

## REDUCING RISKS FROM *E. COLI* O157 ON THE ORGANIC FARM

— DAVID G. PATRIQUIN —

BIOLOGY DEPARTMENT DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY,  
HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA  
2000

*This paper was written as a request of Eleanor Heise, then the president of Canadian Organic Growers Inc (COG). An abbreviated form was published in COG's publication 'Eco-Farm & Garden' in Summer of 2000 and can be viewed at <http://www.cog.ca/efgsummer2000.htm#ecoli>.*

*This article is still very timely, because the threat of microbial contamination of food and water is a major concern. Many researchers have made the point that the wide spread risk of *E. coli* O157 poisoning is a result of the industrial food system. The latest book (2001) on this subject is: 'Fast Food Nation' by Eric Schlosser.*

*Ironically, one of the most venomous opponents of organic agriculture, Dennis Avery of the Hudson Institute, claims that organic agriculture is responsible for much of the microbial contamination of food. This claim has no validity, a search on outbreaks of food poisoning at the Center for Disease Control and other sources reveals that the opposite is true.*

*I believe that this article gives the information we need to refute some of the hostile claims made against organic agriculture.*

—Hart Haidn, May 2001

### Summary

*E. coli* O157 is a bacterial pathogen of the human intestinal tract which is carried in certain species of livestock and wildlife without ill-effect. Such organisms are termed zoonotics. Various types of *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* are other major causes of food

borne illness that like *E. coli* O157, are zoonotic in livestock and appear to have been on the increase in recent decades. *E. coli* O157 is particularly hazardous because of the very low number of organisms that can cause infection and because of serious complications that can result from infection, especially in infants and the elderly. As well as from contaminated food, *E. coli* O157 can be acquired through casual contact with manure and with fecal contaminated surfaces and water. Thus farm residents and workers should be aware of the nature

---

of *E. coli* 0157 and of personal measures they can take to reduce the risk of infection. Reducing the levels of zoonotics in farm animals is seen as a key component of strategies to reduce the occurrence of food borne infections generally. A number of the existing practices of organic farming could be expected to discriminate against *E. coli* 0157. However, none can ensure its absence and the routes by which 0157 moves into farms apply to organic farms, for example via birds that have been feeding on a farm with a high level of *E. coli* 0157. Some provisional guidelines for reducing levels of *E. coli* 0157 on organic farms and the risk to farm workers and residents are offered. They are pertinent also to gardeners who use manure or buy bulk compost.

## INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of human pathogens in livestock and livestock manure is a concern to livestock farmers, to stockless farmers who use manure as a soil amendment, and to all of us as consumers. Of increasing notoriety is a nasty bug known as *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7, which I will call 0157 for short. Dennis Avery, the perennial critic of organic farming contends that organic produce is more likely than conventional produce to carry this and other harmful bugs because of the use of manure on organic produce (1). Aside from failing to present any comparative data to support his argument, he chooses to overlook some key facets of organic production- such as the requirement to compost or age manure - that could be expected to make it much less susceptible to carrying pathogens than systems that do not have such requirements. Regardless, it is an issue that the organic community must take seriously, both to battle the perception that organic could be more susceptible to food poisoning, and to ensure that is not the case. One big factor we have going for us is that as a result of certification, "organic" is a well defined system and a

structure is in place for disseminating information, and as necessary, ensuring that prescribed practices are followed. This article examines some of what is known or suspected about the occurrence and survival of 0157 on farms and in produce and its relevance to organic farming. Research into the epidemiology and prevention of 0157 on the farm is just beginning, thus mostly what can be offered in the way of prevention are guidelines that apply to prevention of spread of food borne zoonotics generally. Zoonotics are organisms that exist naturally and usually without ill effect in animals and can be transmitted to humans under natural conditions, causing disease. Various types of *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* are other major causes of food borne illness that are also zoonotics in farm animals, and like 0157 have been on the rise in the last 20 years (2).

## What is *E.coli* 0157?

*E. coli* is a normal inhabitant in the intestine of humans and animals. The number of *E. coli* in a sample of water is a commonly used indicator of fecal contamination. A minority of the strains are pathogenic including 0157, which produces a "vero" or "Shiga" toxin which breaks down the lining of our

---

intestines and can damage kidneys. Symptoms are bloody diarrhea and abdominal cramps (or sometimes non-bloody diarrhea or no symptoms at all). Usually little or no fever is present, and the illness dissipates in 5 to 10 days. A more serious complication called hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) can lead to kidney failure and death; it occurs mostly in children under 5 and the elderly. The Center for Disease Control in the U.S. suggests that anyone having sudden diarrhea with blood should get their stool tested for O157. It is estimated to affect 73,000 people and cause 61 deaths each year in the U.S. (3). In many regions of Canada, O157 is the second most common bacterial pathogen in stool specimens submitted to clinical laboratories (first is *Campylobacter*), moving into first place during warmer months. 75-90 episodes of HUS occur in Canada each year, most of them between April and September (4).

Most O157 infections have been associated with eating undercooked ground beef which was contaminated through contact with feces in slaughterhouses. However, infections have also resulted from ingesting O157 in water and other foods, (3, 5) including:

- reservoir and recreational water
- raw milk
- unpasteurized apple juice/cider
- sandwiches
- lettuce
- dry cured salami
- produce from manure-fertilized gardens
- handling potatoes
- radish sprouts, alfalfa sprouts
- yogurt
- undercooked poultry, venison, lamb

Contamination of non ruminant food sources is usually attributed to contact with ruminant manure at some stage (6). Tests have shown that once introduced to lettuce and other vegetables, survival and growth under permissive temperatures is highly likely. *E coli* O157 is unusually acid tolerant and so can grow in low pH juices (7).

An exceptionally low dose of O157 - 2 to 2000 cells - can cause infection (5) (versus 10,000 to millions for most salmonellas (2,8)), which makes handling of contaminated materials particularly hazardous. Once introduced into a family or closed group, it can be spread by person-to-person transmission, especially by children who are not toilet trained. Young children shed O157 in their feces for 1-2 weeks after their illness resolves; older children rarely carry O157 without symptoms (3). Increased attention to handwashing, and particular care handling diapers (and undergarments from anyone with diarrhea) are important once infection is identified within a group (4).

---

## Food handling: consumers' first line of defense

Precautions that apply to reducing contamination of food generally apply to 0157 (2,4,5), i.e.

- proper washing of hands before preparing food
- washing foods and preparation surfaces
- cooking meat adequately (no pink meat: internal temperatures should reach 160°F/71°C for ground beef, chops, and 180°F/82 °C for whole fowl)
- thawing meat in the refrigerator or under cold water
- not consuming unpasteurized milk
- being careful to separate raw meat from other foods in the refrigerator
- minimizing storage time of cooked food (in the refrigerator or out) before consumption.

Storage of food at room temperature and preparing food too long before consuming allow organisms such as *Salmonella* to multiply and reach critical levels, and are the most common cause of food poisoning (2). Temperatures of 8°C and higher are conducive to growth of 0157 and may increase infection potential, however, storage at 5°C (standard refrigerator temperature) on its own offers little protection against 0157 because of the low dose required for infection (9). There seems to be considerable potential for use of natural food additives such as garlic, cinnamon, oregano, sage and cloves to suppress 0157 in some foods (10). New strains of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* effective against 0157 are being developed (11).

## *E.coli* 0157 on the farm

Industrialized farming practices are considered to be a factor contributing to increased levels of food borne illness associated with zoonotics (2, 12).

Regardless, it is safest to assume that most of these organisms, including 0157 are everywhere including organic farms. According to Dr. Dale Hancock of Washington State University, " *E. coli* 0157 is widespread in nature, occurring naturally and sporadically in the gastrointestinal flora of humans, cattle, deer, sheep, dogs, horses, birds, and perhaps other species." (13 ) The specific routes by which 0157 arrives on a farm are not known although birds, deer, other wild animals and livestock brought in from other farms are suspected (14). Ruminants appear to be the primary reservoir. For example, a study in the UK found 0157 in 15.7% of cattle (dairy and beef), 2.2% of sheep, 0.4% of pigs and none in chickens (1000 of each examined) (15). The strains in pigs were non-toxigenic. Other studies have also revealed low incidence in pigs and poultry , compared to ruminants, but they have included toxigenic strains; thus while less important than cattle in the epidemiology of 0157, pigs and fowl cannot be assumed to be free of toxigenic 0157 (16). Improved detection

methods indicate very high incidence of 0157 in cattle; for example, a 1999 study in the midwest US revealed 0157 in 27% of fecal samples from cattle brought to slaughterhouses during summer; 72% of the 29 lots (individual farms or feedlots) represented in samples included at least one animal positive for 0157 (17). Post-processing frequency was 2%. Single strains (isolates with the same molecular fingerprint) often dominate a herd at one time suggesting a common source (e.g. drinking water), but can change over time indicating import of new strains (e.g. via birds) (6, 14). Over the course of a year, weaned calves and cattle may intermittently carry and not carry 0157. Immature cattle carry higher levels of 0157 than mature cattle. Shedding of 0157 in feces from an infected animal occurs over periods of weeks to months (18). Studies have usually demonstrated a strong seasonal influence on occurrence of 0157 in cattle feces (14, 18). For example, over a year long study in England, 0157 was isolated from the feces from 38% of cattle presented for slaughter in the spring, but only 4.8% during the winter (15). Reported incidents of 0157 poisoning are correspondingly seasonal (3,4). Although the prevalence of 0157 may seem alarming, food borne pathogens are something we have always lived with and

different cultures have evolved a wide variety of practices to reduce the chances of food poisoning (2). If there is a single factor underlying what seems to be increasing incidents of food related bacterial diseases, it is a kind of globalization of impatience with the procurement and preparation of food (2,12). We want everything there is from everywhere, and we want it now and we want it cheap, which means cutting corners on the production side.

## **REDUCING OCCURRENCE AND RISKS OF *E. COLI* 0157 ON THE ORGANIC FARM**

Research into the life history and prevention of *E. coli* on the farm is just beginning, and for now, mostly what can be offered are guidelines that apply to zoonotic pathogens generally. What we do know about *E. coli* 0157 - notably its high toxicity for children and the elderly, its low infection dose, and its common association with healthy ruminants behoove a highly precautionary approach.

### **Handling and processing manure**

Fresh manure, particularly during summer months, has a high probability of carrying 0157 and other pathogens. Thus special precautions should be followed in handling fresh manure, such as wearing protective clothing, avoiding hand contact with the mouth, eyes and nose, and washing after handling livestock and manure. Activities of small children in the vicinity of livestock and manure should be carefully monitored and directed (if allowed at all: in Sweden and the UK it has been recommended that children under 5 do not visit livestock farms (19)); farm families need also to be aware that farm companion animals can transmit 0157 to humans (18). Hides are readily contaminated by fecal materials, and can be a source of 0157 in

---

slaughterhouses (17), thus livestock should be as clean as possible before being sent to the abattoir.

Composting, a key component of organic farming, is a pathogen reduction process. Under experimental conditions, most bacterial pathogens are killed by exposure to temperatures of 55-60°C for a few hours or less (8). Such temperatures are achieved and last for days to weeks in the thermophilic stage of composting. Canadian commercial compost standards require that under windrow composting a temperature of 55°C or greater is maintained for at least 15 days during the composting period and that during this period, the compost is turned at least five times (20). For industrial composting systems in which the composting process is conducted indoors, is closely monitored and controlled, and for which different sets of equipment are used at earlier and later stages of processing to avoid reintroduction of pathogens, a high degree of consistency in the elimination of pathogens can be achieved.

With many or most farm composting systems, there is less control over the process and it is more difficult to ensure uniform exposure to high temperatures without overheating. This increases the importance of other factors in the destruction of pathogens. Colueke (21) cites four:

- (i) antibiosis (suppressive effects of other microbes)
- (ii) physical and chemical changes e.g. in pH
- (iii) competition for nutrients with the normal compost flora and destruction of the pathogen's original nutrient supply
- (iv) time

How well the pathogens get exposed to these agents is a function of the method of management, which he discusses in some detail for windrow, static piles

and mechanically mixed compost. Regardless, he says that even when all pathogens are assumed to have been killed, prudence dictates a certain amount of caution. If pathogens do survive, their survival under field conditions becomes another factor. He says that if sewage sludge or other forms of body wastes are composted, then complete destruction of pathogens is essential before the compost product can be safely used for row crop and leafy vegetable production, that is if the vegetables are destined to be eaten raw. He goes on to say "Unless a span of at least a year is involved, such compost should be pasteurized." He emphasizes in several places the importance of time to allow lethal factors and mechanisms to exert their full effects. "Our work and that of others demonstrate that time can substitute for frequency [of turning]."

Thus the usually applied organic standard that untreated manure must be aged before application to food crops is consistent with this principle, and should be strictly adhered to. Allowing time for proper maturation (curing) of compost is also important. Two to four month curing times have been suggested for backyard composts to rid them of 0157 (22).

---

It is very important not to reintroduce pathogens into matured compost by mixing it with uncomposted or immature compost.

Aeration, independent of heating, appears to suppress 0157 in manure. Kudva et al. (6) found 0157 after more than one year in a non-aerated ovine manure pile, however in experimentally aerated ovine and bovine manure, it was not found after 4 months and 47 days respectively. The aerated piles were small, and the authors speculated that drying cycles were responsible for pathogen reduction; they remarked that "*E. coli* O157:H7 was never recovered from the dry top layer of any manure pile."

In the discussion section of their paper, Kudva et al. (6) attribute some of the increase in food borne pathogens to the increased density of animals on farms and the development of quick methods for disposal of wastes, notably use of slurries versus traditional methods employing bedding and composting. They remark that "Farm effluents should be contained in holding tanks with proper aeration for appropriate lengths of time (1 to 3 months or as required) before being used as fertilizers... Improperly incubated and/or stored slurry can serve as a vehicle for environmental spread

and propagation of pathogens that may include *E. coli* O157:H7."

Composting and curing, aging of uncomposted manure and aeration of slurries are obviously important for use on food crops, but may be important even for forage crops in order to reduce levels of 0157 in livestock. *E. coli* 0157 survives much longer in manure products than in the live animals, and thus manure contaminated materials are suspected to be a reservoir of 0157 for reinfection of livestock (6). Contradicting this expectation, one study found that application of manure to forage crops was not associated with prevalence of *E. coli* 0157, nor was feeding on pasture versus feeding on dry lots (23). Nevertheless, until there is much more conclusive evidence on this score, a highly precautionary approach seems advisable.

## Feed and Water

Drinking water for livestock has been clearly demonstrated as a conduit and possibly the main conduit for transmission of 0157 from one animal to another, and it appears that water can be contaminated by oral contact alone (24). Dale Hancock at Washington State University, who has conducted extensive research into the occurrence of 0157 on farms is convinced that survival and multiplication in feed and water troughs are major factors affecting levels on the farm. He is cited (13) as offering these two "top tips":

- *E. coli* 0157 grows in wet feeds, but growth can be stopped by using mixed rations containing silage with high levels of certain acids;
- Frequent cleaning and appropriate sanitation of water troughs can potentially prevent replication and/or long term maintenance of *E. coli* 0157 in sediments.

---

Also, there is some evidence to suggest that use of small water reservoirs with frequent refilling is less conducive to 0157 than use of large reservoirs (24).

The significance of feeding regime for control 0157 is currently quite controversial. For example, Hancock and others dispute (25) a highly publicized study suggesting that feeding hay to cattle before slaughter could reduce incidents of 0157 in slaughterhouses. Thus for now, it appears that more reliance should be put on sanitation than on feeding regime as a primary line of defense.

### **Minimal use of antibiotics**

In a study of a cohort of 15 heifers on each of 4 Wisconsin dairy farms differing in management, 0157 was not observed in once weekly sampling over a 14 month study period on the two farms that used antimicrobials only occasionally and made no use of sulfonamides, while cohorts tested positive on the 2 farms where antimicrobials were used. There were other differences between the management systems, notably in the regimes for providing water, that could account for lack of 0157 on the two farms, but the authors remarked that antimicrobial use could be a risk factor for 0157 shedding in cattle, and that further research is required. Of the two farms on which 0157 was found, the highest level of antibiotic resistance in 0157 was found on the farm with highest use of antibiotics (24).

### **Probiotics**

Probiotics are infusions of beneficial bacteria that can survive the stomach acids and become established in the intestine where they counteract, in some way, harmful bacteria, or have other beneficial effects. They are frequently used to establish desirable organisms in young animals (we are all born without intestinal bacteria), and after antibiotic

treatments have eliminated desirable as well as undesirable organisms. A scientific study found one commercial product to be effective in reducing caecal colonization of chicks by both a poultry pathogenic *E.coli*, and by *E.coli* 0157 (26). Probiotics are more difficult to use this way with older ruminants because of the high levels of native bacteria, however, effective products may be available within a few years (27, 28). Commercial strains of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* specifically antagonistic to *E coli* 0157 are being developed (11).

### **Survival in soil**

In general, survival of pathogens in soil is strongly reduced in non-sterile compared to sterilized soils, in soils that undergo periodic drying compared to constantly wet soils, and at higher temperatures compared to lower temperatures (8).

### **A special role for earthworms?**

J.L. Spencer (29) reviewed studies including his own on effects of vermicomposting on pathogens. Worms feed on microbes, and enhance the microbial activity. Resistance of chicks to intestinal colonization by *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Salmonella typhimurium* was



---

greatly increased if they were fed a small quantity of vermicompost produced by earthworms fed chicken feces. Spencer also notes studies indicating that earthworm activity contributes to the elimination of *Salmonella* and other pathogens in soil.

### Treating liquid effluents

Liquid effluents containing fecal materials can contaminate downstream surface waters, posing hazards to the public or other farms; if recycled on the farm for irrigation, washing, flushing or use as a water source for livestock, they may contribute to maintenance of high levels of 0157 and other pathogens. Lagoon treatment systems combined with constructed wetlands are highly effective in removal of pathogens; presence of vegetation enhances removal compared to systems without vegetation, and efficiency of removal increases with species diversity of the wetland vegetation (30). There appear to have been few studies specifically on removal of 0157. Based on survival of *E. coli* 0157 and *Salmonella* inoculated into water samples from a lagoon-wetland system, McCaskey et al. (31) suggest that wastewater stored in lagoons and/or treated in the wetlands for a combined period of 20 days is safe for irrigation and cleaning purposes.

### CONCLUSION

From what we know about 0157, there is no reason to suspect that organic produce is more likely to carry 0157 than conventional produce, and there is even some basis for hypothesizing that 0157 could be less common in organic than in conventional livestock systems. Several of the core practices and principles of organic farming - notably the aging and composting of manure, the general desire to operate systems as nearly as closed as possible and to market locally, the infrequent use of antibiotics, the emphasis on probiotics and maintenance of healthy microflora in livestock (and people) and of high levels of microbial activity in soils - could be expected to reduce the levels of 0157 on organic farms. Unfortunately, none can ensure its absence, and there appear to be no data on occurrence of 0157 on organic farms. The routes by which 0157 moves into farms apply almost equally to organic farms (e.g. via birds that have been feeding on a farm with a high level of 0157). Thus there is no basis for complacency and special precautions are still very necessary.

What worked in the past may not work today. As much as it may run counter to what were once valid notions of healthy food, children and persons with weakened immune systems should not consume raw milk. Good sanitation practices for livestock, harvest containers and contact surfaces, and postharvest washing are very important. Farmers and their certifying organizations should encourage improvements in composting systems to achieve uniform exposure to high temperatures. Curing of compost for 4 months, aging of uncomposted manure for a year, and aeration or composting of slurries (32) should be encouraged; it also produces better amendments. More specific and stringent regulations than currently specified in certification codes may be appropriate, at least until we have a much better understanding of 0157, and how it is affected by organic practices. Intensive testing is required to establish that 0157 is NOT present on a farm, thus organic organizations might consider collaborative projects and seeking assistance to investigate 0157 on organic farms.

---

## Some provisional guidelines for reducing *E. coli* 0157 on the organic farm

- Ensure that farm residents, workers and visitors are aware of the nature of the *E. coli* 0157 problem, and of personal preventative measures they should take to reduce risk. \*
- Prohibit or carefully supervise activities of small children in areas where they might be exposed to fresh manure. \*
- Follow good sanitation practices for livestock, harvest containers and contact surfaces, and wash vegetable produce free of soil.
- Flush water troughs with fresh water frequently and clean them regularly.
- Consider whether imported manure is highly likely to contain *E. coli* 0157 (e.g. fresh ruminant manure from a feedlot). \*
- Take care to prevent cross contamination of old or composted manure with fresh manure. \*
- Make improvements to the composting system to ensure thorough mixing of compost during the high temperature phase. \*
- Allow compost to cure for 2-4 months (or longer) after the heating phase. \*
- Aerate slurries for 1-3 months, or compost them, e.g. with straw
- Age uncomposted manure for at least one year before use. \*
- Clean hides of livestock before taking them to the abattoir.
- Process liquid effluents from the farm in holding ponds/wetlands for 20 days or more.

*\*Asterisked items apply also to gardeners making use of manure*

## SOURCES

*(Links cited below were all functional when the article was submitted (May 22, 2000)).*

1. American Broadcasting Corporation 20/20, Feb. 4, 2000 How Good is Organic Food? Summary posted at [http://abcnews.go.com/onair/2020/2020\\_000204\\_stossel\\_organic\\_feature.html](http://abcnews.go.com/onair/2020/2020_000204_stossel_organic_feature.html); see also <http://www.hawiaa.org/press001a.htm> for earlier statements by Avery and responses to them.
2. Heritage, J., Evans, E.G. V. and Kilington, R.A. 1999. Microbiology in Action. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
3. CDC Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases: *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. Posted at: [http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/escherichiacoli\\_g.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/escherichiacoli_g.htm). Page last reviewed April 4, 2000
4. Infectious Diseases and Immunization Committee, Canadian Pediatric Society (Position Paper). 1995. *E. coli* 0157:H7, other verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, and hemolytic-uremic syndrome in childhood. Canadian Journal of Pediatrics 2: 347-352. Posted at: <http://www.cps.ca/english/statements/ID/id95-03.htm>

- 
5. Chalasani, S and Medeiros, L. What You Should Know About *Escherichia Coli* O157:H7. Ohio State University Fact Sheet HYG-5561-98. Posted at: <http://ohioline.ag.ohio-state.edu/hyg-fact/5000/5561.html> (last modified Apr 25, 2000).
  6. Kudva, I.T., Blanch, K. and Hovde, C.J. 1998 Analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 survival in ovine or bovine manure and manure slurry. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64:3166-3174.
  7. Feng, P. *Escherichia coli* Serotype O157:H7: Novel vehicles of infection and emergence of phenotypic variants. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* Vol. 1 No. 2, April-June 1995. Posted at: <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/~mow/feng.html>
  8. Epstein, E. 1997. *The Science of Composting*. Technomic Publishing Co., Lancaster- Basel.
  9. Palumbo SA, Pickard A, and Call J.E. 1997. Population changes and verotoxin production of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* strains inoculated in milk and ground beef held at low temperatures. *Journal of Food Protection* 60: 746-750.
  10. Researchers find spices that kill E. coli. News Report in eCollegian (Kansas State University) Vol. 103, No. 006 1998. Posted at: <http://collegian.ksu.edu/issues/v103/fa/n006/news/cam.ecolikiller.abitz.html>
  11. Excite News Report, May 8 2000 Nutraceutix Announces New E. coli O157:H7 inhibiting bacteria. Posted at: <http://news.excite.com/news/bw/000508/wa-nutraceutix-inc> See also Nutraceutrix (<http://www.nutraceutix.com/>)
  12. S.F. Altekruse, S.F., Cohen, M.L. and Swerdlow, D.L. 1997. Perspective. Emerging foodborne diseases. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* (CDC, Atlanta, Georgia) Vol 3(3). Posted at: <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/vol3no3/cohen.htm>
  13. Hancock, D; Besser, 1998. What we've learned about *E coli* O157:H7. *Hoard's Dairyman* 143 (16): 651; see also Dr Dale Hancock at the 1998 Snowdon Lecture, Thursday 14 May at CSIRO Australian Animal Health Laboratory, Geelong: "*E. coli*: can we control it on farm?" Summary posted at: [http://www.ah.csiro.au/newsline/press\\_rel/1998/ecoli.htm](http://www.ah.csiro.au/newsline/press_rel/1998/ecoli.htm).
  14. Gansheroff, L.J. and O'Brien, A.D. 2000 *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in beef cattle presented for slaughter in the U.S: Higher prevalence rates than previously estimated. *Proceedings of the National. Academy of Science USA*, Vol. 97: 2959-2961.
  15. Chapman P.A., Siddons C.A., Gerdan Malo A.T., Harkin M.A. 1997. A 1-year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle, sheep, pigs and poultry. *Epidemiol Infect* 119:245-50.
  16. Heuvelink A.E., Zwartkruis-Nahuis J.T.M., van den Biggelaar F.L.A.M., van Leeuwen W.J., de Boer E. 1999. Isolation and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from slaughter pigs and poultry. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 52: 67-75.
  17. Elder, R.O., Keen J.E., Siragusa, G.R., Barkocy-Gallagher G.A., Koohmaraie M., Laegreid, W.W. 2000. Correlation of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 prevalence in feces, hides, and carcasses of beef cattle during processing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 97: 2999-3003.
  18. Heuvelink, A.E. et al. 1998. Occurrence of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 on Dutch dairy farms. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 36: 3480-3487.
  19. E. coli infections in visitors to farms. Posted by Pig Disease Information Centre Ltd., 2000 at: <http://www.pighealth.com/ecoli.htm>
  20. Setting the Standard: A Summary of Compost Standards in Canada. Compost Council of Canada. Posted at: <http://www.compost.org/standard.html>
  21. Colueke, C.G. 1991. When is compost safe?. In: *The Biocycle Guide to the Art and Science of Composting*. Edited by Staff of BioCycle. JG Press, Emmaus Pa, Ch 49, pp. 220-229.
  22. Carl Wilson (Colorado State University Cooperative Extension horticulturist) cited in news item of ENN (Environmental news Network), June 3, 1997 "Food gardeners urged to avoid fresh manure" Posted at: <http://www.enn.com/enn-news-archive/1997/06/060397/06039711.asp>
  23. Hancock D.D., Rice D.H., Herriott D. E., Besser T.E., Ebel E.D., Carpenter L.V. 1997. Effects of farm manure-handling practices on *Escherichia coli* O157 prevalence in cattle. *Journal of Food Protection* 60:363-366.

- 
24. Shere, J.A., Bartlett, K. J., Kaspar, C. W. 1998. Longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 dissemination on four dairy farms in Wisconsin. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64: 1390-1399.
  25. Hancock, D.D., Besser, T.E., Gill, C., Bohach, C.H. 1999. Cattle, hay and *E. coli*. *Science* 284: 561-52. A response by J.B. Riussell and F. Diez-Gonzalez, *ibid*, pp 52-53. See also: Hovde, C.J., Austin P.R., Cloud K.A., Williams C.J., Hunt C.W. 1999. Effect of Cattle Diet on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Acid Resistance. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65: 3233-3235.
  26. Hakkinen M., Schneitz C. 1996. Efficacy of a commercial competitive exclusion product against a chicken pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *E.coli* O157:H7. *Veterinary Record* 139: 139-141.
  27. Microbiologists Battle *E. Coli*. 1998 News report by Mary Esch. Posted at: [http://healthandenergy.com/e\\_coli.htm](http://healthandenergy.com/e_coli.htm)
  28. Zhao T., Doyle M.P., Harmon B.G., Brown C.A., Mueller E., Parks A.H. 1998 Reduction of carriage of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in cattle by inoculation with probiotic bacteria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 36: 641-647.
  29. J.L. Spencer. 1995. Animal pathogens. In: A Program to Assess the Impacts of Composted Source-Separated Solid Wastes (CSSSW) Applied to Agricultural Lands: National Agricultural Compost Trial, Edited by S.D. Nelson H. Dinel and T. Goodyear. *Ag Canada Technical Bulletin* 1885-9E, 1995, pp 33-35.
  30. Cole, S. 1998. The Emergence of Treatment Wetlands. *Environmental Science and Technology* 32 (9): pp. A-218- A-223.
  31. McCaskey T.A., Gurung N.K., Lino S.P., Panangola S.V., Witherow A.K., Shadell T.L. 1998. Constructed wetlands controlling *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* on the farm. *Highlights of Agricultural research* (Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station 45(1)). Posted at <http://www.ag.auburn.edu/aaes/information/highlights/spring98/ecoli.html>
  32. Lampkin, N. 1990. *Organic Farming*. Farming Press Books, Ipswich, U.K.
- 

## SPEAKER PROFILE

*David Patriquin is professor of Biology at Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia. A marine biologist by training, he became interested in organic farming in the 1970s through his work on nitrogen cycling and out of concern about the degradation of aquatic systems associated with industrial farming techniques. Organic farms are system level experiments in ecology, he says, and getting them to realize their full potential for producing food and nurturing the planet is the ultimate test of our understanding of ecology.*

*He has conducted on-farm research in collaboration with individual farmers and groups of farmers attempting to reduce reliance on agrochemicals or to improve functioning of certified organic farms, and has served as a Certification Agent for several organic farming organizations in the Maritimes and Maine.*

All parts of this book may be reproduced or transmitted provided the sources are quoted.

This publication contains presentation given at conferences held in Saskatchewan in 1999 and 2000. In addition several related articles have been used that were not presented at the conferences.

**Editor:** *Hart Haidn*

**Layout:** *Joan Lange*

**Photos:** *Darryl Amey*

**Cover graphic & design:** *Huw Evans, EYECATCHER Graphics & Design*

**Printed and bound in Canada:** *St. Peters Press, Muenster, Saskatchewan*

**A publication of:**     **Canadian Centre for Sustainable Agriculture**  
                                  **1001 Avenue D North**  
                                  **Saskatoon, SK**  
                                  **S7L 1N5**

**November 2001**

**National Library of Canada Cataloguing in Publication Data**

The best of... Exploring Sustainable Alternatives: an introduction to sustainable agriculture

"Selected presentations from the sustainable agriculture conferences, Exploring Organic Alternatives, held in Saskatoon, SK, fall 1999 and Exploring sustainable alternatives, held in Humboldt, SK, fall 2000".

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-9689720-0-4

1. Sustainable agriculture—Congresses
  - I. Haidn, Hart, 1942-
  - II. Canadian Centre for Sustainable Agriculture
  - III. Title: Exploring sustainable alternatives
  - IV. Title: An introduction to sustainable agriculture

S494.5.S86B48 2001

333.76'16

C2001-903722-8

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A publication like this cannot be produced without institutional support and the help of many individuals who assist with advice and practical help.

The most time consuming part has been the editing and I have appreciated the help from Jackie Stuckel, Ruth Collins and Joan Lange. Joan needs a special thank you for doing the layout, and particularly for her patience and understanding.

Darryl Amey who also helped with advice and encouragement has taken most of the pictures in this book.

Thanks also to Erland Sundby who taped many of the presentations and helped by providing a tape when I could not get the written presentation.

Neil Strayer has been a great supporter of all conferences and this publication as well. If the entire organic industry would follow his example we would have no difficulties providing conferences and workshops for the improvement of this sector.

The National Farmers Union provided logistical and moral support for conferences and the development of this publication.

Financial support for this publication has been provided by the Canadian Adaptation and Rural development Fund in Saskatchewan (CARDS). Funding for the CARDS program is provided by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.

Canada



Agriculture and  
Agri-Food Canada

Agriculture et  
Agroalimentaire Canada



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Principles and General Observations**

Introduction .....	1
- <i>Hart Haidn</i>	
Problem Solving Requires Inclusive Thinking .....	5
- <i>John Ralston Saul</i>	
The Case for Science and Technology in Agriculture: From Destruction to Wisdom .....	23
- <i>Carolyn Raffensperger</i>	
Keeping Family Farmers on the Farm – Sustainable Agriculture: Not an Alternative but the Future .....	31
- <i>Jim Horne</i>	
The Dilemma of Biotechnology.....	41
- <i>Michelle Brill-Edwards</i>	

## **Causes of the Recurring Farm Crisis**

The Root Causes of the Farm Crisis .....	51
- <i>Brian Halweil</i>	
The Farm Crisis and Corporate Power .....	63
- <i>Darrin Qualman</i>	
Resisting Change: Challenges for People and Organizations .....	69
- <i>Hart Haidn</i>	

## **Sustainable Solutions**

The Search for Solutions – A Need for a Paradigm Shift .....	81
- <i>John E. Ikerd</i>	
Systems for Sustainable Agriculture: Approaches, Tradeoffs and Needs .....	95
- <i>David G. Patriquin</i>	
Hallmarks of Sustainable Farming Systems .....	105
- <i>John E. Ikerd</i>	
Organic Farming and Sustainable Agriculture .....	115
- <i>Mary A. Beckie</i>	

## **Sustainable Solutions (cont'd...)**

Working with the Process of Change, Particularly Psychological Process, When Implementing Sustainable Agriculture .....	125
- <i>Stuart B. Hill</i>	
Achieving Agricultural Sustainability in Australia by 2020: A Social Ecology Framework .....	135
- <i>Stuart B. Hill</i>	
History of Growth and Research Priorities for Sustainable Agriculture in the United States of America .....	141
- <i>James Horne</i>	
History Development of Sustainable Agriculture in Europe .....	147
- <i>Jan Diek van Mansvelt and Hart Haidn</i>	
Organic Industry Needs Assessment: Results of Workshops and Questionnaires .....	159
- <i>by Desiree Jans, Saskatchewan Agriculture and Food</i>	

## **Production**

Soil Fertility Research for Low-Input and Organic Farming Systems: U.S. Projects, Perspective .....	169
- <i>Lynne Carpenter-Boggs</i>	
Enhancing Soil Fertility in Organic and Low-Input Agriculture .....	173
- <i>Lynne Carpenter-Boggs</i>	
Biodiversity in Soil: Interactions with Agriculture and the Role of Agriculture in Problem-Solving .....	177
- <i>Lynne Carpenter-Boggs</i>	
The Role of Forages in Sustainable Agriculture .....	183
- <i>Zoheir Abouguendia</i>	
Apple Production on the Prairies: It Can Be Done .....	189
- <i>Rick Sawatzki</i>	
Intercropping to Balance Soils and Pests on Organic Farms .....	191
- <i>Janine Gibson</i>	

## **Economics**

Economics, Ecology and Sustainable Agriculture .....	195
- <i>David Granatstein</i>	
Organic Agriculture Faces the Specialization of Production Systems; Specialized Systems and the Economical Stakes .....	207
- <i>John E. Ikerd</i>	



## **Economics (cont'd...)**

Sustainable Farming and Rural Community Development .....	219
- <i>John E. Ikerd</i>	
Organic Agriculture and Sustainable Communities: Creating Sustainable Rural Communities and an Optimistic Future for the Next Generation .....	235
- <i>Cornelia Butler Flora</i>	

## **Environment**

Prairie Agriculture, Climate Change and Sustainable Alternatives .....	253
- <i>Suren N. Kulshreshtha</i>	
Revisiting Carrying Capacity: Acre-Based Indicators of Sustainability .....	275
- <i>William E. Rees</i>	

## **Regulatory Framework**

The Seven Deadly Threats to Organic Integrity .....	295
- <i>Patrick Holden</i>	
The Need for an Efficient Regulatory System for Organic Production in Canada .....	299
- <i>Darryl Amey</i>	

## **Food Health**

Does Organic Production Provide for a More Healthy Food Than Non-Organic Production? Report on a Dutch study, with some additional comments .....	303
- <i>Jan Diek van Mansvelt</i>	
Putting Breast Cancer on the Map .....	315
- <i>Helen Lynn</i>	
Reducing Risks from E. coli on the Organic Farm .....	321
- <i>David G. Patriquin</i>	
Dietary Intake of Multiple Pesticide Residues .....	333
- <i>James Gomes</i>	