus may be present within the Messinian (between Cores 18 and 17) as suggested by nannofossils, where a strong carbonate dissolution has taken place.
d) In DSDP Hole 547A, a condensed Messinian section is bracketed by the FO and LO of Gt. conomiozea, ranging from the middle of Core 8 to the top of Core 6. Three
hiatuses are identified in this hole, one in the Messinian, $u$ ich separates the upper Messinian from the upper Turtonian; and two in the Plioceine.
e) Neither the Tortonian/Messinian nor the Messinian/Pliocenc boundaries in ODP Hole 646B can be easily assigned because of downslope transportation during the Messinian Stage. The Tortonian/Messinian boundary is placed between Cores 75X and 78 X , using $\left.{ }^{87} \mathrm{Sr}\right)^{86} \mathrm{Sr}$ age estimate, Chron $6 \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift at Core $75 \mathrm{X}, \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment in Core 77X, Neogloboquadrina coiling change from the dextral to sinistral, and the FO of $D$. berggrenii in Core 76X.

The Messinian/Pliocene boundary is tentatively placed between Cores 46 X and 50X, based on the following observations: 1) the LO of $D$. berggrenii in Sample 49X-CC, 2) a Sr age of $5.37 \mathrm{Ma}, 3$ ) the interpolation of the FO of G. margaritae at 506.9 msb and FO of $G$. puncticulata at 383.08 msb ; and 4) $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ depletion above Core 45 X and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ enrichment.

Diachrony and synchrony of some important Messinian planktonic foraminifera from these Atlantic_DSDP/ODP holes and Salé borehole, such as the LO of Gq. dehiscens, the LO of Gt. lenguaensis, the FO and LO of Gt. conomiozea, the FO of Gt. margaritae s.s., the FO of Gt. puncticulata, and the FO of Gt. crassaformis are discussed for understanding some of the paleoceanographic changes. This stratigraphy perinits us to compare intra-Mediterranean paleoceanographic changes with those in the open Atlantic Ocean to determine what effect the Mediterranean salinity crisis had on deep-water paleoceanography during the Messinian Stage.

### 8.2. Glacioeustatic sea-level and paleoclimatic fluctuations

During the Messinian Salinity Crisis, frequent sea-level and climate fluctuations are recorded by deep-water turbidites (ODP Hole 646B), foraminifera (Salé borehole, Morocco), and oxygen stable isotopes (DSDP 552 and Salé borehole). Eight deep-water turbidite cycles in Hole 646B can be correlated well with the same number of oxygen
isotopic enrichments in Hole 552A, indicating that they may have been caused by sea-level lowerings. Marsh aggiutinated benthic foraminifera in deep-water turbidites suggest that sea level may have been lowered about $80-100 \mathrm{~m}$. At Salé, faunal, carbonate and isotopic cycles coincide with eccentricity cycles ( 100 kyrs ).

### 8.3. Uplifting of Morocco

a) Water depth in northeastern Morocco increased significantly during the late Tortonian and early Messivian, suggesting a net subsidence of about 310 m ; a total net uplift of about 560 m occurred from 6 Ma to $5.32 \mathrm{Ma}(\sim 0.8 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \cdot \cdot)$, indicating a rapid tectonic uplifting; the northeastern Morocco became a land and never subsided again below sea level after $\sim 5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (the earliest Pliocene).

### 8.4. Water exchange in the Rifian Corridor during the Messinian. <br> Uplift of Morocco caused three major circulation changes: a) Stage 1 - PMOW

 leaking and anti-estuarine circulation during the early Messinian (7.12-6.2 Ma): This stage is strongly supported by a number of observations both in the deep oceanic and in the Mediterranean Basin. It indicates a pre-condition of the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Mediterranean Basin.b) Stage 2 - Current reversal (6.2-5.9 Ma): This reversed current is characterized by a peak of the typical NADW species, an abrupt decreast of $U$. peregrina, decrease of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values, and psychrospheric ostracodes, reflecting a strong negative water balance in the Mediterranean Basin caused by strong evaporation, and the onset of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. Both Stages 1 and 2 are considered to be deep-basin, deep-water paleoenvironments.
c) Stage 3 - Closing of the Mediterranean Sea (5.9-5.32 Ma) and Salinity Crisis: Morocco underwent a rapid tectonic changes (compression and uplifting) beginning at the top of C3An.1n, which suggests the severe constraints for water exchange between the

Mediterranean Basin from the Atlantic Ocean just after the reversed current in upper Chronozone C3An. It is considered to have been the major cause of the formation of giant evaporitic salts in the Mediterranean Basin. The Mediterranean Salinity Crisis and the formation of the Mediterranean evaporites began within Subchronozone C3An. ${ }^{1}$ : at $\sim 5.9$ Ma , which is slightly earlier ( $\sim 0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$.) than the age proposed before.

### 8.5. The cause of the Messinian Salinity Crisis:

Foraminiferal and oxygen sisotopic data from the Salé core strongly suggest that the tectonic uplifting in Morocco may have played a major role in the circulation restriction and final isolation from the Atlantic Oczan. Eustatic sea-level changes superimposed on the tectonic background, served as an "automatic choke" that controlled the Atlantic infilling to the Mediterranean Basin and resulted in cyclic evaporitic deposits.

### 8.6. Distribution of deep-water masses

a) A comparison of benthic foraminifera with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta \delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}}$ suggests that the production of Episiominella exigua and Eponides weddellensis may not be necessarily related to oceanic surface productivity during the Messinian. Instead, they are associated with deep-water masses, and thus may be used as indicators of deep-water masses.
b) During the Messinian, three major paleoceanographic stages are recognized in deep sea cores.

Stage 1 (7.12-6.2 Ma): Stage 1 is equivalent to the "pre-condition of Messinian Salinity Crisis" as in Salé borehole. It has several minor oscillations that alternate between NADW and AABW, which may suggest unstable climatic changes.

Stage $2(6.2-5.9 \mathrm{Ma})$ : Stage 2 is equivalent to Calcare di Base underlain by the Tripoli Formation in Sicily. In this stage, AABW has been significantly reduced at Site 552, probably indicating relatively poor basin to basin ventilation relative to Stage 1.

Stage 3 ( $5.9-5.32 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). This stage is equivalent to the Messinian Salinity Crisis, characterized by great oscillations of deep-water circulation. A major AABW reduction has taken place from in this stage. From 5.8 to 5.32 Ma , two major NADW reduction; occurred at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma , respectively.

### 8.7. The cause of deep-water circulation changes

a) Climate fluctuations are the major cause of the production and changes in deepwater circulation. During the late Messinian the two major NADW reductions at 5.75 Ma and 5.55 Ma are coeval with major enrichments of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ known as major glacials in the late Miccene. These two glacials could have also caused significant sea-level lowerings and southward movement of the Polar Front in the Northern Hemisphere.

Brief. returns of NADW and AABW have taken place at $\sim 5.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ (interglacial), with a duration of about $10,000-20,000$ years. The return of NADW, together with sea-level fluctuation could have caused ain inundation into the Messinian Mediterranean Basin as recorded in Salé borehole, yielding a major unconformity that separates the Lower Evaporite from the Upper Evaporite as observed in the Mediterranean Basin. In addition, it is possible that a small amount of PMOW may have occurred as well, as indicated by increased low oxygen species $U$. peregrina, suggesting a water exchange between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean at this time.
b) A change of deep-water circulation is also associated with the Messinian Salinity Crisis. The relative dynamic basin to basin ventilation system during the early Messinian (Stage 1) has been in part associated with less PMOW.

During the onset of the Messinian Salinity Crisis, coiling direction changes of Neogloboquadrina acostaensis in the Salé borehole, DSDP sites 609, 611, 607 and in the Mediterranean region indicate an unstable local/regional paleoenvironments, and may be associated with the paleo-Mediterranean water mass production entering the northeastern Atlantic Ocean during the early phase of the Mediterranean Salinity Crisis.

The Messinian Salinity Crisis caused the world ocean to become sluggish. The relatively long-term reduction of AABW and pulse variations of NADW in the interval from 6.2 (C3An.1r) to 5.32. Ma is linked to the Messinian Salinity Crisis, because it coincides extremely well with the Salinity Crisis and onset of the crisis. It is also supported by the global increase of $U$. peregrina in this interval, which suggests that the global ocean was under relatively poor basin to basin ventilation at this time.

# ABBREVIATED SYSTEMATIC TAXONOMY FOR BENTHIC FORAMINIFERA 

## Ammonia beccarii (Linń)

Nautilus beccarii Linné, 1758, p. 710.
Ammonia beccarii (Linné), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 664, pl. 767, figs. 1-7.

Ammotium sp. A (Pl. 9, Figs. 10-12)

Amphicoryna scalaris (Batsch)
Nautilus scalaris Barsch, 1791, pl. 2, fig. 4.
Amphicoryna scalaris (Batsch), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 410, pl. 450, figs. 11-14.

Anomalina colligera Chapman and Parr (PI. 1, Fig. 22)
Anomalina colligera Chapman and Parr, 1937, p. 117, pl. 9, fig. 26.
Anomalina colligera Chapman and Parr, Wang et al., 1988, p. 177, pl. 32, fig. 11.

Anomalinoides flinti Cushman (Pl. 8, Fig. 8)
Anomalinoides flinti Cushman.
Anomalinoides flinti Cushman, Berggren and Haq, 1976, p.108, pl. 2, figs. 14-15.

## Astrononion echolsi Kennett

Astrononion echolsi, Kennett, 1967b, p. 134-135. pl. 11, figs. 7, 8.

## Bigenerina irregularis Phleger and Parker

Bigenerina irregularis Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 4, pl. 1, figs. 16-21.

Bolivina albatrossi Cushman (Pl. 2, Fig. 18; Pl. 5, Fig. 4; Pl. 6, Fig. 26)
Bolivina albatrossi Cushman, 1922, pt. 3, p. 31, pl. 6, fig. 4.

## Bolivina byramensis Cushman

solivina byramensis Cushman, 1937, p. 69, pl. 8, figs. 18, 20.

## Bolivina catanensis Seguenza

Bolivir:a catanensis Seguenza, 1862, p. 113, 125, pl. 2, fig. 3.
Bolivina catanensis Seguenza, Wright, 1978, p. 710, pl. 1, fig. 16, 17.

Bolivina dilatata Reuss (Pl. 3, Fig. 3)
Bolivina dilatata Reuss, 1850, p. 381, pl. 48, fig. 5.
Bolivina dilatata Reuss, Van Der Zwan, 1982, p. 139, pl. 1, figs. 3-5.

Bolivina inflata Heron-Allen and Earland (Pl. 2, Figs. 7, 9)
Bolivina inflata Heron-Allen and Earland, 1913.
Bolivina inflata Heron-Allen and Earland, McLaughlin and Sen Gupta, 1994, p. 87, pl. 2, fig. 3.

Bolivina inflata-pseudoplicata transition form (Pl. 2, Fig. 11, 12)

Bolivina lowmani Phleger and Parker (Pl. 3, Fig. 1)
Bolivina lowmani Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 13, pl. 6, figs. 20, 21.

## Bolivina multicustata Cushman

Bolivina margariata Cushman var. multicostata Cushman, 1930, p. 46, pl. 8, figs. 13, 14.

Bolivina pseudoplicata Heron-Allen and Earland
Bolivina pseudoplicata Heron-Allen and Earland, 1930, p. 81, pl. 3, figs. 36-40.

Bolivina pseudopunctata Höglund (Pl. 3, Fig. 2)
Bolivina pseudopunctata Höglund, 1947, p. 273, pl. 24, fig. 5, pl. 32, figs. 23, 24.

Bolivina pygmaea Brady (Pl. 6, Figs. 23-25)
Bolivina pygmaea Brady, 1881, p. 406.
Bolivina pygmaea Brady, Barker, 1966, p. 108, pl. 52, figs. 5, 6.
Bolivina pygmaea Brady, Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 24, pl. 2, fig. 15.

Bolivina cf. pygmaea (Pl. 3, Fig. 4)

Bolivira reticulata Hantken (Pl. 2, Fig. 20)
Bolivina reticulata Hantken, 1875, p. 65, pl. 15, fig. 6.
Bolivina reticulata Haniken, Brady 1884, p. 426, pl. 53, figs. 28, 29.

Bolivina simplex Phleger and Parker (Pl. 2, Fig. 19)
Bolivina simplex Phleger and Parker, 1951, pt. 2, p. 14, pl. 7, fig. 4-6.

Bolivina spathulata (Williamson) (Pl. 2, Fig. 17)
Textularia variabilis Williamson var. spathulata Williamson, 1858, p. 76, pl. 6, figs. 164.
Bolivina spathulata (Williamson), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 14, pl. 20, fig. 15.

## Bolivina subaenariensis (Cushman)

Bolivina subaenariensis Cushman, 1922, p. 46, pl. 7, fig. 6.

Bolivina subspinescens Cushman (Pl. 2, Fig. 21)
Bolivina subspinescens Cushman, 1922, p. 48, pl. 7, fig. 5.

## Bolivina suteri Cushman and Renz

Bolivina suteri Cusliman and Renz, 1941, p. 18, pl. 3, fig. 9.

Bolivinita pseudothalmanni Boltovskoy and Giussani de Khan (PI. 2, Figs. 13-16)

Bolivinita pseudotlialmanni Boltovskoy and Giussani de Khan, 1981.
Abditodentrix pseudothalmanni (Boltovskoy and Giussani de Khan), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 503, pl. 554, fig. 1-5.

Bolivinita suturornata Zhang, 1988, p. 149, pl. 11, figs. 1, 2. In Wang et al., 1988.

## Bolivinita truncata (Phleger)

Loxostomum truncatum Phleger, 1951, p. 17, pl. 7, figs. 15-19.
Bolivinita truncata (Phleger), Collins et al., in press.

Bulimina aculeata d'Orbigny (Pl. 3, Fig. 9)
Pdimina aculeata d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 269, no. 7.
Bulimina aculeata d'Orbigny, Wang et al., 1988, p. 151, pl. 11, fig. 5.

Bulimina alazaensis Cushman (Pl. 5, Fig. 5; PI. 6, Fig. 15)
Bulimina alazaensis Cushman, 1927a, p. 161, pl. 25, fig. 4.

## Bulimina elongata d'Orbigny

Bulimina elongata d'Orbigny, 1846, p. 187, pl. 11, figs. 19, 20.
Bulimina elongata d'Orbigny, Cimerman and Langer, 1991, p. 62, pl. 64, figs. 3-8.

Bulimina mexicana Cushman (Pl. 3, Figs. 11, 12; Pl. 6, Fig. 16)
Bulimina striata r. mexicana Cushman, 1922, pt. 3, p. 95, pl. 21, fig. 2.

## Bulimina ovata Brady

Bulimina ovata Brady, 1884, p. 400, 401, pl. 50, fig. 13.

## Bulimina tessellata (Phleger and Parker)

Virgulina tessellata Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 19, pl. 9, figs. 15, 16.

Cancris oblongus (Williamson) (Pl. 1, Fig. 23)
Rotalina oblonga Williamson, 1858, г. 51, pl. 4, figs. 98-100.
Cancris oblongus (Williamson), Berggren and Hag, 1976, p. 103, pl. 1, fig. 15.

Cassidulina laevigata d'Orbigny (Pl. 1, Fig. 5)
Cassidulina laevigata d'Orbıgny, 1826, p. 282, pl. 15, figs. 4, 5.

Cassidulina reniforme Norvang (Pl. 1, Fig. 4; Pl. 5, Fig. 11)
Cassidulina crassa var. reniforme Norvang, 1945, p. 41, text figs. 6c-h.

Cassidulinoides tenuis Phleger and Parker (Pl. 1, Fig. 10)
Cassidulinoides tenuis Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 27, pl. 14, figs. 15-17.

Cibicides lobatulus (Walker and Jacob) (Pl. 4, Fig. 5)
Nautilus lobatulus Waiker and Jacob in Kanmacher, 1798, p. 642, pl. 14, fig. 36.
Truncatulina lobatula (Walker and Jacob), Brady, 1884, p. 660, pl. 92, fig. 10; pl. 93, fig. 1, 4, 5.

Cibucides lobatulus (Walker and Jacob), War.- et al., 1988, p. 162, pl. 15, figs. 12-14.

Cibicidoides bradyi (Trauth) (Pl. 7, Fig. 1)

Truncatulina bradyi Trauth, 1918, with figures of Brady (1884, pl. 95, figs. 5) and Egger (1893, pl. 16, figs. 22, 23, 30, 54-56).

Cibicidoides bradyi (Trauth), van Morkhoven et al., 1986, p. 100-102, pl. 30, figs. 1-2.

Cibicidoides crebbsi Hedberg (Pl. 4, Fig. 3)
Eponides crebbsi Hedberg, 1937, p. 679, pl. 92, fig. 1.

Cibicidoides dutemplei (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 4, Fig. 4)
Rotalina dutemplei d'Orbigny, p. 157, pl. 8, figs. 19-20.
Heierolepa dutemplei (d’Orbigny), Wang et al., 1988, p. 178, pl. 13, figs. 9, 10.
Cibicidoides dutemplei (d’Orbigny), Van Morkhoven et al, 1986, p. 112-115, pl. 35, figs. 1-2

Cibiciloides mundulus (Brady, Parker and Jones) (Pl. 4, Fig. 10; PI. 7, Figs. 9, 11)

Truncatulina mundula Brady, Parker and Jones, 1890, p. 228.
Cibicidoides mund̉ulus (Brady, Parker and Jones), van Morkhoven et al., 1986, p. 65-67, pl. 21, fig. 1a-c.

Cibicidoides pachyderma (Rzehak) (Pl. 4, Fig. 2)
Truncatulina pachyderma Rzehak, 1886, p. 87, pl. 1, fig. 5.
Cibicido" "es pachyderma (Rzehak), van Morkhoven et al., 1986, p. 68-71, pl. 22, fig. 1.

Cibicidoides walli (Bandy)
Cibicidoides walli Bandy, 1949, p. 95, pl. 15, fig. 50.

Cornuspirella diffusa (Heron-Allen and Earland) (Pl. 9, Fig. 4)

Cornuspira diffusa Heron-Allen and Earland, 1913, p. 272.
Cornuspirella diffusa (Heron-Allen and Earland), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 310, 311, pl. 323, fig. 1.

## Cyclammina cancellata Brady

Cyclammina cancellata Brady, 1884, p. 351, pl. 37, figs. 8-16.

## Cylindroclavulina bradyi (Cushman)

Clavulina bradyi Cushman, 1911, p. 73.
Cylindroclavulina bradyi (Cushman), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 182, pl. 201, fig. 7-13.

## Dentalina communis d'Orbigny (Pl. 2, Fig. 2)

Nodosaria (Dentalina) communis d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 254, no. 35.
Dentalina communis d'Orbigny, Wang et al., 1988, p. 137, pl. 17, fig. 11.

Discorbinella bertheloti (d'Orbigny', (Pl. 4, Figs. 1, 6)
Rosalina bertheloti d'Orbigny, 1893, in Barker-Webb and Berthelot, p. 135, pl. 1, figs.
28-30 (Berthelotiana on explanation of plates).
Discorbis bertheloti (d'Orbigny), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 20, pl. 10, figs. 1, 2.
Discorbinella bertheloii (d'Orbigny),Berggren and Hag, 1976, p. 110, pl. 6, figs. 9, 10.

## Eggerella bradyi (Cushman)

Verneuilina bradyi Cushman, 1911, p. 54, pl. 6, fig. 4.
Eggerella bradyi (Cushman), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 6, pl. 3, figs. 1, 2.

Ehrenbergina trigona Goës (Pl. 6, Fig. 17)

Ehrenbergina serrada var.trigona Goës, 1896, p. 49.
Ehrenbergina trigona Goës, Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 28, pl. 15, fig. 1.

Entosolenia duplicait (Sidebottom) (Pl. 6, Fig. 5)
Lagena auriculata Brady var. duplicata Sidebottom, 1912, p. 42, pl. 20, Aigs. 23.
Entosolenia duplicata (Sidebottom), Boltovskoy and Giussani de Kahn, 1990, p. 373, pl. 1, fig. 4.

Epistominella exigua (Brady) (Pl. 1, Figs. 27-29; Pl. 5, Fig. 17; Pl. 9, Fig. 19)
Pulvinulina exigua Brady, 1884, p. 696, pl. 103, figs. 13, 14.
Pseudoparrella exigua (Brady), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 28, pl. 15, figs. 6, 7.
Epistominella exigua (Brady), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 208, pl. 4, fig. 6.

Epistominella takayanagii Iwasa (Pl. 1, Figs. 26, 30)
Epistominella takayanagii Iwasa, 1955, p. 16, 17, text figs. 4a-c.

Eponides polius (Phleger and Parker) (Pl. 7, Fig. 5)
Eponides polius Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 11, figs. 1, 2.

Eponides tumidulus (Brady) (Pl. 1, Figs. 19, 21; Pl. 7, Figs. 16, 18)
Truncatulina tumidula Brady, 1884, p. 666, pl. 95, figs. 8a-d.
Eponides tumidulus (Brady), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 21, 23, pl. 11, figs. 7, 8.

Eponides weddellensis Earland (Pl. 1, Figs. 16-18; Pl. 5, Fig. 18; Pl. 7, Fig. 17; ) Eponides weddellensis Earland, 1936, p. 57, pl. 1, figs. 65-67.

Eponides sp. A. (Pl. 4, Fig. 9)

Fissurina acuta (Reuss) (Pl. 6, Fig. 6)
Lagena acuta Reuss, 1858, p.434; Brady, 1884, p. 474, pl. 59, fig. 6.
Fissurina acuta (Reuss), Brady, 1884, p. 474, pl. 59, fig. 6.

## Fissurina laevigata Reuss (Pl. 3, Fig. 18)

Fissurina laevigata Reuss, 1849, p. 366, pl. 46, fig. 1; Brady, 1884, p. 473, pl. 114, fig. 8.

Fissurina laevigata Reuss, Brady, 1884, p. 473, pl. 114, fig. 8.

Fissurina annectens (Burrows and Holand) (Pl. 6, Fig. 7)
Lagena anrectens Burrows and Hcland, 1895, p. 203, pl. 7, fig. 11.
Fissurina annectens (Burrows and Holand), Boltovskoy and Giussani de Khan, p. 372.

Fursenkoina fusiformis (Williamson) (Pl. 6, Fig. 8)
Bulimina pupoides d'Orbigny var. fusiformis Williamson, 1858, p. 64, pl. 5, figs. 129.
Fursenkoina fusiformis (Williamson), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 210, pl. 4, fig. 14.

Gavelinopsis praegeri (Heron-Allen and Earland) (Pl. 1, Figs. 14, 15)
Discorbina praegeri Heron-Allen and Earland, 1913, p. 122.
Gavelinopsis praegeri (Heron-Allen and Earland), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 560, pl. 608, fig. 6-12.

Glandiculina sp.A. (Pl. 6, Fig. 20)

Globobulimina auriculata (Bailey) (Pl. 3, Fig. 13)
Bulimina auriculata Bailey, 1851, p. 12, pl. 1, figs. 25-27.

# Globobulimina auriculata (Bailey), Thomas et al., 1990, P. 227, pl. 6, figs. 3, 4. 

# Globobulimina ? sp.A. (Pl. 6, Fig. 18) 

## Globobulimina ? sp.B. (Pl. 6, Fig. 19)

Globocassidulina subglobosa (Brady) (Pl. 8, Figs. 1, 2; Pl. 5, Fig. 12; Pl. 9, Fig. 7)

Cassidulina subglobosa Brady, 1881, p. 60.
Cassidulina subglobosa Brady, Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 27, pl. 14, figs. 11-13.
Globocassidulina subglobosa (Brady), Wang et al., 1988, p. 173, pl. 30, figs. 1, 2.

Gyroidina neosoldanii Brotzen (P1. 1, Fig. 13)
Gyroidina neosoldanii Brotzen, 1936, p. 158.

Gyroidina soldanii (d'Orbigny)
Rotalina soldanii d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 278, fig. 36.
Gyroidina soldanii (d'Orbigny), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 210, pl. 5, figs. 6, 8.

Heronallenia crosbyi McCulloch (Pl. 7, Figs. 7, 12)
Heronallenia crosbyi McCulloch, 1977.
Heronallenia crosbyi McCulloch, Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 569, pl. 623, figs. 13-15.

Hoeglundina elegans (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 1, Fig. 11)
Rotalia elegans d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 276, no. 54.
Hoeglundina elegans (d'Orbigny), Wang, 1988, p. 145, pl. 20, figs. 2-4.

## Hopkinsina pacifica Cushman

Hopkinsina pacifica Cushman, 1933, p. 86, pl. 8, fig. 16.
Hopkinsina pacifica Cushman, Wang et al., 1988, p. 135, pl. 30, fig. 3.

Karreriella bradyi (Cushman) (Pl. 8, Fig. 11)
Gaudryina bradyi Cushman, 1911, p. 67, fig. 107.
Karreriella bradyi (Cushman), Thomas et al., 1990, p. 227, pl. 3, fig. 17.

Lagena distoma Parker and Jones (Pl. 6, Fig. 2)
Lagena distoma Parker and Jones, 1864; after Brady, 1884, p. 461, pl. 58, figs. 11-15.

Lagena elegantissima (Bornemann) (Pl. 6, Fig. 3)
Ovulina eleganitssima Bornemann, 1855, p. 1126, pl. 12, fig. 1.
Lagena elegantissima (Bornemann), Boltovskoy and Giussani de Khan, 1990, p. 391, pl. 4, fig. 1.

Lagena formosa Schwager (Pl. 6, Fig. 4)
Lagena formosa Schwager, 1866, p. 206, pl. 4, fig. 9, pl. 7, fig. 1.

Lagena gracilis Williamson (Pl 6, Fig. 1)
Lagena gracilis Williamson, in Brady, 1884, p. 464, pl. 58, figs. 19, 22-24.

## Laticarinina pauperata (Parker and Jones) (Pl. 8, Fig. 9)

Pulvinulina repanda (Fichtel and Moll) var. menardii (d'Orbigny) subvar. pauperata Parker and Jones, 1865, p. 395.

Laticarinina pauperata (Parker and Jones), Loeblich and Téppan, 1988, p. 578, pl. 631, figs. 1-4.

# Lenticulina peregrina (Schwager) (Pl. 1, Fig. 1) <br> Cristellaria peregrina Schwager, p. 245, pl. 7, fig. 89. <br> Lenticulina peregrina (Schwager), Van Morkhoven et al., 1986, p. 92-94, pl. 27, figs. 1, 2. 

## Loxostomum cf. normale (Pl. 3, Fig. 5)

Martinottiella communis (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 4, Fig. 11)
Clavulina communis d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 268.
Martinottiella communis (d’Orbigny), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 171, pl. 190, figs. 3-4.

Melonis affinis (Reuss) (Pl. 1, Fig. 6; Pl. 7; Fig. 15)
Nonion affinis Reuss, 1851, p. 72, pl. 5, fig. 32.
Melonis affinis (Reuss), Hayward and Buzas, 1979, p. 65, pl. 129, fig. 256.

Melonis barleeanum (Williamson) (Pl. 8, Fig. 6)
Nonionina barleeana Williamson, 1858, p. 32, pl. 4, figs. 68, 69.
Melonis barleeanum (Williamson), Wang et al., 1988, p. 179, pl. 12, fig. 4.

Melonis pompilioides (Fichtel and Moll) (Pl. 1, Figs. 7, 9; Pl. 9, Fig. 2)
Nautilus pompilioides Fichtel and Moll, 1798, p. 31, pl. 2, figs. a-c.
Melonis pompilioides (Fichtel and Moll), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 212, pl. 6, fig. 5.

Miliammina fusca (Brady) (Pl. 9, Figs. 13, 14)
Quinqueloculina fusca Brady, 1870, p. 47, pl. 11, figs. 2, 3.
Miliammina fusca (Brady), Scott et al., 1991, p. 386, pl. 1, fig. 4.

## Nonionella miocenica Cushman

Nonionella miocenica Cushman, 1926, p. 64.
Nonionella miocenica Cushman, Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 617, pl. 689, figs. 18-21.

## Nonionella turigida (Williamson)

Rotalina turigida Williamson, 1858, p. 50, pl. 4, figs. 95-97.
Nonionella turigida (Williamson), Thomas et al., 1990, p. 227.

Nonionella sp. A. (Pl. 5, Fig. 8)

Nonionella sp.B. (Pl. 5, Fig. 9)

Nuttallides umbonifera (Cushman) (Pl. 7, Figs. 8. 9; Pl. 9, Fig. 3)
Pulvinulinella umbonifera Cushman, 1933, p. 90, pl. 9, figs. 9a-c.
Nuttallides umbonifera (Cushman), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 214, pl. 5, figs. 11, 13.

Oolina hexagona (Williamson) (Pl. 5, Fig. 1)
Entosolenia squamosa (Montagu) var, hexagona Williamson, 1848, - Williamson, 1858, p. 13, pl. 1, fig. 32.

Oolina hexagona (Williamson),Ujiie, 1990, p. 22, pl. 7, fig. 4.

Oridorsalis umbonatus (Reuss) (Pl. 2, Fig. 2)
Rotalina umbonata Reuss, 1851, p. 75, pl. 5, figs. 35a-c.

Oridorsalis umbonatus (Reuss), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 214, pl. 5, fig. 10.

Osangularia culter (Parker and Jones) (Pl. 8, Fig. 10)
Osangularia (Planorbulina) farcta (Fichtel and Moll) var. ungeriana (d'Orbigny) subvar. culter Parker and Jones 1865, p. 382, 421, pl. 19, fig. 1.

Astrononion umbilicatulum (Uchio) (Pl. 8, Fig. 4)
Astrononion umbilicatulum Uchio, 1951, p. 36, tf. 1, pl. 1, figs. 5-8.

Pacinonion sp. A. (Pl. 8, Fig 5)

Planulina ariminensis d'Orbigny (Pl. 4, Figs. 7, 8)
Planulina ariminensis d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 280, pl. 14, figs. 1-3.

## Planulina rugosa (Phleger and Parker)

Cibicides rugosa Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 31, pl. 17, fig. 5-6.
Planulina rugosa (Phleger and Parker),Var Morkhoven, 1986, p. 45-47, pl. 13, figs. 1-2.

Planulina wuellerstorfi (Schwager) (Pl. 5, Figs. 14, 15; Pl. 7, Fig. 13; Pl. 9, Fig. 5)

Anomalina wuellerstorfi Schwager, 1866, p. 258, pl. 7, figs. 105, 107.
Planulina wuellerstorfi (Schwager), van Morkhoven et al., 1986, pp. 48-50, pl. 14, figs. 1-2.

Pleurostomella alternans Schwager (P1. 6, Fig. 12)
Pleurostomella alternans Schwager, 1866, p. 238, pl. 6, figs. 79-80.

Pleurostomella obtusa Berthelin (P1. 6, Fig. 11)
Pleurostomella obtusa Berthelin, 1880, pl. 1, fig. S.

Pleurostomella ? sp. A. (Pl. 6, Fig. 9)

Pleurostomella ? sp. A. (Pl. 6, Fig. 5)

Praeglobobulimina? sp. A.. (PI. 6, Fig. 21)

Pullenia bulloides (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 8, Fig. 7)
Nonionina bulloides d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 293.
Nonionina bulloides d'Orbigny, 1846, p. 107, pl. 5, figs. 9, 10.
Pullenia bulloides (d'Orbigny), Wang et al., 1988, p. 176, pl. 12, figs. 6, 7.

Pullenia quinqueloba (Reuss) (Pl. 8, Fig. 3)
Nonionina quinqueloba (Reuss), 1851, p. 71, pl. 5, fig. 31.
Pullenia quirqueloba (Reuss), Ujiie, 1990, p. 43, pl. 24, figs. 1-5.

Pullenia subcarinata (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 5, Fig. 10)
Nonionina subcarinata d'Orbigny, 1839a, p. 28, pl. 5, figs. 23, 24.
Pullenia subcarinata (d'Orbigny), Hermelin and Scott, 1985, p. 216, pl. 5, figs. 5-9.

Pyrgo murrhyna (Schwager) (Pl. 9, Fig. 6)
Biloculina murrhyna Schwager, 1866, p. 203, pl. 4, figs. 15a-c.
Pyrgo murrhyna (Schwager), Ujiie, 1990, p. 16, pl. 4, figs. 3-5.

Pyrulina augusta (Egger) (Pl. 2, Fig. 1)

Polymorphina (Globulina) angusta Egger, 1857, p. 290, pl. 13, figs. 13-15.
Pyrulina augusta (Egger), Ujiie, 1990, p. 21, pl. 6, figs. 10, 11.

## Quinqueloculina seminulum (Linné)

Serpula seminulum Linné, 1758, p. 786.
Quinqueloculina seminulum (Linné),Renz, 1948, p. 156, pl. 3, fig. 1.

Rectuvigerina compressa (Cushman) (Pl. 5, Figs, 2, 3)
Uvigerina compressa Cushman, 1926.
Rectuvigerina compressa (Cushman), Murray, 1984, p. 532, pl. 3, fig. 16.

Rectuvigerina multicostata (Cushman and Jarvis) (Pl. 2, Fig. 6; Pl. 6, Fig. 14)
Siphogenerina multicostata Cushman and Jarvis, 1929, p. 14, pl. 3, fig. 6.
Rectuvigerina multicostata (Cushman and Jarvis),Van Morkhoven et al., 1986, p. 115-
117, pl. 36, figs. 1-4.

Ressella spinulosa (Reuss)
Verneuilina spinulosa Reuss, 1850, p. 374, fig. 12.
Ressella spinulosa (Reuss), Cimerman and Langer, 1991, p. 63, pl. 66, figs. 5-8.

Rhizammina sp. A. (Pl. 9, Fig. 15)

Robertinoides charlottensis (Cushman)
Cassidulina charlottensis Cushman, 1925, p. 41, pl. 6, figs. 6, 7.
Robertinoides charlottensis (Cushman), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 452, pl. 483, figs.
5-14.

Rosalina sp. A. (Pl. 1, Fig. 24)

## Seabrookia earlandi Wright (Pl. 1, Fig. 2)

Seabrookia earlandi Wright, 1891.
Seabruokia earlandi Wright, Boltovskoy and Ocampo, 1993, p. 144, pl. 5, fig. 8.

Sigmavirgulina sp. A. (Pl. 3, Fig. 6)

Sigmoilina tenuis (Czjzek) (Pl. 4, Fig. 12)
Quinqueloculina tenuis Czjzek, 1848, p. 149, pl. 13, figs. 31-34.
Sigmoilina tenuis (Czjzek), Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 8, pl. 4, figs. 3-5.

Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri (Silvestri)
Sigmoilina schlumbergeri Silvestri, 1904, p. 267, 269.
Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri (Silvestri), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 350, pl. 356, figs.
8-13.

Siphonina tenuicarinata Cushman (Pl. 1, Fig. 3)
Siphonina tenuicarinata Cushman, 1927; after Murray, 1984, p. 532, pl. 3, figs. 5-7.

Siphotextularia catenata (Cushman) (Pl. 8, Fig. 12)
Textularia catenata Cushman, 1911, p. 23, figs. 39, 40.
Siphotextularia catenata (Cushman), Murray, 1984, p. 532, pl. 3, figs. 8, 9.

Siphotextularia rolshauseni Phleger and Parker (Pl. 5, Fig. 7)
Siphotextularia rolshauseni Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 4, pl. 1, figs. 23, 24a, b.

Sphaeroidina bulloides d'Orbigny (Pl. 1, Fig. 12)
Sphaeroidina bulloides d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 267, no. 1.
Sphaeroidina bulloides d'Orbigny, Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 564, pl. 617, figs. 1-6.

Stilostomello antillea (Cushman) (Pl. 6, Fig. 13)
Nodosaria antillea Cushman, 1923, p. 91.
Orthomorphia antillea (Cushman), Boltovskoy, 1988, p. 381, pl. 1, figs, C, D.
Stilostomella antillea (Cushman), Hayward and Buzas, 1979, p. 75, pl. 28, fig. 339.

Stilostomella hispida (d'Orbigny) (Pl. 2, Fig. 5)
Nodosarina hispida d'Orbigny, 1846, p. 35, pl. 1, figs. 24, 25.

Stilostomella subspinosa (Cushman) (Pl. 5, Fig. 6)
Ellipsonodosaria subspinosa Cushman, 1943, p. 92, pl. 16, figs. 6, 7.
Stilostomella subspinosa (Cushman), Boltovskoy, 1978, p. 170, pl. 7, figs. 24-28.

Tosaia hanzawai Takayanagi (Pl. 7, Fig. 20)
Tosaia hanzawai Takayanagi, 1953, p. 30, pl. 40, fig. 7.

Trifarina angulosa (Williamson) (Pl. 3, Fig. 7)
Uvigerina angulosa Williamson, 1858, p. 67.
Angulogerina angulosa (Williamson), Loeblich and Tappan, 1988, p. 525, pl. 574, figs. 5-9.

Trifarina angulosa (Williamson), Wang et al., 1988, p. 155, pl. 12, figs. 9, 10.

Trifarina bradyi Cushman (Pl. 3, Fig. 8)
Trifarina bradyi Cushman, 1923, p. 99, pl. 22, figs. 3-9.

Trochammina cf. squamata Jones and Parker (Pl. 9, Figs. 8, 9)
Trochammina squamata Jones and Parker, 1860, p. 304.
Trochammina squamata Jones and Parker, 1865, p. 407, pl. 15, fig. 30.
Trochammina squamata Jones and Parker, Wang et al., 1988, p. 123, pl. 11, fig. 14.

## Uvigerina canariensis d'Orbigny

Uvigerina canariensis d'Orbigny, 1839a (fide Ellis and Messina, 1940, et seq.). - Brady, 1884, p. 573, pl. 74, figs. 1-3.

## Uvigerina hispida Schwager

Uvigerina hispida Schwager, 1866, p. 249, pl. 7, fig. 95.

Uvigeri..a peregrina Cushman (Pl. 3, Figs. 14, 15)
Uvigerina peregrina Cushman, 1923, p. 166, pl. 42, figs. 7-10.

Uvigerina proboscidea Schwager (Pl. 6, Fig. 22; Pl. 3, Fig. 16)
Uvigerina proboscidea Schwager, 1866, p. 7, pl. 7, fig. 96.

Uvigerina schwageri Brady
Uvigerina schwageri Brady, 1884, p. 575, pl. 74, figs. 8-10.

Valvulineria laevigata Phleger and Parker (P1. 1, Fig. 25)
Valvulineria laevigata Phleger and Parker, 1951, p. 28, pl. 13, figs. 11, 12.

Virgulina pontoni (Cushman) (Pl. 2, Figs. 3, 4)
Virgulina pontoni Cushman, 1932, p. 17, pl. 3, fig. 7.

## Vulvulina spinosa Cus'man

Vulvulina spinosa Cushman, 1927, p. 111, pl. 23, fig. 1.

# ABBREVIATED SYSTEMATIC TAXONOMY PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA 

## Candeina nitida d'Orbigny

Candeina nitida d'Orbigny, 1839b, p. 108, pl. 2, figs. 27, 28.
Candeina nitida d'Orbigny, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 191, figs. 19, 1-2.

## Globigerina bulloides d'Orbigny

Globigerina bulloides d'Orbigny, 1826, p. 277, Mod. nn 17 (juvenile), Mod. no. 76 (adult).

Globigerina bulloides d'Orbigny, Wang et al., 1988, p. 39, pl. 7, figs. 1-5.

Globigerina nepenthes Todd (Pl. 8, Fig. 20)
Globigerina nepenthes Todd, 1957, p. 301, pl. 78, fig. 7.

Globigerinoides conglobatus (Brady)
Globigerinoides conglobatus Brady, 1879, p. 286, pl. 80, figs. 1-3,.

Globigerinoides extremus Bolli and Bermúdez (Pl. 8, Fig. 16)
Globigerinoides obliquus Bolli subsp. extremus Bolli and Bermúdez, 1965, p. 139, pl. 1, figs. 10-12.

## Globigerinoides seigliei Bermúdez and Bolli

Globigerinoides rubra (d'Orbigny) subsp. seigliei Bermúdez and Bolli, 1969, p. 164, pl. 8, figs. 10-12.

Globigerinoides sacculifer (Brady)
Globigerinoides trilobus sacculifer Brady, 1877, p. 535, no figures.
Globigerinoides sacculifer (Brady), Wang et al., 1988, p. 42, pl. 6, figs. 1-12.

## Globoquadrina altispira (Cushman and Jarvis)

Globoquadrina altispira Cushman and Jarvis, 1936, P. 5, pl. 1, figs. 13, 14.

Globorotalia conoidea Walters (Pi. 8, Fig. 15)
Globorotalia miozea conoidea Walters, 1965, p. 124, fig. 8, I-M,

Globorotalia conomiozea Kennett (Pl. 4, Fig. 14)
Globorotalia conomiozea Kennett, 1966, p. 235, text-figs. 10a-c.

Globorotalia crassaformis (Galloway and Wissler) (Pl. 8, Fig. 19)
Globorotalia crassaformis (Galloway and Wissler), 1927, p. 41, pl. 7, fig. 12.

Globorotalia crassaformis hessi Bolli and Premoli Silva
Globorotalia hessi Bolli and Premoli Silva, 1973 (=Globorotalia crassaformis 'B’ Bolli, 1970, p. 580, pl. 4, figs. 13, 15, 16)

Globorotalia crassaformis hessi Bolli and Premoli Silva, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 233, figs. 36. 3-5

## Globorotalia crassaformis viola Blow

Globorotalia (Globorotalia) crassula viola Blow, 1969, p. 397, pl. 5, figs. 4-6.
Globorotalia crassaformis viola Blow, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 234, fig. 36. 1.

Globorotalia crassula Cushman, Stewart and Stewart
Globorotalia crassula Cushman, Stewart and Stewart, 1930, p. 77, pl. 7, fig. 1.

Globorotalia fohsi peripheroronda Blow and Banner

Globorotalia (Turborotalia) peripheroronda Blow and Banner, 1966, p. 294, pl. 1, figs.
1a-c.
Globorotalia fohsi peripheroronda Blow and Banner, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 213, figs. 29.5, 13.

## Globorotalia lenguaensis Bolli

Globorotalia lenguaensis Bolli, 1957, p. 120, pl. 29, figs. 5a-c.

Globorotalia margaritae Bolli and Bermudez (Pl. 8, Fig. 17)
Globorotalia margaritae Bolli and Bermudez, 1965, p. 132, pl. 1, figs. 16-18.

Globorotalia mayeri Cushman and Ellisor
Globorotalia mayeri Cushman and Ellisor, 1939, p. 11, pl. 2, figs. 4a-c.

Globorotalia mediterranea Catalano and Sprovieri (Pl. 8, Fig. 21)
Globorotalia miocenica mediterranea Catalano and Sprovieri, 1969, p. 522, pl. 2, figs. 6.
Globorotalia mediterranea Catalano and Sprovieri, Iaccarino, 1985, p. 307, fig. 6.2.

## Globorotalia menardii B Bolli

Globorotalia menardii B Bolli, 1970, p. 582, pl. 5, figs. 5-7.

## Globorotalia miocenica Palmer

Globorotalia menardii (d'Orbigny) var. miocenica Palmer, 1945, p. 70, pl. 1, figs. 10a-c.
Globorotalia miocenica Palmer, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 230, figs. 33.2, 35.4-8.

## Globorotalia miotumida Jenkins

Globorotalia menardii miotumida Jenkins, 1960, p. 362, pl. 4, figs. 9a-c.

Globorotalia miotumida Jenkins, Jenkins, 1985, p. 278, figs. 7-12-13.

## Globorotalia plesiotumida Blow and Banner

Globorotalia tumida plesiotumida Blow and Banner, in Banner and Blow, 1965, p. 1353, figs. 2.

Globorotalia plesiotumida Blow and Banner, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 227, fig. 33.5.

## Globorotalia praemargaritae Catalano and Sprovieri

Globorotalia praemargaritae Catalano and Sprovieri, 1969, p. 523, 524, pl. 1, fig. 5; pl. 3, fig. 5, tf. 4.

Globorotalia pseudomiocenica Bolli and Bermúdez
Globorotalia pseudomiocenica Bolli and Bermúdez, 1965, p. 140, 141, pl. 1, figs. 13-15.

## Globorotalia pseudomiocenica Bolli and Bermúdez

Globorotalia pseudomiocenica Bolli and Bermúdez, 1965, p. 140, pl. 1, figs. 13-15.

## Globorotalia puncticulata (Deshayes)

Globigerina puncticulata Deshayes, 1832, p. 170; figures in Fornasini, 1899, p. 210, textfig. 5.

Globorotalia puncticulata (Deshayes), Iaccarino, 1985, p. 308, fig. 6.12.

## Globorotalia scitula (Brady)

Pulvinulina scitula Brady, 1882, p. 716.
Globorotalia scitula (Brady), Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 219, figs. 30.26-29.

## Globorotalia suterae Catalano and Sprovieri

Globorotalia suterae Catalano and Sprovieri, 1971, p. 241, pl. 1, figs. 1a-c.

## Globorotalia tosaensis Takayanagi and Saito

Globorotalia tosaensis Takayanagi and Saito, 1962, p. 81, pl. 28, figs. 11a-c.

Neogloboquadrina acostaensis Blow (Pl. 4, Fig. 15; Pl. 8. Figs. 13, 14)
Globorotalia acostaensis Blow, 1959, p. 208, pl. 17, figs. 106a-c.

## Neogloboquadrina atlantica Berggren

Globigerina atlantica Berggren, 1972, pl. 2, figs. 5-8.
Neogloboquadrina atlantica Berggren, Miller et al., 1991, p. 37.

## Orbulina universa d'Orbigny

Orbulina universa d'Orbigny, 1839b, p. 2, pl. 1, fig. 1.
Orbulina universa d'Orbigny, Bolli and Saunders, 1985, p. 201, figs. 23.1, 24.2.

Sphaeroidinella dehiscens (Parker and Jones)
Sphaeroidina bulloides d'Orbigny var. dehiscens Parker and Jones, 1865, p. 369, pl. 19, figs. 5.

Sphaeroidinella dehiscens (Parker and Jones), Wang et al., 1988, p. 44, pl. 5, figs. 7-9.

Sphaeroidinella immaturus Cushman (Pl. 4, Fig. 13)
Sphaeroidinella immaturus Cushman, 1919, p. 40, pl. 14, fig. 2.

Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina (Schwager)
Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina Schwager, 1866, p. 256, pl. 7, fig. 112.
Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina (Schwager), Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983, p. 206, pl. 51, figs. 6-8.

# PLATE ILLUSTRATIONS OF FORAMINIFERA FROM MOROCCO AND NORTH ATLANTIC OCEAN 

## Plate 1

(Benthic foraminifera from Salé, northwestern Morocco)

1) Lenticulina peregrina, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
2) Seabrookia earlandi, side view, Sample B16, Salé Borehole.
3) Siphonina tenuicarinata, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
4) Cassidulina reniforme, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
5) Cassidulina laevigata, side view, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.

「 Melonis affinis, side view, Sample S16, Salé Borehole.
7, 9) Melonis pompilioides, side view, Sample S23, Salé Borehole.
8)Astrononion umbilicatulum, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
10) Cassidulinoides tenuis, side view, Sample Site S2, Salé Borehole.
11) Hoeglundina elegans, ventral view, Sample S23, Salé Borehole.
12) Sphaeroidina bulloides, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
13) Gyroidina neosoldanii, side view, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.

14, 15) Gavelinopsis praegeri, ventral and dorsal views, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.
16-18) Eponides weddellensis, ventral, dorsal and ventral views, Samples B16, B16 and S67, Salé Borehole.

19-21) Eponides tumidulus, ventral, dorsal and ventral views, Samples B51, B47, and B51, Salé Borehole.
22) Anomalina colligera, ventral view, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.
23) Cancris oblongus, ventral view, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.
24) Rosalina sp. A., ventral view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
25) Valvulineria laevigata, dorsal view, Sample B66, Salé Borehole.
$26,30)$ Epistominella cf. takanayagii, ventral views, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.
27-29) Epistominella exigua, ventral, dorsal and ventral views, Samples B83, B83 and S67


## Plate 2

(Benthic foraminifera from Salé borehole)

1) Pyrulina augusta, side view, Sample B52, Salé Borehole.
2) Dentalina communis, side view, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.

3, 4) Virgulina pontoni, side view, Samples B57 and B50, Salé Borehole.
5) Stilostomella hispida, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
6) Rectuvigerina multicostata, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.

7-9) Bolivina inflata, side views, Samples B14, B14, and S67, Salé Borehole.
10, 11) Bolivina inflata-pseudoplicata transition form, side views, Samples B16 and B14, Salé Borehole.
$12,13)$ Bolivina pseudoplicata, Side views, Samples B16 and S67, Salé Borehole.
14-16) Bolvivinita pseudothalmanni, side views, Samples S67, S70, B14, S70, Salé Borehole.
17) Bolivina spathulata, side view, Sample B87, Salé Borehole.
18) Bolivina albatrossi, side view, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.
19) Bolivina simplex, side view, Sample B14, Salé Borehole.
20) Bolivina reticulata, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
21) Bolivina subspinescens, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.


## Plate 3 <br> (Benthic foraminifera from Salé borehole)

1) Bolivina lowmani, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
2) Bolivina pseudopunctata, side view, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.
3) Bolivina dilatata, side view, Sample S67, Salé Borehole.
4) Bolivina cf. pygmaea, side view, Sample B87, Salé Borehole.
5) Loxostomum cf. normale, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
6) Sigmavirgulina sp. A, side view, Sample S60, Salé Borehole.
7) Trifarina angulosa, side view, Sample B14, Salé Borehole.
8) Trifarina bradyi, side view, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.
9) Bulimina aculeata, side view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.

10-12) Bulimina mexicana, side views. Sample B16, B14 and S6, Salé Borehole.
13) Globobulimina auriculata, side view, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.

14, 15) Uvigerina peregrina, side view, Samples S70, and S2, Salé Borehole.
16) Uvigerina. proboscidea, side view, Sample B57, Salé Borehole.
17) Uvigerina bradyi, side view, Sample $S 2$, Salé Borehole.
18) Fissurina laevigata, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.



## Plate 4

(Benthic and planktonic foraminifera from Salé borehole)

1, 6) Discorbinella bertheloti, dorsal and ventral views, Sample S2, Salé Borehole.
2) Cibicidoides pachyderma, ventral view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
3) Cibicidoides crebbsi, ventral view, Sample S23, Salé Borehole.
4) Cibicidoides dutemplei, ventral view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.
5) Cibicides lobatulus, ventral view, Sample S23, Salé Borehole.

7, 8) Planulina ariminensis, dorsal and ventral views, Samples S6 and S70, Salé Borehole.
9) Eponides sp. A, ventral view, Sample B14, Salé Borehole.
16) Cibicidoides mundulus, ventral view, Sample B52, Salé Borehole.
11) Martinottiella communis, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
12) Sigmoilina tenuis, side view, Sample S70, Salé Borehole.
13) Sphaeroidinella immatura, dorsal view, Sample S60, Salé Borehole.
14) Globorotalia conomiozea, ventral view, Sample B71, Salé Borehole.
15) Neogloboquadrina acostaensis, ventral view, Sample S6, Salé Borehole.

$\qquad$

## Plate 5

(Benthic foraminifera from DSDP Hole 552A)

1) Oolina hexagona, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 34-3, 102-106 cm.

2, 3) Rectuvigerina compressa, side views, Sample Site 552A, 20-1,70-74 cm; 24-3, $83-87 \mathrm{~cm}$.
4) Bolivina albatrossi, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 31-4, 11-15 cm.
5) Bulimina alazaensis, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 19-1, 50-54 cm.
6) Stilostomella subspinosa, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 28-1, 105-109 cm.
7) Siphotextularia rolhauseri, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 29-3, 83-87 cm.
8) Nonionella sp. A, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 34-3, 102-106 cm.
9) Nonionella sp.B, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 28-2, 104-108 cm.
10) Pullenia subcarinata, side view, Sample Hole 552A, 31-4, $11-15 \mathrm{~cm}$.
11) Cassidulina reniforme, apertural view, Sample Hole 552A, 19-1. $50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$.
12) Globocassidulina subglobosa, apertural view, Sample Hole 552A, 30-3, 100104 cm .
13) Gyroidina soldanii, ventral view, Sample Hole 552A, 31-4, 11-15 cm.

14, 15) Planulina wuellerstorfi, ventral and dorsal views, Samples Hole 552A, 34-3, $102-106 \mathrm{~cm}$, and 28-2, 104-108 cm.
16. Eponides polius, ventral view, Sample Hole 552A, 29-3, 83-87 cm.
17) Epistominella exigua, ventral view, Sample, Hole 552A, 29--3, 83-87 cm.
18) Eponides weddellensis, dorsal view, Sample Hole 552A, 29-3, 83-87 cm.

## Plate 6 <br> (Benthic foraminifera from DSDP Holes 608 and 547A)

1) Lagena gracilis, 1962, side view, Sample Site 608, 19-CC, 10-14 cm.
2) Lagena distoma, side view, Sample Site 608, 12-2, 80-84 cm.
3) Lagena elegantissima, side view, Sample Site $608,20-3,60-64 \mathrm{~cm}$.
4) Lagena formosa, side view, Site 608, 13-1, 80-84 cm.
5) Entosolenia duplicata, side view, Sample Site 608, 13-1, 80-84 cm.
6) Fissurina acuta, side view, Sample Site 608, 12-4, 80-84 cm.
7) Fissurina annectens , side view, Sample Site $608,12-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
8) Fursenkoina fusiformis, side view, Sample Site $608,12-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
9) Pleurostomella ? sp. A, side view, Sample Site 608, 12-2, 80-84 cm.
10) Pleurostomella ? sp. A. side view, Sample Site 608, 12-12, 102-104 cm.
11) Pleurostomella obtusa, side view, Sample Site $608,20-1,102-106 \mathrm{~cm}$.
12) Pleurostomella alternans, s' ${ }^{79}$, view, Sample Site $608,12-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
13) Stilostomella antillea, side view, Sample Hole $547 \mathrm{~A}, 31-4,11-15 \mathrm{~cm}$.
14) Rectuvigerina multicostata, side view, Sample Hole $547 \mathrm{~A}, 8-\mathrm{CC}, 2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$.
15) Bulimina alazaensis, side view, Sample Site $608,13-1,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
16) Bulimina mexicana, side view, Sample Hole 547A, 8-4, 80-84 cm.
17) Ehrenbergina trigona, side view, Sample Hole 547A, $8-\mathrm{CC}, 2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$.
18) Globobulimina? sp.A, side view, Sample Site $608,19-\mathrm{CC}, 10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$.
19) Globobulimina ? sp.B, side view, Sample Site $608,12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm}$.
20) Glandiculina sp.A, side view, Sample Site 608, 20-1, 102-104 cm.
21) Praeglobobulimina? sp.A., side view, Sample, Site $547 \mathrm{~A}, 8-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
22) Uvigerina proboscidea, side view, Sample Site $547 \mathrm{~A}, 8-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.

23-25) Bolivina pygmaea, side views, Sample Site 608, 20-1, 102-106 cm (23), Site $608,12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm}(24)$, and Hole 547A, $8-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$ (25).
26) Bolivina albatrossi, side view, Sample Site $S 67$ of Salé Borehole, Morocco.

## Plate 7

(Benthic foraminifera from DSDP Holes 608 and 547A)

1) Cibicidoides bradyi, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 20-6, 110-114 cm.

2, 14) Oridorsalis umbonatus, ventral view, Samples Site 608, 20-6, 110-114 cm; and $20-1,102-106 \mathrm{~cm}$.

3, 4,6) Gyroidina soldanii, ventral edge and ventral views, Samples Site 608, 13-1, 80$84 \mathrm{~cm} ; 4,12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm} ; 552 \mathrm{~A}, 13-4,11-15 \mathrm{~cm}$.
5) Eponides polius, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 12-6, 120124 cm .

7, 12) Heronallenia crosbyi, ventral and dorsal view, Samples Site $608,29-2,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$; and $20-3,60-64 \mathrm{~cm}$.

8, 10) Nuttallides umbonifera, ventral views, Samples Site 608, 20-3, $60-64 \mathrm{~cm}$; and 12-6, 120-124 cm.

9, 11) Cibicidoides mundulus, dorsal and ventral views, Samples Site 608, 12-6, 120124 cm ; and 12-4, 80-84 cm.
13) Planulina wuellerstorfi, side view, Sample Site 608, 19-6, 14-18 cm.
15) Melonis affinis, side view, Sample Site 547A, 8-4, 80-84 cm.

16, 18) Eponides tumidulus, ventral views, Sample Site 608, 19-6, $14-18 \mathrm{~cm}$; and $12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm}$.
17) Eponides weddellensis, dorsal view, Sample Site 608, 19-6, 14-18 cm.
19) Epistominella exigua, ventral view, Site 608, 20-1, 102-104 cm.
20) Tosaia hanzawai, side view, Site $608,12-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.


Plate 8 (Benthic and planktonic foraminifera from DSDP Holes 608 and 547A)

1, 2) Globocassidulina subglobosa, side view, Sample Hole 547A, 5-CC, 4-8 cm.
3) Pullenia quinqueloba, side view, Sample Site 608, 20-1, 102-106 cm.
4) Astrononion umbilicatulum, side view, Sample Site $608,12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm}$.
5) Pacinonion sp. A., side view, Sample Site $608,12-4,80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
6) Melonis barleeanum, side view, Sample Site 608, 12-2, 80-84 cm.
7) Pullenia bulloides, side view, Sample Site 608, 12-2, 80-84 cm.
8) Anomalinoides flinti, ventral view, Sample Hole 547A, 8-4, $80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
9) Laticarinina pauperata, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 13-1, 80-84 cm.
10) Osangularia culter, ventral view, Sample Hole 547A, 8-4, 80-84 cm.
11) Karreriella bradyi , side view, Sample Hole 547A, 8-4, ¿~-84 cm.
12) Siphotextularia catenata, side view, Sample Site $608,12-6,120-124 \mathrm{~cm}$.

13, 14) Neogloboquadrina acostaensis, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 15-2, $90-94 \mathrm{~cm}$.
15) Globorotalia conoidea, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 21-5,60-64 cm.
16) Globigerinoides extremus, umbilical view, Sample Site $608,15-2,90-94 \mathrm{~cm}$.
$17,18)$ Globorotalia margaritae, dorsal and ventral views, Sample Site 608, 13-1, $80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
19) Globorotalia crassaformis, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 13-1, $80-84 \mathrm{~cm}$.
20) Globigerina nepenthes, ventral view, Sample Site 608, 20-6, 110-114 cm.
21) Globorotalia mediterranea, ventral view, Sample Site $334,5-4,76-78 \mathrm{~cm}$.


## Plate 9

(Benthic and planktonic foraminifera from ODP Hole 646B)

1) Neogloboquadrina atlantica, ventral view, from Site 646B, Core 44X-CC, $30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.
2) Melonis pompilioides, side view, from Site 646B, Core $44 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}, 30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.
3) Nuttallides umbonifera, ventral view, from Site 646B, Core 44X-CC, $30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.
4) Cornuspirella diffusa, side view, from Site 646 B , Core $78 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}, 26-30 \mathrm{~cm}$.
5) Planulina wuellerstorfi, ventral view, from Site 646B, Core 44X-CC, $30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.
6) Pyrgo murrhyna, side view, from Site 646B, Core 44X-CC, $30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.
7) Globocassidulina subglobosa, ventral view, from Site 646 B, Core $44 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}, 30-34 \mathrm{~cm}$.

8, 9) Trochammina cf. squamata, ventral views, 8 from Site 646B, Core 69X-1, 40-44 $\mathrm{cm}, 9$ from Site 646B, Core $75 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CC}, 10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$.

10-12) Ammotium sp. A, side views, all from Site 646B, Core 76X-2, $50-54 \mathrm{~cm}$.
13, 14) Miliammina fusca, side views, 13 from Site 646B, Core 69X-1, 40-44 cm, 14 from Site 646B, Core $76 \mathrm{X}-1,100-104 \mathrm{~cm}$.
15) Rhizammina sp. A. side view, from Core 78X-CC, $26-30 \mathrm{~cm}$.
16) Plant fruit, from Core $71 \mathrm{X}-1,5054 \mathrm{~cm}$.
17) Plant seed, from Core $54 \mathrm{X}-1,140-144 \mathrm{~cm}$.


## Appendix A

Table of benthic foraminifera from Salé Borehole, northwestern Morocco.

| Salé, Morocco Samples | S2 | S4 | S6 | S7 | S9 | S11 | S13 | S15 | S16 | S17 | S19 | S20 | S21 | S22 | S24 | S25 | S27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m below surface) | 299 | 5.05 | 7.17 | 8.21 | 10.29 | 12.31 | 14.38 | 16.42 | 17.49 | 18.54 | 20.63 | 21.73 | 22.71 | 23.74 | 25.74 | 26.77 | 28.94 |
| Split | 2048 | 2048 | 2048 | 2048 | 4096 | 2048 | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 | 4096 | 4096 | 2048 | 2048 | 2048 | 4096 |
| No. picked | 671 | 452 | 689 | 434 | 510 | 484 | 563 | 538 | 310 | 399 | 442 | 447 | 305 | 371 | 196 | 255 | 357 |
| No. in per ce sediments | 27162 | 18513 | 28221 | 17776 | 41779 | 19824 | 23060 | 11018 | 10772 | 16343 | 36208 | 36618 | 24985 | 15196 | 12779 | 12738 | 29245 |
| \% benthic forams in total | 54.2 | 34 | 49.3 | 39.1 | 34.9 | 324 | 43.8 | 37.7 | 42.3 | 38.3 | 37.6 | 427 | 43.7 | 46.4 | 35.1 | 38.1 | 35.2 |
| Norion spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | 1.24 | 0 | 1.49 | 1.61 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 2.24 | 0 | 0 | 3.06 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonionella turgida | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N$ miocerica | 1.34 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. sp. A | 0 | 0.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis porapilioides | 0.45 | 0.88 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.93 | 0.65 | 0 | 0 | 2.46 | 0.33 | 2.16 | 2.04 | 0 | 0.28 |
| M. barleeanum/affinis | 3.58 | 1.77 | 3.05 | 1.38 | 5.29 | 5.17 | 2.84 | 2.42 | 3.23 | 3.01 | 2.49 | 1.79 | 23 | 0.81 | 1.02 | 2.35 | 0 |
| Pulleria bulloides | 0.6 | 0 | 0.73 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 1.42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.81 | 1.02 | 0 | 0.28 |
| P. quinqueloba | 0 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 |
| Asfrononion echolsi | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| A. umbilicatulum | 0 | 0.22 | 1.89 | 1.84 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 1.49 | 1.29 | 0 | 1.13 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.81 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.74 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.66 | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 0.28 |
| Florilus sp. | 0 | 0.22 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 1.53 | 1.57 | 1.96 |
| Anomalina colligera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphac-oidina bulloider | 1.19 | 2.21 | 0.73 | 0.46 | 0.98 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 066 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 2.35 | 0.28 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0.6 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rosalima sp. | 0 | 0.88 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 1.53 | 0.78 | $\checkmark$ |
| Discorbinella bertheloti | 423 | 2.43 | 3.19 | 1.61 | 1.76 | 1.65 | 2.49 | 2.79 | 3.23 | 3.01 | 2.71 | 2.01 | 4.26 | 2.7 | 6.63 | 1.57 | 1.12 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0.3 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 |
| Heronalleria crosbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina ariminansis | 0 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.56 |
| Planulina wuellerstorfi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.53 | 0 | n |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0.6 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 631 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.28 |
| C. walli | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundiulus | 6.11 | 8.85 | 129 | 9.67 | 11.76 | 10.33 | 12.08 | 13.01 | 13.87 | 18.05 | 11.31 | 12.53 | 15.08 | 12.39 | 9.69 | 10.59 | 4.76 |
| C. pachyderma | 7.45 | 0.88 | 2.61 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 017 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.13 | 0.22 | 0.98 | 3.77 | 1.53 | 0.73 | 0.84 |
| C. mundulus +pachyderma | 13.56 | 9.73 | 15.51 | 10.13 | 12.35 | 10.95 | 12.25 | 13.01 | 13.87 | 19.05 | 12.44 | i275 | 16.06 | 16.16 | 11.22 | 11.32 | 5.6 |
| C. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{-}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebbs | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.58 | 0.23 | 0.58 | $\cdots 21$ | 0.35 | 0 | 4.97 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 |
| C. dutemplia | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopris praegeri | 5.37 | 9.73 | 9 | 5.07 | 10 | 9.92 | 11.37 | 929 | 6.45 | 10.53 | 10.41 | 6.49 | 8.52 | 5.66 | 4.08 | 11.76 | 4.76 |
| Epistominella erigua | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.28 |
| E. takanayagii | 1.94 | 1.99 | 1.89 | 253 | 273 | 3.31 | 178 | 2.79 | 065 | 1 | 5.2 | 1.57 | 3.61 | 1.35 | 2.55 | 2.35 | 2.24 |
| Gyroidina slodaniii | 6.56 | 3.54 | 4.06 | 276 | 4.71 | 351 | 4.09 | 4.46 | 127 | 201 | 7.01 | 3.36 | 1.97 | 3.5 | 1.53 | 2.35 | 2.52 |
| Eponidespolius | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. tumidulus | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellensis | 03 | 0.8\% | 0 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.23 | 089 | 0.56 | 2.9 | 201 | 1.13 | 2.01 | 1.97 | 1.35 | 204 | 0 | 3.08 |


| Sale, Morocwo Samples | S28 | 52 | S30 | S31 | 532 | 535 | S37 | S39 | S41 | S42 | S44 | S46 | S48 | S49 | S50 | S51 | S52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m below surface) | 29.94 | 30.99 | 3206 | 33.1 | 34.16 | 27.22 | 39.15 | 41.12 | 43.23 | 44.16 | 4622 | 48.29 | 50.44 | 51.44 | 52.35 | 53.39 | 54.39 |
| Split | 2048 | 2040 | 4096 | 512 | 2048 | 1024 | 4098 | 4095 | 2048 | 2048 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 256 | 1024 | 512 | 512 |
| No. picked | 295 | 413 | 393 | 263 | 215 | 307 | 294 | 382 | 305 | 385 | 310 | 337 | 517 | 441 | . 92 | 559 | 457 |
| No. in per $\propto 0$ sediments | 12083 | 16916 | 32194 | 5386 | 17612 | 12574 | 48168 | 62586 | 24903 | 31539 | 6348 | 6901 | 10588 | 4515 | 16056 | 11448 | 9359 |
| \%f benthic forams in total | 32 | 36.4 | 31.4 | 33.4 | 31.6 | 37.3 | 30 | 27 | 32.5 | 39.9 | 37.5 | 41.7 | 35.8 | 34.3 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 28.4 |
| Nonion spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.82 | 1.61 | 0 | 0.39 | 2.04 | 0.26 | 0.72 | 0.44 |
| Norionella turgida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. miocenica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. 5 P. A | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis pompilioides | 9 | 324 | 0 | 1.14 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. barlecanum/affinis | 1.02 | 2.45 | 1.78 | 1.14 | 233 | 261 | 1.7 | 2.09 | 1.64 | 2.08 | 3.87 | 1.19 | 2 | 1.36 | 1.53 | 0.54 | 2.63 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 0 | 3.48 | 0.76 | 0 | 0.47 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.39 | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 0.22 |
| P. quinqueloba | 6 | 0.24 | 0.51 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 1.28 | 0.54 | 0.44 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. wmbilicatulum | 0 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 228 | 0 | 0.98 | 068 | 1.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.58 | 1.59 | 0 | 0.54 | 0.88 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florilussp. | 0 | 0.24 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.92 | 0.44 |
| Anomalina colligera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphacroidina bualloides | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0 | 0.76 | 0.47 | 1.3 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 1.61 | 1.78 | 0.19 | 0.91 | 0.51 | 0.36 | 0.44 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0 | 0.76 | 1.4 | 0.33 | 1.02 | 0 | 1.31 | 0.26 | 0.97 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.72 | 0.88 |
| Rasalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.76 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 0 | 0.77 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.22 |
| Discorbinella berthdoti | 271 | 4.12 | 3.05 | 38 | 3.26 | 1.63 | 4.08 | 4.19 | 2.95 | 2.34 | 4.19 | 1.19 | 2.51 | 2.04 | 3.57 | 1.43 | 1.31 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0 | 0.97 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.91 | 1.02 | 1.97 | 1.09 |
| Heronallenia crasbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planuline ariminensis | 0 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 233 | 0.65 | 1.36 | 0 | 1.97 | 0.26 | 1.61 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 1.09 |
| Planulina wuellerstorf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.44 |
| C. walli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 11.86 | 10.17 | 8.4 | 11.41 | 7.41 | 10.1 | 6.12 | 6.54 | 16.72 | 11.69 | 11.61 | 8.01 | 10.25 | 7.26 | 6.63 | 3.76 | 6.35 |
| C. pachyderma | 2.71 | 1.2 | 0.25 | 1.9 | 233 | 2.61 | 0 | 3.14 | 0.64 | 0 | 1.29 | 1.18 | 0.26 | 0.91 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0 |
| C. mundulus + pachyderma | 14.57 | 11.37 | 8.65 | 13.31 | 9.74 | 12.71 | 6.12 | 3 | 17.36 | 11.69 | 12.9 | 9.19 | 10.51 | 8.17 | 6.89 | 4.3 | 6.35 |
| C. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebbsi | 0 | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 |
| C. dutampla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopsis praegeri | 8.47 | 9.2 | 6.62 | 4.56 | 6.98 | 6.51 | 4.76 | 6.02 | 3.93 | 7.79 | 4.19 | 7.12 | 7.54 | 5.44 | 8.16 | 7.51 | 8.53 |
| Epistorinella exigua | 1.35 | 0 | 0.51 | 1.52 | 0.93 | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 1.53 | 0.71 | 1.53 |
| E. takanayagii | 1.69 | 1.69 | 1.02 | 1.14 | 0.93 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 2.86 | 1.31 |
| Gyroidina slodanii | 2.37 | 3.63 | 3.31 | 2.66 | 3.72 | 2.93 | 3.74 | 3.66 | 23 | 4.42 | 3.23 | 5.04 | 1.35 | 5.44 | 3.83 | 6.08 | 3.28 |
| Eponides polius | 0 | 0 | G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E.tumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellensis | 2.03 | 3.39 | 6.36 | 2.66 | 2.33 | 1.3 | 3.06 | 3.14 | 3.28 | 4.16 | 1.94 | 1.19 | 3.09 | 6.58 | 4.34 | 8.59 | 4.38 |


| Salé, Morocco Samples | 553 | S55 | \$56 | S57 | S59 | S60 | S61 | 562 | S63 | B2 | S65 | B3 | S67 | B5 | S70 | B7 | B8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m below surfact) | 55.46 | 57.38 | 58.46 | 59.36 | 61.14 | 62.19 | 63.25 | 64.25 | 65.29 | 66.67 | 67.01 | 67.67 | 68.67 | 69.7 | 71.15 | 72.4 | 73.15 |
| Split | 512 | 2048 | 1024 | 512 | 256 | 512 | 256 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 64 | 256 | 128 | 1024 | 1024 |
| No. picked | 436 | 378 | 361 | 402 | 426 | 318 | 337 | 236 | 229 | 299 | 501 | 301 | 666 | 273 | 616 | 201 | 263 |
| No. in per $\mathrm{c}_{\text {c }}$ sediments | 8929 | 30965 | 14786 | 8232 | 4362 | 6512 | 3450 | 4833 | 4689 | 6123 | 10260 | 6164 | 1704 | 2795 | 3153 | 8232 | 10772 |
| \% benthic forams in toral | 28.3 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 33 | 26.3 | 24.5 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 23.8 | 19.7 | 16 | 23.5 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 27.5 | 16.5 | 27.9 |
| Nonion spp. | 0.23 | 1.59 | 1.66 | 0.25 | 1.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.75 | 0.43 | 1 | 1.66 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 |
| Nonionella turgida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 |
| N. miocenica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. sp. A | 0.23 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.49 | 0 | 0.38 |
| Melonis pompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| M. barlecanum/affinis | 0.92 | 0.53 | 1.94 | 1.74 | 258 | 1.89 | 2.37 | 2.97 | 1.31 | 218 | 0.4 | 1.66 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.49 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 0.2 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P. quinquedoba | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 1.27 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.6 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.65 | 0 | 0 |
| Astrononion echoli | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. umbilicaulum | 0 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 1.87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.33 | 0.8 | 0.33 | 0.6 | 0.74 | 0.65 | 1.99 | 0.38 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Florilus sp. | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0 | 025 | 0 | 0.63 | 0 | 0.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Anomalina colligera | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.37 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | 1.26 | 0.3 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 2.55 | 0.73 | 227 | 0 | 1.52 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 0 | 037 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rasalina sp. | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 1.31 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discortinella bertheloti | 4.36 | 2.65 | 3.88 | 274 | 3.05 | 1.89 | 3.56 | 1.27 | 3.06 | 0.87 | 2.79 | 2.33 | 3.45 | 1.83 | 276 | 3.48 | 3.8 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 1.15 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.5 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.89 | 1.27 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.2 | 1.66 | 0 | 1.83 | 0.32 | 0.5 | 0.38 |
| Heronallenia crosbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina ariminensis | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0 | 1 | 0.23 | 1.26 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.33 | 1.95 | 0.37 | 0.81 | 0 | 0.76 |
| Planulina wuellerstorfi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| C. wall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. murdulus | 9.17 | 7.41 | 7.76 | 6.97 | 282 | 9.75 | 4.75 | 6.99 | 7.42 | 8.36 | 1.2 | 5.98 | 3.3 | 5.13 | 6.49 | 7.96 | 11.03 |
| C. pachyderma | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.46 | 0 | 089 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.87 | 0 | 1.99 | 0 | 1.47 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0 |
| C. mundulus + pachyderma | 9.63 | 7.67 | 8.04 | 6.97 | 3.28 | 9.75 | 5.64 | 7.43 | 7.42 | 9.23 | 1.2 | 7.97 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 6.98 | 8.45 | 11.03 |
| C. brady: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebbsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 |
| C. dutempli | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopris praegeri | 6.42 | 6.08 | 7.76 | 6.97 | 4.46 | 7.23 | 9.2 | 9.32 | 6.55 | 11.37 | 4.79 | 5.64 | 13.06 | 8.42 | 9.42 | 4.48 | 5.32 |
| Epistominella erigua | 0 | 1.32 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 1.88 | 0.31 | 089 | 1.27 | 1.75 | 201 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.75 | 3.66 | 0.81 | 0.5 | 0 |
| E. takanayagii | 1.1 | 1.32 | 1.11 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina slodanii | 3.9 | 3.17 | 1.66 | 274 | 6.81 | 1.89 | 089 | 3.39 | 2.18 | 3.34 | 2 | 2.66 | 1.65 | 22 | 2.76 | 2.99 | 2.28 |
| Eponides polius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. tumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellensis | 5.28 | 4.76 | 4.43 | 5.97 | 7.98 | 5.35 | 9.79 | 5.08 | 4.8 | 5.68 | 8.38 | 5.31 | 3.15 | 8.79 | 3.57 | 7.96 | 6.08 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples] | B9 | B10 | B11 | B12 | B13 | B14 | B15 | B16 | B17 | B18 | B19 | B20 | B21 | B22 | B23 | B24 | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m below suface) | 7415 | 752 | 763 | 774 | 78.5 | 795 | 8055 | 8155 | 82.55 | 836 | 8465 | 859 | 8665 | 8725 | 8875 | 898 | 908 |
| Split | 512 | 512 | 128 | 512 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 128 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 128 | 256 | 128 |
| No picked | 325 | 518 | 506 | 423 | 523 | 465 | 753 | 516 | 573 | 297 | 252 | 295 | 487 | 324 | 449 | 270 | 512 |
| No in per ce sedments | 6656 | 10608 | 2590 | 8662 | 5354 | 4760 | 7710 | 5282 | 5866 | 3040 | 1290 | 3020 | 4986 | 3317 | 2298 | 2764 | 2621 |
| \% benthe forams in total | 222 | 264 | 232 | 231 | 273 | 22.4 | 213 | 237 | 155 | 187 | 147 | 148 | 172 | 158 | 203 | 162 | 17 |
| Nonton spp | 062 | 019 | 0 | 071 | 0.57 | 0 | 04 | 078 | 175 | 202 | 198 | 237 | 246 | 2.16 | 134 | 296 | 098 |
| Nornorclla turgida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N$ miocenica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N s p A$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 |
| Melons pompthoxdes | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $M$ barleearum/affims | 123 | 1.54 | 099 | 142 | 134 | 086 | 027 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 079 | 102 | 103 | 123 | 09 | 185 | 111 |
| Pullema bullordes | 031 | 019 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 022 | 013 | 058 | 017 | 101 | 04 | 0.34 | 062 | 062 | 045 | 037 | 059 |
| $\boldsymbol{P}$ quanquedoba | 031 | 019 | 04 | 047 | 019 | 043 | 0 | 078 | 035 | 101 | 119 | 0.34 | 021 | 0 | 045 | 0 | 678 |
| Astrononton echols | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 057 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A umbshcatilum | 062 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 038 | 108 | 093 | 0 | 052 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 093 | 067 | 117 | 098 |
| Ejphuchum sp | 031 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florius sp | 0 | 019 | 059 | 0 | 038 | 022 | 04 | 097 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 021 | 0 | 022 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalina colligera | 031 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 017 | 101 | 079 | 0 | 0 | 031 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroudina bullordes | 215 | 039 | 059 | 047 | 0 | 129 | 027 | 136 | 035 | 101 | 159 | 034 | 164 | 062 | 089 | 148 | 117 |
| Ondorsalis umbonatus | 0 | 039 | 02 | 0 | 0.57 | 022 | 027 | 0 | 052 | 034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 059 |
| Rosalina sp | 062 | 097 | 059 | 024 | 019 | 086 | 627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 062 | 022 | 0 | 0 |
| Discorknella berthelor | 462 | 212 | 158 | 2.6 | 249 | 258 | 266 | 174 | 192 | 2.36 | 317 | 203 | 595 | 216 | 49 | 222 | 176 |
| Discorbunella sp | 062 | 058 | 0 | 024 | 0.57 | 0 | 053 | 019 | 035 | 0 | 0 | 034 | 041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 |
| Heronallema crosby | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 031 | 022 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina anmsnexss | 062 | 116 | 0.59 | 071 | 096 | 28 | 08 | 078 | 14 | 067 | 159 | 169 | 164 | 031 | 156 | 111 | 078 |
| Planulina whellerstorfi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibscides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibrcidoodes bradyr | 0 | 019 | 02 | 024 | 019 | 043 | 027 | 0 | 017 | 0 | 079 | 034 | 021 | 062 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $C$ wall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $C$ mundulus | 615 | 656 | 672 | 52 | 593 | 559 | 452 | 445 | 663 | 808 | 397 | 576 | 431 | 679 | 579 | 852 | 527 |
| C pachyderma | 123 | 019 | 079 | 095 | 096 | 129 | 027 | 213 | 157 | 101 | 437 | 203 | 308 | 0 | 1.56 | 074 | 039 |
| $C$ mundulus + pachyderma | 7.38 | 675 | 751 | 615 | 689 | 688 | 479 | 658 | 82 | 909 | 834 | 779 | 739 | 679 | 735 | 926 | 566 |
| $C$ brady | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C crebbs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 067 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C dutempla | 031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelmoprspraeger | 462 | 27 | 593 | 402 | 593 | 753 | 584 | 484 | 366 | 2.36 | 317 | 271 | 431 | 37 | 2.9 | 333 | 43 |
| Epistominella engua | 092 | 058 | 118 | 2.13 | 038 | 022 | 173 | 019 | 017 | 168 | 079 | 136 | 082 | 309 | 313 | 407 | 136 |
| E. takanayagn | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 013 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 136 | 062 | 093 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrordina Slodam | 431 | 367 | 415 | 355 | 2.1 | 323 | 279 | 194 | 2.62 | 404 | 238 | 271 | 2.26 | 303 | 022 | 37 | 156 |
| Eponides polus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. tumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellenss | 1138 | 734 | 929 | 1087 | 9.37 | 624 | 943 | 1647 | 1047 | 943 | 913 | 1593 | 1458 | 1698 | 1581 | 1741 | 22.07 |


| Salé, Moroccy Samples] | B26 | B27 | B28 | B29 | B30 | B31 | B32 | B33 | B34 | B36 | B37 | B38 | 839 | B40 | B41 | B42 | B43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (m below surface) | 91.9 | 92.9 | 93.9 | 94.85 | 96.1 | 96.85 | 97.95 | 98.95 | 100.05 | 101.75 | 102.85 | 103.9 | 105 | 106.1 | 107 | 108 | 108.9 |
| Split | 128 | 128 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 128 | 128 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 128 | 256 | 128 | 256 | 256 | 128 |
| No. picked | 367 | 528 | 742 | 572 | 447 | 737 | 832 | 516 | 580 | 530 | 516 | 671 | 430 | 618 | 626 | 406 | 634 |
| No. in per co sediments | 1879 | 2703 | 1899 | 1464 | 1144 | 1886 | 4259 | 2641 | 1484 | 2713 | 5273 | 3435 | 4403 | 3164 | 6410 | 4157 | 3246 |
| \% benthic forams in total | 17.9 | 17.3 | 13.5 | 19.6 | 33.3 | 23.2 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 18.5 | 17.4 | 14.7 | 10 | 10.1 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| Nonsion spp. | 1.09 | 1.33 | 1.89 | 0.87 | 1.57 | 2.71 | 2.16 | 0.69 | 241 | 6.04 | 3.29 | 4.02 | 3.72 | 3.56 | 6.87 | 5.67 | 1.26 |
| Nonionella murgido | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N$. miocerica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.52 | 1.32 | 0.58 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.99 | 0 |
| Melonis pompilioider | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 |
| M. barleeamum(affinis | 1.91 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0 | 1.67 | 0.78 | 2.24 | 1.51 | 1.94 | 0.45 | 1.16 | 0.97 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 1.74 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.54 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.24 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 1.48 | 1.26 |
| P. quinqudoba | 0.54 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.99 | 0.47 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 022 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 0 | 1.63 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 0 | 0 |
| A. umbilicatulum | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 1.78 | 0.41 | 1.08 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 1.36 | 0.6 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 1.12 | 0.74 | 0.95 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florilus sp. | 0 | 1.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalima colligera | 0 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina balloides | 1.36 | 1.7 | 0.67 | 0.87 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 2.4 | 1.16 | 1.38 | 1.32 | 0.78 | 1.04 | 0.47 | 1.13 | 0.32 | 1.97 | 1.58 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0 | 1.14 | 0.54 | 1.22 | 089 | 1.9 | 1.08 | 1.74 | 1.03 | 0.38 | 1.16 | 0.45 | 1.16 | 0.16 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.1 |
| Rosalina sp. | 0 | 0.57 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 |
| Discorbinclla bertheloti | 1.91 | 3.79 | 1.62 | 1.92 | 3.8 | 2.04 | 1.8 | 2.71 | 2.93 | 1.89 | 2.91 | 1.79 | 0.7 | 3.07 | 0.8 | 1.72 | 252 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.72 | 0 |
| Heronalleria crasbyi | 0.54 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina ariminensis | 1.63 | 1.52 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 2.46 | 0.81 | 1.32 | 1.16 | 0.52 | 1.51 | 1.16 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 1.13 | 0.64 | 1.48 | 1.26 |
| Planulina wzellestorfi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicide lobotulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. walli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 6.81 | 6.25 | 4.85 | 275 | 4.47 | 4.07 | 361 | 3.87 | 4.14 | 6.42 | 6.78 | 2.98 | 3.49 | 3.4 | 224 | 2.71 | 3.31 |
| C. pachyderma | 0.54 | 1.7 | 0.67 | 0.7 | 1.12 | 0 | 0.96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 |
| C. mundulus + pachyderma | 7.35 | 7.95 | 5.52 | 3.45 | 5.59 | 4.07 | 4.57 | 3.87 | 4.14 | 6.42 | 6.78 | 2.98 | 3.49 | 3.56 | 2.24 | 2.71 | 363 |
| C. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebbsi | 0.27 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. dutemplai | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopris praegeri | 4.63 | 4.73 | 2.43 | 262 | 201 | 2.17 | 385 | 8.33 | 4.48 | 2.64 | 6.98 | 2.68 | 2.33 | 5.18 | 3.67 | 5.17 | 5.99 |
| Episiominella exigua | 218 | 2.65 | 0.94 | 3.1 | 15.21 | 2.71 | 3.13 | 1.74 | 3.97 | 3.96 | 1.16 | 3.87 | 9.53 | 3.39 | 0.48 | 0.99 | 0.32 |
| E. takanayagii | 0 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 1.38 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina slodanii | 218 | 1.52 | 2.16 | 0.7 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 132 | 1.94 | 0.86 | 9.62 | 2.33 | 1.64 | 2.56 | 1.29 | 1.6 | 1.72 | 2.37 |
| Eponidespolius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. tumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellensis | 22.07 | 26.14 | 10.78 | 17.66 | 17.96 | 1425 | 19.47 | 13.95 | 18.79 | 14.72 | 10.47 | 28.32 | 13.26 | 10.84 | 13.9 | 8.62 | 13.88 |


| Sale, Moroceo Samples | B44 | B45 | B47 | B48 | B49 | B50 | 851 | B52 | B53 | B54 | B55 | B56 | B57 | B58 | B59 | 860 | B62* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (mbelow surface) | 1099 | 1109 | 112.95 | 11395 | 11485 | 11585 | 11685 | 118 | 11909 | 1201 | 1211 | 122.1 | 12315 | 1242 | 12525 | 12635 | 12855 |
| Split | 256 | 128 | 256 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 128 | 256 | 128 | 64 |
| No pricked | 419 | 575 | 492 | 435 | 481 | 396 | 445 | 536 | 264 | 299 | 309 | 335 | 313 | 560 | 180 | 240 | 303 |
| No in per 0 e sedments | 4290 | 2944 | 5038 | 2227 | 2462 | 2027 | 2278 | 1372 | 1351 | 3061 | 3164 | 3430 | 3205 | 2867 | 1843 | 1228 | 775 |
| \% benthic forams in total | 99 | 88 | 138 | 108 | 108 | 64 | 88 | 122 | 99 | 12.7 | 84 | 88 | 109 | 98 | 108 | 149 | 137 |
| Nopuon spp | 31 | 2.43 | 162 | 138 | 229 | 0 | 09 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 2.26 | 06 | 0 | 071 | 333 | 0 | 099 |
| Norsonella turgrda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N$ mocernca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $N$ sp.A | 024 | 052 | $0{ }^{11}$ | 069 | 021 | 076 | 067 | 0 | 076 | 067 | 0 | 067 | 096 | 071 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| Melons pompliondes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $M$ barleeamum/afions | 119 | 104 | 041 | 0 | 076 | 076 | 067 | 019 | 038 | 0.33 | 064 | 06 | 064 | 0 | 111 | 083 | 066 |
| Pullema ballaides | 072 | 139 | 02 | 023 | 042 | 101 | 0 | 187 | 038 | 033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 071 | 056 | 083 | 033 |
| $\boldsymbol{P}$ quurquaba | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 021 | 126 | 045 | 056 | 152 | 1 | 065 | 0.6 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 083 | 033 |
| Astronomion echoln | 0.24 | 1.39 | 0 | 0 | 042 | 025 | 045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A umblicatulum | 072 | 0 | 122 | 046 | 0 | 0 | 045 | 0 | 152 | 033 | 2.59 | 269 | 2.88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elphadum sp. | 024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flordussp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalina colligera | 072 | 087 | 0 | 023 | 042 | 076 | 045 | 112 | 227 | 134 | 0 | 358 | 064 | 143 | 444 | 2.92 | 396 |
| Sphaeroudina bullodos | 119 | 157 | 224 | 115 | 229 | 2.53 | 157 | 168 | 2.27 | 234 | 129 | 09 | 351 | 107 | 222 | 083 | 033 |
| Ondorsals umbonatus | 048 | 07 | 163 | 092 | 229 | 152 | 112 | 2.24 | 152 | 201 | 129 | 209 | 192 | 286 | 222 | 333 | 363 |
| Rasalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discorbunella bertheloth | 334 | 174 | 224 | 391 | 624 | 126 | 27 | 2.61 | 2.01 | 201 | 324 | 179 | 127 | 2.86 | 444 | 21 | 297 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 055 | 379 | 167 | 097 | 06 | 2.56 | 107 | 111 | 0 | 033 |
| Heronallerxa crasby | 095 | 261 | 142 | 138 | 042 | 126 | 112 | 112 | 038 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 096 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 033 |
| Plonulina arimurensis | 143 | 191 | 0 | 184 | 062 | 025 | 1.35 | 112 | 227 | 167 | 065 | 03 | 096 | 143 | 0 | 042 | 066 |
| Planulina wuellerstorfi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 025 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibictes lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibictioodes brady: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 021 | 0 | 022 | 0 | 076 | 067 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 042 | 0 |
| $C$ wall | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $C$ mundulus | 382 | 278 | 224 | 2.99 | 208 | 177 | 426 | 2.99 | 53 | 301 | 3.24 | 119 | 2.59 | 679 | 722 | 208 | 363 |
| $C$ pachyderma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 033 | 097 | 0 | 032 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 066 |
| C mundulus+pachyderma | 3.82 | 278 | 224 | 2.99 | 208 | 2.02 | 426 | 2.99 | 53 | 334 | 421 | 119 | 2.91 | 679 | 833 | 208 | 429 |
| $C$ brady | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C crebbs | 024 | 034 | 0 | 046 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C duterpla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavdinopsss praeger | 31 | 522 | 264 | 529 | 748 | 556 | 584 | 466 | 379 | 334 | 583 | 418 | 383 | 179 | 389 | 625 | 495 |
| Eprstommella exggua | 12 | 104 | 051 | 069 | 062 | 2.02 | 157 | 187 | 08 | 2.67 | 194 | 298 | 2.87 | 286 | 167 | 292 | 313 |
| E. takancyagn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrodina slodamı | 072 | 191 | 366 | 368 | 52 | 354 | 067 | 2.61 | 038 | 301 | 2.27 | 567 | 479 | 2.5 | 778 | 958 | 1122 |
| Eponides polnus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. tumudulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Sigma$ weddellenss | 1 | 1165 | 2134 | 966 | 131 | 12.63 | 1079 | 1194 | 7.58 | 1538 | 1392 | 2388 | 1278 | 1429 | 5 | 792 | 12.5 |


| Sale, Moroceo Samples | B64* | B66* | B68* | B70* | B71 | B72 | B74 | B76 | B79 | B81 | B83 | B85 | B87 | B89 | B91 | B93 | B95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deph (mbelow surface) | 130.6 | 132.75 | 134.9 | 136.95 | 138 | 139.05 | 141.15 | 143.3 | 146.25 | 148.15 | 150.15 | 152.25 | 154.45 | 156.65 | 158.85 | 161.05 | 163.25 |
| Split | 64 | 64 | 128 | 64 | 256 | 128 | 128 | 64 | 32 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 64 | 512 | 250 | 512 | 1024 |
| No. picked | 269 | 261 | 207 | 167 | 195 | 287 | 317 | 322 | 480 | 531 | 529 | 313 | 610 | 442 | 770 | 783 | 645 |
| No. in per cos sediments | 688 | 668 | 1059 | 427 | 1996 | 1469 | 1623 | 824 | 616 | 2718 | 5416 | 6410 | 1561 | 9052 | 7884 | 16035 | 26419 |
| \% benthic forams in total | 22.8 | 27.5 | 21.8 | 21.1 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| Nonion spp. | 1.12 | 1.15 | 2.42 | 0 | 0 | 2.79 | 4.1 | 248 | 0 | 1.32 | 4.54 | 2.88 | 1.31 | 1.81 | 1.95 | 1.4 | 2.33 |
| Nornionclla turgida | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. miocenica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. sp.A | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0 |
| Melonis pomplicider | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. barlecanum/affinis | 0.37 | 1.53 | 0.48 | 1.2 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 1.33 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.65 | 1.15 | 0 |
| Pullenia balloides | 0.37 | 1.53 | 0.48 | 1.2 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.83 | 0.19 | 1.13 | 0.64 | 1.8 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 2.43 | 0.47 |
| P. quinquedoba | c. 74 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.32 | 0.98 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.78 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. umbilicatalum | 0 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | 0 | 1.26 | 1.55 | 1.46 | 3.95 | 4.54 | 1.92 | 0.66 | 1.13 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.78 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florilussp. | 0 | 0 | 0.9: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomaline colligera | 3.35 | 1.92 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.74 | 6.13 | 29 | 0 | 1.54 | 0.7 | 0.63 | 2.17 | 1.46 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.96 | 4.26 | 3.17 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 1.71 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0.74 | 1.53 | 0 | 1.8 | 5.13 | 3.48 | 0.32 | 217 | 0.62 | 1.13 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 0.49 | 0.23 | 0.78 | 0.38 | 0.34 |
| Rosalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discorbinella berth cloti | 1.5 | 2.68 | 4.83 | 259 | 6.15 | 4.51 | 1.26 | 4.97 | 229 | 282 | 4.54 | 0.64 | 2.13 | 226 | 3.51 | 281 | 2.79 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| Heromallenia crosbyi | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina ariminernsis | 1.12 | 1.15 | 0.48 | 1.2 | 1.03 | 2.79 | 1.26 | 0.93 | 1.25 | 226 | 0.95 | 3.19 | 1.15 | 0.9 | 0.39 | 1.28 | 0.31 |
| Planulina wuellerstorsf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoider bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 |
| C. walli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. murdulus | 3.72 | 4.98 | 6.28 | 6.59 | 4.62 | 5.92 | 6.62 | 7.45 | 6.67 | 7.16 | 4.54 | 6.39 | 8.85 | 6.33 | 2.21 | 1.01 | 3.1 |
| C. pachyderma | 0 | 1.53 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.49 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.62 |
| C. mundulur+pachyderma | 3.72 | 6.51 | 7.25 | 6.59 | 4.62 | 5.92 | 5.62 | 7.76 | 7.29 | 7.35 | 4.73 | 6.39 | 9.34 | 6.33 | 2.21 | 2.41 | 3.72 |
| C. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebbsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. dutempla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopris pracgeri | 3.35 | 4.98 | 6.28 | 4.19 | 3.08 | 2.09 | 0.95 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 6.42 | 2.84 | 4.79 | 2.79 | 4.52 | 1.55 | 3.83 | 4.65 |
| Epistominella erigua | 0.74 | 2.68 | 1.93 | 3.59 | 1.54 | 2.09 | 4.42 | 4.66 | 4.38 | 1.56 | 6.43 | 1.91 | 3.93 | 4.07 | 3.9 | 3.83 | 9.3 |
| E. takanayagii | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0 |
| Gyroidinu slodunii | 8.17 | 6.51 | 2.42 | 7.19 | 2.56 | 2.09 | 4.1 | 248 | 4.38 | 3.01 | 4.91 | 5.43 | 4.43 | 2.71 | 1.95 | 4.34 | 4.34 |
| Eponides polius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 |
| E, tumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ex weddellensis | 12.63 | 5.36 | 8.7 | 8.98 | 6.15 | 12.89 | 12.93 | 15.52 | 13.75 | 6.59 | 1.7 | 9.26 | 9.51 | 8.37 | 14.42 | 9.58 | 4.65 |


| Salé, Morocco Samples | B97 | B98 | B100 | B102 | B104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth (mbelow surface) | 165.6 | 166.7 | 168.9 | 171 | 172.85 |
| Split | 4096 | 1024 | 512 | 256 | 8196 |
| No. picked | 274 | 488 | 957 | 280 | 238 |
| No. in per 0 cesediments | 44892 | 19988 | 19599 | 2867 | 39012 |
| \% benthic forams in total | 9.3 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 22.6 | 11.5 |
| Nortion spp. | 0 | 1.02 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 |
| Norionclla furgida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. miocerica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N. sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Melonis pompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |
| M. barlecanum/affinis | 1.09 | 1.23 | 0 | 0.71 | 0.84 |
| Pullenias bulloider | 1.46 | 1.02 | 1.04 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
| P. guinqudoba | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
| Astrononion ccholsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. umbilicatilum | 0.36 | 0.82 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.42 |
| Elphidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florilus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalina colligera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidine bulloider | 1.46 | 0.61 | 1.25 | 1.79 | 1.26 |
| Oridorsalis umbornatus | 0.36 | 0.2 | 0.84 | 0 | 0 |
| Rosalima sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.84 |
| Discorbinella bertheloti | 5.11 | 2.87 | 2.93 | 4.29 | 5.04 |
| Discorbinella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |
| Heronallenia crosbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina ariminexsis | 0.73 | 1.23 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Planulina wuellerstorf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicides lobatulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 1.07 | 0.42 |
| C. walli | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 1.46 | 4.1 | 2.61 | 2.86 | 2.94 |
| C. pachyderma | 0.36 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus + pachyderma | 1.82 | 4.1 | 2.92 | 2.86 | 2.94 |
| C. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. crebhsi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. dutempla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gavelinopvis praegeri | 6.2 | 4.92 | 4.49 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| Epistominella exigua | 7.66 | 4.51 | 6.58 | 9.29 | 5.88 |
| E. takanayagii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina slodanii | 0.73 | 3.07 | 6.58 | 3.57 | 4.2 |
| Eponidespolius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. fumidulus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E. weddellensis | 9.12 | 4.92 | 6.37 | 11.79 | 6.72 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | S2 | S4 | S6 | S7 | S9 | 511 | S13 | S15 | S16 | S17 | S19 | S20 | S21 | S22 | S24 | S25 | S27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hanzawzia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neosporides sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarrii | 0.6 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valuslireria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.54 | 1.53 | 1.96 | 0 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0.6 | 1.99 | 0.73 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 2.58 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoeglundina degans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphosina tenuicarinata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 4.9 | 7.08 | 9.14 | 10.83 | 10.58 | 8.67 | 7.63 | 10.04 | 10.32 | 9.77 | 724 | 8.72 | 9.51 | 5.66 | 8.16 | 9.8 | 7.56 |
| Cassidulina laevigata | 5.07 | 0.44 | 1.16 | 253 | 0 | 2.68 | 1.42 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.78 | 0 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0.78 | 0 |
| C. reniforme | 3.6 | 3.76 | 1.74 | 4.5 | 3.14 | 8.05 | 9.24 | 2.97 | 5.16 | 4.01 | 4.75 | 5.14 | 5.57 | 3.5 | 255 | 4.7 | 6.16 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 9.09 | 7.52 | 12.05 | 12.67 | 627 | 7.23 | 8.7 | 11.34 | 6.77 | 12.78 | 9.28 | 10.01 | 13.44 | 7.01 | 17.35 | 4.31 | 10.64 |
| T. bradyi | 1.19 | 0.88 | 0 | 1.84 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.65 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 3.93 | 2.43 | 1.53 | 0.78 | 0.56 |
| Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrerbergina trigona | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tosaia hanzawai | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 0.3 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 1.03 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0.84 |
| Virgulina pontori | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulina augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculeata | 1.04 | 3.32 | 2.03 | 0.92 | 2.16 | 1.86 | 4.26 | 093 | 452 | 3.01 | 2.71 | 3.36 | 1.64 | 7.82 | 3.06 | 4.71 | 5.88 |
| B. mexicana | 3.13 | 1.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 1.24 | 0.93 | $129^{-}$ | 05 | 0 | 1.12 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 0 | 1.17 | 0 |
| B. alazaensis | 0.89 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 129 | 05 | 1.36 | 0 | 0 | 1.35 | 0 | 1.18 | 0.28 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0.44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina peregina | 3.58 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 0.92 | 1.57 | 0.83 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 194 | 1 | 1.13 | 201 | 0.66 | 3.23 | 051 | 1.96 | 2.52 |
| $U$. proboscidea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 |
| U. schwageri | 0.45 | 0 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectuvigerina multicostata | 0.3 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.35 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Amphicoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frondicularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 023 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.56 |
| Stilostomella antillea | 0.89 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 046 | 1.18 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 056 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.98 | 0.54 | 1.53 | 0.78 | 3.92 |
| S. kispida | 0 | 0.89 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dentalina communt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.28 |
| D. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0.75 | 1.33 | 0.29 | 046 | 0.59 | 062 | 0.89 | 037 | 065 | 0.75 | 023 | 0.22 | 0 | 1.62 | 1.53 | 1.57 | 0.28 |
| Fissurina. spp. | 0.6 | 0.44 | 1.02 | 1.61 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0 | 056 | 065 | 075 | 068 | 089 | 033 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Lagena spp. | 0 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 018 | 019 | 0 | 025 | 023 | 045 | 0 | 017 | 102 | 039 | 0 |
| Bolvina infata | 0.45 | 3.76 | 0.73 | 3.23 | 3.73 | 475 | 036 | 353 | 323 | 1.5 | 47 | 246 | 295 | 4.04 | 408 | 11.76 | 28 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 1.34 | 1.99 | 2.76 | 576 | 1.96 | 289 | 249 | 5.2 | 258 | 15 | こ 71 | 380 | 1.31 | 135 | 2.55 | 1.57 | 6.44 |
| B. cf. pygmaea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 032 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | S28 | S29 | S30 | S31 | S32 | S35 | S37 | S39 | S41 | S42 | S44 | S46 | S48 | S49 | S50 | S51 | S52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? ${ }^{\text {sp.A }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 045 | 0.26 | 1.25 | 0 |
| Hanzawaia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neopponidersp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 017 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarrit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 018 | 0 |
| Vaindinena laevigata | 1.02 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.76 | 093 | 033 | 0.34 | 0 | 066 | 0 | 065 | 0.59 | 058 | 045 | 0.51 | 036 | 0.44 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 033 | 052 | 0.32 | 0 | 019 | 045 | 026 | 018 | 044 |
| Hoeghundira degans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphonina tenuicarnata | 0.34 | 048 | 0 | 152 | 093 | 0 | 034 | 131 | 066 | 052 | 129 | 03 | 0 | 023 | 026 | 018 | 109 |
| Globocassidulina ssbglobosa | 1186 | 871 | 1004 | 798 | 55 | 101 | 119 | 864 | 787 | 1013 | 387 | 89 | 1044 | 725 | 12.24 | 1019 | 1072 |
| Cassudinn laengata | 0 | 2.42 | 051 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0.34 | 0 | 066 | 208 | 256 | 6.53 | 174 | 408 | 229 | 0.89 | 1.97 |
| C. remforme | 7.79 | 363 | 279 | 1.52 | 046 | 195 | 2.72 | 3.4 | 525 | 181 | 3.54 | 1.48 | 309 | 2.49 | 408 | 17 | 262 |
| Trfarina angulosa | 8.14 | 8.96 | 11.2 | 1103 | 11.16 | 13.03 | 11.22 | 366 | 984 | 10.13 | 10 | 2.08 | 8.7 | 862 | 561 | 5.55 | 788 |
| T. bradyi | 169 | 1.69 | 0.51 | 076 | 14 | 0 | 204 | 209 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 03 | 097 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Reusrella spinulosa | 0 | 024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 023 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergna triona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tasaza hanzawar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 033 | 052 | 065 | 0.59 | 174 | 045 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 3.94 |
| Fursenkeina fustormis | 0 | 048 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vivguline pentori | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prulina augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimme aculeata | 373 | 315 | 3.56 | 38 | 465 | 2.61 | 204 | 157 | 23 | 208 | 097 | 208 | 2.9 | 181 | 2.04 | 072 | 219 |
| B. mearana | 0 | 0.48 | 025 | 0 | 046 | 032 | 1.02 | 209 | 098 | 026 | 129 | 1.48 | 019 | 045 | 0.51 | 036 | 044 |
| B. alazaenses | 1.02 | 048 | 025 | 1.14 | 0.93 | 033 | 0 | 1.57 | 066 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvgerna peregrina | 1.36 | 266 | 28 | 4.94 | 326 | 521 | 1.02 | 471 | 393 | 1.56 | 323 | 0.59 | 174 | 023 | 1.53 | 0.36 | 1.75 |
| U proboscudea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $U$ schwagen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. spp | 0 | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 018 | 0 |
| Rectuvgerina multroostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frondicularia sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella spp | 0 | 024 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 056 | 034 | 026 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 023 | 0 | 018 | 0 |
| Stiostomella antilea | 169 | 024 | 127 | 038 | 14 | 098 | 034 | 026 | 0 | 0 | 032 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 026 | 0 | 044 |
| 5 huspuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S.spp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dentalina communis | 034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 036 | 0 |
| $D s p$ | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp | 034 | 097 | 0 | 038 | 047 | 163 | 136 | 026 | 098 | 078 | 065 | 0.59 | 019 | 0 | 102 | 036 | 044 |
| Fissurina spp. | 0.34 | 0.48 | 051 | 038 | 0.93 | 13 | 068 | 026 | 0 | 052 | 065 | 0.59 | 058 | 045 | 0 | 036 | 0.44 |
| Lagena spp | 0 | 024 | 0 | 0 | 047 | 0 | 102 | 052 | 098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 023 | 0 | 036 | 0 |
| Bolvera inflata | 169 | 387 | 483 | 304 | 2.33 | 391 | 476 | 445 | 1016 | 961 | 8.39 | 2107 | 967 | 10.2 | 689 | 1395 | 35 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 2.37 | 291 | 331 | 4.56 | 465 | 261 | 5.78 | 733 | 328 | 364 | 3.87 | 1.19 | 097 | 068 | 1.28 | 054 | 109 |
| B of py mmaea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Salé, Morocoo Samples | S53 | S55 | S56 | S57 | S59 | S60 | S61 | S62 | S63 | B2 | S65 | B3 | S67 | B5 | S70 | B7 | B8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? ${ }^{\text {sp. A }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Harzawaia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 |
| Neoeporides sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarnii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 |
| Valvulineria laevigata | 0.46 | 1.06 | 0.28 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.87 | 1 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 1.46 | 0.49 | 1 | 0.76 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 |
| Hocglundina elegans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphonina tenuicarinata | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 1.78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 7.34 | 8.73 | 6.37 | 6.47 | 9.15 | 10.69 | 8.01 | 10.59 | 23.58 | 14.38 | 21.56 | 9.63 | 11.26 | 10.98 | 5.36 | 13.93 | 16.35 |
| Cassidulina laevigata | 1.15 | 1.85 | 1.1 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 2.62 | 0.43 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.62 | 0.49 | 0.76 |
| C. reniforme | 3.21 | 1.85 | 1.93 | 2.48 | 0.93 | 5.03 | 2.97 | 2.97 | 0 | 0.87 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 227 | 6.46 | 3.42 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 8.26 | 7.94 | 9.14 | 7.46 | 7.04 | 6.6 | 5.04 | 6.78 | 262 | 6.35 | 0.6 | 9.63 | 8.56 | 6.23 | 9.9 | 11.44 | 6.84 |
| T. bradyi | 0.69 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.65 | 0.5 | 0.76 |
| Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina trigona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tasaia hanzawai | 0.46 | 1.32 | 1.66 | 1.49 | 1.88 | 1.57 | 1.78 | 1.27 | 0.87 | 1.67 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.5 | 293 | 0.65 | 1 | 0.38 |
| Fursenkoina fisiformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgulina pontori | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulina augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculcata | 1.83 | 3.17 | 2.22 | 1.24 | 0.7 | 3.46 | 1.19 | 2.12 | 1.75 | 1.33 | 1.6 | 1.94 | 1.65 | 256 | 0.97 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| B. mexicana | 0.46 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.75 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.19 | 0 | 1.75 | 0.86 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.49 | 1.49 | 0 |
| B. alazaensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerima peregrina | 1.83 | 1.85 | 1.66 | 1.24 | 1.17 | 1.89 | 0.3 | 1.69 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 3.79 | 1 | 1.65 | 0.37 | 1.79 | 0 | 2.28 |
| U.proboscidea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. schwageri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. spp. | 0.92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recturigerina multicostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frordicularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.2 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilastomella antillea | 0.23 | 0.79 | 0.83 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 0.85 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| S. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| Dentalina comonunis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 087 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 |
| D. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp, | 0.23 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.73 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.38 |
| Fissurina. spp. | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.75 | 0.87 | 0.8 | 2.66 | 1.05 | 0.73 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.38 |
| Lagena spp. | 0.46 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.43 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.73 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.38 |
| Bolivina inflata | 6.42 | 3.96 | 2.77 | 6.47 | 7.51 | 3.46 | 6.82 | 2.12 | 262 | 6.35 | 3.59 | 7.64 | 8.56 | 5.49 | 7.79 | 9.95 | 5.7 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 1.38 | 1.59 | 0.28 | 2.24 | 0.23 | 3.77 | 2.08 | 0.85 | 4.37 | 1.33 | 0.59 | 1.99 | 0 | 1.83 | 1.62 | 3.48 | 0.38 |
| B. of. pygmaca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 |


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | त | － | 00 | $0 \%$ | －${ }^{-1}$ | N | \％ | 年 | 耐 |  |  | －${ }_{0}^{20}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | \％ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 10 | $\hat{0}_{0}^{0}$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | N |  | － |  | O | － | 8 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \mathbf{4} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $5$ | N | － | $i_{0}^{\infty}$ | $0 \cdot$ | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \bar{m} \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 0 | ¢ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | ＋ |  | 0 |  | O | － | 孝 | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |
| N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | －${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \infty & 0 \\ -\infty & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N্ত | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\|\underset{\sim}{n}\|$ | $\hat{i}$ | $0$ | － 0 | － | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | － N | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | － | $0\|0\|$ |  | 00 | 0 | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{\substack{\underset{N}{n} \\ \mathrm{~N}}}{ }$ |  | 0 | $0$ | － | $\underset{N}{\mathcal{N}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{子}{n} \\ 子 \end{gathered}\right.$ | N |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 010 | 00 | 00 | －${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $\vec{y}\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | $\overrightarrow{0_{i}} \mid$ | － | $\dot{0}$ | $\cdots$ | 00 | － | $\xrightarrow{4}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bar{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | 00 | $\cdots$ | － | O－ | － | 示？ | $\cdots$ |
| $\|\vec{A}\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | － 0 | － | － 0 | $0 \cdot$ | $0\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | $0 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{8}{7}$ |  | $0$ | － |  | $0$ | N | － | $10\left\|\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | － | － | － | $0 \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 중 | 0 | 0 | $0$ |  | $\overrightarrow{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{y} \\ & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | 끙 |
| \| | $\underset{-1}{8}$ | － | 0.12 | 끅o | － | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline 8 \\ 0 & \overrightarrow{0} \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \vec{m} \\ & \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $3$ | $\stackrel{\ddagger}{\mathbf{F}}$ | 商 | － 0 | － | － | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\| 0$ | $\bigcirc$ | ， | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{W} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | － | － | － 8 | S | 0 | 帯 | － | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | त |
| $\left\|\frac{\vec{\infty}}{\vec{\infty}}\right\|$ | － | 0 | 000 | 0 O | － | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & -1 \\ & n \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | － | $0$ | $i$ | ¢ |  | － | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $0$ | \％ | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | － | － | $\overbrace{-1}$ | － | － | $0$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 0 | － |  | $\pm$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\left.\frac{\infty}{\infty} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \dot{m} \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 0 | $\left.0\|0\| \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\stackrel{F}{\circ} 0$ | － | $\cdots$ | － | $1 \begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & + \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | － | 0. | － | － | $\mathrm{O}_{0}$ | $0 \underset{N}{N}$ | m | \％ | － |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | － | 0 | $01 \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 0 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \dot{m} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | － |
| $\left\|\frac{0}{n}\right\|$ |  | － | 0 － | $\hat{\delta} \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | －${ }_{0}^{0}$ | \％ | － | $\underset{7}{7}$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |  | － | － | mo | － | へ | N | － | － | \％ | － | － | － | n | － | $5$ |  | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\underbrace{\infty}_{0}$ |
| $\frac{6}{9}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | － 0 | $0 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{0}{\infty}$ | O 0 | －${ }_{\infty}^{\text {N }}$ | $\dot{\infty}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathbf{-}}$ | $10$ | －10 | $\bigcirc$ | O | 0.0 | － | \％ | N | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | \％ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － | 0 | － | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\frac{3}{6}$ | $\stackrel{N}{2}$ | ＋ |
| $\mid$ | $\left.\right\|_{0} ^{+}$ | 00 | $0\|0\| \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline 8 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $00_{0}^{8}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 8 \\ 0 & 8 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $5 \mid \infty$ | $$ | © | 00 | － | $\hat{N}$ | 0 － | － | 정 | － | $=\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n \\ -1 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $7^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $100$ | No | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underset{o}{9}$ | $\frac{m}{0}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{8}$ |
| $\vec{a} \mid$ | $\\| \underset{\substack{\underset{C}{c}}}{ }$ | － 0 | $00 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $0$ | $\bigcirc \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}\left\|\begin{array}{c} \overrightarrow{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{-7}{-1}$ | － | तู | 0 | O | － | $\because$ | $\stackrel{n}{7}$ | － | －${ }^{\circ}$ | N | $\mathrm{NO}^{\circ}$ | － | － | $010$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 | － | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\underset{8}{9}$ | 为 | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\underset{a}{m}$ | $5$ | 00 | 000 | $0 \stackrel{\substack{n \\ 0}}{ }$ | － | $\stackrel{n}{0}\|\stackrel{0}{0}\|$ | － | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathfrak{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 00 | －${ }_{\text {N }}^{\text {N }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ -i \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0 | ONA | o | $\stackrel{9}{0}$ \％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0$ | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | \％ | 8 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\\|$ | 00 | 000 | －${ }_{\text {O }}^{\text {O }}$ | $50$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\vec{\infty} \mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 00 | － | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | － $\begin{gathered}\text { ¢ } \\ \sim \\ \sim\end{gathered}$ |  | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{f}} \underset{\mathrm{O}}{ }$ | 00 | 0 | － | $10$ | 笭 | 0 | － | － |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ \text { ¢ }}}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
| $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\\|_{i}^{2}$ | － |  |  | 00 | $0 \mid \vec{n}$ | 9 | $\frac{\pi}{i n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | － | N | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | 9 | त | $0 \cdot$ | 00 | － | － | N | － 0 | $\mathrm{CN}_{\mathrm{O}}$ |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 20 | N | $\left.\right\|_{i} ^{9} \mid \underset{n}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n} 0$ |
| $\mid \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{1}}$ | － | 0 | $0 \mid E$ | $\underset{i}{F} \mid$ | －${ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{g}{0} \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$ | $20$ | $i=8$ | $\frac{a}{3}$ | － 0 | $0 \stackrel{0}{\square}$ | － | $\underset{0}{\infty} 0$ | － | $\cdots$ | － | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{3}$ | － | 0 | － |  | $\bigcirc$ | 管 | O－ |
| 硧 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \mathbf{N} \end{gathered}\right.$ | 0 | － 0 | $0 \mid$ | 00 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | ค | $\infty$ | $0$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$ | 0 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | － 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | － | 0 | 0 | － 8 | O | O | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | － |
| 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 8 \\ 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 资 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amphicoryna scalaris |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | B26 | B27 | B28 | B29 | B30 | B31 | B32 | B33 | B34 | B36 | B37 | B38 | B39 | B40 | B41 | B42 | B43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? ${ }^{\text {sp.A }}$ A | 1.09 | 0.76 | 1.08 | 0.52 | 1.57 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 1.51 | 1.55 | 0.75 | 0.7 | 0.49 | 0.8 | 0.74 | 0.95 |
| Harzawaia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.49 | 0 |
| Neorponidersp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarrii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valuxineria lackigata | 0 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0 | 8.94 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.74 | 0.32 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 1.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.98 | 0 |
| Hocglundina degans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphonina toruicarinata | 0 | 0.76 | 2.02 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 1.9 | 0.96 | 0.39 | 0.86 | 1.13 | 0.97 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 1.42 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 7.62 | 4.17 | 5.39 | 8.57 | 4.7 | 8.14 | ${ }^{9} 62$ | 9.11 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 6.86 | 6.98 | 15.5 | 11.5 | 9.11 | 12.15 |
| Cassidulina laevigata | 0 | 0 | 1.07 | 297 | 201 | 3.8 |  | 2.33 | 1.03 | 0.57 | 1.16 | 2.53 | 0.47 | 1.78 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 |
| C. reniforme | 5.99 | 4.73 | 2.96 | 3.32 | 201 | 2.17 | 4.81 | 3.87 | 1.21 | 208 | 4.65 | 2.98 | 4.19 | 2.59 | 1.12 | 1.72 | 1.1 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 4.09 | 1.7 | 0.67 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.17 | 0.75 | 4.65 | 1.64 | 209 | 1.13 | 0.64 | 1.97 | 2.21 |
| T. brady: | 0.54 | 0.19 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.86 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrabergina trigona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tosais hanzewai | 0.54 | 0.76 | 0.4 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.41 | 1.56 | 2.33 | 1.21 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 1.63 | 0.97 | 1.92 | 2.46 | 2.05 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgulina pontoni | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 1.49 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 |
| Pyrulina augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculeata | 5.18 | 1.7 | 0.81 | 2.1 | 224 | 1.22 | 2.52 | 1.55 | 1.03 | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.3 | 0.93 | 1.13 | 0.32 | 0.99 | 0.16 |
| B. mexicana | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.19 | 0.86 | 1.89 | 0.78 | 0.45 | 2.56 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 1.89 |
| B. clazaensis | 0 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina peregrina | 1.36 | 0.38 | 1.48 | 1.05 | 4.47 | 2.58 | 1.92 | 2.91 | 1.21 | 1.51 | 3.29 | 2.09 | 5.12 | 5.99 | 11.34 | 4.68 | 5.68 |
| U. probnscidea | 0.54 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.16 | 3.73 | 1.4 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 0.74 | 1.42 |
| U. schwageri | 1.09 | 1.13 | 1.62 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 1.89 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 0 |
| U. TpP . | 0.27 | 0.76 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.89 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectuvigerina mullicostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicaryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 |
| Frondicularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 3.27 | 3.22 | 3.23 | 6.47 | 2.91 | 1.63 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 10.34 | 0.94 | 3.1 | 0.15 | 0.7 | 1.13 | 1.12 | 0.25 | 1.41 |
| Sfilostomella antillea | 1.63 | 0.76 | 1.75 | 1.22 | 1.12 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.19 | 1.72 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.49 | 0.8 | 0.49 | 0.16 |
| S. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S.spp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Dertalita communis | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.63 |
| D.sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticuline spp. | 0.54 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 0.22 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 1.9 | 0.75 | 1.16 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 0.42 | 0.99 | 1.89 |
| Fissurinc. ${ }^{\text {spp }}$ | 0.82 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.78 | 1.21 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.96 | 0.74 | 0.95 |
| Lagena spp. | 0 | 0.76 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.16 |
| Bolivina inflata | 272 | 2.84 | 28.98 | 13.81 | 9.62 | 30.39 | 10.94 | 21.51 | 9.83 | 10.38 | 10.27 | 11.03 | 11.4 | 13.75 | 15.18 | . 5.76 | 7.89 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 0.82 | 1.14 | 2.43 | 0.7 | 1.12 | 0.68 | 0.96 | 2.91 | 1.38 | 1.51 | 2.52 | 2.24 | 1.86 | 1.46 | 2.08 | ${ }^{1} .48$ | 1.26 |
| B. ef. py ${ }^{\text {gmaza }}$ | 0 | 1.14 | 0.13 | 1.57 | 1.12 | 0 | 4.09 | 0.78 | 1.21 | 1.7 | 1.16 | 3.28 | 3.26 | 259 | 1.12 | 1.72 | 3.31 |


| Sale, Moroceo Samples | B44 | B45 | B47 | B48 | B49 | H50 | B51 | B52 | B53 | B54 | B55 | B56 | B57 | B58 | B59 | B60 | B62* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? sp.A | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.9 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.71 | 0 | 1.25 | 2.64 |
| Hanzamkia sp. | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 1.19 | 1.28 | 286 | 3.33 | 2.08 | 2.64 |
| Neocporider sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarrii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valunlineria lackigata | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0 | 2.47 | 0.74 | 0 | 1.33 | 0 | 0 | 1.59 | 0 | 222 | 1.25 | 2.97 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.69 | 1.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 1.78 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoeglundima degans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphonina tenuicarinata | 1.19 | 0.7 | 1.02 | 1.15 | 0.42 | 1.01 | 0.45 | 1.31 | 0.76 | 0.33 | 2.59 | 0.6 | 1.28 | 1.79 | 1.11 | 0.42 | 1.32 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 9.07 | 6.61 | 9.55 | 10.57 | 4.78 | 8.08 | 16.62 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 21.07 | 7.12 | 12.24 | 9.58 | 12.14 | 10 | 7.5 | 6.27 |
| Cassidulina lacrigata | 5.97 | 12.1 | 4.27 | 5.29 | 6.86 | 1.77 | 1.34 | 5.78 | 6.06 | 7.02 | 5.5 | 4.18 | 4.47 | 0 | 5 | 0.42 | 0 |
| C. reniforme | 1.43 | 0.69 | 1.02 | 1.15 | 0.83 | 2.53 | 0.67 | 2.99 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 2.27 | 0 | 0.64 | 1.07 | 0 | 1.67 | 2.31 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 1.43 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 1 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.71 | 0.56 | 1.25 | 0.33 |
| T. bradyi | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbersina trigona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toraie hanzawai | 0.95 | 0.17 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 1.87 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 205 | 1.89 | 1.34 | 0.97 | 2.09 | 2.88 | 10.36 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.99 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 |
| Virgulina pontori | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.64 | 0 | 222 | 0.83 | 1.32 |
| Pyruline augurta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculeata | 0.24 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 | 0.83 | 0 |
| A. mexicana | 1.19 | 1.04 | 3.86 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 1.34 | 0.32 | 0.9 | 1.28 | 1.07 | 0 | 1.67 | 0.99 |
| B. alaraensis | 3.58 | 7.13 | 1.02 | 3.68 | 4.78 | 4.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimine auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina peregrina | 6.68 | 4.87 | 8.54 | 9.66 | 11.64 | 8.59 | 7.87 | 6.53 | 9.47 | 8.03 | 6.47 | 8.06 | 7.03 | 3.57 | 5 | 8.33 | 2.97 |
| U. proboscidea | 0.95 | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.14 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. schwageri | 0.24 | 1.04 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0 | 1.01 | 0.9 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 1.07 | 0 | 0 | 0.66 |
| U. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0.66 |
| Recturigerina multicostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicoryna sealaris | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 |
| Frondicularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostornella spp. | 1.19 | 1.22 | 1.02 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 1.68 | 1.52 | 0.67 | 1.29 | 0.3 | 2.23 | 1.07 | 1.11 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilastomella antilles | 263 | 1.04 | 1.22 | 0.92 | 1.25 | 1.01 | 0 | 1.12 | 0.76 | 1.67 | 0.65 | 0.6 | 1.28 | 0.36 | 1.12 | 0 | 0.33 |
| S. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 0 | 0.37 |
| S. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dentalina communis | 0 | 0.17 | 0.81 | 0 | 27 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 1.25 | 0 |
| D. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 2.39 | 0.7 | 0.61 | 0.23 | 1.04 | 0.51 | 1.12 | 0.56 | 1.14 | 0 | 1.62 | 0.9 | 1.28 | 0.71 | 222 | 0.42 | 0.33 |
| Fissurina. spp. | 0.72 | 0.52 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.6 | 0.96 | 1.07 | 0.56 | 0.42 | 0.66 |
| Lagena spp. | 0.72 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 1.57 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.65 | 0.6 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 1.67 | 1.32 |
| Bolivina inflata | 9.31 | 7.65 | 2.64 | 6.9 | 3.12 | 7.83 | 6.97 | 6.72 | 5.3 | 1.34 | 4.85 | 1.19 | 2.56 | 4.64 | 2.78 | 10.83 | 9.24 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 3.19 | 0 | 2.64 | 0.92 | 1.46 | 1.01 | 0.9 | 1.68 | 0 | 1.33 | 1.62 | 1.79 | 1.59 | 1.11 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 1.32 |
| B. cf. py gmaea | 2.63 | 2.78 | 2.03 | 4.14 | 2.29 | 278 | 1.8 | 1.49 | 1.14 | 1 | 2.59 | 3.28 | 0.96 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 1.98 |


| Salé, Moroceo Samples | B64* | B66* | B68* | B70* | B71 | B72 | B74 | B76 | B79 | B81 | B83 | B85 | B87 | B89 | B91 | B93 | B95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.? sp.A | 0.37 | 1.53 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.95 | 0.31 | 1.25 | 1.13 | 2.46 | 0.32 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.47 |
| Hanzawaia sp. | 1.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Neocporides sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammanin becarrii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valulineria laewigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 1.51 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.13 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.16 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoeglundina degars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphonine tenuicarisata | 1.22 | 1.92 | 3.38 | 24 | 0.51 | 2.09 | 1.26 | 1.24 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 2.29 | 0.32 | 2.3 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.47 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 15.99 | 11.11 | 15.94 | 8.38 | 16.92 | 11.84 | 1451 | 12.73 | 9.79 | 15.44 | 11.53 | 1502 | 6.72 | 19 | 20 | 11.87 | 21.24 |
| Cassidulina laevisata | 0 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.75 | 2.27 | 2.24 | 7.21 | 271 | 234 | 1.4 | 1.45 |
| C. reniforme | 26 | 0.38 | 29 | 1.8 | 0.51 | 2.09 | 1.89 | 3.73 | 2.29 | 2.64 | 5.43 | 4.15 | 5.9 | 8.6 | 5.97 | 5.36 | 3.1 |
| Trifarisa angulosa | 1.12 | 0.38 | 1.93 | 0.6 | 2.05 | 0.7 | 1.89 | 1.55 | 1.25 | 1.51 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 1.48 | 204 | 234 | 5.24 | 5.74 |
| T. bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.13 | 0.95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.17 | 0 | 0.31 |
| Reussella spinulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina (rigoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tosaia hanzawai | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.31 | 1.88 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.96 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.16 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.93 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0.31 |
| Virgulina pontoni | 1.12 | 0.77 | 1.45 | 0 | 1.54 | 0 | 1.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 |
| Pyrulina augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculeata | 0.37 | 0 | 1.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 25 | 1.13 | 1.13 | 1.6 | 23 | 1.58 | 0.39 | 2.43 | 2.02 |
| B. mexicama | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.95 | 0 | 3.96 | 0.56 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0 |
| A. alazaensis | 0 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.31 | 4.17 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unigerina peregrina | 4.83 | 6.9 | 3.38 | 11.38 | 5.64 | 8.01 | 4.73 | 0 | 0.83 | 1.32 | 1.7 | 5.11 | 2.95 | 204 | 1.56 | 268 | 2.17 |
| U. probostidea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.54 | 0 | 0 | 2.17 | 0.83 | 1.69 | 1.32 | 0.96 | 0.49 | 0.68 | 234 | 1.4 | 0.62 |
| U. schwageri | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 0.69 | 0 | 0.93 | 0.42 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 |
| U. spp. | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0 |
| Rectuxigerina mullicostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicoryna scalaris | 0 | 0.38 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frondicularia.s. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 1.49 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 1.74 | 5.05 | 248 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.39 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilostomella antillea | 2.6 | 2.68 | 2.41 | 24 | 1.54 | 0 | 0.63 | 1.24 | 3.12 | 0.38 | 1.32 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S.spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denfalimu communis | 0.37 | 0 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticuline spp. | 0.37 | 1.15 | 1.93 | 0.6 | 4,62 | 4.53 | 1.58 | 0.31 | 208 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 1.28 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 0.93 |
| Fissurina. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| Lagena spp. | 1.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.05 | 0.35 | 1.26 | 0.31 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.45 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0.16 |
| Bolivina inflata | 0.37 | 4.21 | 4.83 | 0 | 0.51 | 1.05 | 12.3 | 8.38 | 229 | 4.71 | 1.51 | 0.96 | 2.13 | 1.36 | 221 | 3.83 | 8.37 |
| B. psesdoplicata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.56 | 1.74 | 0.95 | 0 | 0.62 | 5.65 | 6.43 | 4.15 | 2.95 | 2.71 | 1.17 | 2.68 | 3.26 |
| B. ©f. pygmaea | 1.11 | 2.68 | 1.45 | 1.8 | 3.08 | 1.74 | 0 | 1.55 | 5 | 1.69 | 1.89 | 3.51 | 2.95 | 2.49 | 2.08 | 1.4 | 0 |

j

| Sale, Morocoo Samples | B97 | B98 | B100 | B102 | 8104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.: ${ }^{\text {sp. }}$ A | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 0.71 | 0 |
| Hanzawaia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1.43 | 0 |
| Neoeporides sp. | 0 | 0.61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonia becarrii | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Valulineria laevigata | 0 | 0.82 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 |
| Cancris oblongus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 |
| Hoeglundina elegans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphorsina tevuicarinata | 0.36 | 1.23 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 20.8 | 12.91 | 18.6 | 11.43 | 10.92 |
| Cassidulina laevigata | 0 | 2.66 | 1.99 | 6.07 | 0 |
| C. reniforme | 7.29 | 7.58 | 12.33 | 12.14 | 9.66 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 7.3 | 2.25 | 3.97 | 5.71 | 11.76 |
| T. bradyi | 219 | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.84 |
| Reusella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.42 |
| Ehrenbergina frigona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tosaig hanzawai | 0 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.71 | 0 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 0 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgulina pontoni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyruline augusta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina aculeata | 0.73 | 2.05 | 1.57 | 2.14 | 0.42 |
| A. mexicana | 291 | 246 | 0.1 | 1.79 | 1.68 |
| B. alazaensis | 292 | 2.25 | 0.52 | 0 | 1.26 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uuigerina peregrina | 1.82 | 0.82 | 1.36 | 3.21 | 0 |
| U. proboscidea | 1.46 | 1.02 | 1.36 | 0 | 1.68 |
| U. sehwageri | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| U. spp. | 0 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Rectuigerina multicostata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphicoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Frondicularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |
| Sitostomella antillea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| S. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dertalima communsis | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 |
| D. $s p$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 1.46 | 1.23 | 0.84 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Fisssmina.spp. | 0.73 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 0 | 0.61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivina inflata | 3.28 | 2.05 | 0.21 | 1.07 | 0.42 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 6.2 | 3.7 | 2.09 | 0 | 4.2 |
| B. cf. pygmaea | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 1.07 | 0.84 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | S2 | S4 | S6 | S7 | S9 | S11 | S13 | S15 | S16 | S17 | S19 | S20 | S21 | 522 | 524 | 525 | S27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. psendopunctata | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.78 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 1.64 | 4.2 | 2.18 | 4.84 | 2.16 | 1.65 | 2.31 | 2.23 | 1.29 | 1.5 | 0.68 | 1.34 | 1.31 | 4.04 | 1.53 | 1.18 | 3.92 |
| B. albatrassi | 2.24 | 3.54 | 1.6 | 2.07 | 0.98 | 1.45 | 1.24 | 2.04 | 3.23 | 226 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.64 | 1.89 | 1.53 | 3.92 | 2.52 |
| B. simplex | 2.68 | 2.65 | 2.47 | 3.23 | 3.14 | 1.03 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.97 | 276 | 1.81 | 2.23 | 0 | 1.34 | 1.53 | 1.18 | 1.68 |
| B. subspinescens | 1.2 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 1.76 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 1.48 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 1.31 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.56 |
| B. catanensis | 1.49 | 0 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.72 | 0 |
| B. dilatata | 0.9 | 0.89 | 1.74 | 0.46 | 1.18 | 1.44 | 0.88 | 0 | 0.32 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.53 | 4.59 | 4.7 | 0 |
| B. cf. dialata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Spp. | 6.56 | 1.76 | 4.78 | 4.6 | 3.14 | 3.5 | 8.17 | 3.35 | 4.19 | 3 | 2.04 | 6.71 | 4.59 | 215 | 3.06 | 7.4 | 4.76 |
| Bolivinita praudothalmanni | 0.6 | 1.99 | 0.15 | 1.84 | 1.57 | 1.03 | 1.6 | 1.49 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.13 | 0.67 | 1.31 | 1.35 | 0 | 0 | 2.24 |
| Sigmavirgulima sp.A | 1.04 | 0 | 1.02 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.37 | 1.29 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 1.08 | 0.51 | 1.18 | 0 |
| Loxastomum cf. normale | 1.5 | 0.44 | 1.31 | 1.15 | 1.18 | 2.27 | 1.07 | 1.67 | 0.97 | 1.5 | 1.36 | 2.01 | 0.66 | 2.43 | 1.02 | 3.53 | 1.4 |
| Seabrookia carlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 0 | 0.62 | 0 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0.66 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tertularia spp. | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.92 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.13 | 2.26 | 0.5 | 1.13 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0.45 | 0.88 | 0.15 | 0.92 | 0.59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 0 | 0 |
| Cylindroclavolina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulunlina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karrerielle bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 |
| Eggella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martinoticlla communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. irregularis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trochammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0.3 | 0.44 | 0 | 0.46 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.32 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 1.57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Sigmoilina teruis | 0 | 0.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 |
| Spiroloculina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrso spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unident. porcellaneous forms | 0 | 0.66 | 0 | 0.46 | 0.2 | 0.83 | 0 | 1.49 | 065 | 0.75 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 |


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n \\ 2 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mid-\underset{\sim}{c}$ | 9 | 8 | 8. | － 0 | －8 | S | $0_{0}^{\infty}$ | $8{ }_{-1}^{8}$ | 0.0 | －$\ddagger$ | \％ $0_{0}$ | － 0.0 | － 0 | － 0 |  | － 0.0 | － |  | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sqrt{n}$ | $10 .$ | Co | $)^{\circ} 0_{0}^{\circ}$ | 0 － | \％ | －${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 榀 | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\sim$ | － 0 | 言O | $0.0{ }_{0}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0} 0$ | － | － | － 0 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ |  | 0 － |
| 属 | $0$ | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | 5.5 | 30 | $0$ | $0 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $y_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 0. |  | $10$ | $0100$ | $00$ | $0.0$ | － 0 | $008$ | － |  |  |
| 唹 | $0-\infty$ |  | Na | 50 | － 0 | 0 N | N | $\cdots$ | $\square 0^{\circ}$ | 0.0 | 0 | \％ | 0.00 | 잉 | 0. | 0. | 0.0 | $\bigcirc 0$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | $\because$ |  | 0 | 90 | $\bigcirc \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $0-$ | $\bigcirc$ | $0 \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | or on o | $0.0$ | $0$ | － 0 | $0.0$ | $00$ | $0 \mid$ |  |  |
| $\frac{6}{6}$ | $\circ \stackrel{n}{n}$ | Nin | mo | 0 O | 0. | 0 | A | $\overbrace{0}^{\circ}$ | 90 | 00 | 0 －0 | $0_{0}^{0}$ | 0.0 | － 0 | － | 0. | － 0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| 䠲 | $\left.\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\| \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & N \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{8}$ | 0 | 3 | 呂 | $0{ }_{0}{ }^{5}$ | $3_{6}^{6}$ | $0 \%$ | No | － 0 | 0.0 | $\sim_{n}$ | 0.0 | － 0 | － 0 | 0.0 | － 0 | － 0 |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ \end{array}\right\|$ |  | S | \％ | ＇ | \％o |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}$ | \％ | \％ | 0 |  | $m$ | $0$ | o | － 0 | $100$ | － | － |  |  |
| $6$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered} \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | 8 | 50 | $=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $0 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \vec{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $6_{n} \mid N_{0}^{2}$ | $0_{0}$ | \％ | 0 | 呂 | $0_{0}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc 0$. | － 0 | － 0 |  | － 0 | － |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | morrer | 3 | 8.8 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | No | － 0 | $0{ }^{\sim}$ | A\％： | \％ | 0 | 0 | － | 00 | － | ¢ |  |  |
| $\infty$ |  |  | \％ | \％ | － 0 | \％ | Bictic | 0 | － | $0 \cdot 0$ |  | ; | $0$ | － 0 | $0 \cdot$ | 잉 | $0 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 事 | $\approx$ |  | \％ | 20 | － | 0 | m | $\cdots 0$ | O 0 | － | 0.6 | － | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | \％ | － 0 | 00 | －${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ | $\because$ |  |  |
| 創\| | －$\sim_{\square}^{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | \％ | － | $\pm 0$ | 0 | F | 40 | 00 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 4 | 0 | 0. | －0 | － 0 | － | －for | Fo | 0 |  |
| $\left\|e_{0}\right\|$ | $100$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | O\％ | N | 0 | $\frac{\square}{7}$ | $\cdots$ | O | －${ }^{8}$ | 10 | \％ | － 0.0 | \％ | － | 0. | $018$ | $280$ |  |  |
| \|骶| | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 & \vec{n} \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \\ m \end{array}\right.\right)$ | \％${ }^{3}$ | 菏发 | No | 08 | E | $\mathrm{O}_{0}$ | \％ | － $0_{0}^{0}$ | $\checkmark$ ¢ | － | － 0 | $0 \cdot$ | － | 0. | － | － |  |  |
| （\％） | 答気会 | So | $\cdots$ | －1 | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ：${ }^{-1}$ | OiN | 징 | － 0 | － | N | $0 \cdot$ | － | － 0 | 00 | 08 | \％ | 0 |  |
| 8 | － | （ | 令 | Sor | 8 | 0 | \％ | E | O－ | $0 \cdot$ | － $0_{0}$ | － | － | － | － 0 | $0 \cdot$ | 茄 | 商\| |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 合合 |  |  |  |  |


| Sale, Moroceo Samples | 553 | S55 | S56 | S57 | S59 | S60 | 561 | S62 | S63 | B2 | S65 | B3 | S67 | B5 | S70 | B7 | B8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ pseudopunctata | 023 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 031 | 059 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 1 | 03 | 0 | 016 | 0 | 031 |
| B. lowman | 275 | 1.32 | 3.32 | 3.23 | 352 | 3.77 | 2.37 | 042 | 1.31 | 1.33 | 18 | 266 | 0.9 | 037 | 0.97 | 199 | 2.28 |
| B. albatrassi | 321 | 185 | 5.26 | 3.98 | 376 | 4.72 | 7.12 | 339 | 2.18 | 234 | 4.29 | 266 | 5.71 | 4.39 | 5.14 | 1.99 | 152 |
| B. simplex | 069 | 0.26 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 094 | 2.52 | 1.19 | 2.12 | 087 | 1 | 0.4 | 033 | 03 | 1.47 | 081 | 1 | 0.76 |
| $B$ subspinescens | 046 | 079 | 139 | 075 | 07 | 063 | 03 | 169 | 087 | 087 | 22 | 067 | 15 | 11 | 162 | 1 | 19 |
| B. catanensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 048 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. dilatata | 023 | 0.53 | 083 | 1.24 | 0 | 0 | 059 | 0 | 0 | 4.68 | 8.58 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| B. cf. draluia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B spp | 389 | 661 | 526 | 896 | 305 | 409 | 385 | 281 | 131 | 769 | 878 | 465 | 5.25 | 5.13 | 4.71 | 584 | 4.56 |
| Bolvinita pseudothalmanm | 11.24 | 16.4 | 1413 | 97 | 13.62 | 818 | 1098 | 1186 | 1048 | 869 | 419 | 764 | 195 | 33 | 909 | 697 | 608 |
| Sigmavrgulina sp A | 115 | 0 | 166 | 1 | 023 | 094 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 067 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 032 | 0 | 076 |
| Laxostomum cf. normale | 023 | 1.59 | 1.11 | 025 | 023 | 063 | 0 | 042 | 1.75 | 1.33 | 0 | 1 | 015 | 0.73 | 0.16 | 05 | 19 |
| Seabrooka earland | 0 | 0 | 028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.49 | 05 | 0 |
| Sigmolopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 066 | 015 | 037 | 032 | 0 | 0 |
| Textulara spp. | 046 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 023 | 063 | 119 | 0 | 044 | 0 | 02 | 033 | 03 | 037 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sproplectammana sp | 069 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 076 |
| Cylindroclavulina brady, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulvulina spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 028 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 042 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Esgella bradyr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 067 | 0 | 033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martnothella cormums | 023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. irregulans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 042 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trochammina sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 059 | 0 | 0 | 033 | 0 | 033 | 015 | 0 | 032 | 0.5 | 038 |
| Stignolita tornts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 015 | 11 | 049 | 0 | 038 |
| Spirolocilina sp | 0 | 027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unident porcellaneous forms | 0 | 026 | 0 | 124 | 047 | 0 | 059 | 0 | 044 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 081 | 05 | 038 |


| Sale, Moroco Samples | B9 | B10 | B11 | B12 | B13 | B14 | B15 | B16 | B17 | 318 | B19 | B20 | B21 | B22 | 323 | B24 | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ preudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 039 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 0 |
| B lowmarz | 277 | 328 | 198 | 284 | 306 | 237 | $59^{9}$ | 213 | 262 | 202 | 119 | 169 | 123 | 154 | 045 | 037 | 0.2 |
| B. albatrass | 3.38 | 309 | 336 | 165 | 21 | 237 | 412 | 174 | 471 | 741 | 635 | 475 | 2.46 | 309 | 601 | 481 | 41 |
| B simplax | 0 | 077 | 099 | 071 | 115 | 194 | 212 | 039 | 0 | 067 | 04 | 0 | 123 | 301 | 047 | 148 | 098 |
| $B$ subsprnescens | 031 | 058 | 04 | 024 | 057 | 086 | 106 | 078 | 052 | 101 | 0 | 034 | 0 | 0 | 045 | 037 | 0 |
| B. catanensos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ dilatata | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 067 | 039 | 034 | 143 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ of dialata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. spp | 338 | 537 | 296 | 378 | 248 | 236 | 358 | 407 | 628 | 606 | 515 | 508 | 434 | 247 | 626 | 889 | 527 |
| Bohurnta pseudothalmanm | 585 | 1081 | 1067 | 757 | 554 | 71 | 637 | 504 | 454 | 64 | 198 | 271 | 513 | 525 | 535 | 111 | 2.54 |
| Sigmaurguline sp A | 0 | 0.58 | 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 013 | 0.39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 067 | 0 | 039 |
| Laxostomum of mormale | 062 | 077 | 02 | 0 | 134 | 108 | 04 | 039 | 0 | 0 | 079 | 068 | 021 | 0 | 156 | 074 | 039 |
| Seabrooka carland2 | 0.31 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stgmolopsis schlumbergent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 058 | 087 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 | 031 | 022 | 037 | 02 |
| Tertulane spp | 0 | 019 | 059 | 024 | 076 | 065 | 013 | 097 | 087 | 067 | 04 | 068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spuroplectimmman sp | 092 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 0 | 0 | 079 | 068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cylmdroclavulina bradyn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vilunina spmasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karrenclla brady: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 039 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 034 | 0 | 062 | 022 | 0 | 0 |
| Esgella bradyr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 031 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martmothella commumus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerna bradyr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. irregulars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trochammına sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qunqueloculina spp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 019 | 022 | 0 | 0 | 017 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 |
| $S_{\text {itmolina fersus }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 024 | 0 | 065 | 04 | 019 | 017 | 0 | 079 | 034 | 021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 |
| Sptroloculina sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrzo spp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unudent. porcellaneous forms | 0 | 0 | 04 | 047 | 019 | 086 | 027 | 019 | 017 | 0 | 119 | 034 | 021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | B26 | B27 | B28 | B29 | B30 | B31 | B32 | B33 | B34 | B36 | P37 | B38 | B39 | B40 | B41 | B42 | B43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. pseudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 1.36 | 1.33 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 1.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0 |
| B. albatrassi | 1.09 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 6.82 | 12.97 | 4.21 | 7.21 | 6.78 | 6.2 | 5.04 | 2.13 | 5.96 | 2.09 | 2.91 | 8.46 | 7.88 | 5.21 |
| B. simplex | 3.27 | 1.7 | 0.94 | 2.1 | 1.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.7 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0 | 2.52 |
| B. subspinescens | 0.27 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0 | 1.13 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 2.21 |
| B. catanensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. dilatata | 0.27 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. ff. dialata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. spp. | 271 | 1.33 | 3.77 | 2.1 | 1.79 | 1.49 | 0.24 | 2.13 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.59 | 07 | 3.4 | 1.43 | 1.23 | 0.63 |
| Bolivinita pseudothalmanni | 1.09 | 1.33 | 0.81 | 0.52 | 0.67 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| Sismavirgulina sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loxostomum cf. normale | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.63 |
| Seabrookia earlandi | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoriopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.32 |
| Textularia spp. | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.4 | 1.57 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.3 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.32 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 39 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 |
| Cylindroclavulina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulvulina spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 |
| Esgella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martinotticlla communis | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerinu bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. imegularis | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| Trochammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmolisa tonuis | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0 |
| Spiroloculina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unident, porcellaneous forms | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.16 |


| Sale, Morocoo Samples | B44 | B45 | B47 | B48 | B49 | B50 | B51 | B52 | B53 | B54 | B55 | B56 | 857 | B58 | B59 | B60 | B62 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. pseudopunctata | 0 | 0.34 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 1.35 | 1.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. albatrasi | 7.15 | 5.73 | 5.08 | 10.34 | 4.78 | 6.31 | 3.82 | 8.02 | 15.2 | 9 | 4.85 | 4.48 | 5.75 | 6.07 | 5 | 11.66 | 3.63 |
| B. simplex | 0 | 0 | 5.08 | 0 | + | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.29 | 2.56 | 2.5 | 8.33 | 2.92 | 0.99 |
| B. subspinescens | 0 | 0.52 | 1 | 1.37 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.93 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 064 | 0 | 1.79 | 1.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. catanconsis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. dilatata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. cf. dialata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. spp. | 0.24 | 0.52 | 1.02 | 1.14 | 1.76 | 202 | 7.86 | 056 | 5.81 | 5.01 | 5.15 | 3.5\% | 0.64 | 0.35 | 1.11 | 0 | 3.63 |
| Bolivinita pveudothalmanni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 |
| Sigmavirgulina sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loxastomum cf. normale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia earlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 064 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 1.22 | 0.2 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 1.52 | 0 | 0.3- | 0.3 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tertularia spp. | 0.48 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.76 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0.66 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cylindroclavulina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | $n$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vululina spinasa | 0.24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella brodyi | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 |
| Estalla bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martinoticlla communis | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerina ${ }^{\text {bradyi }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B irregularix | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trochammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Sigmoolina tenuis | 0 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroloculina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unident. porcellancous forms | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 097 | 0 | 0.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 |


| Sale, Morocoo Samples | B64* | B66* | B68* | B70* | B71 | 872 | B74 | B76 | 879 | B81 | B83 | B85 | B87 | B89 | B91 | B93 | B95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. psoudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.76 | 1.24 |
| B. albatrassi | 8.92 | 10.34 | 7.24 | 3.59 | 5.13 | 6.96 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 10.41 | 7.15 | 5.58 | 4.79 | 5.52 | 271 | 4.94 | 5.87 | 2.17 |
| B. simplex | 1.11 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 1.29 | 2.29 | 0 |
| B. -ubspinescens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.38 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.17 | 0.47 |
| B. catanensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. dilatate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. cf. dialata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 2.43 | 0.63 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.56 | 1.11 | 0.63 | 3.21 | 203 | 7.4 | 4.47 | 1.86 |
| B. تpp | 7.8 | 1.14 | 4.34 | 5.98 | 5.13 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.08 | 7.15 | 4.15 | 3.83 | 2.27 | 5.22 | 2.21 | 28 | 2.79 |
| Bolivinita pseudothalmanni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sismavirgulima sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 |
| Laxastornum cf. normale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 1.4 | 0.16 |
| Seabrookia carlandi | 0 | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis sshlumbergeri | 0 | 0.77 | 0.48 | 299 | 0 | 1.39 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textularia spp. | 0.37 | 1.15 | 0.97 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.16 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 |
| Cylindroclavdisa bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulvulina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 |
| Esgella bradyi | 0.37 | 0 | 0.48 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martinottiella communis | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. irregularis | 0 | 0.38 | e | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trachammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqu-Loculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmorilina teruis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 |
| Spiroloculina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pvigospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unident. porcelloneous forms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 |


| Sale, Morocco Samples | B97 | 398 | B100 | B102 | B104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. pseudopunciafa | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 1.09 | 0.61 | 1.25 | 1.07 | 168 |
| A. albatrossi | 2.92 | 5.32 | 3.24 | 2.14 | 2.1 |
| B. simplex | 205 | 0 | 0.41 | 0 | 0.42 |
| B. subspinescens | 1.09 | $0 \times 2$ | 0.31 | 0.72 | 1.26 |
| B. catanensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. dilatata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Cf. dialata | 1.45 | 061 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 |
| B. spp. | 4.01 | 1209 | 7.4 | 10.35 | 105 |
| Boliwnita pseudothalmamni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmavirgulina sp. A | 0.73 | 0 | 021 | 0 | 0 |
| Laxastomum cf. normale | 1.09 | 0.2 | 031 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabroohia cerlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 036 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Terularia spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 |
| Cylindroclavalina bradyi | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulvulina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
| Eggella bradyi | 0 | 02 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Martinortiella communis | 0.36 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Bigenerina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 |
| B. irregularis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trochammine sp. | 0 | 0 | $6:$ | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilina teruis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroloculina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unidert porcellaneous forms | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix B

Table of benthic foraminifera from DSDP Hole 552A

| Site 552A Cores | 19.01 | 19.02 | 19.3 | 19ec | 20.1 | 20-2 | 20.3 | 20ce | 21.1 | 21-02 | 21.3 | 21ce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interyals | 50-54 | 60-64 | 50-54 | 10-14 | 70-74 | 70.74 | 18-22 | 4-8 | 102-106 | 70-74 | 20-24 | 10-14 |
| Depth (m in subbottom) | 87.5 | 89.1 | 90.5 | 91.7 | 92.7 | 94.2 | 95.18 | 95.39 | 96.02 | 97.2 | 98.2 | 98.8 |
| Number picked | 332 | 278 | 176 | 264 | 377 | 248 | 184 | 286 | 253 | 241 | 220 | 150 |
| \% benthice of total fauna | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 28 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Number per ca | 4249 | 3538 | 563 | 1689 | 4825 | 1587 | 117 | 1830 | 1619 | 1542 | 1408 | 960 |
| Epristominella exigua | 14.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 16.8 | 20.6 | 22.1 | 19.5 | 17.7 | 6.7 |
| Nuttallides wmbonifera | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0 |
| Eponides polius | 0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| E. fumidulus | 3 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| E. weddellensis | 10.8 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 12 | 13.6 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| E. 7 sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Gyroidina soldanii | 2.1 | 2.9 | 23 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 4.7 |
| Heronallenia crostyi | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 6 |
| Cibiciloides bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 |
| C. spp. | 0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.3 |
| Planuliza wucllerstorfi | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osangularia culter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinina pauperata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valuline ria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0 |
| P. quinqueloba | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Nonion sp. | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.3 |
| Melonispompilioides | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Barleeanum/affinis | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonionella sp. A | 0.6 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Astroncoiom echodsi | 0.6 | 2.2 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 24 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2 |
| Anomalima sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocarsidulina subglobasa | 15.1 | 13.7 | 33 | 20.5 | 12.7 | 35.1 | 25 | 27.6 | 29.6 | 22.8 | 29.1 | 40 |
| Cassidulima laevigata | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Recturigerina compressa | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 28 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.3 |
| Uvigerina perigrina | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| U. hispida | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimixa a lazazessis | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. of. alazaensis | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| B. mexicana | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. elongata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivina pseudepunctata | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| - B. inflata | 5.7 | 32.4 | 0.6 | 23.9 | 34 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. руgmaea | 6.6 | 4 | 17 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| B. subazmariensis | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. albatrassi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivinita fruncta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Silostonitlla antillea | 3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 4 |
| S.sp. | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurastomella spp. | 0.6 | 0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Tosaia hanzawai | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| Trijarina argulara | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 |
| Unidenififed friserial forms | 0.9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina trigona | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformsis | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Fissurina epp. | 1.5 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Dentalina communis | 0.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Siphonina (emuicarinafa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia earlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmorilina tenuis | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Gulfulina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Reussella spinulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphoiextularia rodshauseni | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Vululina spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sproplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Site 552A Cores | 22-1 | 22-2 | 22-3 | 22cc | 23-1 | 23-2 | 23-2 | 23-3 | 23cc | 24-1 | 24-1 | 24-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervals | 70-74 | 91-95 | 70-74 | 15-19 | 123-125 | 54-58 | 124-128 | 94-98 | 10-12 | 43-47 | 112-116 | 63-67 |
| Depth (m in subbottom) | 99.7 | 101.41 | 102.7 | 103.12 | 105.23 | 106.04 | 106.78 | 107.94 | 108.32 | 108.93 | 109.62 | 110.63 |
| Number picked | 189 | 289 | 302 | 240 | 292 | 349 | 282 | 193 | 383 | 250 | 178 | 245 |
| Whenthics of total fauna | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.24 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Number per © | 1209 | 393 | 965 | 754 | 934 | 1116 | 902 | $24 \%$ | 2451 | 3200 | 3189 | 3176 |
| Epistomimella exag ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.6 | 15.6 | 3.3 | 14.2 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 20.7 | 16.7 | 11.2 | 21.3 | 17.1 |
| Nutalilides umbonifera | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 2.4 |
| Eponidespolius | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| E. fumidulus | 7.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| E. weddellemsis | 10.6 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 5 | 7.9 | 9.7 | 12.4 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 11.8 |
| E. 3 sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina soldanii | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 4 | 5.1 | 3.3 |
| Heronallenia crasbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 1.2 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 |
| C. mundulus | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.4 |
| C. spp. | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 4.1 |
| Planulina wucllerstori | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osargularia culter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinina pauperata | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valvuline ria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphae roidita bullodes | 2.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 2 |
| P. quinqueloba | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Nonion sp. | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
| Melonis pompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| M. barleearnum/affnis | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |
| Nonione lla sp, A | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.8 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Anomalitasp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 23.8 | 30.4 | 58.6 | 32.9 | 30.8 | 32.4 | 19.5 | 31.1 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 15.2 | 19.6 |
| Cassidulina laceigaja | 1.6 | 03 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectuvigerina compressa | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 0.8 |
| Uvigerina perigrina | 0 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 21 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| U. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina alazaensis | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0 |
| B. cf. alazaensis | 0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 1 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 1.2 |
| E. mexicana | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. elongata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Globobulimina auriculafa | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivina pseudopunctata | 0.5 | 03 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 |
| B. pygmaea | 7.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 14.9 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| B. subaenariensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 |
| B. albatrassi | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Cowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivinita truncta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilostomella anillea | 4.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 2 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 |
| S. sp. | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Tasaia hanzawai | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Trijarina angulara | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Unidentified triserial forms | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina Irigoma | 1.1 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformsis | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 2.2 | 2 |
| Lagena spp. | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Fissurima spp. | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 27 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| Dentalina Communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinquiloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgospp: | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Siptionina tenuicarinala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia eariandl | 1.1 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Signoilina tenuis | 1.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gutrulina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reussella spinulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphocedularia rolshauseni | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Vululina spinara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Site 552A Cores | 24-3 | 24 cc | 25-1 | 25-2 | 25-3 | 25 cc | 26-1 | 26-2 | 26-3 | 26 cc | 27-1 | 27-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervals | 36-40 | 6-10 | 110-114 | 106.110 | 106-110 | 20-24 | 104-108 | 104-108 | 104-108 | 6-10 | 113-117 | 106-119 |
| Depth (min subbottom) | 11196 | 11306 | 1146 | 11606 | 117.56 | 1182 | 119.54 | 12104 | 12254 | 12326 | 12463 | 12606 |
| Number picked | 274 | 190 | 219 | 209 | 327 | 168 | 160 | 154 | 145 | 181 | 118 | 195 |
| \% benthice of lotal famas | 18 | 14 | 1.5 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 1.5 | 16 | 16 | 34 |
| Number per of | 1752 | 1824 | 700 | 668 | 429 | 538 | 2048 | 1970 | 1856 | 2316 | 1510 | 2508 |
| Episfominella exigua | 175 | 147 | 132 | 11.5 | 77 | 46 | 81 | 21 | 17.2 | 238 | 144 | 19 |
| Nustallides umbonifera | 07 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eponides polius | 15 | 3.2 | 0 | 62 | 31 | 06 | 69 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 17 | 31 |
| $E$ tumidulus | 44 | 26 | 32 | 19 | 18 | 71 | 88 | 45 | 2.8 | 28 | 17 | 31 |
| $E$ weddellensis | 157 | 132 | 105 | 124 | 4 | 89 | 106 | 13 | 131 | 122 | 127 | 72 |
| L ? PpA | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrodina soldann | 22 | 16 | 41 | 05 | 37 | 24 | 31 | 13 | 28 | 22 | 42 | 56 |
| Heronallema crasbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oridorsais umbonatus | 44 | 26 | 41 | 33 | 52 | 54 | 12 | 26 | 41 | 44 | 34 | 1 |
| Cibictiondes brady: | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| $C$ mundulus | 0 | 0 | 09 | 0 | 12 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 |
| $C$ Spp | 04 | 0.5 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 |
| Planulma uruellerstora | 07 | 0 | 14 | 0.5 | 09 | 18 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 05 |
| Osangulara culfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Latícarinina pauperata | 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vainuline ria luevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloades | 07 | 11 | 09 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pullena bullodes | 22 | 0.5 | 23 | 14 | 06 | 06 | 0 | 06 | 2.1 | 17 | 0 | 21 |
| P quanqueloba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 09 | 06 | 06 | 13 | 07 | 06 | 0 | 0 |
| Norion sp. | 26 | 47 | 09 | 43 | 03 | 3 | 25 | 06 | 28 | 22 | 08 | 05 |
| Melonis pompilicoides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 M barleeanum/affinus | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 0 |
| Nonomerlla sp A | 04 | 26 | 18 | 1 | 03 | 24 | 0 | 26 | 07 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Astromonion echolsi | 07 | 21 | 05 | 1 | 03 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalina sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobasa | 182 | 184 | 174 | 215 | 8 | 232 | 231 | 136 | 145 | 21 | 178 | 21 |
| Cassidulina laengafa | 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recturgernna compressa | 2.6 | 0.5 | 09 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 39 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina perigrina | 2.6 | 0.5 | 32 | 33 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U haspida | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 1 |
| Bulimina alazoensus | 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 06 | 25 | 0 | 2.1 | 11 | 08 | 0 |
| $B$ of alazaenss | 15 | 0.5 | 27 | 14 | 09 | 24 | 06 | 19 | 07 | 11 | 17 | 05 |
| $B$ техасама | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 08 | 0 |
| $B$ elongata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globrobulimina auriculala | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 08 | 0 |
| Boliuna pseudopunclata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 05 |
| B infata | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 05 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 05 |
| B рувтаса | 11 | 47 | 146 | 33 | 09 | 65 | 88 | 58 | 41 | 55 | 102 | 62 |
| B subaenarrensus | 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 26 |
| $B$ albairosst | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ lowmant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivinta truncta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stasiomella antillea | 15 | 16 | 14 | 05 | 49 | 06 | 06 | 13 | 28 | 11 | 08 | 1 |
| S. sp | 07 | 0.5 | 0 | 19 | 06 | 06 | 19 | 26 | 48 | 06 | 08 | 0 |
| Pleurastomella spp | 11 | 16 | 0 | 05 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 08 | 05 |
| Tasaia hanzawat | 04 | 21 | 09 | 1 | 2.1 | 06 | 12 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 1 |
| Trifarina angulasa | 07 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 06 | 0 | 05 |
| Undentified iriserial forms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 15 |
| Ehrenbergina frigona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 06 | 0 | 05 |
| Fursenkonta fusifonmsu | 04 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 03 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 0 |
| Lagena spp | 07 | 11 | 14 | 05 | 15 | 12 | 06 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 08 | 05 |
| Fussunna spp | 33 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 38 | 39 | 07 | 06 | 17 | 05 |
| Dental ma Communzs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qumqueloculina spp | 11 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 08 | 05 |
| Pyrgospp | 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina spp | 0 | 0 | 09 | 19 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 05 |
| Siphomina lenucarnata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia carland | 0 | 0 | 09 | 29 | 06 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 0 | 03 |
| Stgmoilina temus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Guttulinasp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lentculina spp | 04 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reussella spinulasa | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stgmoilopsss schlumbergerz | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 |  | 06 | 08 | 0 |
| Siphotexularia rolshauremt | 07 | 11 | 05 | 1 | 06 | 0 | 06 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 08 | 05 |
| Vulvulma spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiropleclammma sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Site 552A Cores | 27.3 | 27-4 | 27-4 | $28-1$ | 28-2 | 28-3 | 28-4 | 28 cc | 29-1 | 29-2 | 29-3 | 29-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervals | 106-110 | 6-10 | 18-22 | 105.109 | 104-108 | 65-69 | 6-10 | 8-12 | 104-108 | 104-108 | 83-87 | 23-27 |
| Depth (m in subbottom) | 127.56 | 128.06 | 128.18 | 129.55 | 131.04 | 132.15 | 133.06 | 133.5 | 134.54 | 136.04 | 137.33 | 138.23 |
| Number picked | 188 | 351 | 278 | 323 | 293 | 357 | 269 | 268 | 265 | 251 | 291 | 201 |
| \% benthics of total feuna | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| Number per ce | 2406 | 1123 | 1779 | 2067 | 1875 | 2284 | 1721 | 1715 | 1726 | 1606 | 1862 | 1286 |
| Eptstominalla extzua | 12.2 | 11.7 | 10.8 | 15.2 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 11.4 |
| Nuttallides umbonifera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Eponides polius | 0.5 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| E. curidulus | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 10 | 6.9 | 7.5 |
| E. weddellensis | 1.1 | 10.5 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 11.9 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 10 | 8.2 | 13.9 |
| E. Psp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina soldanii | 7.4 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 4 | 5.5 | 3.5 |
| Heromallenia crosbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Oridorsalis umbenatus | 3.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 23 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| C.spp. | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Planulina wuellerstorf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Osargularia culler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarnina puuperata | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Valudinceria lacevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Pullenia balloides | 2.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1 |
| P. quinqueloba | 1.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| Nonion sp. | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 4 |
| Melonispompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. barlecanumfafints | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.2 | 2 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Nonome lla sp. $A$ | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
| Astrononion echoksi | 0.5 | 0 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobasa | 16.5 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 20.1 | 24.3 | 26 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 15.9 |
| Cassidulima laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Rectuvigerina compressa | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1 |
| Uvigerina perigrina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0 |
| U. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Bulimina alazaensis | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2 |
| B. Cf. alazaensis | 3.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 23 | 1.6 | 1 | 0 |
| B. mexicana | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| B. elonga/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Bolitina pseudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.5 |
| b. pygmaca | 6.4 | 23 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 13 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 12.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 9 |
| B. subuzatiensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | T | 0.5 |
| B. albatrassi | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Lowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolvivnita truncta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Silostomella antillea | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 05 |
| - S. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Pleurastomella spp. | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Tosaia hanzaurai | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2 |
| Trifarina angulasa | 1.1 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Unidentified friserial forms | 0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina irigona | 1.6 | 03 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformsis | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 0.5 | 03 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1 | 2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Fissurina spp: | 1.1 | 2 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1,9 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.5 |
| Dentalina commuris | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Nodasaria sp | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina spp. | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0 |
| Siphonina tenuicarinata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia earlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilina fenuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 04 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Gutfulina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulisa spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -- Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphotexularia roshauseni | 0 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1 |
| Vuluylina spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Stie 552A Cores | 29cc | 30-1 | 30-2 | 30-3 | 30-4 | 31-1 | 31.2 | 31-3 | 31-4 | 32-1 | 32-2 | 32-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervas | 5-9 | 84-88 | 102-106 | 100-104 | 16-20 | 102-106 | 112-116 | 111-115 | 11-15 | 90-94 | 15-20 | 90-94 |
| Depth (m in subbottom) | 138.47 | 139.34 | 142.02 | 142.5 | 143.16 | 144.52 | 146.12 | 147.61 | 148.11 | 149.4 | 150.16 | 152.4 |
| Number picked | 241 | 212 | 282 | 215 | 222 | 221 | 213 | 209 | 411 | 311 | 188 | 323 |
| \% benthics of total faunz | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 13 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Number per ce | 3084 | 1356 | 2240 | 1376 | 876 | 1107 | 2726 | 2675 | 2630 | 1990 | 1804 | 2067 |
| Epistominella exigua | 15.4 | 21.2 | 7.4 | 14.9 | 9.9 | 14.9 | 10.8 | 15.8 | 22.1 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 14.6 |
| Nuttalides umbonifera | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| Eponides polius | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| E. humidulus | 3.7 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 22 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| E. Heddellensis | 10.4 | 20.3 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 11.3 | 7.2 | 7 | 15.8 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 7.1 |
| E. 7 sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina soldanii | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| Heronallenia crastyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Oridorsalis umbontas | 2.9 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 27 | 3.1 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0.4 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| C. mundules | 0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| C.spp. | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Planulina wuellerstorfi | 0.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 0.6 |
| Osangularia culter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinima pauperata | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Valunline ria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |
| Pullenia bulloides | 2.1 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| P, quingueloba | 1.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Nonion sp. | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 5 |
| -:---Melonis pompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -- M. barleeanums/affinis | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Nonionclla sp. $A$ | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Astronomior: echoksi | 2.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobasa | 21.2 | 7.1 | 36.2 | 19.1 | 15.3 | 22.2 | 27.7 | 20.6 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 12.8 | 17.3 |
| Cassidulina laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reciunigerina compressa | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigeristaperigrina | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| U. hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0 |
| Bulimina alazoensis | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. f.alazaensis | 1.2 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 2.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0 | 1.9 |
| B. mexicana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| B. clongata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Bolivina pseudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0 |
| - B. infata | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| B.pygmaca | 4.6 | 9 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 6.2 | 85 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 |
| B. subaenariensis | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| B. albatrossi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. lowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivinita frumeta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilostomella antillea | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 4.3 |
| S. sp. | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 3.2 | 5 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Tosaia hanzawai | 0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Trifarina angulasa | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Unidentificd triserial jorms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Ehrenbergina trigona | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 24 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformsis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 2.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Fissurina spp. | 2.5 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| Dentalina communis | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | $\sqrt{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 06 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Oclina spp. | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0 |
| Siphontina temuicarinata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookic earlandi | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Sigmoilina temuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Guftulinasp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Reussella spinulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilopsis schlumbergeri | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphotexdularia rotshauseni | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Vulunlina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Slit 552A Cores | 32-4 | 33-1 | 33-2 | 33-3 | 33-4 | 33 cc | 34-1 | 34-2 | 34-3 | 34-4 | 34ce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervals | 30.34 | 112-116 | 90.94 | 63-67 | 14-18 | 10-14 | 104-108 | 115-119 | 102-106 | 20-24 | 10-14 |
| Depth (m in subbottom) | 153.3 | 154.62 | 155.9 | 157.13 | 158.14 | 158.38 | 159.54 | 161.15 | 162.52 | 163.2 | 163.55 |
| Numbex picked | 441 | 168 | 193 | 280 | 223 | 226 | 319 | 331 | 381 | 393 | 279 |
| \% benthics of fotal fauna | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Number per ce | 2822 | 2150 | 4940 | 7168 | 2856 | 2892 | 4083 | 4236 | 4876 | 5030 | 3568 |
| Epistominella exigua | 15.6 | 11.3 | 23.3 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 20.4 | 16.6 | 14.8 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 11.8 |
| Nuttalldes umbanifera | 6.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 2,2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Eponides polius | 2.9 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| E. numidulus | 1.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| E. Heddellemsis | 9.1 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 19.7 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 13 | 5 |
| E. 7 sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrcidina soldanii | 2.7 | 3 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| Heronalle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Ondorsalis umbonatus | 3.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 9.3 |
| Cibicldoides bradyi | 0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 3.2 |
| C. mundulus | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| C. SPF. | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.3 | 3.6 |
| Planulina wuellerstorf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Osangularia culler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laficarinina pauperata | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Valutineria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Pullema bulloides | 2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 6.8 |
| P. quinqueloba | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 10 |
| Noniorn sp. | 3.4 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis pompilioides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. barlecanum/affinis | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 0 |
| Norionella sp.a | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.3 | $\overline{0.4}$ | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Astromomion echolsi | 0.7 | 3.6 | 0 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 1 | 0 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 15.2 | 25.6 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 21.5 | 21 | 21.6 | 12.5 |
| Cassidulina laevigafa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectuwigerina compressa | 2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina perigrina | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. hispida | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina alazaensis | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. cf.alazaensis | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 |
| B. mexicana | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| B. elomgata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivina pseudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| B. injiasa | 0 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 |
| B. pymmaea | 8.8 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| B. subachariensis | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| B. albatrassi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. Cowmani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivinita fruncla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilastomella antillea | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| S.sp. | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 21 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Pleurostomella spp. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 1 | 3.2 |
| Tasaia hanzawai | 0.2 | 1.2 | 21 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 21 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| Unidentified iriserial forms | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina trigona | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformsis | 1.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Fassurima spp. | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Dentalinu communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Nodosariasp. | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina spp. | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphomiva tenuicarinata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seabrookia earlandi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilina senuis | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Gutulitina sp. | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 |
| Reussella spinulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilcajsir schlumberveri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphotextuliria roshanteni | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 03 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Vultulina spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spiroplectammina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix C

Table of benthic foraminifera from DSDP Site 608

| Sile 608 Cores | 12-2 | 12-4 | 12-6 | 13-1 | 13-3 | 13-5 | 14-1 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14ce | 15-1 | 15-2 | 15-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval | 80.84 | 80-84 | 120-124 | 80.84 | 90.94 | 90-94 | 80-84 | 90-94 | 90-94 | 10-14 | 100-104 | 90-94 | 80-84 |
| Depth (min subbottom) | 105.3 | 108.3 | 111.7 | 113.4 | 116.5 | 119.5 | 123 | 124.6 | 127.6 | 130.44 | 132.8 | 134.2 | 135.6 |
| Number picked | 240 | 217 | 183 | 184 | 213 | 267 | 191 | 211 | 317 | 343 | 202 | 280 | 219 |
| Number per co. | 384 | 347 | 292 | 294 | 341 | 427 | 305 | 675 | 507 | 548 | 323 | 448 | 330 |
| \% benthice of total faura | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| \% fragment of totel plantics | 15.1 | 3.1 | 12.5 | 6.7 | 11,3 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 34.4 | 23.8 | 28.1 | 32.5 | $4 \overline{42}$ | 27.3 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis barleeanum/affinis | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| M. pompilioides | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Pullenia quinqueloba | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 2 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| P. bulloides | 5.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 24 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Pullenia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonion sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Norionella sp. A | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. murdulus | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 0 |
| C. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Planulina ragosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina wruellerstorfi | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Nultalldes umbonifera | 5 | 6.5 | 9.9 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 5 | 3.2 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 5 |
| Oridersalis umbonatus | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 12.9 | 4.1 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Laticarining pauperafa | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Gyroidina spp. | 1.7 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Epistominella exigua | 10.4 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 19 | 23 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 10.9 | 6 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 15.4 | 18.6 |
| Eporides polius | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1 | 6.1 | 0.9 |
| Eponides. ueddellensis | 7.9 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 16.5 | 7.8 | 15.6 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 9.6 | 21.9 |
| E. Tumidulus | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.1 |
| Epontides? sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heronallenia crosbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Valvulineria lacuigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimita auriculata | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina mexicana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. alaxaensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| B. Iessellata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uligerina hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| U. Perearina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ¢ | 0 | 0 |
| U.sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectungerima compressa | 0.4 | 0 | 05 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subgiobosa | 20.4 | 29.5 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 14.6 | 21.7 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 15.5 | 29.4 | 16.3 | 13.6 | 15.5 |
| G.sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidulina reniforme | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| C. ${ }^{\text {spp. }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Cassidulinoides ienuis | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Trifarisa angulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina frigona | 0.8 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Bolivina pygmaca | 0.4 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| B. pseudopunctata | 0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 24 | 4.1 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. $s p$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hopkinsina pacifica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 1.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Fissurina spp. | 2.6 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 27 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 3 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Oolina spp. | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 22 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 24 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| Fursenkoina furiformis | 0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Unidentified serial form | 0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 29 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| - Tasaia hanzauai | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Nodosariasp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilasiomella antillea | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Pleurostomella altemans | 0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 09 |
| Dentalita communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphycoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp. | 0.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulina sp.A | 0.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 2.9 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 22 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2 | I | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| Sigmoiltic tenuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Marfinolie lla communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella brodyi | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sextulariasp. | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphorexdularia rolshauseni | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Vulvulina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Site 608 Cores | 15-4 | 15-5 | 15-6 | 15ce | 16.1 | 15-2 | 16-3 | 16-4 | 16-5 | 16-6 | 16ec | 17-1 | 17.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inlerval | 80.84 | \$0.84 | 80.84 | 10-14 | 80.84 | 90-94 | 88.84 | 110-114 | 90-94 | 90.94 | 10-14 | 90-94 | 90.94 |
| Depth (m subboftom) | 137.1 | 138.6 | 140.1 | 140.8 | 142.2 | 143.8 | 145.2 | 147 | 148.3 | 149.8 | 150.86 | 151.9 | 153.4 |
| Number picked | 215 | 130 | 212 | 147 | 236 | 182 | 239 | 290 | 219 | 204 | 296 | 282 | 214 |
| Number par oc | 344 | 208 | 339 | 235 | 377 | 291 | 382 | 454 | 350 | 318 | 236 | 225 | 684 |
| \% benthics of total fauna | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| \% fragment of total planities | 39.4 | 27.2 | 45.5 | 21,6 | 22.2 | 24.7 | 29.6 | 35.3 | 47.6 | 43.2 | 41.9 | 34 | 42.7 |
| Lenticilina spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis Earkeantum'ajfinis | 5.1 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| M. pompilioides | 0.5 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Pulleria quinqueiaba | 1.9 | 3.8 | 47 | 10.2 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| P. bulloides | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 31 | 2.7 | 2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.7 |
| Pullenia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonion sp. | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Nomienella sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cikicidcizes bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulus | 1.9 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| C.spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Planulina rugesa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina wrellersiorfi | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Nuitallides umbonifers | 4.2 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 3.7 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laficarinina pauperafa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Gyroidina spp. | 4.2 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 2 | 4.6 | 2.8 |
| Epistomineli'a cxigua | 9.3 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 4.3 | 9.2 | 4.1 | 11 | 11.8 | 16.6 | 13.8 | 13.6 |
| Eponidespolius | 1.4 | 1.5 | 28 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Epomides weddellensis | 11.2 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 68 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 17.6 | 26.9 | 16 | 17.6 | 7.8 | 18.9 | 20.1 |
| E. tumidulus | 0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Eponides 3 sp.a | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meronallenia crasbyi | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Valvulineria latugata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina mexicana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. alazaensis | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. tessellata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. $s p$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina hispida | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 |
| U. peregrina | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| O.sp. | d | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Rectuvigerina compressa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobasa | 24.8 | 33.8 | 31.6 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 44.5 | 19.7 | 25.2 | 28.8 | 26 | 27 | 19.9 | 22.9 |
| G. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Cassidulina reniforrse | 0.5 | 3 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 |
| C. 7 sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidulinoides lemuis | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Ehrenbergiva trigora | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Bolivina eygmaca | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| B. pseudopunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| B. inflata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| B. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoplinsina pacifica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagenaspp. | 3.7 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| Fissurina spp. | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Oolina spp. | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Fursenkoina fusiformis | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentificd serial form | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 |
| Tosaia hanzawai | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| Nodosariasp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilastomella aritilea | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Pleurostomella altersans | 2.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Densalina communis | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphycoryna scalaris | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulitasp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quinqueloculina spp. | 3.7 | 2.3 | 24 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Sigmolitia tenuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Martinofliella Commbinis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphotextularia rosshausemi | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| Vuluulina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Site 608 Cores | 17-3 | 17-4 | 17cc | 18-1 | 18-2 | 18-3 | 18-4 | 18-5 | 18-6 | 18cc | 19-1 | 19-2 | 19-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval | 90.94 | 60-64 | 10-14 | 60.64 | 60-64 | 62-66 | 68-72 | 64-68 | 20-24 | 6-10 | 80.84 | $80-84$ | 80-84 |
| Depth ( m subbottorn) | 154.9 | 156.1 | 156.4 | 157.1 | 158.6 | 160.12 | 161.68 | 163.14 | 164.2 | 164.73 | 166.9 | 168.4 | 171.4 |
| Number picrod | 225 | 281 | 463 | 253 | 273 | 265 | 227 | 324 | 175 | 341 | 377 | 461 | 195 |
| Number per ce | 360 | 223 | 185 | 202 | 218 | 212 | 181 | 259 | 280 | 273 | 301 | 368 | 312 |
| \% benthics of total fauma | 2.1 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 5.9 |
| Wo fragment of total planktics | 55.1 | 61.2 | 63.6 | 70.9 | 73.5 | 54 | 46.75 | 58.4 | 45.1 | 63.6 | 74 | 68.7 | 68.2 |
| Lemiculina spp. | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Melonis barlecanuma/finis | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| M. pompilioides | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Pullenia quinqueloba | 6.2 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 10.5 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| P. bulloides | 3.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 128 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 6.7 |
| Pallesia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonion sp. | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nortionella sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 |  |
| Astronorion echosi | 1.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| Anomalina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 万 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. murdulus | 1.6 | 1 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0 |
| C. spp. | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Planulina rugasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina wuellerstorfi | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Nuthallides umbonifera | 0.5 | 1.4 | 5 | 2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| Oridorsalis umhonatus | 5.8 | 12.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinina pauperata | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyroidina spp. | 4.9 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| Epistominella exigua | 8 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 9.5 | $16 . \overline{4}$ | 10.9 | 17 | 9 | 19.5 | 17.3 | 23.9 | 14.3 | $\underline{23.1}$ |
| Eponidespodius | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 0 |
| Eponidos weddellensis | 11.6 | 18.5 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 3.6 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 15.4 | 20 |
| E. tumidulus | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Eponides?sp.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heronallenia crasbyi | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Valvulineria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Glabobalimisa auriculata | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina mexicann | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. alczaensis | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. tessellata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Uxigerina hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. peregriza | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| U.sp. | 0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Recturigerina compressa | 0.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobasa | 38.2 | 17.8 | 24.6 | 28.5 | 23.6 | 24,2 | 27.3 | 33.3 | 21.8 | 25.5 | 26 | 32.1 | 11.8 |
| - G.sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidulina reniforme | 3.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| C.7sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidulinoides ienuis | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trifarina angulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergina Srigona | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 15 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Bolvina pygmaza | 0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0 |
| B. pseudopunclata | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. 5 P. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hopkinsima pacijica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagena spp. | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Fissuring spp. | 0.9 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1 |
| Odinaspp. | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | IS |
| Fursenkoina fiwiformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentified serial form | 0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1 |
| Tosaia hanzauri | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilostomella anfillea | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Pleurostomella altermans | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 |
| Denfalina commutis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphycoryna scalaris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prysospp. | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulina sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Quinquelocilina spp. | 0 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| Sigmoilina tenuis | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martinoltiella communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karreriella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Texulariasp. | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphote.rularia rolshawemi | 0.9 | 8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Vulvulina spirasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Slte 608 Cores | 19-5 | 19.6 | 19 ce | 20-1 | 20-2 | 20-3 | 20-4 | 20-5 | 20-6 | 20 ce | 21-1 | 21.2 | 21.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval | 80.84 | 14-18 | 10-14 | 102-106 | 80-84 | 60-64 | 80-84 | 104-108 | 110-114 | 6-10 | 90-94 | 90-94 | 90-94 |
| Depth (matbibotom) | 172.9 | 173.74 | 174.2 | 176.72 | 178 | 179.3 | 181 | 182.74 | 184.3 | 184.9 | 186.2 | 187.7 | 189.2 |
| Number Pited | 316 | 341 | 318 | 180 | 259 | 256 | 298 | 145 | 506 |  | 194 | 195 | 222 |
| Number per ec | 505 | 545 | 508 | 288 | 414 | 409 | 953 | 464 | 404 | 240 | 310 | 624 | 355 |
| \% benthics of total fauma | 5.1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| \% fragment of total plankics | 3.0 | 43.5 | 33.9 | 18.9 | 40.5 | 37.5 | 54.2 | 32.6 | 50.6 | 34.1 | 39.2 | 44 | 31.2 |
| Lenticulina spp. | 0.3 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 |
| Melonis barleanum/aftinis | 2.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 6.3 |
| M. pompilioides | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pullenia quinqueld ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.9 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 6 | 10.3 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| P. bullodes | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 63 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| Pulkeriai 5 . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norion sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Noniortella sp. A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Astronorion echdri | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Anomalinasp. | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides bradji | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C. mundulue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.8 |
| C.spp. | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.5 | 3.6 |
| Planulina rugosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina wrellerstorfi | 1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 1 | 0 |
| Nuitallides umborifera | 4.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1 | 2 | 2.3 |
| Oridorsalis umboratus | 5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 9.3 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 7.2 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinina pauperata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Gyroidina spp. | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 7 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.8 |
| Epistominella exigua | 24.6 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 15 | 127 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 20.7 |
| Eponidespolius | 4.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 1 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Eponides weddellensis | 13 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 2 | 14.4 | 6.3 |
| E. tumidulus | 4.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 1 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| Eporides? sp. $A$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heronallenia crosbyi | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| Valvulineria laevigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globabulimina auriculata | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Bulimina mexicana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. alazaensis | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. tassellata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 |
| -- Urigerina hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. peregrina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.sp. | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rectuxgerita compressa | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subglobosa | 18.3 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 26.6 | 22.6 | 29.7 | 21.4 | 23.7 | 21.7 | 25.3 | 29.7 | 14.4 |
| G. sp. | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Cassidilina reniforme | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| - C. ${ }_{\text {spp }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidulinoides tenuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Triforinc aņulosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenberginatrigona | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bodivina pygmaea | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| B. pseudopunclata | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| B. sp. | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hopkimsina pacifica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagenasff. | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Fissurina spp. | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2 | 2.7 |
| Dolina syp. | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | $0 . \bar{B}$ | 0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Fursenkorna fuiformis | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Unidentified serial form | 0.3 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Tosaia hantauai | 0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Nodosariasp. | 0 | 0 | 0.6 |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stilastomella antilla | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Pleurasione lla alternars | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.8 |
| Dentalina communis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Anplycoryna scalaris | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Pyrgospp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulina sp. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Quinquclocu'lina spp. | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Sigmoilina tensis | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Marinoticlla commmis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Karrericlla bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textulariasp. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphotextularia rolshauseni | 6.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| Vulurifa spitara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Sit 608 Cores | 21.4 | 21.5 | 22-1 | 22-3 | 22ce | 23.3 | 23 ce | 24-2 | 24 cc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval | 80-84 | 60.64 | 90.94 | 90-94 | 6-10 | 100-104 | 10-14 | 80.84 | 10-14 |
| Depth (m subbottom) | 1906 | 1916 | 1958 | 1988 | 2029 | 208.5 | 21412 | 2164 | 2197 |
| Number picked | 128 | 187 | 155 | 217 | 202 | 304 | 501 | 277 | 172 |
| Number per ca | 409 | 299 | 496 | 347 | 323 | 243 | 400 | 443 | 275 |
| Qb bathice of totaf fuera | 1 | 06 | 04 | 07 | 0.8 | 14 | 33 | 17 | 24 |
| \% fragment of total planitica | 336 | 253 | 221 | 333 | 334 | 307 | 538 | 473 | 277 |
| - Lenticulina spp | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 |
| Theloniu bariceanumidafluis | 63 | 43 | 39 | 101 | 89 | 63 | 69 | 04 | 157 |
| $M$ pompilodes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pullema quinquelaba | 39 | 48 | 9 | 2.8 | 4 | 2.3 | 2 | 21 | 06 |
| $P$ bullocides | 31 | 21 | 58 | 28 | 99 | 53 | 48 | 29 | 87 |
| Pullenia sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nomion sp | 08 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 23 | 26 | 06 | 0 | 0 |
| Nontonella sp 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| Astronemion echali | 0 | 48 | 19 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anomalunasp | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 |
| Cibicidodes brady | 08 | 0 | 06 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\bar{C}$ mundulus | 54 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 02 | 32 | 0 |
| $C$ spp | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 1 | 17 | 02 | 0 | 0 |
| Planuluna rugara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planulina wsellersiorf | 08 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 06 | 12 | 25 | 06 |
| Nutfalldes umbonifera | 2.3 | 43 | 39 | 2.8 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 108 | 122 |
| Oridorsalis umbonalus | 39 | 32 | 52 | 106 | 3 | 102 | 74 | 105 | 46 |
| Sphaeroodina ballodes | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| Lattearmma pauperata | 08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrodina spp | 46 | 59 | 2.6 | 51 | 25 | 39 | 32 | 61 | 52 |
| Epsitominella exagua | 78 | 53 | 9 | 129 | 168 | 148 | 162 | 134 | 46 |
| Epomidespolius | 16 | 37 | 45 | 23 | 3 | 06 | 16 | 14 | 29 |
| Lpondes weddellersis | 109 | 86 | 19 | 28 | 5 | 109 | 56 | 133 | 81 |
| $\underline{E}$ fumidulus | 39 | 7 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 16 | 24 | 18 | 29 |
| Eponidesp sp A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| Feronallenta crasty | 0 | 0 | 06 | 05 | 0 | 03 | 04 | 0 | 06 |
| Valviluena laengata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clobobulimina auriculata | 0 | 16 | 0 | 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulimina mexacana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ alazaensis | 08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 07 | 06 |
| $B$ lessellata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| B sp | \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uigerina hispida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $U$ peregrina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $U s p$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 03 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| Reciungerma compressa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 0 | 07 | 0 | 9 | 17 |
| Globocassidulina subglobona | 289 | 231 | 258 | 175 | 173 | 181 | 267 | 101 | 186 |
| G sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassiduina reniforme | 08 | 0 | 0 | 09 | 05 | 2 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| C3sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{-}$ | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 |
| Cassidutnotdes tenums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 06 | 06 | 04 | 0 |
| Infarima angulasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ehrenbergma trigona | 08 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 05 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolvina pygmaca | 16 | 05 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 21 | 17 |
| B pseudoprunctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $B$ urfata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| Hopkansuma pacfica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagena spp | 16 | 42 | 19 | 14 | 05 | 23 | 08 | 21 | 23 |
| Fussurina spp | 31 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 35 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 12 |
| Oclona spp | 0 | 0 | 06 | 18 | 05 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Fursenkoxa fusformis | 0 | 0 | 06 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Undentified seral form | J | 16 | 19 | 05 | 05 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Tasma hanzawai | 16 | 32 | 0 | 09 | 05 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 06 |
| Nodosaria sp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sillastomella anitlea | 16 | 05 | ¢ | 18 | 05 | 06 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Plewrostomella allerrans | 0 | 0 | 06 | 14 | 25 | 06 | 07 | 0 | 12 |
| Dentaltra communts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 04 | 0 | 06 |
| Amphycoryna scalans | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgospp | 0 | 11 | 0 | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrulinasp A | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Qunqueloculina spp | 0 | 22 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 04 | 0 | 0 |
| Sigmoilina lenums | 08 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Martmotiella Commimus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karrenella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Textulartasp | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphotextulata rolshauseri | 16 | 05 | 19 | 05 | 05 | 06 | 04 | 0 | 06 |
| Vulluilma spinasa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix D

Benthic foraminiferal oxygen and carbon stable isotopes from DSDP Site 608

Benthic foraminiferal stable isotopes from DSDP Site 608

| Sec. | Core | Interval (cm) | Depth <br> (m) | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \\ \left.(\%)_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \delta_{(\%)}^{\delta^{13} C} \end{array}$ | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 4 | 80-84 | 98.7 | 2.21 | 0.68 | wuellerstorfi |
| 12 | 2 | 80-84 | 105.3 | 2.3 | 0.46 | wuell |
| 12 | 4 | 80-84 | 108.3 | 2.26 | 0.58 | wuell |
| 12 | 6 | 120-124 | 111.7 | 2.35 | 0.58 | wuell |
| 13 | 1 | 80-84 | 113.4 | 2.3 | 0.7 | wuell |
| 13 | 3 | 90-94 | 116.5 | 2.22 | 0.83 | wuell |
| 13 | 5 | 90-94 | 119.5 | 2.44 | 0.65 | wuell |
| 14 | 1 | 80-84 | 123 | 2.27 | 0.21 | wuell |
| 14 | 2 | 90-94 | 124.6 | 2.59 | 0.95 | wuell\&cibs |
| 14 | 3 | 90-94 | 126.1 | 2.31 | 0.65 | wuell |
| 14 | 4 | 90-94 | 127.6 |  |  | wuell |
| 14 | 5 | 80-84 | 129 | 2.35 | 0.81 | wuell |
| 14 | cc | 10-14 | 130.44 | 2.26 | 0.64 | wuell |
| 15 | 1 | 100-104 | 132.8 | 2.46 | 0.72 | wuell\&cibs |
| 15 | 2 | 90-94 | 134.2 | 2.4 | 0.84 | wuell |
| 15 | 3 | 80-84 | 135.6 | 2.48 | 0.54 | wuell\&cibs |
| 15 | 4 | 80-84 | 137.1 | 2.67 | 0.43 | wuell \&cibs |
| 15 | 5 | 80-84 | 138.6 | 2.46 | 0.72 | wuell\&cibs |
| 15 | 6 | 80-84 | 140.1 | 2.56 | 0.75 | wuell\&cibs |
| 15 | cc | 10-14 | 140.83 | 2.46 | 0.78 | wuell |
| 16 | 1 | 80-84 | 142.2 | 2.47 | 0.76 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | 2 | 90-94 | 143.8 | 2.42 | 0.48 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | 3 | 80-84 | 145.2 | 2.47 | 0.52 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | 4 | 110-114 | 147 | 2.31 | 0.63 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | 5 | 90-94 | 148.3 | 2.54 | 0.44 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | 6 | 90-94 | 149.8 | 2.51 | 0.38 | wuell\&cibs |
| 16 | cc | 10-14 | 150.86 | 2.6 | 0.72 | wuell |
| 17 | 1 | 90-94 | 151.9 | 2.43 | 0.4 | wuell\&cibs |
| 17 | 2 | 90-94 | 153.4 | 2.5 | 0.76 | wuell |
| 17 | 3 | 90-94 | 154.9 | 2.31 | 0.66 | wuell |
| 17 | 4 | 60-64 | 156.1 | 2.52 | 0.7 | wuell |
| 17 | cc | 10-14 | 156.43 | 2.19 | 1.01 | wuell\&cibs |
| 18 | 1 | 60-64 | 157.1 | 2.27 | 0.74 | wuell\&cibs |
| 18 | 2 | 60-64 | 158.6 | 2.21 | 1.21 | wuell |
| 18 | 3 | 62-66 | 160.12 | 2.27 | 0.34 | wuell\&cibs |
| 18 | 4 | 68-72 | 161.68 | 2.49 | 0.95 | wuell\&cibs |
| 18 | 5 | 64-68 | 163.14 | 2.43 | 0.97 | wtell\&cibs |
| 18 | 6 | 20-24 | 164.2 | 2.4 | 1.19 | wuell |
| 18 | cc | 6-10 | 164.73 | 2.35 | 1.01 | wuell\&cibs |
| 19 | 1 | 80-84 | 166.9 | 2.39 | 1.26 | wuell\&cibs |
| 19 | 2 | 80-84 | 168.4 | 2.39 | 1.26 | wuell\&cibs |
| 19 | 3 | 80-84 | 169.9 | 2.27 | 1.31 | wuell |
| 19 | 4 | 80-84 | 171.4 | 2.58 | 102 | wuell\&cibs |
| 19 | 5 | 80-84 | 172.9 | 2.3 | 0.67 | wuell |
| 19 | 6 | 14-18 | 173.74 | 2.43 | 0.75 | wuell\&cibs |
| 19 | cc | 10-14 | 174.19 | 2.33 | 1.03 | wuell\&cibs |
| 20 | 1 | 102-106 | 176.72 | 2.3 | 0.96 | wuell\&cibs |


| 20 | 2 | $80-84$ | 178 | 2.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 3 | $60-64$ | 179.3 | 2.36 |
| 20 | 4 | $80-84$ | 181.1 | 2.36 |
| 20 | 6 | $110-114$ | 184.3 | 2.32 |
| 20 | $c \mathrm{c}$ | $6-10$ | 184.88 | 2.18 |
| 21 | 1 | $90-94$ | 186.2 | 2.22 |
| 21 | 2 | $90-94$ | 187. | 2.41 |
| 21 | 3 | $90-94$ | 189.2 | 2.29 |
| 21 | $\therefore$ | $80-84$ | 190.6 | 2.28 |
| 21 | 5 | $60-64$ | 191.9 | 2.45 |
| 22 | 1 | $90-94$ | 195.8 | 2.23 |
| 22 | 2 | $90-94$ | 197.3 | 2.6 |
| 22 | 4 | $100-104$ | 200.4 | 2.33 |
| 22 | 5 | $80-84$ | 201.7 | 2.05 |
| 22 | $c$ | $6-8$ | 20.9 | 1.93 |
| 23 | 2 | $90-94$ | 206.9 | 1.87 |
| 23 | 3 | $100-104$ | 208.5 | 1.85 |
| 23 | 5 | $100-104$ | 211.5 | 2.11 |
| 23 | 6 | $104-108$ | 213.04 | 2.27 |
| 24 | 2 | $80-84$ | 216.4 | 2 |
| 24 | 3 | $110-114$ | 218.2 | 2.09 |
| 24 | 4 | $110-114$ | 219.7 | 2 |
| 24 | 5 | $90-94$ | 221 | 2.31 |
| 24 | 6 | $90-94$ | 222.5 | 2.16 |


| 1.09 | wuell\&cibs |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.09 | wuell |
| 1.3 | wuell |
| 1.26 | wuell |
| 1.26 | wuell |
| 1.32 | wuell |
| 1.23 | wuell |
| 1.15 | wuell |
| 1.16 | wuell |
| 1.32 | wuell\&cibs |
| 1.13 | wuell |
| 0.57 | wuell\&cibs |
| 0.64 | wuell |
| 0.72 | wuell |
| 0.58 | wuell |
| 0.83 | wuell |
| 0.4 | wuell |
| 0.61 | wuell |
| 0.96 | wuell |
| 1.15 | wuell |
| 0.82 | wuell |
| 1.13 | wuell |
| 0.69 | wuell |
| 1.08 | wuell |

wuell $=$ Planulina wuellerstorfi
cibs $=$ Cibicidoides
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Planktonic foraminiferal oxygen and carbon stable isotopes from DSDP Site 608

Planktonic foraminiferal stable isotopes from DSDP Site 608

| Sec. | Core | Interval (cm) | Depth (m) | $\begin{gathered} \delta^{18} \mathrm{O} \\ (\% 00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \delta^{13} \mathbf{C} \\ (\%) \end{array}$ | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 4 | 80-84 | 98.7 | 0.85 | 0.19 | bulloides |
| 12 | 2 | 80-84 | 105.3 | 0.51 | 0.71 | bull |
| 12 | 4 | 80-84 | 108.3 | 0.62 | -0.01 | bull |
| 12 | i | 120-124 | 111.7 | 0.68 | -0.72 | bull |
| 13 | 1 | 80-84 | 113.4 | 0.65 | -0.26 | bull |
| 13 | 3 | 90-94 | 116.5 | 0.65 | 0.62 | bull |
| 13 | 5 | 90-94 | 119.5 | 1.0 | -0.05 | bull |
| 14 | 1 | 80-84 | 123 | 0.35 | 0.81 | bull |
| 14 | 2 | 90-94 | 124.6 | 1.22 | 0.75 | bull |
| 14 | 3 | 90-94 | 126.1 | 1.06 | -0.14 | bull |
| 14 | 4 | 90-94 | 127.6 | 0.89 | -0.2 | bull |
| 14 | 5 | 80-84 | 129 | 0.76 | -0.52 | bull |
| 14 | cc | 10~14 | 130.44 | 1.24 | 0.58 | bull |
| 15 | 1 | 100-104 | 132.8 | 1.66 | 0.61 | bull |
| 15 | 2 | 90-94 | 134.2 | 1.33 | 0.45 | bull |
| 15 | 3 | 80-84 | 135.6 | 1.28 | -0.03 | bull |
| 15 | 4 | 80-84 | 137.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | bull |
| 15 | 5 | 80-84 | 138.6 | 1.04 | 0.13 | bull |
| 15 | 6 | 80-84 | 140.1 | 1.1 | -0.1 | bull |
| 15 | cc | 10~14 | 140.83 | 1.29 | -0.03 | bull |
| 16 | 1 | 80-84 | 142.2 | 0.8 | -0.04 | orbulina |
| 16 | 2 | 90-94 | 143.8 | 0.85 | -0.18 | bull |
| 16 | 3 | 80-84 | 145.2 | 1.04 | 0.14 | bull |
| 16 | 4 | 110-114 | 147 | 0.97 | 0.34 | bull |
| 16 | 5 | 90-94 | 148.3 | 1.2 | 0.54 | bull |
| 16 | 6 | 90-94 | 167 | 1.12 | 0.12 | bull |
| 16 | 6 | 90-94 | 167 | 0.67 | 1.22 | orb\&bull |
| 16 | cc | 10~14 | 150.86 | 1.24 | 0.78 | bull |
| 17 | 1 | 90-94 | 151.9 | 1.42 | 0.25 | bull |
| 17 | 1 | 90-94 | 151.9 | 1.23 | 0.9 | orb |
| 17 | 2 | 90-94 | 153.4 | 0.79 | 1.54 | orb\&bull |
| 17 | 2 | 90-94 | 153.4 | 1.33 | 0.82 | bull |
| 17 | 3 | 90-94 | 154.9 | 0.44 | 1.43 | orb |
| 17 | 4 | 60-64 | 156.1 | 1.08 | 1.08 | orb |
| 17 | cc | 10~14 | 156.43 | 0.82 | 1.35 | orb |
| 18 | 1 | 60-64 | 157.1 | 1.07 | 1.6 | orb |
| 18 | 2 | 60-64 | 158.6 | 1.13 | 1.95 | orb |
| 18 | 3 | 62-66 | 160.12 | 0.64 | 1.73 | orb |
| 18 | 4 | 68-72 | 161.68 | 0.83 | 1.57 | orb |
| 18 | 5 | 64-68 | 163.14 | 0.42 | 1.75 | orb |
| 18 | 6 | 20-24 | 164.2 | -0.05 | 1.83 | orb |
| 18 | cc | 6~10 | 164.73 | 0.69 | 1.86 | orb |
| 19 | 1 | 80-84 | 166.9 | 0.8 | 1.17 | orb |
| 19 | 2 | 80-89 | 168.4 | 0.71 | 1.62 | orb |
| 19 | 3 | 80-84 | 169.9 | 0.63 | 2.03 | orb |
| 19 | 5 | 80-84 | 172.9 | 1.21 | 1.43 | bull |
| 19 | 5 | 80-84 | 172.9 | 0.79 | 2.09 | orb |
| 19 | 6 | 14-18 | 173.74 | 0.77 | 1.68 | orb |


| 19 | 6 | $14-18$ | 173.74 | 1.01 | 0.55 | bull |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | cc | $10 \sim 14$ | 174.19 | 0.96 | 1.16 | bull |
| 19 | cc | $10 \sim 14$ | 174.19 | 0.81 | 1.88 | orb |
| 20 | 1 | $102-106$ | 176.72 | 0.83 | 0.92 | bull |
| 20 | 1 | $102-106$ | 176.72 | 0.59 | 1.55 | orb |
| 20 | 2 | $80-84$ | 178 | 0.58 | 1.81 | orb |
| 20 | 2 | $80-84$ | 178 | 1.29 | 1.21 | bull |
| 20 | 3 | $60-64$ | 179.3 | 1.04 | 1.2 | bull |
| 20 | 4 | $80-84$ | 181.1 | 1.01 | 1 | bull |
| 20 | 5 | $104-108$ | 182.4 | 0.92 | 0.9 | bull |
| 20 | 6 | $110-114$ | 184.4 | 1.04 | 0.81 | bull |
| 20 | cc | $6 \sim 10$ | 184.88 | 1.1 | 0.84 | bull |
| 21 | 1 | $90-94$ | 186.2 | 1.02 | 0.74 | bull |
| 21 | 2 | $90-94$ | 187.7 | 0.89 | 0.8 | bull |
| 21 | 3 | $90-94$ | 189.2 | 0.86 | 0.74 | bull |
| 21 | 4 | $80-84$ | 190.6 | 0.96 | 0.82 | bull |
| 21 | 5 | $60-64$ | 191.9 | 1.1 | 0.88 | orb |
| 22 | 1 | $90-94$ | 195.8 | 0.78 | 0.46 | bull |
| 22 | 2 | $90-94$ | 197.3 | 0.9 | 0.74 | bull |
| 22 | 4 | $100-104$ | 200.4 | 0.6 | 0.42 | bull |
| 22 | 5 | $80-84$ | 201.7 | 0.81 | 0.53 | bull |
| 22 | cc | $6 \sim 8$ | 202.9 | 0.84 | 0.53 | bull |
| 23 | 2 | $90-94$ | 206.9 | 0.99 | 1.25 | bull |
| 23 | 3 | $100-104$ | 208.5 | 0.82 | 1.02 | bull |
| 23 | 5 | $100-104$ | 211.5 | 1.03 | 0.59 | bull |
| 23 | 6 | $104-408$ | 213.04 | 0.96 | 0.99 | bull |
| 24 | 2 | $80-84$ | 216.4 | 0.93 | 0.87 | bull |
| 24 | 2 | $80-84$ | 216.4 | 0.74 | 1.25 | orb |
| 24 | 3 | $110-114$ | 218.2 | 0.78 | 0.75 | bull |
| 24 | 4 | $110-114$ | 219.7 | 0.97 | 1.14 | bull |
| 24 | 5 | $90-94$ | 221 | 0.8 | 1.3 | bull |
| 24 | 6 | $90-94$ | 225 | 0.88 | 1 | bull |

bull $=$ Globigerina bulloides orb $=$ Orbulina

## Appendix $\mathbf{F}$

Table of benthic foraminifera from DSDP Hole 547A


| Slte 547A Cores | 3-1 | 4-1 | 4~1 | 4-1 | dec | $3-1$ | 5-1 | 5-2 | 5-2 | 3-3 | 5-3 | 5-4 | $5-4$ | 5-5 | 3-5 | 30c | 6-1 | 6-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervil (cm) | 63-45 | 45-49 | 76-80 | 130-134 | 10-14 | 31-35 | 120-124 | 40-44 | 120-124 | 40-44 | 100-104 | 40-44 | 110-114 | 40-44 | 110-114 | 4-8 | 30-34 | 100-104 |
| B. pseudoplicata | 7.1 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0 |
| B. suteri | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. inflata | 36.1 | 14.5 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 14 | 3.2 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 0.7 |
| İ. preudop enctata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| B. sxbacmariensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A subspinescens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| E. byramensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| B SFP. | 2 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 2 | 2 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Bolivinita trumcata | 7.4 | 22.4 | 20.2 | 12.6 | 15.7 | 8.7 | 4 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0 |
| Baivinids | 48.2 | 42.6 | 34.5 | 24.6 | 41.5 | 17 | 21.5 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 10.9 | 27 |
| Trifaima angriosa | 5.2 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 33 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Trifarina bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Uvigerina spp. | 2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2 | 3.4 | 0 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1 |
| Ehrenbergina trigona | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 06 | 0 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0 |
| Bulimina alazaensis | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| A. mexicana | 0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| B. aculeata | 0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. ovata | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H spp. | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Bulininids | 2.2 | 26 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 1 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 08 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Globobulimina auriculata | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Reusseela spinslast, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Uvigerina hispida | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| U. peregrina | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| U. camariensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recturigerina compreswa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\underline{R} \mathrm{sp}$ | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dentalina Communtis | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Hoplinsina pacifica | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fursenkoina furiformis | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gutulina sp. | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Laxostomzin cf. normate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurosfomella spp. | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 23 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 |
| Stilostomelia antillea | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 3 | 0 |
| S.sp | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fissurina spp. | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 13 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Lagena spa | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Oolina . Tpp | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Quinqueloculina spp, | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | O | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Sigmailina tenuis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| Pyrgo spp. | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 0 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Textularia sp | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vulvulina spinosa | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Siphorextrula ia rolshauseni | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 13 |
| Martinotiella comm, unis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eggrella bradyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Slle 374 A Coral | 6-2 | 6-2 | 6-3 | $6 \times 3$ | 7-1 | 7 -1 | 7-2 | 7 ce | 8 -1 | $8-1$ | 8-2 | 8-3 | $8-4$ | 8-5 | 8 cc | 9-1 | 9-2 | 9-3 | 9-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intervil (mm) | 60.64 | 120.124 | 30.34 | 100-104 | 40-44 | 110-114 | 6-10 | 2-6 | 40-44 | 120-124 | 90.94 | 80-84 | 80.94 | 20.24 | 2 2-6 | 60.54 | 70-74 | 90-94 | 40.24 |
| Depth(m Subbatom) | 119.6 | 120.2 | 120.8 | 121.5 | 127.4 | 128.1 | 128.56 | 128.3 | 136.9 | 137.9 | 138.3 | 140.8 | 141.8 | 142.7 | 143.31 | 146.6 | 148.2 | 149.9 | 150.9 |
| Number picked | 378 | 256 | 283 | 329 | 390 | 375 | 286 | 276 | 228 | 402 | 660 | 309 | 291 | 246 | 186 | 226 | 503 | 47 | 459 |
| Number/ce | 1257 | 819 | 905 | 263 | 624 | 600 | 228 | 220 | 364 | 160 | 132 | 123 | 608 | 393 | 595 | 723 | 402 | 753 | 1468 |
| \% (fraguentswhale tects) | 1.1 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 23 | 1.16 | 2.4 | 6 | 8.2 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.99 | 1.26 | 1.93 |
| \% Beathico of tooll fama | 9.4 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 123 | 15 | 32.3 | 26.5 | $\frac{31.5}{}$ | 15.1 | 39.5 | 423 | 46.6 | 9.6 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 14.7 | 30.9 | 26.4 |  |
| Lenitulina 5 Sp . | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Nanionella sp. 1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Nonion sp. | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 26 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 2 |
| Elphidium P pp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Astronorion echelsi | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Melonis bariceanim/affris | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| M. pompitiodies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 2.7 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Pullenia quisqueloba | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 24 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| P. bulloides | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 24 | 4.8 | 22 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Epirominella exigua | 20.9 | 9 | 8.8 | 10 | 21 | 24 | 329 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 12.9 | 15.5 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 18.3 | 8.1 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 12.6 |
| E. Psp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Eporidespoizu | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 4 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Eponides weddellensis | 7.1 | 23 | 6.4 | 14.3 | 133 | 12.5 | 7 | 11.2 | 5.7 | 7 | 5.6 | 10.4 | 12 | 12.2 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 19.5 | 10.6 | 9.8 |
| $E$ fumidus | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Nutrafilice wombonifera | 7.4 | 63 | 7.1 | 7 | 2.6 | 18.9 | 11.5 | 13 | 6.1 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Hantawaia sp. | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oritorsalis umbenctus | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 21 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 7 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Cibicidoides bralyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Cibicidoides mundulus | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3 | 1.7 | 23 | 3.8 | 33 | 1.1 | 27 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Cibicidoides Pp P. | 1.9 | 2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Anc malina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyradina soldanii | 1.3 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 22 | 6 | , | 6.8 |
| Gavelinqusis pracgeri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Vaturlineria lactigata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osangularia culter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hoeglundina clegans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discorbiniclla sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Heromallenia crasbyi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neocporides sp | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Rosalina? sp. | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laticarinina pauperata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Platulina ariminensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Plarkilina wellersiorf | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Planulina sp. | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| Spkaeroidina bulloides | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 03 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| Siphonina tenuicarinara | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Globocassidulina subblobara | 3.5 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 16.7 | 28.2 | 17.9 | 22.4 | 25 | 32.9 | 20.6 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 22.7 | 15.9 | 18.3 | 21.7 | 11.9 | 26.1 | 18.1 |
| Cassidulina renijorme | 1.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Cassidulina locevigata | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| Cassidkhinoides teruis | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Tosain hanzawzi | 1.1 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 23 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Bolivina lowmani | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 13 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 12 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | , |
| $B_{\text {P }}^{\text {pymmaca }}$ | 2.4 | 27 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 21 | 2.7 |
| B. a abarrassi | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## Appendix G

Table of benthic foraminifera from DSDP Hole 646B

| Site 646B Core | 41x-2 | 41x-6 | 42x-1 | 42x-3 | 42x-5 | 42x-7 | 43x-2 | 43xCC | 44xCC | 45x-1 | 45xCC | $46 x-3$ | 45x-4 | 46xCC | 47x-2 | 47 xCC | 48x-1 | 43xCC | 49x-1 | $49 \times C \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cmi) | 130-134 | 70-74 | 100.104 | 116.120 | 90.94 | 20.24 | 30.34 | 10~14 | 30-34 | 20.24 | $20-24$ | 80-84 | 20.24 | 20-24 | 70-74 | 10~14 | 170-114 | 15-19 | 6 6-64 | 20-24 |
| Depth (min sibbotioni) | 384.1 | 3693 | 392 | 395.16 | 3979 | 400.2 | 4027 | 405 | 41193 | 4203 | 420.71 | 432.1 | 433.57 | 434.07 | 441.7 | 442.79 | 450.2 | 451.38 | 459.4 | 461.97 |
| Number preked | 168 | 293 | 88 | 32 | 268 | 259 | 387 | 174 | 141 | 298 | 404 | 264 | 230 | 312 | 208 | 165 | 273 | 249 | 301 | 269 |
| No/20cc | 2688 | 2344 | 704 | 448 | 8576 | 518 | 1548 | 1392 | 1128 | 2384 | 3232 | 2112 | 1840 | 2496 | 3328 | 1640 | 4368 | 1992 | 2048 | 1614 |
| \% calcreons forms of total faum | 98 | 92.2 | 21.5 | 90.6 | 45.5 | 664 | 15.8 | 84.5 | 95 | 38.9 | 11.9 | 341 | 26.5 | 9 | 41.3 | 22.4 | 58.6 | 35.7 | 13.9 | 20.4 |
| \% beotucforms in total fnuna | 473 | 111 | 16.1 | 66.7 | 832 | 59.5 | 73.9 | 21.3 | 21.8 | 488 | 874 | 40.5 | 391 | 85 | 33.1 | 32.6 | 70.9 | 31.5 | 73.6 | 75.4 |
| \% Fragments of witole plaultonics | 70.5 | 69.1 | 30.7 | 68.8 | 97.2 | 91.8 | 76 | 896 | 90.3 | 781 | 85 | 727 | 58.9 | 79.8 | 56.4 | 54.6 | 82.7 | 48 | 74.6 | 92.3 |
| Lemtrubija :pp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Normon spp | 6.5 | 7.5 | 00 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 28 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 00 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Nonionella spa | 24 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 07 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 14 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Arromenion acholsi | 00 | 0,0 | 00 | DO | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asfronorion umbilicatulum | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elphidium? sp. | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pullena bullordes | 0.6 | 1.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 40 | 0.7 | 2.2 |
| P. quinqueloba | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 00 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 00 | 00 | 1.4 | 06 | 22 | 28 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Melonis barleeanum/affinis | 12.5 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 94 | 37 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 40 | 85 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 06 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| M. pomplihoides | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 34 | 00 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 50 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Flortuo sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sphaerodina bulloides | 0.6 | 2.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 04 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Oridorsalus umbonata | 4.8 | 3.4 | 11 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 8.5 | 00 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 07 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.8 | 07 | 1.1 |
| Plarulina rugosa | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Plondina wuellerstofi | 00 | 0.7 | 11 | 00 | 04 | 00 | 00 | 17 | 2.1 | 07 | 00 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cbicide lobarulu | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cibiadordes brady | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 30 | 00 | 07 |
| $C_{\text {spa }}$ | 1.8 | 5.8 | 00 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 46 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 04 | 00 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Rosalina ? ${ }^{\text {sp }}$. | 1.8 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Gyroidina osldant | 3.6 | 5.5 | 11 | 00 | 2.2 | 39 | 05 | 34 | 64 | 20 | $0 . \overline{0}$ | $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| Govelinopsis proegen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lattcaninina pauperata | 0.0 | 0.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Valulinera loevisáa | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 00 | 20 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Nurallides umbonifera | 00 | 1.4 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 02 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | S.0 |
| Hoeghandna elegans | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 27 | 03 | 2.3 | 07 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Epistominella exgza | 3.0 | 1.4 | 00 | 0.0 | 11 | 46 | 00 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Eportdes poltus | 3.6 | 1.4 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 23 | 00 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| E. tumadulas | 0.0 | 0.3 | 23 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 00 | 00 | 18 | 00 | 1.2 | 10 | 00 |
| E. weddellensis | 22.0 | 123 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 17.0 | 49 | 218 | 270 | 121 | 3.5 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 144 | 1.8 | 11.7 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Discortsella berthelort | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Globocavidulita subglobosa | 10.7 | 150 | 34 | 0.0 | 22 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 43 | 5.4 | 10 | 08 | 1.7 | 06 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 10 | 0.4 |
| Castdutina laemgota | 1.2 | 0.0 | 00 | 6.3 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| C resforme | 11.3 | 3.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 00 | 0.8 | 45 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 00 | 00 | 29 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Csp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 6.3 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Casidulinoides semus | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0{ }_{0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unidentified roxaluds | 00 | 14 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 11 | 07 | 0.3 | 00 | 04 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Uurgeruna paregrus | 1.8 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 2.1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 1.3 | 00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| U. probasculca | 0.0 | 1.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| U.sp. | 0.6 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 07 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 00 | 00 | 00 |




| Site 6403 | 6 scC | 67x-1 | 77x-2 | 67x-3 | $67 \times-5$ | 67x-6 | 68x-2 | $68 \mathrm{x}-3$ | 68x-4 | 69x-1 | 70x1 | $70 \times C$ c | $71 \times 1$ | 71x-2 | 71x-4 | $71 \times$ - | 72x-1 | $72 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 73x-1 | 73xCC | 74x-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 20-24 | $3 \cdot 64$ | 3/3. 34 | 40-44 | 56.54 | 30.54 | 36-34 | 30.54 | 36-40 | 40-44 | 50.54 | 70-24 | 30-54 | 40-44 | 40-44 | 32-36 | 72.76 | 610 | 46-50 | 10-14 | 3634 |
| Deptr (manbiotion) | 623.8 | 6324 | 633.8 | 635.2 | 638.3 | 6398. | 6433 | 644.8 | 64616 | 631.3 | 661 | 661.35 | 6707 | 6721 | 6751 | 678.02 | 680.441 | 68271 | 68975 | 8971 | \% 63.1 |
| Number picked | 368 | 147 | 190 | 189 | 331 | 180 | 119 | 295 | 351 | 347 | 314 | 483 | 543 | 353 | 174 | 244 | 406 | 237 | 413 | 158 | 626 |
| No/20CC | 2944 | 2352 | 6080 | 756 | 2648 | 1440 | 1904 | 4720 | 5616 | 5552 | 2512 | 15456 | 4344 | 2824 | 1392 | 976 | 3248 | 946 | 6608 | 5056 | 5008 |
| \% calcarcoos forms of todel fana | 269 | 12.3 | 1 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 46.5 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 347 | 2.8 | 04 | 14 | 431 | 9 | 236 | 15.6 | 346 | 89.2 | 8.7 |
| \% benthe framo in total fama | 50 | 674 | 95.5 | 96.5 | 991 | 32.9 | 16 | 100 | 100 | 906 | 521 | 901 | 996 | 977 | 527 | 816 | 78.5 | 82.3 | 648 | 27.5 | 89 |
| \% Frapments of whole planitionca | 711 | 641 | 35 | 88.8 | 81.3 | 63 | 57.8 | 0 | 0 | 95.2 | 51.8 | 58.3 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 567 | 78 | 69.8 | 85 | 74.8 | 74 |
| Lemizubre spp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Nomon spp | 79 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 00 | 00 | 52 | 3.2 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 23 | 00 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 41 | 127 | 0.6 |
| Noruonela 5 m | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | Q 0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 00 |
| Astronomion echole | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0,0 |
| Astronomion umblicarwium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 02 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elphuixum? ${ }^{\text {sp }}$ | ca | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Pullcua bullordes | 03 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 17 | 17 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 04 | 00 | 00 | 17 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 25 | 0.3 |
| $\bar{P}$ quanquidaba | 1.5 | 34 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 28 | 34 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 1.9 | 02 | 00 | 03 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 17 | 44 | 1.4 |
| Melons bariecoucrioffins | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 1.6 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 02 | 13 | 0.0 |
| M. Pompationde | 0.5 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 25 | 00 | 00 | 14 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 00 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 00 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Flomios sp | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Spha croudna bellorde | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 11 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Ondorads mombonatis | 0.0 | 07 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 17 | 6.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 23 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 04 | 00 | 17 | 56 | 6.3 | 14 |
| Ptanuina rugosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Planulna uxellersorfi | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 0.8 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 00 | 00 | 07 | 19 | 00 |
| Cibucides lobertuw | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | co | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 00 |
| Cibuadoude brady | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 00 |
| Cspa | 0.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 11 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Rasabra $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sp }}$. | 0.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Groidua soldanu | 24 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 00 | 00 | 09 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 10 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 00 |
| Gaveluppus proezco | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.1 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 00 |
| Valvuloreral locuigata | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Nuptrallides imbontera | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 10 | 47 | 13 | 24 | 57 | 2.7 |
| Hoegimadra elegans | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 O | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Epramunclla crevia | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 06 | 50 | 17 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 29 | 0.2 | 00 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 00 |
| Eponder polus | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| E tumadium | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 44 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 02 | 00 | 07 | 6.3 | 00 |
| Epondes meddellensu | 4.5 | 14 | 0.0 | 11 | 00 | 67 | 126 | 00 | 00 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 02 | 00 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.8 | 20 | 30 | 77 | 10.8 | 0.0 |
| ETma | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 25 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | ro | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Ducontorella berheiora | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | $0{ }^{-}$ | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Globocasudulua subglobosa | 3.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 56 | 25 | 0.0 | 00 | 14 | 41 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17 | 04 | 37 | 13 | 4.8 | 146 | 0.3 |
| Cassdultra laongota | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| C. renforme | 03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.2 | 06 | 00 |
| Cx | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Cosodulunoides semus | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Uruderexfified rocaluds | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 2.2 | 08 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 2.0 | 0.5 | $0 \times$ | 0.5 | 1.9 | 00 |
| Ungecriap peregrina | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 06 |
| $U_{\text {Probosadea }}$ | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| $U_{\text {s }}$ | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 03 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |


| Site 6468 Cort | 75x-5 | $76 \times 2$ | 77x-5 | $78 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 79x-CC | 80x-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 30-34 | 30-34 | 28.24 | 26.30 | 40-44 | 30.34 |
| Depth (in subbotom) | 1149 | 7203 | 734.1 | 739.75 | 750.64 | 758.8 |
| Number picked | 1535 | 1731 | 165 | 348 | 238 | 332 |
| Nariocc | 12208 | 13843 | 330 | 696 | 476 | 332 |
| \% calcarcors forms of toxal fruna | 0 | 0 | 6.1 | 98 | 73.5 | 62.9 |
| \% benthic furems in total fuma | 100 | 100 | 100 | 48.5 | 11.7 | 21.7 |
| \% Fragments of wide p panktosics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 22.4 | 24.8 |
| Lenticulina Spa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nanion spa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 1.2 |
| Nonionella spp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Astrononion echolsi | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Astronomion umbilicatulum | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 07 |
| Elphidium? sp . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Pulleria bulloides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| P. quinqueloba | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 09 |
| Mclonis barlecanumefefinis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| M. pomprilioides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Fiorikosp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sphaeroidina bulloides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Oridorsalis umbonatus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 3.0 |
| Planulina rugasa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Plerutina weellersorf | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Cibicides lobatulks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.0 |
| Cibicidoidas bradyi | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Cspp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rosalina ? ${ }^{\text {sp }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Gypoidina soldanii | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29 | 13 | 0.9 |
| $G$ Gunelinopsis proegeri | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lericarinine Pauperas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Valuwlincria lecvizea | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nutuallides wemborijera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hoeghandina elegans | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Epitaminella exigua | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 18.9 | 6.3 |
| Eponides polius | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| E. tumidulus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Eponides weddellensis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| E. 3 spA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dixaorbinella berheloti | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Globocastdulina subglobasa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 2.1 |
| Casidulioralaerigxa | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C. reniforme | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C.sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Caxsidulinoides ternis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Unidersificel rotalids | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Uvigerina peregrina | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| U. proboscidea | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| U. sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |




| Site 6468 Core | 60x-3 | 60 xCC | $61 \times 1$ | 61x-2 | 61x-3 | $61 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 62x-1 | 62x-2 | $62 x-3$ | 62x-4 | 62x-5 | 63x-1 | 63x-2 | 63 xCC | 64x-1 | $65 \times 1$ | 65x-3 | 65x-5 | 65 x -7 | 65x-CC\| | $66 \times 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 32-36 | 30.34 | 80.84 | 20.24 | 3034 | 3034 | 44-48 | 50.54 | 80.84 | 56-60 | 26-30 | 60-64 | 26-30 | 10-14 | $80-84$ | 80.84 | 60.64 | 40-44 | 20-24 | 20.24 | 90.94 |
| Rectuviterina spa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 00 | 80 | 0 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 60 | 00 |
|  | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Ekrabergina ingema | 0.0 | 00 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Bumane alcraetrir | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| A feselileim | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| B, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0 C | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Robertanomes charlotenst | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Bodwne pyemose | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Acf.pyzmess | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 C | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Bathriaptaudopicata | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | co | 0.0 | 07 |
| R Pesulathalmant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| B smppke | 00 | 00 | co | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| A psewdopunase | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| H. loxmman | 00 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 04 |
| A mafter | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| R f alota | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| ${ }_{B} \mathrm{spp}$ a | 2.8 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 02 |
| Nodosaria sp. | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Fwientoona furformes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 1.8 | 0.0 | D0 | 0.0 |
| Plewroxomella opp | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.4 |
| Stilosomella sfa | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Deralina commons | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 04 |
| Gutulina sp | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.4 |
| Odedra spa | 00 | 0.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 0.4 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 03 | 00 | 12 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Lagena spp | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Fisurna mpa | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 17 | 24 | 0.9 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Qunqueloculima semonula | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | no | 00 |
| Q.sp | 0.0 | 07 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 0.9 | 00 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 1.2 | 00 | 14 | 0.6 | 00 | 04 |
| Preso spp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Sigmotrsaternas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Tosana hanzewar | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 04 | 30 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Camupprella ditfua | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 0.5 | 00 | 10 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 00 | 03 | 00 | 00 | 01 |
| $U_{\text {Indenem fied }}$ seral forns | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 1.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.6 | 00 | 00 | 13 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Karrenclla brady | 0.7 | 0,3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 11 |
| Marmothella compunus | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 11 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Siphotextulara Sp | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Trachammene of squamare | 10.5 | 365 | 251 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 00 | 00 | 668 | 27.3 | 00 | 101 | 186 | 50.3 | 16.5 | 00 | 54.3 | 94.0 | 156 | 73.5 | 797 | 280 |
| Trachammusa Jp/ | 8.4 | 14 | 22.3 | 25.8 | 177 | 43.3 | 164 | 00 | 00 | 166 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 56 | 247 | 06 | 0.5 | 00 | 21 | 54 | 6.3 |
| Cralommuna carcellata | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 08 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 2.7 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Ammactum spa | 0.0 | 24 | 31 | 00 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 104 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.3 | 00 | 30 | 2.7 | 23 | 2.4 | 03 | 0.0 |
| Recurnordes 3 P. | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 07 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 11 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Haplophragmoder | 07 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 5.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Miluammuna fiuca | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Ammobocalies 5 R | 3.5 | 00 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 04 | 1.9 | 00 | 66 | 1 S | 21 | 00 | 23 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 11 | 00 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 06 | 14 | 1.5 |
| $S_{\text {Sgmalopsu }}$ | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 09 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |



| Site 646B Core | 75x-5 | 76x-2 | 77x-5 | $78 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 79x-CC | 80x-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 36.34 | 36.34 | 8024 | 26-30 | 40-44 | 30.34 |
| Rectivizerina spp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Tuinna angulosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ehrenbergma Ingona | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Sulomore elazaoss | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| If tesuellate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| B. sp . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Globobwizmuna वunfoulara | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0 C | 1.5 |
| Roberturude chat cotenss | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Bolverapysmoea | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 00 |
| A. f pyzmosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bedinna pseudicploata | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| R. somplex | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| A peemdeppancara | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| A lowmarn | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 |
| B. nffata | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.3 |
| B. 9 alata | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| R. sp m | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Nodavarua 5 p. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 04 | 0.0 |
| Fursenkoma funformes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.9 |
| Plewrosomella sop | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 04 | 0.0 |
| Sulostomella pp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Dexalina commenr | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Gutulma ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Odina spa. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Lagena apa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Fizewrua spp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Qunnqueloculima semorula | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 21 |
| Q sp | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Purgospa | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Sigmoth:strime | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Tasata hanzamax | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Comuspurella difiva | 0.1 | 01 | 6.1 | 164 | 4.6 | 196 |
| $U_{\text {nudent fied Seral forms }}$ | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 04 | 4.8 |
| Karrenella brady: | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Martmotrella comvinis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Sphtoteruluana sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Trochammune of squmaxa | 99.9 | 999 | 891 | 204 | 26.9 | 34.3 |
| Trochamswum saw | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Cy-lommuna cancellara | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Ammotum sp A | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Renuwordes sp. | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Haplophragmodes | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Mifiammma fusca | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Ammobocultuen Sn | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Sigmotepss schilsmbergen | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 00 |


| Site 6468 Core | 41x-2 | 41x-6 | 42x-1 | 42x-3 | 42x-5 | 42x-7 | 431-2 | 43xCC | $44 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 45x-1 | 45xCC | 46x-2 | 46x-4 | 46xCC | 47x-2 | 47xCC | 48x-1 | 48xCC | 49x-1 | 49xCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 130-134 | 70.74 | 100-104 | 116-120 | 90.94 | 20-24 | 50.54 | 10-14 | 30-34 | 20-24 | 20-24 | 80-84 | 20-24 | 2-24 | 70-74 | 10-14 | 110-114 | 15-19 | 68.64 | 20-24 |
| S.sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 3 | 00 | 3.6 | 04 | 04 | 07 | 0.7 |
| Sproplectammina 3 , | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ingenammun Sm | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 00 | 27 | 07 | 23 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 04 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Psammaphaera spp | 0.0 | 0.6 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 00 | 00 | 94 | 00 | 57 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 29 | 12 | 9.5 | 08 | 0.7 | 2.6 |
| Sacammenasp. | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 49 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 02 | 04 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 14 | 18 | 11 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Rhzzommona spa | 0.0 | 00 | 29.5 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 331 | 2.9 | 00 | 15.8 | 57 | 91 | 4.3 | 151 | 30.3 | 394 | 161 | 337 | 49.8 | 30.9 |
| Dendrophryasp. | 00 | 0.0 | 11 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 02 | 00 | 00 | 06 | 14 | 5.5 | 04 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 11 |
| Bigenernat sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Glomapiou sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recophax.sp | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 10 | 00 | 00 | 0.9 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 04 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Terrulanasp | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ammodiscus 3 S | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Viduelora spersosa | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 00 | 91 | 0.0 | 00 | 1.5 | 31 | 34 | 00 | 67 | 1.5 | 34 | 30 | 8.3 | 00 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 00 | 8.5 | 130 |


| Site 646B Core | 50x-1 | 50x-2 | 50x-3 | 50xCC | 51x-1 | 52xCC | 54x 1 | 54x 1 | 54x 3 | 55x-2 | 55x-3 | 55xCC | 56x-1 | 56x-2 | 56xCC | 57x-3 | 57x-4 | $57 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 58x-1 | 58x-2 | 59xCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 62-66 | 30-34 | 22-26 | 30-34 | 88.84 | 20-24 | 6 6. 6 d | 140-144 | 16-26 | 88.84 | 27-31 | 10-14 | 110-114 | 60-64 | 20-24 | 40-44 | 21-26 | 20.24 | 56-54 | 40-44 | 20-24 |
| S. p ¢ | 03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 09 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 00 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 04 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Spuroplectarnmina 3p | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Lagenammuna sp | 21 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 29 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 09 | 00 | 00 | 04 | 1.3 | 07 | 30 |
| Psammorphaera Spp | 5.2 | 0.9 | 8.9 | 5.9 | 75 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 16.2 | 11.8 | 45 | 71 | 0.0 | 00 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Sacanmmasa | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Rheammила spp | 30.9 | 9.0 | 120 | 3.9 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 31 | 57 | 21.5 | 8.9 | 100 | 13.9 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 271 | 15.3 | 26.2 | 7.5 |
| Dendropkryasp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 16 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 00 | 04 |
| Bramerna? sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Glomopurn sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 25.2 | 00 |
| Reophaxsp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 00 | 08 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 04 |
| Textulana ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| A nmoducus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Vulvulixa spanosa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Unriemified astiutnued forms | 85 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 14.2 | 227 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 56 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 232 | 91 | 130 | 29 | 16 | 10.8 | 12.5 | 25.2 | 22.0 |


| Ste 646B Core | 60x-3 | $6 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | $61 \mathrm{x}-1$ | $615-2$ | 61x-3 | $61 \times \mathrm{CC}$ | 62x-1 | 62x-2 | 62x-3 | $62 \mathrm{x}-4$ | 62x-5 | 63x-1 | $63 \mathrm{x}-2$ | 63xCC | 64x-1 | $65 \mathrm{x}-1$ | 65x-3 | 65x-5 | 65x-7 | 65x-CC | $66 \mathrm{x}-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | 32.36 | 30.34 | 880.84 | $20-24$ | 30-34 | 30.34 | 44.48 | \%6.54 | 18084 | 56-60 | 26.30 | 60-64 | 26-30 | 10~14 | 8084 | 80.84 | 60-64 | 40-44 | 20-24 | 20-24 | 90.94 |
| 5.9 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 21 | 00 | 18 | 00 | 18 | 19 | 4.5 | 30 | 99 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Sproplectammixa sp. | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Lasenammura sp | 00 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 10 | 04 | 1.9 | 00 | 0. | 70 | 21 | 1.8 | 51 | 07 | 38 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| P3anmoxphnera spR | 14 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 91 | 6.7 | 72 | 11 | 48 | 6.4 | 00 | 98 | 00 | 25 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 03 | 07 |
| Sacammхпа sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 07 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Rиzzammuки spp | 14.0 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 28.2 | 240 | 150 | 9.2 | 47 | 123 | 226 | 27.2 | 70 | 149 | 391 | 5.5 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 57 | 20 | 4.9 |
| Dendrophryasp. | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 07 | 1.2 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 24 | 00 | 0.3 | 08 | 00 | 00 | 00 | v0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Btgenerrua sp. | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 14 | 46 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Clormospura 3 S | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Recphax sp | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 36 | 47 | 03 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 |
| Textulara sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 07 | 0.4 | 06 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 12 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Ammodiscos sp | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| $V$ Vuveliva sprnosa | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Unideratfed asgiutinated forms | 217 | 193 | 214 | 247 | 25.2 | 139 | 30.5 | 08 | 101 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 2391 | 118 | 102 | 82 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 05 | 27 | 29 | 93 |


| Site 646B Core | 66xCC | 67x-1 | 67x-2 | 67x-3 | 67x 5 | 67x-6 | 68x-2 | $68 \times 3$ | 68x-4 | 69x-1 | 70x-1 | 70xCC | 71x-1 | 71x-2 | 71x-4 | 71x-6 | 72x-1 | 72xCC | 73x.1 | 73 xCC | 74x-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Interval (cm) | 20-24 | 6.64 | 30.34 | 40-44 | 50.34 | 30.54 | 36.54 | 30-54 | 36-46 | 40-4t | 30-54 | 2024 | 36.54 | $40-44$ | 40-44 | 32-36 | 72.76 | 60 | 46.50 | 10-14 | 30.34 |
| §5 | 08 | 0.7 | 89 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 00 | 17 | 2.4 | 83 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Spruplecammina sp | 00 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Laserammua sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 61 | 26 | 0.0 | 60 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | c. 0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Psaxemoxphaena 3 PR | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17 | 41 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 08 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Sасатиыат эа | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 6.5 | 2.0 | 284 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 227 | 424 | 10.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 02 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Dendrophyyasp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| $B_{\text {igenersap }}$ sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Glomapina | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Reophax sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.5 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 24 | 4.8 | 20 | 1.6 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | vo | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Terridarasp | 0.5 | 0.0 | 60 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Ammodicas Sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 08 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vuluvitma sporase | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 11 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Undentrifed asglutuated forms | 9.8 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 12.2 | 15 | 11 | 4.2 | 61 | 00 | 29 | 0.6 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |


| Site 6468 Core | 75x.5 | 76x.2 | 77x.5 | 78xCC | 79x-CC | $80 \times 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval (cm) | $30 \cdot 34$ | 3534 | 20.24 | 23.36 | 40.44 | 30-34 |
| S.sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sproplectammın sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Logexaminia 5 a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Prowmosphaera spa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sacemmma sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Rirommena SPR | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 04 | 0.0 |
| Dendrophryasp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Bigcterema? sp. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Glomaypira $\mathrm{sp}^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Reophax sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Terwaria Sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 |
| Ammodiscus sp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Vulvulina spinasa | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Unidexifited geg imunared forms | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 00 |
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