

Item: Senate Minutes, May 1987
Call Number: UA-5, Accession 2007-039, Box 6

Additional Notes:

This document is a compilation of Senate minutes, staff matters and miscellaneous documents for May 1987. The documents have been ordered chronologically and made OCR for ease of searching. The original documents and additional documents for this year which have not yet been digitized can be found in the Dalhousie University Senate fonds (UA-5) at the Dalhousie University Archives and Special Collections.

The original materials and additional materials which have not been digitized can be found in the Dalhousie University Archives and Special Collections using the call number referenced above.

In most cases, copyright is held by Dalhousie University. Some materials may be in the public domain or have copyright held by another party. It is your responsibility to ensure that you use all library materials in accordance with the Copyright Act of Canada. Please contact the Copyright Office if you have questions about copyright, fair dealing, and the public domain.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
MINUTES OF SENATE MEETING

Senate met in special session in the Senate and Board Room on Monday, 4 May 1987 at 2:00 P.M.

Present with Mr. W.E. Jones in the chair were the following:

Andrews, Chaytor, Clark, M.L. Cross, Kimmins, MacRae, Retallack, M. Stewart.

Regrets: A.D. Cohen, J.V. Jones, R.C. MacKay, Ozier, Precious, M.H. Tan.

87:056.

Awarding of Degrees -- Nova Scotia Agricultural College

The Chair called the meeting to order at 2:01 P.M. He identified the meeting as a special session for the awarding of degrees for the Nova Scotia Agricultural College. Mr. MacRae, President of the College, apologized for the necessity of calling senators to a special meeting. He indicated that he would be proposing the awarding of 51 Bachelor of Science in Agriculture degrees, 6 with Honours and 1 with high Honours. The student with high honours will also be awarded the Governor General's medal.

Motion (H. MacRae/H. Clark):

that the persons whose names appear on the submitted list be awarded the degree Of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture.

Motion carried.

The Chair then called for a motion delegating authority to make corrections.

Motion (MacRae/Clark):

that the President of the Agricultural College and the Registrar be authorized to add to the graduation list the names of any additional students which may have been omitted from the graduation list due to errors on the part of the University or the College or one of their servants.

Motion carried.

87:057. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 2:04 P.M.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
MINUTES
OF
SENATE MEETING

Senate met in regular session in the Senate and Board Room on Monday, 8 May 1987 at 10:00 A.M.

Present with Mr. W.E. Jones in the chair were the following:

Betts, Birdsall, Borwein, Bradfield, Caty, Christie, Clark, Dunn, Egan, Field, George, Gesner, Hare, James, Kennedy, Leffek, D. Lewis, MacDougall, R.C. MacKay, Myers, O'Shea, Osberg, Pross, Retallack, Reynolds, Ritchie, Rusak, Schroeder, Sinclair, M. Stewart, Sutherland, Tonks, Wien, Yung, Traversy (invitee).

Regrets: Andrews, Easterbrook, Gratwick, J.V. Jones, Konok, Maloney, Ozier, Pooley, Wassersug, Waterson, Welch, Williams, Zakariasen.

The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Mr. W.E. Jones.

87:058.

The Secretary pointed out that the motion in part (a) of minute 87:048 should have referred to the Committee on Community Access and Service.

Minutes of Meeting of 13 April 1987

Mr. Birdsall identified an error in minute 87:047 (last paragraph, page 4). He said that the figure of 9% given for the increase in Collections Budget should have referred to last year and not this year as stated in the minute. Mr. Borwein expressed the view that this minute was somewhat abbreviated relative to the discussions. He said that he had not stated that he had requested three new journals. Mr. Osberg reminded Senate of his comments which should have been added to the penultimate paragraph of that minute, specifically, "Mr. Osberg noted in response that he understood that some \$2 million of the cost of the Law School renovations was at present unfunded and that the interest on \$2 million would fund the proposed reduction in library acquisitions in perpetuity". The last section of minute 87:045 contained a request from Mr. Bradfield for a report to Senate on savings resulting from the Ritchie process. Mr. Bradfield stated that the first sentence of that section should have included the following: "... to indicate how savings are estimated to measure increased productivity but to exclude cuts in expenditures obtained through reductions in service."

Mr. Bradfield also pointed out that his comment on the Canelco Fund in the third paragraph of minute 87:046 should have referred to the Capital Campaign and not the operating budget.

Motion:

that the minutes of 13 April 1987 be approved as corrected.

Carried.

87:059

Awarding of Degrees, Diplomas and Medals

Faculty of Management Studies (George/Wien)

Bachelor of Commerce	95
(Distinction 5, Honours 6, First Class Honours I)	
Certificate in Public Administration	5

Faculty of Health Professions (Tonks/ M. Stewart)

Bachelor of Nursing	39
(Distinction 10)	
Bachelor of Nursing (Post R.N.)	43
Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy	55
(Distinction 6)	
B.Sc. (Physiotherapy)	34
(Distinction 5)	
Bachelor of Physical Education	20
Bachelor of Recreation	13
Bachelor of Science (Health Education)	7
(Distinction 2)	
Bachelor of Science (Kinesiology)	6
(Distinction I)	

Faculty of Arts and Science (Betts/Wien)

Bachelor of Arts	276
(Distinction 5, Honours 30, First Class Honours 16)	
Bachelor of Arts Honours Certificates	11
(Honours 9, First Class Honours 2)	
Bachelor of Science	355
(Distinction 26, Honours 51, First Class Honours 14)	
Bachelor of Science Honours Certificates	22
(Honours 18, First Class Honours 4)	
Bachelor of Education	62
Bachelor of Music Education	4
Bachelor of Music	1

Diploma in Engineering	69
Diploma in Meteorology	7
Certificate in Costume Studies	13
Diploma in Costume Studies	1

Appropriate medals were moved by D. Betts, seconded by S. Cameron.

Faculty of Graduate Studies (Leffek/Myers)

Doctor of Philosophy	12
Master of Arts	14
Master of Business Administration	59
[MBA/LL.B. 4	
Master of Development Economics	3
Master of Education	23
Master of Environmental Studies	3
Master of Health Services Administration	10
Master of Laws	1
Master of Library and Information Studies	27
Master of Nursing	2
Master of Public Administration	15
Master of Science	9
Master of Social Work	2
Diploma in Public Administration	2

In presenting the names for Graduate Studies, Mr. Leffek informed Senate that this is a complete list for all Faculties and that no additional names will be submitted at the May 15 meeting.

Motion (Leffek/Tonks):

that the Dean of the appropriate faculty and the Registrar be authorized to add to the graduation list the names of any additional students which have been omitted from the graduation list due to errors on the part of the university or one of its servants.

Carried.

Mr. Pross then questioned the procedure to be followed to ensure that the awarding of prizes he recorded in the convocation document. He cited a case wherein the printing of the awarding of a prize was denied. The Vice-President (Academic) agreed to look into this matter. Mr. Tonks explained that in the Faculty of Health Professions such prizes are approved by the School Scholarship Committee and by the Faculty Scholarship Committee with the names then being sent to the Registrar. Mr. Pross recognized that this would be the same formal procedure as is applied to the awarding of degrees. The Registrar stated that she is not sure of the policy, but has done what the faculties have asked.

87:060.

Question Period

Response to Previous Question

Mr. Jones drew Senate's attention to the circulated reply from Mr. Mason on the cost of the Law Library Atrium.

Killam Endowment

Mr. Bradfield asked for a report on the allocation of revenues from each of the five Killam endowments over the last four years. The Chair indicated that he would refer the question when submitted in writing to Mr. Mason, the Vice-president (Finance and Administration).

The Library Support

Mr. Borwein asked what action had been taken in light of the recently passed motion by Senate in support of Library funding. The President replied that the Budget is still not in its final form. He said that there will be a presentation of the Budget to Senate at which time there will be full discussion. He said that given the lateness of the motion it may well have to apply to future years. Mr. Osberg said that this response is puzzling. He felt that the impetus was to have impact on this Budget. He said that if every time the action is too late, it is difficult to know how to influence the Budget. Mr. Borwein added that he thought that Senate was asking for and directing ways to avoid cuts in this year's Budget. He felt the intent of the motion was explicit. Mr. Osberg sought advice from the Chair on the necessity of engaging in preemptive motions. The President commented that he did not believe that the motion was intended to apply for one year only. He said that steps are being made to develop a formula for supporting the Library. Mr. Borwein then directed a question to the University Librarian regarding cuts. Mr. Birdsall replied that the Library will not be canceling journals for several weeks. Mr. Jones reminded Senate that we have in place an academic planning process. He said that the motion was late this year but that the Library has been a priority in the past. The Academic Planning Committee has tried to take it into account in light of what was available. Mr. Osberg commented that the Budget is already inadequate. Mr. Jones suggested that the Financial planning Committee should be asked what the effect would be on the rest of the Budget. Mr. Osberg directed a question to the Chair of the Financial planning Committee. He asked what was the nature of the recommendations by the Financial Planning Committee to the President regarding the Library. Mr. Wien replied that the Budget assumptions were discussed in December and members should have submitted their comments then. He said that the Library was considered when looking at the case for inequities. Also considered were the Faculties of Arts and Science, Management Studies, and possibly Health Professions. Mr. Kennedy asked the Secretary to find motions passed by Senate regarding the Library in the last two years. Mr. Borwein then asked Mr. Wien if the President's Office turned down a Financial planning Committee recommendation for funding for the Library, to which Mr. Wien replied "Yes".

87:061.

Report of the President

The President supplied Senate with a written report covering the topics of MPHEC funding, Women's Studies, Convocations and Cultural Activities. The report gave specific figures for the various categories of grants by MPHEC to Dalhousie and also pointed out the MPHEC requirement for deficit reduction. Funding of the Faculty of Medicine was given particular attention. A decision to reduce the entering class size in Medicine was reported as well as planned reductions in the numbers of interns and residents. The President reported that the proposed B.A. program in Women's Studies had now been submitted to MPHEC for approval. He said that this submission follows extensive discussions within the University and with Mt. St. Vincent University. Mr. Betts added that St. Mary's University had also been party to the discussions. The president invited senators to the upcoming Convocations. He reported that the Cultural Activities Committee, to which reference had been made in the March 13 meeting, had been terminated in January 1984.

Mr. Kennedy asked for an interpretation of the reduction in the Medical class size. He asked if this was a kind of restraint on the Medical School and will we see an academic plan for this. He stated that there is a need for a clear policy on areas of research that the province will fund. The President commented that in the last few months, the ability of the University to discuss matters of health and education with the three provinces had improved greatly through the efforts of a Committee of the six Deputy Ministers. He said the reduction in numbers of residents was certainly based on a 'needs study' in the three provinces. He said the reduction in entering class size for Medicine is a decision with which the Medical Faculty is comfortable. He said it should have a positive impact on the quality of the program, however, it will have little impact on c o s t .

Mr. Lewis said he would be interested in how the figures for the five main categories of grants compare with last year. Mr. Mason supplied the figures for comparison. Specifically, Flat Grant \$49,879,710, Enrolment Grant \$19,245,000, Supplementary Grants \$4,858,740, Non-Space \$641,370, Alterations and Renovations \$640,010. The President commented that MPHEC will maintain the funding level despite the reduction in class size. Mr. Bradfield reminded Senate that in the past, he had advocated a seat sale in Medicine and asked if any further thought had been given to it. The President acknowledged that the matter has been raised. Mr. Lewis commented that the enrolment grant is driven by the number of students. He asked if the weights will be changed for Medical students. The President replied that they would be. Mr. Betts commented on the complexities of the grant and the manner in which the enrolment grant increase could lead to a depression of the flat grant. He asked how Dalhousie will be compensated. Mr. Sinclair replied that MPHEC has not explained how they will do it knowing that it is complicated by the four-year phase in. Mr. Bradfield asked if the funding for Occupational Therapy represented a restoration or increase in funding. The President replied that it amounted to a slight increase.

87:062.

Report of the Advisory Committee to the President on the Implementation of Clause 26.07(a)

The Advisory Committee to the President on the Implementation of Clause 26.07(a) submitted a written report with the guidelines for implementation of the Clause appended. In presenting this report, Mr. Sinclair stated that the process is ongoing and that in fact two meetings had

been held since this report had been written. He hoped that the discussions would focus on broader questions and not on individuals.

Ms. Caty asked for an interpretation of the phrase "substantive and part-time". Mr. Sinclair replied that this refers to appointments over 30%. It does not include sessional lecturers. Ms. Caty asked if it is possible for a new appointment to be made without going through the Committee. Mr. Sinclair replied that if the person is being hired for one class, then it does not go through this procedure but through the Dean's budget and that it is his (Mr. Sinclair's) responsibility to monthly review all part-time appointments. Ms. Caty then asked how long it takes for a decision. Mr. Sinclair replied that the Committee meets weekly or every ten days and is aware of the urgency of supplying replies. Mr. Kennedy asked if there is any procedure to deal with conflicting interests. He asked, "Supposing all criteria are met, is there any higher policy?" Mr. Sinclair stated that this has not come up and that the Committee has been careful to be guided by written or clearly articulated policy. Mr. Kennedy pursued his line of questioning to determine if any of the guidelines dominate. Mr. Sinclair stated that most cases have been clear cut and when in doubt they ask the Dean. Mr. Osberg expressed concern. He said he would not want to send the wrong signals. He asked if tenure is denied, would a department lose a position. Mr. Sinclair replied that this illustrates the point, if tenure is denied, there would probably be sufficient reason for the Committee to approve the request. He said that this argument has not yet been rejected. He said that in this type of process he has been trying to argue that there are no absolutes. Mr. Borwein asked how positions come to the Committee. Mr. Sinclair replied "from the departments to the Deans and from the Deans to the Committee". Mr. Borwein noted that 23 positions had been approved, 21 had been deferred. He asked "Is it conceivable that all of the positions will eventually be approved?" Mr. Sinclair replied that he would not anticipate that all would be approved but others will arise. He said the Deans are being asked to use the academic planning process. Mr. Betts outlined three possibilities for consideration of tenure.

- 1) the department recommends in favour and the Faculty and the Dean recommend against;
- 2) the department recommends against and the Faculty and Dean recommend in favour;
- 3) all agree on the recommendation.

He put forward the view that in the first situation the department does not have the same strength as in the second situation. He added that he would also like to see a report on how many positions could have been recommended. He stated that he had failed to recommend some. Mr. Osberg then asked about the ranking of positions relative to, the source of funds. Mr. Sinclair stated that this is a difficult question. The Committee would find it difficult to proceed with a low priority position with funds available. He said that there has been a high correlation between funding and priority. He said that guidelines 4 and 5 raise difficult questions. He said the Committee takes into account the kinds of expectations which had been created when earlier decisions were made. He said that is fair to say that a lot of academic needs are not being fulfilled because people are not taking lightly proposals for the use of funds.

Mr. Tonks then asked to focus the discussion on Mr. Osberg's question. He asked if there is hard budget available from donations from outside funds, should the position be part of the process. In response to Mr. Sinclair's reply of "certainly", Mr. Tonks asked for the rationale. Mr. Sinclair stated that the Committee position is clear - all full-time or substantial parttime positions must be reviewed. He cited the example of some clinical medical positions being fully funded externally and yet being reviewed by the Committee. Mr. Bradfield asked if one can infer from guideline 6 that all openings which occurred before the invocation of Clause 26.07(a) have been filled. Mr. Sinclair replied "No, these are the very ones to which this procedure applies." He said that relative to guideline 6, the Committee would take into consideration whether or not an individual had been offered the job in the normal process before the implementation of the Clause. Mr. Lewis then submitted two written questions: In each of the Faculties for which a report has been made, how many vacancies due to death, retirement, resignation have occurred this year prior to the time when clause 26.07(a) was invoked, and how many have occurred subsequent to the innovation of clause 26.07(a)? Of the replacements which have been referred to, how many of each (in each Faculty), correspond to vacancies prior to and subsequent to the invocation of clause 26.07(a)?

Ms. O'Shea commented that although a position might have a low ranking in a Faculty, it might have the top priority in a unit. Mr. Borwein expressed a concern relative to the appointment of replacements for Senior Killam Fellows. He asked what is served by putting all appointments through the Committee? Mr. Sinclair said the interpretation of Clause 26.07(a) is that it calls for all appointments to be considered. He said the invocation of this Clause was not taken lightly. Mr. Kennedy referred back to the statements made by Mr. Betts regarding tenure. He observed the possibility of a threat to the tenure process. Mr. Betts disagreed, saying he worried about the cage where a department does not want to recommend denial of tenure and relies on the Dean and faculty to do so. Mr. Leffek then referred back to discussion on replacements for Senior Killam Fellows and stated that there is a good reason to consider such one-year appointments in a special category. Mr. Lewis returned the discussion to the question of tenure. He said that regularly a recommendation of a department is denied by the Dean. He noted therefore there would be pressure on a department to make a negative recommendation. He said that he is uncomfortable with this. Mr. Borwein noted that this places unreasonable pressure on the Faculty Committee if they can cut a position by denying tenure. He says that this is a potential attack on the academic tenure decision process. Mr. Betts stated the decision should be based on academic considerations.

87:063

Degree Certification for Statistics Programs in the Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science

Mr. Jones stated his understanding that the proposal presented relative to the statistics programs in the Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science was just a change in the name of the degrees.

Motion (Wien/Ritchie):

**that the degree
certification for
Statistics programs in**

**the Department of
Mathematics,
Statistics and
Computing Science be
changed to Master of
Science in Statistics
and Ph.D. in Statistics.**

Carried.

It was noted that this recommendation will have to be forwarded to the Board of Governors and to MPHEC.

87:064

Implementation of the Bachelor of Science with Honours in Neuroscience

A letter had been received from MPHEC dated March 26, 1987 which contained the information that the Commission had approved the Bachelor of Science with Honours in Neuroscience program for implementation.

Motion (Sinclair/Betts):

**that the Bachelor of
Science with Honours
in Neuroscience
program be approved
for implementation.**

Carried.

87:065.

Change in M.Sc. Degree in Physical Education

As a matter of information, Senate was informed that the Board had approved a change in the designation of the Master of Science degree in Physical Education to Master of Science (Kinesiology), M.A. (Leisure Studies) and M.A. (Health Education).

87:066.

Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting was scheduled for 5:00 P.M., Friday, May 15, 1987. Mr. Pross questioned the scheduling. Mr. Jones informed him it was specifically called at that time for the awarding of degrees in Medicine and Law. Mr. Kennedy asked if there would be additional documentation for the fourth agenda item, "Procedural Changes re Membership on Senate". Mr. Jones informed him that no additional material would be available and this item will not be considered at that time. He added that there will be no new agenda for that meeting.

87:067.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned shortly before noon.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY

MINUTES OF SENATE MEETING

Senate met in special session in the Senate and Board Room on Friday, 15 May 1987 at 5:00 P.M.

Present with W. E. Jones in the Chair were the following:

Boyd, Casey, Chaytor, Gratwick, pross, Schwenger, Shaw, Shires, Wien.

Regrets: A.D. Cohen, Easterbrook, J.V. Jones, Konok, R.C. MacKay, Precious, Wassersug, Williams, Zakariasen.

The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Mr. Jones. He explained that the primary purpose of the meeting was to award degrees in Law and Medicine and to consider recommendations of the Committee on Committees.

87:068

Award of Degrees, Diplomas, Prizes and Medals

Faculty of Medicine (Murray/Shires)

Doctor of Medicine 95
(Distinction 9)

Faculty of Law (Christie/Murray)

Bachelor of Laws 42

Moved (Christie/Murray)

that the Dean of the appropriate faculty and the Registrar be authorized to add to the graduation list the names of any additional students which have been omitted from the graduation list due to errors on the part of the University or one of its servants.

87:069.

Report of the Committee on Committees

Nominations for Statutory Committees

In keeping with his report dated May 1, 1987, Mr. Boyd, Chair of the Committee on Committees, nominated for membership on the Academic planning Committee the following:

M.G.E. Forgay (Dental Hygiene)
W.C. Kimmins (Biology)
L.C. MacLean (Business Administration)
G.D. Taylor (History)

These nominations were seconded by Mr. Pross. Mr. Boyd noted that there was an additional vacancy for which he was now prepared to bring forward a name. At the request of the Chair, Senate agreed to accept this additional nomination. Mr. Boyd then nominated Mr. G. Winham (political Science). This nomination was seconded by Mr. Christie. The Chair then called three times for additional nominations and receiving none, declared the five people elected.

In keeping with his report, Mr. Boyd nominated for membership on the Committee on Academic Administration:

Mr. D.V. Chaytor (Dentistry)
Mr. G. Fitzgerald (Social Work)
R. Rajaraman (Microbiology)
J.G. Rutherford (Anatomy).

These nominations were seconded by Mr. Pross. The Chair called three times for additional nominations and receiving none, declared the nominees elected.

In keeping with his report, Mr. Boyd nominated for membership on the Senate Financial planning Committee:

Mr. A.J. Bowen (Oceanography)
Mr. J.P. Welch (Pediatrics).

These nominations were seconded by Mr. Pross. The Chair called three times for additional nominations and in receiving none, declared the nominees elected.

Mr. Boyd, in keeping with his report, nominated for membership on the Senate Physical Planning Committee:

Mr. J.A. Dougall (Henson College)

This nomination was seconded by Mr. Pross. The Chair called three times for additional nominations and in receiving none, declared Mr. Dougall elected.

Senate Library Committee

Senate, at its meeting on April 13, had received from the Committee on Committees two nominations for membership on the Library Committee. An additional nomination had also been submitted. The Chair of Senate at that time ruled that a mail ballot would be required. Since that time, the matter had been considered by the Committee on Committees which noted the need for the replacement of one member at the end of this year. Mr. Boyd now brought forward a proposal to elect two of the people nominated on April 13 to fill current vacancies and to elect the third person to fill a vacancy which will occur on June 30th.

Motion (Boyd/Shires):

that as outlined in the memo of April 29 from the Chair of the Senate Committee on Committees, Mr. G.R. Baker (Health Services Administration) be elected to fill a current vacancy with a term to expire June 30, 1990; Ms. R. Harrison (Dentistry) be elected to fill a current vacancy with a term to expire June 30, 1989 and Mr. B. Greenfield (English) be elected to fill a vacancy which will occur on June 30, 1987 with term to expire June 30, 1990.

Carried.

Review Committee for the Position of University Librarian

In response to a request from Vice-President Sinclair, the Committee on Committees had prepared nominations to serve on a Committee to Review the position of University Librarian. In keeping with his memorandum of April 29, Mr. Boyd nominated for membership on the Review Committee for the position of University Librarian, Ms. M. Binkley (Sociology and Social Anthropology), Ms. J.S. Grossert (Chemistry), Ms. E.S. McFarlane (Microbiology), Mr. P. Monk (English). These nominations were seconded by Mr. Pross. The Chair of Senate called three times for additional nominations and receiving none, declared the nominees elected.

Mr. Sinclair pointed out that he will be inviting the students to add one member.

Committee on Committees Membership

The Chair of Senate informed the meeting that Mr. Ken James will soon be completing his

term on the Committee on Committees and that the Committee on Academic Administration had recommended the election of Mr. T. Lawrence Maloney to replace him.

Motion (Christie/Sinclair):

that Hr. Timothy Lawrence Maloney be elected to membership on the Committee on Committees for the period July 1, 1987 to June 30, 1990.

The Chair called three times for additional nominations, and receiving none, declared Mr. Maloney elected.

87:070.

Revised Regulations Concerning Appointments, Tenure and Promotion

The Chair of Senate announced that a letter had been received from the Secretary of the Board of Governors informing him that the Regulations Concerning Appointments, Tenure and promotion as revised by Senate had been approved by the Board of Governors at its meeting on May 8, 1987 without change.

87:071.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:20 P.M.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY

MINUTES OF SENATE MEETING

Senate met in special session on Friday, 22 May 1987 at 5:00 P.M. in the Senate and Board Room.

Present with Mr. W.E. Jones in the chair were the following:

Clark, Gratwick, Hanson, Shires, Sinclair, Stewart, Wien, Zakariasen, Traversy (invitee).

Regrets: Caty, A.D. Cohen, Easterbrook, Konok, MacRae, Maloney, Munroe, Pooley, Ritchie, Schwenger, M.H. Tan, Waterson, Williams.

87:072.

Awarding of Degrees and Diplomas

It was agreed upon motion (Zakariasen/Shires)

that the following diplomas/degrees be awarded

Diploma in Dental Hygiene	34
Doctor of Dentistry	33

It was moved and seconded (Sinclair/Gratwick)

that the Dean of the Faculty of Dentistry and the Registrar be authorized to add to the graduation list the names of any additional students which may have been omitted from the graduation list due to errors on the part of the university or one of its servants.

Carried.

87:073

Honorary Degrees [IN CAMERA]

The president reviewed the names of three individuals whose candidacy had been approved by Senate in the past, but the degrees had not yet been conferred. The individuals had been approached but had been unavailable at the time of the convocations in question.

There was a consensus upon motion (Clark/Sinclair)

that Senate approve the re-offering of degrees to these three individuals. [Only a simple majority is required for approval of such motions.]

87:074

Re-organization of the Pearson Institute

A document entitled "Report to Senate - Reorganization of the Pearson Institute for International Development, dated May 12, 1987 had been considered by APC and precirculated prior to the Senate Meeting.

It was moved and seconded (Wien/Zakariasen)

that the report and accompanying recommendation be approved.

Mr. Shires queried whether the difficulties surrounding the recruitment of a full-time executive director had been resolved. Mr. Wien confirmed that the search committee was in place.

Mr. Betts questioned the rationale for the proposal that the executive director report directly to the President; a privilege not enjoyed by Deans. Mr. Wien clarified that the President could delegate this responsibility to others if he chose and that the positions of this director and of deans were quite different.

The motion carried.

87:075

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:15 P.M.