

Item: Senate Minutes, October 1987
Call Number: UA-5, Accession 2007-039, Box 6

Additional Notes:

This document is a compilation of Senate minutes, staff matters and miscellaneous documents for October 1987. The documents have been ordered chronologically and made OCR for ease of searching. The original documents and additional documents for this year which have not yet been digitized can be found in the Dalhousie University Senate fonds (UA-5) at the Dalhousie University Archives and Special Collections.

The original materials and additional materials which have not been digitized can be found in the Dalhousie University Archives and Special Collections using the call number referenced above.

In most cases, copyright is held by Dalhousie University. Some materials may be in the public domain or have copyright held by another party. It is your responsibility to ensure that you use all library materials in accordance with the Copyright Act of Canada. Please contact the Copyright Office if you have questions about copyright, fair dealing, and the public domain.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
MINUTES OF SENATE MEETING

Senate met in regular session in the Senate and Board Room on Friday, 9 October 1987 at 4:00 P.M.

Present with Mr. W.E. Jones in the chair were the following:

Andrews, Angelopoulos, Betts, Birdsall, Boyd, Bradfield, Brady, Byham, T.S. Cameron, Chaytor, Cromwell, Cross, Duff, Dykstra, Flint, Forgay, George, Greenfield, Green, P. Jones, Leffek, MacDougall, R.C. MacKay, Myers, D.W.P. O'Brien, Ozier, Pooley, Richards, Ritchie, Rodger, Russell, Sastri, Stern, Storey, Tamlyn, Walker, Wien, Zayid.

Regrets: Badley, Black, A.D. Cohen, Hersom, J.V. Jones, Konok, Maloney, Munroe, M.H. Tan, Wassersug, Welch, Williams, Writer, Zakariasen.

87:129.

Report of the Ad Hoc Committee to Hear Student Appeal
[IN CAMERA]

Mr. W.E. Jones introduced the appellant's attorney and a representative from the Student Advocacy Service and referred members to the report of the ad hoc appeals committee which had been precirculated.

It was moved and seconded (Cromwell/Cross)

to ratify the recommendations incorporated in the report.

The Chair of the ad hoc committee and the attorney responded to a few questions from Senators.

The motion carried.

87:130.

Minutes of Meeting of 14 September 1987

The minutes of the meeting of 14 September were accepted upon motion (Rodger/S. Cameron) with the following amendments: p. 3, line 3 - "subtextual"; p. 4, line 22 and p. 5, line 44 "Commons", not "Representatives"; and "NSCUFA" to be added to p. 3, line 34 after "CAUT". Mr. S. Cameron's name was omitted from the list of those present.

87:131.

Matters Arising from the Minutes

Mr. Bradfield reminded members of his request for a report on last year's expenditures for Howe Hall and Dalplex.

Mr. Rodger expressed grave concern that the mail ballot and appended documentation concerning the proposed changes to the Statute and the Constitutional Provisions did not accurately reflect the terminology and numbering of the Act itself.

87:132.

New Members of Senate

The Chair noted and welcomed the following new members of Senate:

Professor Vaughan Black - Elected - Faculty of Law
Dr. Marion G. Fry - President-elect - King's College
Dr. Heli Munroe - Acting Director of the School of Occupational Therapy
Dr. Anthony Richards - Elected - Health Professions

87:133-

Awarding of Degrees -- Fall Convocation 1987

Faculty of Arts and Science

It was agreed upon motion (Betts/S. Cameron)

that the students identified on a list forwarded to the Secretary of Senate be awarded the following degrees, diplomas and certificates.

Bachelor of Arts (Honours 6)	58
Bachelor of Arts Honours Certificate (Honours 1)	1
Bachelor of Science (Distinction 2, Honours 1)	69
Bachelor of Science Honours Certificate (Honours 4)	4
Bachelor of Education	10
Certificate in Educational Administration	4
Diploma in Engineering	24
TOTAL	170

Faculty of Health Professions

There was a consensus upon motion (Green/P. Stern)

that the following degrees, diplomas and certificates be awarded.

Bachelor of Science (Occupational Therapy) 1

Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy	2
B.Sc. (Physiotherapy)	2
Bachelor of Physical Education	3
Bachelor of Science (Kinesiology)	1
Bachelor of Science (Health Education)	2
Bachelor of Recreation	3
Bachelor of Nursing	31
(Distinction 3)	
Diploma in Outpost & Community Health Nursing	2
Bachelor of Social Work	10
(Distinction 4)	
TOTAL	57

Faculty of Graduate Studies

There was agreement upon motion (Leffek/Ritchie)

that those names recommended on a list forwarded to the Secretary of Senate be awarded the following degrees.

Doctor of Philosophy	20
Master of Arts	36
Master of Business Administration	17
Master of Development Economics	6
Master of Education	10
Master of Environmental Studies	5
Master of Health Services Administration	1
Master of Laws	6
Master of Library and Information Studies	2
Master of Nursing	7
Master of Science	54
Master of Public Administration	2
Master of Social Work	12
Master of Arts in Teaching (French).....	1

Faculty of Management Studies

It was agreed upon motion (George/Storey)

that the following degrees be awarded.

Bachelor of Commerce	32
(First Class Honours 1)	

Faculty of Law

There was agreement upon motion (Christie/Ozier)

that the following degrees be awarded.

Bachelor of Laws	4
------------------------	---

It was further agreed upon motion (Christie/Rodger)

that the Dean of the appropriate Faculty and the Registrar be authorized to add to the graduation list the names of any additional students which have been omitted from the graduation list due to errors on the part of the university or one of its servants.

87:134. Proposed Jurisdiction of the Senate Academic Appeals Committee

A memorandum from the Steering Committee outlining the background to the jurisdictional question reminded members of pertinent motions passed by Senate on 21 March 1983 and 24 October 1983 and of current practice for Senate ratification of the reports of hearing panels on individual appeals.

Mr. Wien moved on behalf of the Steering Committee

that Senate rescind Clause 3(a) of the motion of 21 March 1983 and confirm current practice as the Senate procedures for ratification of decisions of Academic Appeal Hearing Panels.

Mr. Christie referred to the long, tortuous nature of the debate on the jurisdiction of SAAC, but was concerned that rescinding Clause 3(a) would remove from SAAC the jurisdiction that it now has. Mr. Rodger agreed that 3(a) should not be rescinded. Ms. Ozier and Mr. W. Jones interpreted Clause 3(a) as giving the authority of Senate to SAAC. President Clark contended that the requirements of natural justice dictated that the body making decisions should be the same as the body which hears the case. He therefore urged that Senate give the decision-making authority to the committee which does the hearing.

An amendment was moved and seconded (Rodger/Christie)

to remove the words "rescind Clause 3(a) of the motion of 21 March 1983 and"

The Secretary commented that this amendment reflected the spirit of recent CAA discussions on this issue.

The amendment carried.

Mr. Crocker claimed that as Senate was too large a body to sit and determine outcomes for cases, it was in the best interest of Senate to give total jurisdiction to SAAC. Accordingly, he recommended rescinding current practice. Ms. Ozier considered that the amended motion could be misleading to students by conveying the allusion of a "last kick at the can". She added that she intended to give notice of a motion that Senate reconfirm 3(a) if the amended motion failed. Ms. Zayid spoke in favour of the amended motion, as current practice provided the opportunity for students to argue to another body. Mr. S. Cameron and Mr. Rodger favoured confirmation of current practice.

The amended motion carried.

Amended Motion:

that Senate confirm current practice as the Senate procedures for ratification of decisions of Academic Appeal Hearing Panels.

87:135-

Question Period

(1) Killam Endowment and Anonymous Donors Funds

In response to a question raised in June, a memorandum dated 11 September 1987 from Mr. Mason provided a brief description of the purpose of these funds, reported on yearly revenues and expenditures, and recorded opening and closing balances.

Mr. Andrews requested a definition of the words "the Arts" which appeared in two places under "purpose of fund". Mr. Bradfield inquired whether "the interest on the opening balances (\$2.4 million in 1986/87) is recorded as part of the earnings of those funds or is it recorded as general revenues or as an offset to other interest expenses". Mr. W.E. Jones assured Mr. Andrews and Mr. Bradfield that these queries would be directed to Mr. Mason.

Mr. Rodger, responding to a query of Mr. Bradfield, indicated that Canelco monies were part, not over and above original targeted amounts for capital campaign funds.

87:136.

Committee on Committees

Mr. Boyd, on behalf of the Committee on Committees, presented nominations for various Senate committees which had been identified in a memorandum dated 21 September 1987. This had been precirculated with the curricula vitarum of the nominees. Mr. Rodger wondered why there had not been a woman nominated to the President's Council. Mr. Boyd assured him that nominations of women as members of all committees was typical practice of the committee. Following three calls for further nominations from the Chair, the following individuals were declared elected to committees of Senate.

Senate Library Committee

J. Fingard (History) - 1990 Y. Shafai (Business Administration) - 1990

Senate Committee on Academic Administration

P.E. Darby (Law) - 1989

President's Council

A.E. Kennedy (English)

Senate Academic Appeals Committee

H.A. Kaiser (Law) - 1990

Senate Computer Advisory Committee

J. Bankier (Law) - 1990

T.P. Forrest (Chemistry) - 1990

Senate Representative on the University Parking Committee

D.G. Pentz (Dentistry)

Senate Representative on Alumni and Community Relations

D.W. Stairs (Political Science)

Senate Representative on the President's Advisory Committee on the Hiring of Women, Handicapped Persons and Members of Minority Groups

B.A. Keddy (Nursing/Sociology and Social Anthropology)

Senate Representative on the University Public Relations Committee

H.C. Ballem (Recreation, Physical and Health Education)

Dalhousie Representatives on the Senate of Mt. St. Vincent University

M.L. Courtney (Maritime School of Social Work)

Mr. W.E. Jones indicated that the Secretary of Senate had requested annual reports from representatives of Senate on various bodies. These could be expected to be received by Senate shortly. Mr. Boyd commented that the Committee on Committees was always pleased to receive nominations.

87:137-

Report of the President

President Clark referred members to a brief written report which would be appended to the Senate minutes. This document provided an update on the Dalhousie University symposium on the enhancement of undergraduate education and on the National Forum on Post-Secondary Education. Mr. Wien explained that the planning committee for the symposium was in place, that major themes had been delineated, that tentative dates had been identified as March 3 - 5, 1988, and that data was being collected on the various themes. Some discussion ensued, contributed to by Messrs. Betts, Christie, Cross, Myers, Rodger, Wien, Ms. Ozier, Ms. Zayid and President Clark, concerning the representativeness and goals and authority of the National Forum. Mr. Cross hoped that the President would be cautious about endorsing the recommendations of a body overwhelmingly composed of bureaucrats. Ms. Tamlyn suggested that the symposium might address the prevalent belief that teaching is undervalued. President Clark informed Mr. Rodger that accessibility and financial support would be key topics at the Forum. Mr. Andrews wondered whether the impact of the free trade

deal would be addressed.

87:138.

Recommendations from the Senate Academic Planning Committee on Instructional Development

Three recommendations from "1987 and Beyond" had not been dealt with previously by Senate, specifically, 7.1.1, 7.1.2, 7.1.3, as a proposal from the President concerning the establishment of a Senate Committee on Instructional Development was anticipated. Recommendation 7.1.1 had been amended slightly by APC to reflect this proposal by removing the words "and Technology" from the title of the committee.

Recommendation 7.1.1

It was agreed upon motion (Wien/Duff)

that Senate establish a Committee on Instructional Development.

Recommendation 7.1.2

It was moved and seconded (Wien/Duff)

that the President establish an Office of Instructional Development with sufficient personnel and related resources to conduct and coordinate instructional development activities across campus.

Mr. Andrews wished to know the source of funds for personnel and resources referred to in the motion. Mr. Wien did not believe that additional resources were necessarily involved but was unable to give categorical assurance that no funds would be needed. President Clark assured Mr. Cross that existing services and activities would be subsumed within the proposed Office of Instructional Development. Mr. Betts believed that the university did not have sufficient funds to support new undertakings as existing programs were in jeopardy. President Clark reassured Ms. Ozier that APC and the President's Office had designated instructional development as a priority. Accordingly, following analysis, resources could be expected to be assigned to this priority.

Following reference to recommendation 7.1.3, Mr. Andrews suggested an amendment to 7.1.2, specifically, that the words "as may be provided through the Redistribution and Development Funds" be added after "resources". Mr. W.E. Jones commented that these funds would not be the only sources of monies and that an extensive reorganization was anticipated. Mr. Cross urged Senators to support the motion as it stands, as it actually declared a priority which was the original intent of academic planning, and as funding would be fought through the budgetary process which was already in place.

The motion carried.

Recommendation 7.1.3

It was moved and seconded (Wien/Duff)

that proposals for the enhancement of instructional development services and technology be eligible for support from the Redistribution and Development Funds in accordance with the academic plan prepared by the Office of Instructional Development.

Mr. Andrews sought clarification as to whether proposals would be received only from Faculties. Mr. Wien commented that APC had received applications for funding in the past from units such as the Library system and Computing Services.

Mr. Betts stated that most of the proposals from the Faculty of Arts and Science for development funding had been for the support of instructional development and technology, yet these had been unsuccessful.

Mr. Bradfield thought that proposals from other areas such as computing should have the support of Faculties.

An amendment was moved and seconded (Bradfield/S. Cameron)

that the words "from Faculties" be inserted following "proposals".

Mr. Birdsall explained that the recommendation had arisen from the Presidential Advisory Committee on Teaching and Learning report. The original idea had been that the Office on Instructional Development would prepare an academic plan and would consequently participate in the Redistribution and Development funding process. Mr. P. Jones explained that Computing Services participated at Senate's recommendation in the Redistribution and Development funding process. Frequently, proposals cut across several Faculties and consequently require the support of more than one Faculty.

Ms. Ritchie commented that APC had received very worthy proposals from units which were not tied to Faculties. Others were written in collaboration with more than one Faculty. Accordingly, the amendment would unduly tie the hands of the committee.

Mr. Rodger supported the amendment as units would be guaranteed of success if they had the support of Faculties and as the budget situation was horrendous.

Mr. Wien wondered if the amendment was misplaced in location and if the words "in consultation with Faculties" should be added at the end of the motion.

87:139.

Adjournment

The Chair noted that the time was 6:00 P.M.

It was agreed upon motion (Christie) that the meeting adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 6:01 P.M.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
MINUTES OF SENATE MEETING

Senate met in regular session in the Senate and Board Room on Monday, October 26, 1987.

Present with Mr. W.E. Jones in the chair were the following:

Andrews, Angelopoulos, Aspinall, Baker, Betts, Birdsall, Black, Blair, Bradfield, Brady, T.S. Cameron, Chambers, A.D. Cohen, Crocker, Cromwell, Dickson, Duff, Dykstra, Egan, Gwyn, James, P. Jones, Kiang, Leffek, D. Lewis, Littler, MacAulay, MacRae, Manning, Mason, Munroe, Nance, Ozier, Pooley, Retallack, Richards, Ritchie, Rodger, Russell, Schwenger, Sinclair, M. Stewart, Stuttard, Szerb, Tamlyn, Tindall, Wainwright, Walker, Winham .

Invitees: B. D. Christie

Regrets: Badley, Fry, Konok, Maloney, M. Mezei, Precious, M.H. Tan, Zakariasen, Zayid.

87:140.

1987 and Beyond -- Recommendation 7.1.3

It was moved and seconded (Cromwell/Duff)

that proposals for the enhancement of instructional development services and technology be eligible for support from the Redistribution and Development Funds in accordance with the academic plan prepared by the Office of Instructional Development.

An amendment was moved (Bradfield/Rodger)

that the words "from Faculties" be inserted after proposals (line 1)

Mr. Cromwell believed that it would be appropriate to have proposals come from Faculties and from academic service units. Mr. W. Jones added that the Presidential Advisory Committee on Teaching and Learning and the APC envisaged that proposals coming under the jurisdiction of the Office of Instructional Development might emanate from Henson College, Centres and Institutes or Audio-Visual Services, in addition to Faculties. Mr. Rodger clarified that the amendment was intended to ensure that monies put into instructional development would be of interest to and hence used by Faculties. Mr. Sinclair believed that a preferable amendment would be that the academic plan from the Office of Instructional Development would be prepared in consultation with Faculties. Mr. Bradfield stated that the intent of the amendment was to ensure that those who ask for instructional resources are, in fact, teachers. He was prepared to add "and other teaching units to the amendment. Ms. Ozier commented that it would be preferable if the Office of Instructional Development competed independently for funds, as it was considered a campus-wide priority. Mr. Birdsall informed Senators that the original intent of the Advisory Committee on Teaching and Learning was that the process be somewhat analogous to libraries, in that the responsibility would be placed in the office expected to prepare the academic plan. Hence, the office should compete in the open mechanism for funding. Mr. Stuttard added that

requests for funding would indirectly indicate use by Faculties as the Office would receive requests from Faculties for instructional development. Mr. Winham contended that the Development Fund created the potential for creativity. Hence, it would be preferable if proposals, not necessarily tied to Faculties, came forward for review by APC. Mr. Betts spoke in favour of the amendment, as two parallel priority-setting processes could be confusing. Mr. W. Jones interpreted the motion as meaning consecutive, not parallel, priority-setting processes. Mr. Bradfield believed that the amendment would not prevent the process from proceeding as Mr. Winham had described.

The amendment failed.

The main motion carried.

The Chair informed Senate that the reprinted document with the revised recommendations would be made available to Senate members who wished a copy in the near future.

87:141.

Proposed Senate Committee on Instructional Development

Mr. Jones referred members to the 22 September 1987 precirculated memorandum entitled "Recommendations from the Senate Academic Planning Committee on Instructional Development and to the 2 September 1987 correspondence from President Clark. It was moved and seconded (Sinclair/Angelopoulos)

that the draft terms of reference for the Senate Committee on Instructional Development identified in Attachment I to the President's letter be accepted.

An amendment (Rodger/Stuttard) was moved:

that the second term of reference be prefaced by the words while acknowledging the essential need for academic freedom".

The amendment carried.

In response to contributions made by Messrs. Lewis, Sinclair, Christie, and Ms. Ozier, the draft terms of reference were reordered as #3, 5, 4, 2, 1 upon friendly amendment.

Mr. Bradfield's suggestion that the words "encouraging and" precede "assessing" in new #5, was taken as a friendly amendment. It was moved and seconded (Betts/Bradfield)

that the phrase and at their request precede Faculties in the new #5.

Mr. Andrews supported the amendment. The amendment passed (a tie vote was broken by the Chair).

The removal of the word "needed" from new #2, recommended by Mr. Bradfield, was accepted as a friendly amendment.

A further amendment was accepted upon motion (Lewis/Egan)

that the words "and the President" be struck from the new #1.

The main motion as amended carried.

Mr. W. Jones brought to the attention of Senators three changes made by APC to the proposed composition of the Senate Committee on Instructional Development recommended by APC; specifically, the addition of three representatives from the Dalhousie Student Union, specification of the non-voting status of the Executive Director, and clarification of the mechanism for selecting the chairperson. On behalf of the APC, Mr. Duff moved **acceptance of the proposed membership, as amended by APC**. After some introductory comments concerning the large and diverse nature of the Faculty of Arts and Science, an amendment was moved and seconded (Betts/Rodger)

that the words "to be broadly representative of Faculty" replace "representing each of the 7 Faculties and Henson College."

The amendment failed.

Mr. Sinclair clarified for Ms. Ozier that the main link to the Senate Committee on Instructional Development for the audiovisual groups on campus would be through the Executive Director. Ms. Tamlyn perceived a need for coordination of work regarding classroom space and audiovisual resources.

A motion by Ms. Angelopoulos that there be two representatives from the Faculty of Arts and Science was not seconded.

Mr. Andrews inquired how the election process proposed could be reconciled with the concept of representation and the need to be accountable to Faculties not Senate. Mr. Rodger agreed, adding that the intent concerning "representing" should be explicated.

An amendment moved and seconded (Sinclair/Aspinall) to

substitute the words "one from" for "representing"

carried.

The amended motion carried.

87:142.

Report on the Implementation of Clause 26:07(a)

The Report on the Implementation of Clause 26:07(a): January 26, 1987 - September 18, 1987" (dated September 24, 1987), prepared by Vice-President Sinclair had been precirculated. Messrs. Sinclair and Murray, responding to a question posed by Mr. Betts, explained that the additional appointments made in the Faculty of Medicine resulted from an MPHEC grant and, in fact, were only two over and above the 15 positions cut. This still did not meet minimum accreditation standards. Mr. Chambers added that some appointments were linked to teaching done in the Faculty of Arts and Science. Ms. Ozier observed that one in four replacement appointments in the Faculty of Arts and Science were frozen, in spite of the recent dramatic thrust regarding undergraduate education.

87:143.

Proposal to Foster the More Effective Use of Computing and Information Technology in the Academic Programs of Dalhousie

Mr. W. Jones referred members to the four recommendations in the report, to the revised terms of reference in the appendix, and to the APC (22/9/87) recommendation to Senate to accept the proposal. Mr. Sheridan explained that these recommendations were intended to demonstrate the concerted effort to establish information technology as a priority and to encourage democratization of the process.

Mr. Duff moved on behalf of APC

that each Faculty (including Henson College) should establish or identify a Faculty Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee. The size and composition of these committees should be determined by the Faculties concerned. The principal task of these committees should be planning the integration of computing and information technology into the academic programs of the Faculty.

Mr. Betts was unsure of the necessity of having a Faculty Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee. Ms. Bankier clarified that this would reflect the importance of information technology, would define information technology for particular disciplines, would provide a systematic mechanism for considering decisions, and would alleviate workloads for some overburdened experts. Mr. Betts indicated that he was inclined to have the Academic and Financial Planning Committees in his Faculty subsume this function. Mr. B. Christie believed that the recommendation encompassed this option. Mr. Andrews contended that some Faculties are not disciplines and that Faculties should not be assumed to be identical. Messrs. Sheridan and Dickson agreed with Mr. B. Christie that it was the prerogative of each Faculty to determine whether or not it wished to "double pack" existing committees, or to identify subcommittees, or some other mechanism. Mr. Rodger was concerned not only about the number of committees but about the feasibility of accomplishing the task outlined in recommendation one. Ms. Bankier acknowledged the diversity in the Faculty of Arts and Science and the need to feed back information from units to Faculties.

The motion carried.

On behalf of APC, Mr. Duff moved

that the Senate Advisory Committee on Computing be renamed the Senate Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee.

The motion carried.

Mr. Duff then moved acceptance of the suggested terms of reference as set out in the Appendix.

The motion carried.

On behalf of the APC, Mr. Duff moved

that the President and the Committee on Committees of Senate should endeavor to ensure not only that every Faculty is represented on the Senate Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee, but also that those appointed from each Faculty are active members of their Faculty's Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee.

Mr. Rodger asked for reassurance that the task of Faculty's Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee could be carried out by another committee. Mr. Sheridan, referring again to the first recommendation, agreed that this was the case "as the size and composition of these committees should be determined by the Faculties concerned . Ms. Tamlyn queried whether burnout members might not be good representatives on the Senate Committee. Mr. Rodger suggested deleting "but also . . . Committee." Ms. Ozier recommended dropping the word "active". Mr. Sheridan thought that the latter recommendation was feasible.

The amended motion carried.

Messrs. B. Christie and W. Jones explained that events had overtaken the fourth recommendation. Mr. Rodger wondered whether students would have to pay for introduction to computing in the future. Mr. W. Jones advised that a report of a subcommittee concerning this issue was under consideration by APC. It would likely come before Senate after it had been considered by the Senate Computing and Information Technology Planning Committee.

87:144.

Proposed New Program -- Bachelor of Science (Medical)

Correspondence from Mr. Dickson relaying the unanimous decision of the Faculty of Medicine to reactivate the extinct Bachelor of Science (Medical) had been precirculated along with a detailed proposal and the relevant APC minute excerpt.

On behalf of APC, Mr. Cohen moved

that Senate accept the proposal for a new Bachelor of Science (Medical) program.

Mr. Dickson explained that a very successful model had been implemented and studied at the University of Manitoba and that the Faculty of Medicine believed that students should be involved in research earlier in their academic careers. Mr. Rodger asked whether participants in the program would complete their studies at the same time as their peers and whether the program would have an impact on accreditation. Mr. Dickson responded that the accreditation process would be enhanced considerably and that the program would be completed through commitment of two summers and utilization of current elective time. He added, in response to a followup query from Mr. Rodger, that their clinical colleagues would be encouraged to take part. Mr. Bradfield inquired whether the APC minute statement that no additional funding from MPHEC would be required" had taken summer school into consideration. Mr. Dickson clarified that the cost of \$63,000 (see V. of the proposal [pg. 6]) was a stipend for students. The Faculty would not go to MPHEC for any of these funds. Further discussion participated in by

Messrs. Rodger, Dickson, Sinclair, Betts, W. Jones and Ms. Ritchie revealed that this proposal only considered the B.Sc. (Medical) which would be awarded in conjunction with the award of M.D. The issue of whether credit toward a B.Sc. could be accumulated if the M.D. was not successfully completed, could be addressed, if desired, at a later date.

The motion carried.

87:145.

Annual Report for 1986-1987 from the Senate Library Committee

The annual report had been distributed with an earlier agenda. The Chairperson of the committee, Mr. Grossert, briefly outlined the major points identified in the report. He explained that the library had gone through a difficult period, in that collections budgets and staff had been cut over the past few years. Novanet assisted in upgrading and developing the library. Expenditures over the past five years had increased in the University Library and the Kellogg Health Sciences Library and decreased in the Faculty of Law.

It was agreed upon motion (Dickson/Brady)

that the annual report of the Senate Library Committee be accepted.

Mr. W. Jones thanked Mr. Grossert for his report.

87:146.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:07 P.M.