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ABSTRACT
We present new [C\textsc{i}](1–0) and $^{12}$CO(4–3) Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) observations of five Sub-Millimeter Galaxies (SMGs) and combine these with all available [C\textsc{i}](1–0) literature detections in SMGs to probe the gas distribution within a sample of 14 systems. We explore the [C\textsc{i}](1–0) properties of the SMG population, particularly investigating the ratio of the [C\textsc{i}](1–0) luminosity to various $^{12}$CO transition and far-infrared luminosities. We find that the SMGs with new observations extend the spread of $L_{[\text{CI}](1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}}$ to much higher values than found before, with our complete sample providing a good representation of the diverse $z > 2$ SMG population. We compare the line ratios to the outputs of photodissociation region (PDR) models to constrain the physical conditions in the interstellar medium (ISM) of the SMGs, finding an average density of $\langle \log (n/\text{cm}^{-3}) \rangle = 4.3 \pm 0.2$ and an average radiation field (in terms of the local field value, $G_0$) of $\langle \log (G_0) \rangle = 3.9 \pm 0.4$. Overall, we find the SMGs are most comparable to local ULIRGs in $G_0$ and $n$, however a significant tail of 5 of the 14 SMGs are likely best compared to less compact, local starburst galaxies, providing new evidence that many SMGs have extended star formation distributions and are therefore not simply scaled up versions of local ULIRGs. We derive the ISM properties of a sample of quasars also finding that they have higher densities and radiation fields on average than the SMGs, consistent with the more extreme local ULIRGs, and reinforcing their interpretation as transition objects. We explore the limitations of using simple PDR models to understand [C\textsc{i}], which may be concomitant with the bulk H$_2$ mass rather than PDR-distributed. We therefore also assess [C\textsc{i}] as a tracer of H$_2$, finding that for our sample SMGs, the H$_2$ masses derived from [C\textsc{i}] are often consistent with those determined from low excitation $^{12}$CO. We conclude that [C\textsc{i}] observations provide a useful tool to probe the bulk gas and gas processes occurring within merging SMGs, however more detailed, resolved observations are required to fully exploit [C\textsc{i}] as a diagnostic.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The ultra-luminous Sub-Millimetre Galaxies (SMGs) contribute significantly to the rapid build-up of stellar mass in the Universe at $z \sim 2$ (e.g., Chapman et al. 2008). They have large molecular gas reservoirs with gas masses of $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ (Greve et al. 2005, Bothwell et al. 2013) and high star formation rates (SFRs) imply-
ing that they can build a stellar mass of $10^{10} \, M_\odot$ in only 100 Myr of continuous star formation (Magnelli et al. 2012), and are therefore often interpreted as being the progenitors of the massive ellipticals observed locally. Investigating the dense cool interstellar medium (ISM) in high-redshift SMGs is important for understanding the mechanisms for triggering these high SFRs and probing the fuel supply for the star formation.

The immense luminosities in local ULIRGs often appear to be triggered by mergers and interactions (Sanders & Mirabel 1996). However the star formation in local ULIRGs is much more centrally concentrated than high-z ULIRGs (Sakamoto et al. 2008) where carbon monoxide ($^{12}$CO) and radio observations have shown that the gas can be extended on scales up to $\sim 10$ kpc and often comprise multiple components (Chapman et al. 2004; Biggs & Ivison 2008; Ivison et al. 2008). Bothwell et al. (2010) and Ivison et al. (2011) highlighting a difference in the local and high-redshift populations. Menéndez-Delmestre et al. (2009) also suggest the SMGs contain extended cool and warm dust distributions from mid-infrared spectroscopic observations of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission. Observations of the [C$^+$] cooling line in SMM J213511, the ‘Eyelash’, suggest that this system consists of extended starburst clumps, providing further support that SMGs are not scaled up versions of local ULIRGs (Ivison et al. 2011) highlighting a difference in the local and high-redshift populations. Studies of carbon monoxide transitions and the cooling lines in the SMGs can therefore be used to search for the trigger of the intense star formation by determining the extent of the fuel for the star formation.

Studying various $^{12}$CO transitions can help constrain the physical conditions within the galaxies. However with only $^{12}$CO measurements, a number of properties of the molecular gas are degenerate (density and kinetic temperature; Walter et al. 2011) so other tracers are required to break this degeneracy, for example multiple $^{13}$CO lines, HCN and [C$^+$]. The ratios of the [C$^+$] lines with other $^{12}$CO transitions have been suggested as diagnostics in photodissociation region (PDR) models in which far-ultraviolet photons illuminate molecular clouds (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999) controlling the properties of the interstellar medium (ISM). In the one dimensional PDR slab models of Kaufman et al. (1999) a relatively thin layer of neutral atomic gas is predicted in between the transition from the C$^+$ rich outer layer to the CO rich inner volume, naively implying that the [C$^+$] should not be able to trace the bulk H$_2$ mass and its use in assessing the outputs from PDR modelling relative to well studied sources and a range of galaxy types. All calculations assume a flat, cold dark matter ($\Lambda$CDM) cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 71 \, \text{km}s^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

2 SAMPLE, OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTION

To complement the initial studies of [C$^+$] in SMGs from Walter et al. (2011), we selected five additional, un-lensed, $z \sim 2.3$ SMGs from extensive spectroscopic surveys expanding the Chapman et al. (2005) sample. Two of these SMGs are components in a wide-separation major merger, as presented in Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2012). The SMGs were observed in [C$^+$]($P_1 \rightarrow P_0$) (rest frequency: 492.161 GHz), which we refer to as [C$^+$](1–0) from here on, and $^{12}$CO(4–3) (rest frequency: 461.041 GHz). These SMGs were chosen to have well detected, resolved H$_2$ lines to enable direct comparison of the ionized gas component in these galaxies. They were also all selected to lie in equatorial fields to enable further followup with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). The redshift range we covered matches the peak of the SMG population ($z = 2 - 2.5$) – Chapman et al. (2005; Wardlow et al. 2011). These sources have been mapped in H$_\alpha$ from integral field spectroscopy observations made with Gemini-NIFS and VLT-SINFONI. The H$_\alpha$ intensity
maps show multiple peaks of star formation and the velocity and velocity dispersion maps are turbulent and disturbed, distinguishing them from smooth, disk-like systems. These SMGs are classified as mergers using a variety of criteria, including a kinematics analysis (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012). Four of our new sources do not show any signs of AGN in any wavelength, while the fifth has a broad AGN (a component in the large separation (~20 kpc) major merger). The combined sample these new targets and the Walter et al. (2013) SMGs displays a large range of environments (different stages of mergers and a range of AGN contributions) and are well suited to assessing the diagnostic power of the [CI] line.

These additional SMGs were observed with the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) in 2011 in D configuration. The summer observations used a sub-array, 5 antennae configuration, while the 6th antenna was being serviced. The SMGs were observed at their redshifted [CI] and $^{12}$CO(4–3) frequencies lying well within the band of the 2 mm receivers (Table 1).

The observations were reduced using the GILDAS software from IRAM. Data were flagged and removed that had high phase RMS, as well as other data with large amplitude losses, pointing, focus or tracking errors. The flux calibrators used for the $^{12}$CO(4–3) observations were a selection of 3C454, 3C454.3, MWC349 and 1749-096 and the phase and amplitude calibrators were a selection of 0130-171, 0138-097, 0237-027 and 2223-052. The line fluxes were calculated using the intensity-weighted second order moment. We use the second order moment analysis to accurately represent the full profiles of the lines. First the redshift of the line is calculated as the intensity-weighted centroid of the line, with the line width ($\sigma$) calculated using the intensity-weighted second order moment. We then integrate the flux by integrating between $\pm 2\sigma$.

The $^{12}$CO(4–3) fluxes, calculated in this way, are given in Table 3 and the $\pm 2\sigma$ regions are marked on the spectra in Figs. [1-4]. Since the [CI](1–0) detections are weaker than the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detections and the line profiles are not as well defined, we use the $^{12}$CO(4–3) defined velocity range to integrate the [CI](1–0) flux. The resulting [CI](1–0) fluxes are also given in Table 3.

In Table 4 we bring together the line measurements of our five new SMGs with the literature measurements of [CI](1–0) in SMGs from Walter et al. (2011), Cox et al. (2011) and Danielson et al. (2011). We also bring together the available $^{12}$CO(1–0), $^{12}$CO(3–2) and $^{12}$CO(4–3) fluxes for this sample. Before discussing the properties of the complete sample we briefly discuss each of the new observations in turn.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 [CI](1–0) and $^{12}$CO(4–3) line extraction

In Figs. [1-4] we show signal-to-noise (S/N) maps of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0) lines. We extract beam averaged, one dimensional spectra from the S/N peaks of the maps. We find that these peaks are clearly associated to faint sources in the IRAC imaging identified by previous radio continuum observations, as shown in Fig. [5].

Continuum was significantly detected in the extra-line regions of the [CI](1–0) observations for four of the five SMGs: SXDF4a, SXDF4b, SXDF7 and SA22.96. In order to measure the continuum at the observed frequency of [CI](1–0) in each source, we measure the median flux in the region outside the [CI](1–0) lines. This median is shown by the horizontal lines in the extracted one dimensional spectra in Figs. [1-3] and [4]. We subtract this median level from the spectra before further analysis. We use the measured continuum levels from the [CI](1–0) observations to subtract continuum from the detected $^{12}$CO(4–3) sources, since the latter observations (with shorter integration times, and longer wavelengths) do not detect continuum as significantly. The continuum levels are estimated at the rest frequency of $^{12}$CO(4–3) by multiplying the measured continuum at the rest frequency of [CI](1–0) by $\frac{\nu_{^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)}\text{cont.}}{\nu_{\text{[CI](1–0)}\text{cont.}}}\beta^2$, where $\beta=2$. [Magnelli et al. (2012)] represents the average spectral energy distribution (SED) of SMGs. Since we do not detect continuum in SXDF11 we do not subtract continuum from either SXDF11 spectrum.

In Figs. [1-4] we show Gaussian fits to the line profiles, often finding multiple components are a better representation of the profile. We show multiple component fits if the fit is improved by allowing more than one Gaussian component. In order to determine the $^{12}$CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0) line fluxes and widths we use a second order moment analysis, to accurately represent the full profiles of the lines. First the redshift of the line is calculated as the intensity-weighted centroid of the line, with the line width ($\sigma$) calculated using the intensity-weighted second order moment. We then integrate the flux by integrating between $\pm 2\sigma$.

The $^{12}$CO(4–3) fluxes, calculated in this way, are given in Table 3 and the $\pm 2\sigma$ regions are marked on the spectra in Figs. [1-4]. Since the [CI](1–0) detections are weaker than the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detections and the line profiles are not as well defined, we use the $^{12}$CO(4–3) defined velocity range to integrate the [CI](1–0) flux. The resulting [CI](1–0) fluxes are also given in Table 3.

In Table 4 we bring together the line measurements of our five new SMGs with the literature measurements of [CI](1–0) in SMGs from Walter et al. (2011), Cox et al. (2011) and Danielson et al. (2011). We also bring together the available $^{12}$CO(1–0), $^{12}$CO(3–2) and $^{12}$CO(4–3) fluxes for this sample. Before discussing the properties of the complete sample we briefly discuss each of the new observations in turn.

3.1.1 SXDF7

The S/N contours of SXDF7 shown in Fig. [1] reveal clear detections of both $^{12}$CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0). Neither line shows any extension beyond the beam shape, and we interpret the source as unresolved in both lines. The offset between the $^{12}$CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0) detections of 1.1" is not significant compared to the 7.1" x 3.6" beam size of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) observations. The Hα map of SXDF7 indicates that it comprises two merging components separated by 4±1 kpc (~0.5") in projection and 100±50 kms$^{-1}$ in velocity (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012), however owing to the large beam size in these observations compared to the Hα observations we cannot determine that the $^{12}$CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0) lines are resolved on those scales.

3.1.2 SXDF11

The $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum of SXDF11 extracted from the peak of the S/N map in Fig. [2] shows multiple velocity components. We use the second order moment analysis to determine the line profile properties. While 5σ, the S/N peak in the [CI](1–0) line is offset from the well centred peak (1.0±0.2 mJy) of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) line by 3.6", larger than the 3.2" FWHM beam size (east-west) of the [CI](1–0) observations, therefore we do not consider this [CI](1–0) peak to originate from the same galaxy as the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection. The $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection is centred on a radio-identified source in
the IRAC imaging shown in Fig. 5. For further analysis, we treat [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) as undetected in SXDF11 and quote a 3\textsigma upper limit to the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) flux in Table 2. We note, however, that the ‘offset’ source, apparently detected in [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) and not 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) may represent a more quiescent star-forming galaxy (and therefore with weak 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) emission) in a group with the highly star-forming SXDF11 system.

3.1.3 SXDF\textsubscript{4a} and SXDF\textsubscript{4b}

The S/N map of 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) for SXDF4 reveals extended 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3), spanning two > 4\textsigma components, extending beyond the beam shape suggesting the source is resolved even with this large beam size (4.4\textarcmin by 3.3\textarcmin). Two massive components are also seen in the strong H\textalpha detections of two high SFR galaxies presented in Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2012) (separated by a velocity of 670±70 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and spatially by 18±5 kpc). However, the two 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) components are separated by 49±3 kpc, slightly larger than the FHWM of the beam, and in velocity space by 230±40 km s\textsuperscript{-1}, as shown in Fig. 4b significantly larger than the H\textalpha spatial separation. This ‘b’ component in 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) could represent a third source in the complex SXDF4 system; the offset between the southern H\textalpha galaxy and the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) ‘b’ position is greater than the CO beam size, and the ‘b’ component lies just beyond the H\textalpha IFU map. We consider the two components to be separate SMGs, and extract the spectra from the peak S/N pixel of each component. While there is significant velocity overlap between the beam-averaged broad lines, there is little or no spatial overlap. We cannot rule out that some of the intervening CO emission is coming directly from the second H\textalpha galaxy.

We note that the H\textalpha maps highlight SXDF\textsubscript{a} as two highly star-forming galaxies in a major merger (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012), providing useful additional diagnostics to the 12\textsuperscript{CO} maps of the molecular gas, despite H\textalpha being heavily extinct in these dusty galaxies (Takata et al. 2006). These two H\textalpha components are not resolved spatially or in velocity in our 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) map, and higher resolution, sensitive 12\textsuperscript{CO} observations would provide a crucial comparison to the H\textalpha map. The combination of H\textalpha and 12\textsuperscript{CO} observations clearly provides a more complete picture of starburst galaxies, as found for instance in studies of local starbursts such as M82 where H\textalpha also highlights a significant component of the ionized gas in outflows (Bland & Tully 1988).

We also detect ∼2 mm continuum across both components (Table 1 and the south-east extension is seen in the IRAC 3.6\textmu m imaging shown in Fig. 5. The 2 mm continuum in the ‘a’ and ‘b’ components is indistinguishable within the errors, therefore we also split the unresolved 850 \textmu m and Herschel-SPIRE fluxes equally, leading to equal far-infrared luminosities for ‘a’ and ‘b’.

We note that the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) detection in SXDF\textsubscript{4a} is offset from the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) detection by 1.6\textarcsec however since this is well within the beam size of the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) observations we consider the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) detection to be associated with the same source as the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3). [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) is not significantly detected in SXDF\textsubscript{4b} therefore we quote a 3\textsigma upper limit to the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) flux for this component.

3.1.4 SA22.96

In Fig. 4 we show the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) and [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) observations of SA22.96 along with a reanalysis of the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(3–2) observations from Bothwell et al. (2013). The 12\textsuperscript{CO}(3–2) is clearly extended beyond the beam in this system, suggesting that there may be a second component to the east of the primary source identified by radio continuum and our 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) and [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) detections. A second source in the IRAC imaging (shown in Fig. 5) may be the counterpart to the extended 12\textsuperscript{CO}(3–2), however this component is not detected in our 2 mm continuum map or the 1.4 GHz radio map, and we do not separate this potential second component out in the analysis of the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(3–2) spectrum.

### Table 1. SMG positions from the 1.4 GHz detections, and redshifts from the second order moment analysis of the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) observations of this work. The IDs in italics indicate the IDs used for the H\textalpha observations in Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2012). The 2 mm continuum is measured in the off-line regions of the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) observations, which are deeper and also at slightly higher frequency than the 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) observations. The 850 \textmu m fluxes are from Chapman et al. (2005) and Coppin et al. (2006). The values in brackets in the redshift column represent the error on the last decimal place. Since we detect approximately equal 2 mm continuum between both SXDF\textsubscript{4a} and SXDF\textsubscript{4b}, the S850\textmu m values for SXDF\textsubscript{4a} and SXDF\textsubscript{4b} assume the measured 850 \textmu m flux for the whole system is equally divided between the two components. We also quote the 5 antennae on-source times (t) and the observing frequencies (∨).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RA (J0217-0503)</th>
<th>Dec (J0217-0503)</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t\textsuperscript{12CO(4–3)} (hrs)</th>
<th>t\textsuperscript{<a href="1%E2%80%930">C\textsc{ii}</a>} (hrs)</th>
<th>S850\textmu m (mJy)</th>
<th>S850\textmu m (mJy)</th>
<th>∨\textsuperscript{12CO(4–3)} GHz</th>
<th>∨\textsuperscript{<a href="1%E2%80%930">C\textsc{ii}</a>} GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>02:17:38:92</td>
<td>-05:05:23:7</td>
<td>2.52868[8]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td>7.1±1.5</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>139.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF11</td>
<td>02:17:25:12</td>
<td>-04:59:37:4</td>
<td>2.828[8]</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5±1.9</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>148.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>02:17:38:62</td>
<td>-05:03:37:5</td>
<td>2.0298[2]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>2.2±0.85</td>
<td>151.9</td>
<td>162.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4b</td>
<td>02:17:38:62</td>
<td>-05:03:37:5</td>
<td>2.0274[1]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>2.2±0.85</td>
<td>151.9</td>
<td>162.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>22:18:04:42</td>
<td>00:21:54:4</td>
<td>2.5169[7]</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>9.0±2.3</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>139.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The beam sizes of the [C\textsc{ii}](1–0) and 12\textsuperscript{CO}(4–3) observations and the approximate physical scales (in kpc) corresponding to these beam sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Beam Size\textsuperscript{<a href="1%E2%80%930">C\textsc{ii}</a>} (arcsec)</th>
<th>Physical Scale\textsuperscript{<a href="1%E2%80%930">C\textsc{ii}</a>} (kpc)</th>
<th>Beam Size\textsuperscript{12CO(4–3)} (arcsec)</th>
<th>Physical Scale\textsuperscript{12CO(4–3)} (kpc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>4.4 × 3.5</td>
<td>40 × 30</td>
<td>7.1 × 3.6</td>
<td>60 × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF11</td>
<td>8.0 × 3.2</td>
<td>70 × 30</td>
<td>4.4 × 3.5</td>
<td>40 × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>5.1 × 2.7</td>
<td>40 × 20</td>
<td>4.4 × 3.3</td>
<td>40 × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>4.8 × 3.2</td>
<td>40 × 30</td>
<td>4.5 × 3.8</td>
<td>40 × 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3. The redshifts and [CI] and 12CO line fluxes of the complete SMG sample. These fluxes are not corrected for magnification. The SMGs with new [CI] detections in this work are in the top of the table. The errors on the fluxes represent the noise in maps in the region outside the source and outside the velocity channel range used to measure the integrated flux. The 12CO(3–2) flux of SA22.96 is remeasured from the observations of Bothwell et al. (2013). The fluxes in the bottom of the table are the literature fluxes with the following references: 0: This work, 1: Genzel et al. (2003), 2: Walter et al. (2011), 3: Bothwell et al. (2013), 4: Ivison et al. (2011), 5: Downes & Solomon (2003), 6: Weiβ et al. (2005), 7: Danielson et al. (2011), 8: Cox et al. (2011), 9: Thomson et al. (2012), 10: Lestrade et al. (2010), 11: Sharon et al. (2013). The redshifts quoted for the literature sources come from 12CO(3–2) wherever available, or 12CO(4–3) except for ID141 which is from the combination of both detections and J213511 which comes from the 13CO(1–0) detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>z</th>
<th><a href="1%E2%80%930">CI</a></th>
<th><a href="2%E2%80%931">CI</a></th>
<th>12CO(4–3)</th>
<th>12CO(3–2)</th>
<th>12CO(1–0)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>2.529</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF11</td>
<td>2.282</td>
<td>&lt;0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>2.030</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4b</td>
<td>2.027</td>
<td>&lt;0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6±0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>2.517</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.3±0.3</td>
<td>1.6±0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J02399</td>
<td>2.808</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
<td>1.8±0.9</td>
<td>3.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.60±0.12</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J13549</td>
<td>2.202</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>1.68±0.17</td>
<td>0.32±0.04</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J16350</td>
<td>2.3834</td>
<td>&lt;0.7</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>1.97±0.14</td>
<td>0.34±0.04</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J16358</td>
<td>2.456</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>1.5±0.2</td>
<td>0.37±0.07</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14011</td>
<td>2.5652</td>
<td>1.8±0.3</td>
<td>3.1±0.3</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>0.32±0.03</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J16359</td>
<td>2.51737</td>
<td>1.7±0.3</td>
<td>1.6±0.3</td>
<td>4.0±0.4</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J213511</td>
<td>2.3259</td>
<td>16.0±2.0</td>
<td>16.2±2.0</td>
<td>17.3±2.0</td>
<td>13.2±0.1</td>
<td>2.16±0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID141</td>
<td>4.243</td>
<td>2.8±0.9</td>
<td>3.4±1.1</td>
<td>7.5±0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM18423+5938</td>
<td>3.930</td>
<td>2.3±0.5</td>
<td>4.2±0.8</td>
<td>4.95±0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also note that the centre of the 13CO(3–2) detection is 2.7″ offset to the east from the centre of the 12CO(4–3) detection, which could again reflect two components contributing to the 13CO(3–2) flux. However, since the error in the positions of the lines is ∼1″, the two CO transitions are just consistent with still being a single source, and we treat them as such hereafter.

We also note that the Hα map centred on the 12CO(4–3) centroid reveals two components with ∼1″ separation (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012) demonstrating that SA22.96 is clearly in the process of a major merger, however the Hα map does not spatially cover the potential eastern source.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Line Profiles

In this section, we compare 12CO and [CI](1–0) line-widths within our new sample, and also in the literature sources, to set a context for whether the lines are the same gas kinematically. In our three sources where [CI](1–0) is detected, we compare in Table 4 the 12CO(4–3) and [CI](1–0) line-widths (quantified as σ_CI), now determined independently from a second order moment analysis.

We first apply the second order moment analysis directly on the [CI](1–0) detections, which will serve as a verification of our method in Section 3.1 of determining [CI](1–0) fluxes by integrating over velocity channels determined by fitting the higher S/N 12CO(4–3) lines. Despite some apparent differences in the velocity centroids from the 12CO(4–3) lines, we find the [CI](1–0) line-widths are still consistent within errors with the 12CO(4–3) line-widths in all cases. The [CI](1–0) fluxes determined directly in this way are also mostly within the errors of the fluxes determined using the 12CO(4–3) defined velocity channels. The exception is SXDF4a, where the weak [CI](1–0) line surrounded by strong continuum is particularly difficult to measure without reference to 12CO(4–3).

The median line-width ratio of σ_CI(4–3) to σ_CI(1–0) is 1.01±0.09 indicating a good agreement and therefore suggesting that the [CI](1–0) may highlight similar gas distributions as the 12CO(4–3). However, the lower S/N of the [CI](1–0) lines implies that we cannot rule out the slightly broader lines (at the 10–20% level) in this low excitation gas that might be expected based on work by Ivison et al. (2011) for the 12CO(1–0) line in SMGs.

We then compare the line-widths of four SMGs which have [CI](1–0) detections from Walter et al. (2011) and 12CO(1–0) detections from Ivison et al. (2011) and Thomson et al. (2012). We apply the second order moment analysis to the line profiles (see Table 4) finding that two of the four (J123549 and J163658) have consistent [CI](1–0) and 12CO(1–0) line-widths. However the remaining two (J02399 and J163650) have significantly larger 12CO(1–0) line-widths compared to our measured [CI](1–0) line-widths by ∼100 km s⁻¹ in σ, a ∼50% increase. Our σ_CI(1–0) measurements for these two are actually very similar to the higher-J CO lines (Bothwell et al. 2013). Indeed, Ivison et al. (2011) find that in most cases, the gas probed by 12CO(1–0) is more spatially extended than the gas probed by higher-J 12CO(3–4).

It appears that we cannot conclude that the [CI](1–0) line is always tracing the full cold gas component probed by the 12CO(1–0) line, which may be a result of both optical depth and radiative transfer effects as explored in detail in Papadopoulos et al. (2004). Further detailed comparisons and resolved studies of 12CO(1–0) to [CI](1–0) are needed to probe this further and understand the origin of the differences.

However, in order to compare the brightness distributions of these two tracers, and thus the use of each line as H2 mass tracers, the sensitivities of both the [CI](1–0) and 12CO(1–0) moment maps must reach the same gas mass surface density limit. When imaging high-redshift 12CO(1–0) with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) and [CI](1–0) with ALMA, resolution and UV-plane coverage need to be well matched.
Figure 1. SXDF7: Top: $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum (left) extracted from the peak pixel of the velocity-integrated S/N map (right) marked by a star. The contours of the S/N map represent the S/N starting at the $\pm 3\sigma$ level (solid red for positive $\sigma$ levels and dashed white for negative $\sigma$ levels). The noise is derived from the standard deviation of the flux outside the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to measure the intensities. Bottom: [CI](1–0) spectrum (left) extracted the pixel marked in the velocity-integrated S/N map (right). The region marked over the spectra represent the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to gain the total line fluxes, as determined from the second order moment analysis of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum ($\pm 2\sigma$). The spectra are centred such that the zero velocity corresponds to the redshift of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection. The solid horizontal green lines in the spectra represent the continuum level which was subtracted prior to the moment analysis. The green ellipses on the bottom right of the S/N maps represent the beam sizes and shapes. The maps are centred at RA=02:17:38.885 and Dec=-05:05:27.971.

Figure 2. SXDF11: Top: $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum (left) extracted from the peak pixel of the velocity-integrated S/N map (right) marked by a star. The contours of the S/N map represent the S/N starting at the $\pm 3\sigma$ level (solid red for positive $\sigma$ levels and dashed white for negative $\sigma$ levels). The noise is derived from the standard deviation of the flux outside the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to measure the intensities. Bottom: [CI](1–0) spectrum (left) extracted the peak pixel in the velocity-integrated S/N map, showing that we do not detect [CI](1–0) in the same galaxy as the well-centred $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection. The region marked over the spectra represent the velocity channels over which the spectrum was integrated to gain the total line flux, as determined from the second order moment analysis of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum ($\pm 2\sigma$). The spectra are centred such that the zero velocity corresponds to the redshift of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection. No continuum was detected therefore we do not subtract continuum from the spectra. The green ellipses on the bottom right of the S/N maps represent the beam sizes and shapes. The maps are centred at RA=02:17:25.135 and Dec=-04:59:34.296.
Using [CI] to probe the Interstellar Medium in $z \sim 2.5$ SMGs

Figure 3. SXDF4: Top: $^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)$ spectra of the ‘a’ and ‘b’ components of the SXDF4 system (left) extracted from the peak pixels of the velocity integrated S/N map (middle). The contours of the S/N map represent the S/N starting at the $\pm 3\sigma$ level (solid red for positive $\sigma$ levels and dashed white for negative $\sigma$ levels). The noise is derived from the standard deviation of the flux outside the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to measure the intensities. The positions of ‘a’ and ‘b’ are marked on all maps. The regions marked over the spectra represent the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to gain the total line fluxes, as determined from the second order moment analysis of the $^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)$ spectra ($\pm 2\sigma$) of ‘a’ and ‘b’. The spectra are centred such that the zero velocity corresponds to the redshift of the $^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)$ detection of the ‘a’ component. The S/N maps are created by integrating over the full velocity range of the two components, from the lowest velocity channel of the ‘b’ detection to the highest velocity channel of the ‘a’ detection. Bottom: The [CI](1–0) spectrum extracted from the ‘a’ and ‘b’ components, marked by the stars in the velocity-integrated S/N map (middle). The ‘b’ component is not detected in [CI](1–0). The solid horizontal green lines in the spectra represent the continuum level which was subtracted prior to the moment analysis. The green ellipses on the bottom right of the S/N maps represent the beam sizes and shapes. Right: Hα velocity field from Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2012) showing distinct multiple components closer in spatial separation than the $^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)$ components but further apart in velocity. The yellow dashed rectangle marked on the S/N maps represents the field of view of the Hα observations, showing that the ‘a’ component aligns to the $^{12}\text{CO}(4–3)$ ‘a’ component however the ‘b’ components do not match in position. The maps are centred at RA=02:17:38.688 and Dec=05:03:39.29.
**Figure 4.** SA22.96: Top: $^{12}$CO(3–2) spectrum (left) extracted from pixel marked by a star in the velocity-integrated S/N map (right) from observations detailed in Bothwell et al. (2013). The $^{12}$CO(3–2) observations do not extend to the wide velocity (frequency) range of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) and $[$C$\text{I}](1–0)$ observations. The contours of the S/N map represent the S/N starting at the $\pm 3\sigma$ level (solid red for positive $\sigma$ levels and dashed white for negative $\sigma$ levels). The noise is derived from the standard deviation of the flux outside the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to measure the intensities. Middle: $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum (left) extracted from the pixel marked in the velocity-integrated S/N map (right). Bottom: $[$C$\text{I}](1–0)$ spectrum (left) extracted from the pixel marked in the velocity-integrated S/N map (right). The region marked over the spectra represent the velocity channels over which the spectra were integrated to gain the total line fluxes, as determined from the second order moment analysis of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) spectrum ($\pm 2\sigma$). The spectra are centred such that the zero velocity corresponds to the redshift of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detection. The solid horizontal green lines in the spectra represent the continuum level which was subtracted prior to the moment analysis. The green ellipses on the bottom right of the S/N maps represent the beam sizes and shapes. The maps are centred at RA=22:18:4.42 and Dec=00:21:54.40.

**Figure 5.** Contours of S/N of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) detections (solid red for positive $\sigma$ levels and dashed white for negative $\sigma$ levels), starting at $\pm 3\sigma$, overlaid on colour IRAC imaging (red, green and blue corresponding to the 3.6 $\mu$m, 4.5 $\mu$m and 5.8 $\mu$m images respectively). The green ellipses on the bottom right represent the beam shapes. We overlay the contours of the $^{12}$CO(3–2) detection of SA22.96 also (in pink) showing the extension to the east, however this eastern component is not detected in continuum, $^{12}$CO(4–3) or $[$C$\text{I}](1–0)$. The orange ellipse on the bottom left represents the $^{12}$CO(3–2) beam shape. The stars mark the position of the pixels from which the spectra are extracted.
Table 4. Comparison the the line-widths ($\sigma$) determined from the second order moment analysis of the $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ and $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ detections in our new sample of SMGs (top 3), and in four SMGs (bottom rows) observed in $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ (Walter et al. 2011) and $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ (Ivison et al. 2011; Thomson et al. 2012). The last column compares the line widths measured by Ivison et al. (2011) and Thomson et al. (2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CI}(1-0)]$</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CO}(4-3)]$</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CO}(3-2)]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{km s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\text{km s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\text{km s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>190±30</td>
<td>220±60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>250±40</td>
<td>230±20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>270±40</td>
<td>270±30</td>
<td>270±30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CI}(1-0)]$</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CO}(1-0)]$</th>
<th>$\sigma[\text{CO}(4-3)]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J02399</td>
<td>260±20</td>
<td>380±10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J123549</td>
<td>200±40</td>
<td>227±5</td>
<td>230±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163650</td>
<td>230±20</td>
<td>320±10</td>
<td>330±35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163658</td>
<td>260±20</td>
<td>290±10</td>
<td>295±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From this context we next look at the line luminosities and ratios in $^{12}\text{CO}$ and $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$.

4.2 Line luminosities and ratios

To understand the range of gas properties in SMGs, we bring together the sample of 14 SMGs with $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ observations, including the five new observations from this work and the nine $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ detections from literature (Walter et al. 2011; Danielson et al. 2011; Cox et al. 2011), and convert the $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ and $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ integrated fluxes to luminosities using the following relation (Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005):

$$L'(K \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2) = 3.25 \times 10^7 \nu_{\text{obs}}^2 D_L^2 (1+z)^{-3}$$

where $I$ is the integrated flux in units of Jy km s$^{-1}$, $D_L$ is the luminosity distance in units of Mpc and $\nu_{\text{obs}}$ is the central frequency of the line.

In the cases where no $^{12}\text{CO}(3-2)$ detections are available, we use the available $^{12}\text{CO}(3-2)$ luminosity and a template CO spectral line energy distribution (SLED) from Bothwell et al. (2013) to convert the $^{12}\text{CO}(3-2)$ luminosity to a $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ luminosity for direct comparison with our new sample. We also derive $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ luminosities (from the $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ luminosities) for the 9 of 14 sources which have not been observed in $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$. The template from Bothwell et al. (2013) includes all the recent $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ detections in SMGs and therefore takes into account that the average SMG is best represented by a two component medium with a cooler component more widely distributed than a star-forming one, visible only via the high-J CO line emission. For reference, the relevant conversion factors are $\tau_{3K/10} = 0.52 \pm 0.09$ and $\tau_{4K/10} = 0.41 \pm 0.07$ (Bothwell et al. 2013).

The far-infrared luminosities for SXDF7, SXDF11, SXDF4a, SXDF4b and SA22.96 are derived from modified black body fits to the available photometry utilising Herschel-SPIRE fluxes as detailed in Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2012), and as refined in Section 3.1.3. The far-infrared luminosities for the literature sample are taken from Walter et al. (2011) and Cox et al. (2011) and are corrected by a factor of 1.2× to represent the luminosity from integrating under a modified blackbody curve from 8–1000 µm rather than the 40–400 µm quoted in Walter et al. (2011). All luminosities are corrected for gravitational lensing using the magnification factors in Table 5. The resulting luminosities are given in Table 5.

We first aim to put our SMGs with new $^{12}\text{CO}$ detections in this work in context with the SMGs with literature $^{12}\text{CO}$ detections. The two samples have similar median $L_{\text{CO}(4-3)} = 2.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$ for the SMGs with new detections and $2.1 \pm 1 \times 10^{10} \text{ K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2$ for the literature sample which spans a broader distribution. The combination of both samples probes the $^{12}\text{CO}(4-3)$ distribution of a large sample of SMGs studied in $^{12}\text{CO}$ from Bothwell et al. (2013), well, as shown in Fig. 7. We also compare the median values of line luminosity ratios of the two sub-samples. The median $L'_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L'_{\text{CO}(4-3)}$ ratio is $0.51 \pm 0.05$ for the SMGs with new detections and 0.47 ±0.03 for the literature SMGs, again indicating consistency, and as shown in Fig. 7. There is, however, a marked difference in $L'_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}}$ between the two samples; the SMGs with new $^{12}\text{CO}$ detections have a median ratio of $2.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ whereas the literature sample has a median ratio of $0.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-5}$, as shown in Fig. 8 which provides initial evidence that the properties of the ISM in the SMGs varies, possibly suggesting that some of the SMGs with new detections have larger cool gas reservoirs for their far-infrared luminosity. This difference may be reduced with better constrained $^{12}\text{CO}$ measurements for those SMGs which currently only have upper limits. We combine these two sub-samples into one sample of 14 SMGs for the remainder of the paper.

We next compare the luminosity ratios of the SMGs to a sample of other high-redshift systems, comprised of bright quasars and radio-loud galaxies which have $^{12}\text{CO}$ detections in Walter et al. (2011). We do not include BRI1335-0417 from Walter et al. (2011) in the quasar sample since $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ is undetected and we have no direct observations of the low-J $^{12}\text{CO}$ transitions. We measure a median $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4-3)}$ in the SMGs of $0.51 \pm 0.04$ compared to $0.3 \pm 0.1$ in the quasar sample which can be seen in Fig. 8. We measure a median $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}}$ of $1.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $0.23 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{-5}$ in the SMG sample and the quasar sample respectively which indicates a difference ($\sim 2\sigma$) between the two samples in terms of $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}}$ which is owing to the larger far-infrared luminosities measured in the quasar systems acting to reduce the value of this ratio. Applying a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, we derive a 96 per cent probability that the SMGs and quasars were drawn from different populations in terms of $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4-3)}$ and therefore we disagree with the findings of Walter et al. (2011) since they find no difference between the SMGs and QSOs in terms of $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(3-2)}$.

Finally, we compare the luminosity ratios to local galaxies to test if the $^{12}\text{CO}$ properties differ at lower redshift in a variety of different ISM environments, using the above conversions to $L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ where necessary. We find a median $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 0.20 \pm 0.08$ for the SMG sample which is consistent with the value derived in a sample of irregular, interacting and merging, nearby galaxies (Gerin & Phillips 2000). The ratio measured in the Milky Way (Fissen et al. 1999; $L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = 0.15 \pm 0.01$). We therefore find the ratio of $^{12}\text{CO}(1-0)$ luminosity is similar in galaxies at high and low redshift, in agreement with Walter et al. (2011), which may lend further support to the idea that $^{12}\text{CO}$ is not completely constrained to the thin interface regions of PDRs.

We note that Fig. 7 shows a decrease in $L'_{\text{CI}(1-0)}/L'_{\text{CO}(4-3)}$ with increasing far-infrared luminosity. This relationship can be explained assuming that the [CI] provides a tracer of the bulk H$_2$ gas
Table 5. Line luminosities and quoted magnification factors for the SMGs studied in this work (top) and the literature SMG sample (bottom). Where certain $^{12}\text{CO}$ transition line luminosities are not directly measured the values quoted are inferred from other $^{12}\text{CO}$ transitions using the conversions from Bothwell et al. (2013) and are shown in italics. All luminosities are corrected for gravitational lensing using the magnification factors in column ($\mu$). The far-infrared luminosities are derived from integrating under a modified blackbody curve from 8-1000 $\mu$m. The magnification value we use for J14011 is 4 since the range of possible magnifications is 3–5 (Smail et al. 2005). The magnification value we use for ID141 is 20 since the range of possible magnifications is 10–30 (Cox et al. 2011). Since we detect approximately equal continuum across both SXDF4a and SXDF4b, the $L_{\text{FIR}}$ values for SXDF4a and SXDF4b assume that the observed $L_{\text{FIR}}$ is divided equally between the two components of this system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>$L_{\text{[CI]}(1-0)}^{\prime}$</th>
<th>$L_{\text{[CI]}(2-1)}^{\prime}$</th>
<th>$L_{\text{CO}(4-3)}^{\prime}$</th>
<th>$L_{\text{CO}(3-2)}^{\prime}$</th>
<th>$L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}^{\prime}$</th>
<th>$L_{\text{FIR}}$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF11</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4b</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J02399</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8 ± 2 (9 ± 1)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J123549</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.9 (8.4 ± 0.8)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163650</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9 ± 1 (11.3 ± 0.8)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163658</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>10 ± 2 (9 ± 1)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14011</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J16359</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J213511</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.159 ± 0.009</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.09 (2.08 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.09</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID141</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM18423+5938</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.09</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The distribution of $L_{\text{CO}(4-3)}^{\prime}$ of the SMGs with new [CI] detections in this work (red dense shading) and the combination of this sample and the literature sample of SMGs with [CI] detections (black medium shading) compared to the large sample of SMGs which have been studied in $^{12}\text{CO}$ by Bothwell et al. (2013) (blue sparse shading).
mass and that $L_{\text{FIR}}$ traces the star-forming ISM, since in merger systems with higher $L_{\text{FIR}}$, a larger fraction of $H_2$ will be participating in the star-formation (traced by $^{12}$CO(4–3)) such that the ratio $L_{[\text{CI}](1–0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4–3)}$ will be reduced. By comparison, systems with extended distributions of cooler reservoirs of $H_2$ gas will have higher values of $L_{[\text{CI}](1–0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4–3)}$ and lower $L_{\text{FIR}}$. Furthermore, assuming that the [CI] provides a tracer of the bulk $H_2$ gas mass, Fig. 8 can be interpreted as revealing compact star bursts between the samples even if the output derived values are not true of the characteristic density and far-ultraviolet radiation field for we discuss further in Section 4.3.1, however deriving an estimate of the possible solutions such that the typical model errors on log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) and log($G_0$) are 0.3 and 0.7 respectively (Danielson et al. 2011).

### 4.3 PDR models

In order to explore the properties of the ISM within the SMGs we compare the line luminosity ratios to the outputs of molecular cloud models. The ISM of galaxies contains bound molecular clouds and cold neutral gas. Photodissociation regions (PDRs) are regions of the neutral gas where far-ultraviolet photons dominate the structure, heating and chemistry of the ISM (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999). PDR models are an important tool to understand the effects of the far-ultraviolet radiation in all the regions containing atomic and molecular gas (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999). The PDR models of Kaufman et al. (1999) assume a slab geometry for molecular clouds being illuminated from one side and probe the atomic gas density ($n$) and the far-ultraviolet radiation field as a function of the various line luminosity ratios. We note that there are a number of issues with using these simple one-dimensional PDR models, which we discuss further in Section 4.3.1 however deriving an estimate of the characteristic density and far-ultraviolet radiation field for the SMGs and the quasar sample provides a useful comparison between the samples even if the output derived values are not true representations of the densities and radiation fields. These average properties can be strongly affected by the different conditions of starburst versus quiescent environments therefore they provide a useful insight into the differences in these galaxies. Furthermore as more line observations are used to constrain these averages the prevailing conditions are further refined (Rangwala et al. 2011).

We use our line luminosity ratios together with the far-infrared luminosities to constrain properties of the systems by comparing the ratios to the values output from the Kaufman et al. (1999) models. We quote the UV radiation field in multiples of $G_0$, the UV radiation field in the Milky Way in Habing units (1.6×10$^{-3}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$). The models assume a given set of model parameters, including elemental abundances and also dust properties and then solve for the chemistry, thermal balance and radiative transfer in the PDR. A given PDR model has a constant density and radiation field therefore at each density and radiation field the various emitted line-luminosity ratios can be established.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we show the PDR model output for our measured line ratios at different values of $n$ and $G_0$ taken from the models of Kaufman et al. (1999). We created finer grids than provided by Kaufman et al. (1999), interpolating between the steps in $n$ and $G_0$. Since the contours of $L_{[\text{CI}](1–0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4–3)}$ and $L_{[\text{CI}](1–0)}/L_{\text{FIR}}$ are orthogonal it is possible to define an unique value of $n$ and $G_0$ for each SMG by finding the intersection of the contours. The derived values are shown in Table 6.
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Table 6. The inferred values of the density ($n$) and radiation field ($G_0$) for the SMGs. The values quoted for J123511 (the ‘Eyelash’) are from the analysis of multiple line ratios from Danielson et al. (2011). We use only two line ratios to constrain the densities and radiation field values noting that using more line ratios will increase the spread of the possible solutions such that the typical model errors on log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) and log($G_0$) are 0.3 and 0.7 respectively (Danielson et al. 2011).

In order to compare the $n$ and $G_0$ values of the SMGs to other high-redshift systems and also local systems we plot the distribution of $n$ and $G_0$ in Fig. 9. We first note that the radiation fields of the literature sample of SMGs are higher than the SMGs with new detections in this work. We measure a median density and radiation field of log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) = 4.4 ± 0.2 and log($G_0$) = 4.1 ± 0.2 respectively in the literature SMG sample compared to log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) = 4.23±0.08 and log($G_0$) = 3.4±0.3 for the SMGs with new detections in this work. Six of the nine literature SMGs are gravitationally lensed and it is possible that the densities and radiation fields may be underestimated owing to differential magnification in these lensed systems. For instance, this may manifest if [CI](1–0) probes a larger region of cold gas than the more centrally concentrated region probed by the far-infrared and $^{12}$CO(4–3) observations. Stronger, differential lensing of the far-infrared and $^{12}$CO(4–3) luminosities over [CI] would result in higher derived density and radiation field values.

Our line profile analysis (Section 4.1) does not provide direct evidence for such a scenario for [CI], but we do not spatially resolve the lines in this study, nor is our S/N sufficient to rule out line width differences in the $^{12}$CO and [CI] lines. This scenario could clearly happen for the spatially extended $^{12}$CO(1–0) reservoirs found in Tyson et al. (2011). We also note that spatially resolved observations reaching the same $H_2$ gas mass surface density sensitivities of both $^{12}$CO(1–0) and [CI](1–0) are required to explore this further. We assume here that differential lensing does not have a large effect on our results, and combine all 14 SMGs into a single sample, finding a median density of log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) = 4.4 ± 0.2 and a median radiation field of log($G_0$) = 4.1 ± 0.4. We note that the quoted dispersions of the density and radiation fields characterise the distribution of the population, but do not include the additional broadening from measurement errors. Including the average measured errors in the estimate of the SMGs’ distributions would reduce the error on log($n$ (cm$^{-3}$)) to 0.07 and log($G_0$) to 0.3. We also note that using more than two line ratios will also increase the
of high-redshift systems we also constrain L_{\text{detections}} detailed in Walter et al. (2011). All luminosities are corrected for gravitational lensing using the magnification factors in Table 5. The ratio of L_{\text{median density of sample from Walter et al. (2011) as shown in Fig. A3}} finding a reduced compared to systems with extended distributions of star-formation idle H$_2$ gas in merger systems (with higher L_{\text{star-forming SMG host dominating over the AGN in this system}} as shown in Danielson et al. (2011) for the ‘Eyelash’ (J213511) for which numerous line ratios are used (from the $^{12}$CO ladder, [CII], [CIII]) giving model errors on log(n/cm$^{-3}$)) and log(G$_0$) of $~0.3$ and $~0.7$ respectively.

In order to explore the differences in ISM conditions in a range of high-redshift systems we also constrain n and G$_0$ for the quasar sample from Walter et al. (2011) as shown in Fig. A3 finding a median density of log(n/cm$^{-3}$) $= 4.6 \pm 0.3$ and radiation field of log(G$_0$) $= 4.8 \pm 0.3$. We therefore derive higher radiation fields in the quasar sample than in the SMGs which may provide evidence that the quasar systems contain more compact starbursts (the ratios of L_{[C II](1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}} and L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{CO(4-3)}} are lower, compared to the SMGs), as shown in Fig. 8 and Section 4.2. The locus of the quasar properties appears to be well within the local ULIRG regime, and the outlier, as shown in Fig. 8 may be representative of the star forming SMG host dominating over the AGN in this system, providing support for the interpretation of quasars as transition objects. The gas densities we measure in SMGs are also lower than found for a $z=6.42$ quasar, n $= 10^5$cm$^{-3}$ (Maiolino et al. 2005), providing additional support for varied gas properties across the high-redshift systems.

In order to assess if the ISM properties in star forming galaxies have varied since the present day, we compare the SMGs to local systems in Fig. 7 Davies et al. (2003) studied local ULIRGs, finding density values between $n = 10^5 - 10^8$cm$^{-3}$ and radiation fields of G$_0$ $> 10^4$ which overlaps with the values we derive for distant SMGs. However, we note that there is recent evidence that in some local ULIRGs the far-UV photons (and therefore the PDRs) are unable to power the ISM energetics, and therefore the high-J $^{12}$CO lines. The high densities and metal-rich environments in these systems stop the far-UV photons from traveling far, and only cosmic rays and/or turbulent heating can explain the large amounts of dense and warm gas observed in the local ULIRGs (Bradford et al. 2003, Papadopoulos et al. 2012). Recent Herschel observations have also shown that local ULIRGs can be powered by shocks and/or X-rays. Van der Werf et al. (2010) find that X-ray heating can power the high-J $^{12}$CO lines in Mrk231 and Hailey-Dunsheath et al. (2012) favour a X-ray heating model for NGC 1068 and conclude that far-UV heating is unlikely, whereas Meijerink et al. (2013) find that shocks are dominating the $^{12}$CO excitation in NGC 6240.

Furthermore, a sample of nearby, normal star forming galaxies is found to have n and G$_0$ values in the ranges $n = 10^2 - 10^4.7$cm$^{-3}$ and G$_0$ $= 10^2 - 10^{4.5}$ (Malhotra et al. 2001) which overlap in the far upper ranges with the values we find for SMGs. The mean density for the SMGs is also consistent with the range derived in Galactic OB regions however the mean radiation field for the SMGs is at the lower range of the values derived in Galactic OB regions (Stacey et al. 1999). Finally the density and radiation field values for the SMGs are consistent with nearby starburst galaxies (Stacey et al. 1999), although this belies the fact that half of the 14 SMG sample actually lie significantly above this range in G$_0$.

Overall, we find the SMGs are most comparable to local ULIRGs in G$_0$ and n, however a significant tail of 5 of the 14

Figure 7. Line luminosity ratios and far-infrared luminosities of the SMGs in this work and in the literature compared to the sample of quasars with [CI] detections detailed in Walter et al. (2011). All luminosities are corrected for gravitational lensing using the magnification factors in Table 5. The ratio of $L'_{[\text{CII}(1-0)]}/L'_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ decreases with increasing far-infrared luminosity. The median values for the complete SMG and quasar samples are marked by the dark red filled star and dark blue filled circle respectively. The SMGs extend to higher $L'_{[\text{CII}(1-0)]}/L'_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ and lower L_{\text{FIR}} values than the quasar sample. The ratio of $L'_{[\text{CII}(1-0)]}/L'_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ decreases with increasing L_{\text{FIR}}. Assuming that the [CI] provides a tracer of the bulk H$_2$ gas mass, in merger systems (with higher L_{\text{FIR}} values) a larger fraction of bulk H$_2$ gas will be participate in the star-formation therefore the ratio of $L'_{[\text{CII}(1-0)]}/L'_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ will be reduced compared to systems with extended distributions of star-formation idle H$_2$ gas which will have higher values of $L'_{[\text{CII}(1-0)]}/L'_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ and lower L_{\text{FIR}} values.
SMGs are likely best compared to local starburst galaxies which exhibit lower densities and weaker radiation fields.

4.3.1 PDR model Issues

There are a number of issues associated with PDR modelling since a galaxy is much more complicated than a single PDR characterized by one set of parameters. Firstly, the PDR model uses micro turbulence (small velocity gradients) to estimate the line opacities. These PDR models often fail to model the $^{12}$CO ladder and $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ lines owing to the problems with the small velocity gradients not being altered in the PDR grids. Changing the velocity gradients, or indeed the assumed density profiles, in the PDR models will therefore act to alter the density and radiation field values derived. We note that not all PDR models use micro turbulence with some using a large velocity gradient escape probability formalism such as the work by [Jansen et al. (1995)].

The one dimensional PDR slab models produce a relatively thin layer of neutral atomic gas in between the transition from the $C^+$ rich outer layer to the CO rich inner volume in the FUV-illuminated molecular cloud. This would imply that $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ cannot trace the bulk H$_2$ mass which contrasts with extensive observational evidence that suggests that $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ is fully concomitant with the CO-bright H$_2$ [Plume (1995); Keene et al. (1999); Ojha et al. (2001); Ikeda et al. 2003]. Indeed Keene et al. (1999) note that the simple plane-parallel PDR models of, for example, [Tielens & Hollenbach (1985)] do not predict the observed proportionality between $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ and other H$_2$ tracers, or the extended distributions of $[\text{C}]\text{I}$. This is further illustrated in the attempts to model the well-studied Barnard 68 dark globule by [Pineda & Bensch (2007)] where the relative $[\text{C}]\text{I}$, $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO cannot be reproduced simultaneously by the spherically symmetric [Stoerzer et al. (1996)] PDR model with differences between the observations and models of up to a factor of 2.

There has been a considerable effort to alter the simple models to explain these observations by using low-density PDRs and inhomogeneous PDRs [Hollenbach et al. (1991); Meixner & Tielens (1993); Spaans (1996)]. [Stutzki et al. (1988)] suggest that the surface layers of $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ are distributed across the clouds since the clouds are clumpy. Spaans (1996) presents a homogeneous model and a clumpy model with the same (average) density. The average abundance well, indicating that the global $H_2$ mass tracers such as $[\text{C}]\text{I}$ and other $H_2$ tracers, or the extended distributions of $[\text{C}]\text{I}$. This is further illustrated in the attempts to model the well-studied Barnard 68 dark globule by [Pineda & Bensch (2007)] where the relative $[\text{C}]\text{I}$, $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO cannot be reproduced simultaneously by the spherically symmetric [Stoerzer et al. (1996)] PDR model with differences between the observations and models of up to a factor of 2.
into the PDRs). The clumpy models allow the far-UV to penetrate deeper into the molecular clouds, however in these models the [C\text{I}] distribution remains inconsistent with the widespread [C\text{I}] emission observed deep in molecular clouds with little far-UV illumination \citep{Keene1985, Tatematsu1999, Oka2001}. The clumpy models also cannot achieve the high $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO ratios observed in ULIRGs. Furthermore, \citep{Keene1985} present observations of [C\text{I}] in two Galactic molecular clouds finding that the [C\text{I}] is widely distributed in the clouds and not consistent with only appearing at the cloud edges, close to the ionisation fronts. \citep{Papadopoulos2004} further explore the non-PDR distribution of [C\text{I}], highlighting observations such as [C\text{I}] emission from UV-protected regions in the Galaxy and the similarities between the [C\text{I}] and $^{13}$CO line profiles, from which they conclude that observations are best explained by [C\text{I}] tracing the H$_2$ gas mass rather than having a PDR-like distribution.

We note that there is significant scatter between different PDR models currently used, as discussed in \cite{Rolli2007}. The models contain numerous uncertainties given the various unknown chemical processes which are still being determined and understood experimentally and theoretically. There is therefore an ongoing effort to improve the models and converge on a common solution, and further observations are needed to help to guide this.

We conclude that in reality the ISM is likely a clumpy structure with lower and higher density regions which are all caught in the same beam in our observations and given the information available to us, namely the [C\text{I}](1–0), $^{12}$CO(4–3) and far-infrared luminosities, we are unable to use more complicated models since that would introduce more parameters than we can constrain with only these line luminosities. Nevertheless, our estimation of the densities and radiation fields of the SMG and quasar samples, from comparison to the simple PDR models, provides us with a useful initial comparison and drives further studies into modelling the molecular clouds.

### 4.4 Gas masses

There is evidence that the mass derived from the [C\text{I}] line luminosity can be used to estimate the H$_2$ mass in local ULIRGS \citep{Papadopoulos2004, Arp220, Gerin1998} and the Cloverleaf QSO \citep{Weiss2003}. \cite{Papadopoulos2004} note that the small optical depths of the [C\text{I}] lines make them ideal tracers of H$_2$ gas, along with the simple partition function structure and the apparent comcomitance of [C\text{I}] with the bulk H$_2$ gas mass. In order to test this in high-redshift systems we first calculate the total mass of neutral carbon in the SMGs using:

\[
M_{\text{[C\text{I}]}} = 5.706 \times 10^{-4} Q(T_{\text{ex}}) \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{T_{\text{ex}}}^{23.6/T_{\text{ex}}} L_{\text{[C\text{I}]}}(1–0) M_{\odot},
\]

\citep{Weiss2005}, which assumes the [C\text{I}] line is optically thin and where $Q(T_{\text{ex}}) = 1 + 3e^{-1/T_{\text{ex}}} + 5e^{-2/T_{\text{ex}}}$ (the [C\text{I}] partition function). $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the energies above the ground state, 23.6 K and 62.5 K respectively and $T_{\text{ex}}$ is the excitation temperature. Since we do not have information on the [C\text{I}](2–1) line for the majority of the sources we cannot constrain the excitation temperature \cite{Schneider2003} therefore we take $T_{\text{ex}} = 30$ K, consistent with the average derived $T_{\text{ex}}$ from \cite{Walter2011} mainly from quasars with both [C\text{I}] line detections ($T_{\text{ex}} = 29.1 \pm 6.3$ K), under the assumption that both lines are optically thin and have the same excitation temperature. For densities as high as $\sim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ [C\text{I}] will be thermalised and therefore local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE) can be assumed, justifying using the same $T_{\text{ex}}$ for all levels of [C\text{I}], such that $T_{\text{ex}} = T_{\text{kin}}$. We derive a median neutral carbon mass of $1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ in the SMGs (Table 7).

We then compare these masses to the molecular gas masses derived from the $^{12}$CO luminosities. We use both the measured $^{12}$CO(1–0) fluxes \cite{Weiss2005} and the derived $^{12}$CO(1–0) luminosities and assume a conversion of $\alpha_{^{12}\text{CO}} = 1.0 M_{\odot} (\text{Kkm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})^{-1}$ such that $M_{^{12}\text{CO}}(H_2) = \alpha_{^{12}\text{CO}} L_{^{12}\text{CO}(1–0)} M_{\odot}$ as used by \cite{Bothwell2013}, the use of which we discuss further in Section 5.4.1. We then measure a median molecular gas mass (from $^{12}$CO(1–0)) of $50 \pm 10 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ in the SMGs.

With knowledge of these two masses we can estimate the neutral carbon abundance relative to H$_2$ \citep{Weiss2005} using:

\[
X_{\text{[C\text{I}]}}/X_{\text{H}_2} = M_{\text{[C\text{I}]}}/(6M_{\text{H}_2})
\]

\citep{Weiss2005}. Here $X_{\text{[C\text{I}]}} = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ \citep{Weiss2005} and $A_{10} = 7.93 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$ (the Einstein A coefficient) and the cosmological dependence is encapsulated in $D_\odot$, the luminosity distance. We measure a median molecular gas mass from [C\text{I}](1–0) of $80 \pm 20 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ which is consistent with the measure from the $^{12}$CO(1–0) luminosity, indicating that the [C\text{I}](1–0) line luminosity is a viable tracer of the H$_2$ mass, regardless of our inconclusive findings from the line width comparisons in Section 4.2. We note, however, that a $X_{\text{[C\text{I}]}}$ must still be assumed, which is also a weakness of using any optically thin tracer of H$_2$ gas mass, such as $^{13}$CO lines. In this context the [C\text{I}] lines with their simpler 3-level partition function, and simpler chemistry retain an advantage over the $^{13}$CO lines. On the other hand, using optically thick $^{12}$CO lines does not directly suffer from the corresponding $^{12}$CO/H$_2$ abundance uncertainty, but from other, different, uncertainties that we discuss in Section 5.4.1. However in cases where direct measurements of $^{12}$CO(1–0) are not available or possible, the [C\text{I}](1–0) luminosity appears to be a reasonable substitute to estimate the H$_2$ gas mass.

We note that measuring [C\text{I}](2–1), which is readily achievable with ALMA at these redshifts, will enable mapping of the gas properties while avoiding assumptions of the gas excitation temperature, determined from the ratio of [C\text{I}](2–1)/[C\text{I}](1–0). This is of particular importance for studying southern sources with ALMA where sensitive measurements at the observed frequencies of $\sim 30$ GHz for $^{12}$CO(1–0) are not achievable, but the [C\text{I}] lines are accessible.
Using [CI] to probe the Interstellar Medium in $z \sim 2.5$ SMGs

Figure 9. The far-UV radiation field, in terms of the local value ($G_0$), against the gas density ($n$) derived from the comparison of various [C\textsc{i}], $^{12}\text{CO}$ and far-infrared luminosities to the outputs from the Kaufman et al. (1999) PDR models. We plot the derived values for the SMGs and the literature quasars. The median values for the complete SMG and quasar samples are marked by the dark red filled star and dark blue filled circle respectively. We also mark the ranges in $n$ and $G_0$ derived for other populations - local ULIRGs, Galactic OB star-formation regions, normal star-forming galaxies and starburst galaxies (Stacey et al. 1991; Malhotra et al. 2001; Davies et al. 2003). We find that the SMGs are most comparable to the local ULIRGs although the tail of 5 SMGs with lower densities and radiation field values are more consistent with local starburst galaxies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>$M_{[\text{CI}]}$ ($10^5 M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{[\text{CI}]}(\text{H}<em>2)$ ($10^9 M</em>\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{CO}}(\text{H}<em>2)$ ($10^5 M</em>\odot$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SXDF7</td>
<td>1.6±0.4</td>
<td>120±30</td>
<td>70±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF11</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>39±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4a</td>
<td>0.9±0.3</td>
<td>70±20</td>
<td>30±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXDF4b</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>50±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA22.96</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>110±30</td>
<td>60±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J02399</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>130±20</td>
<td>80±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J123549</td>
<td>1.8±0.3</td>
<td>130±20</td>
<td>74±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163650</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>90±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J163658</td>
<td>1.7±0.4</td>
<td>120±30</td>
<td>100±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1401</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
<td>70±10</td>
<td>24±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J16359</td>
<td>0.16±0.03</td>
<td>11±2</td>
<td>8.2±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J21351</td>
<td>0.87±0.03</td>
<td>61±3</td>
<td>17.1±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID141</td>
<td>0.7±0.2</td>
<td>50±20</td>
<td>39±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM18423+5938</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>34±8</td>
<td>23.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. The neutral carbon masses and molecular gas masses calculated from the $^{12}\text{CO}(1\rightarrow 0)$ and [C\textsc{i}](1\rightarrow 0) luminosities for the SMGs with new [C\textsc{i}](1\rightarrow 0) observations in this work and the literature sample of SMGs.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Physical Interpretation

We next explore what the derived conditions in the ISM might imply for the SMG population. We first note that the density and radiation field values derived from the comparison to the PDR models may not represent the true values for these properties and that there are a number of issues with PDR modelling as described in Section 4.3.1. Furthermore, since we only use a single crossing (the intersection of two line ratios only) to constrain the densities and radiation fields we are not sampling the model distribution well, as shown by the spread of values derived by Danielson et al. (2011) using multiple line ratios. However, we use the single crossing to derive values in both the SMG and quasar sample, therefore performing a useful and consistent differential comparison between the two samples.

We find that the SMGs are clearly separate from the quasars in terms of radiation field but have similar densities. We also find that 5 of the 14 SMGs (mostly from our new observations in this work) extend the range of SMG radiation fields to much lower values, almost ten times lower than the majority of previous SMGs stud-
ied. This tail of SMGs’ \( C_0 \) are more consistent with starbursts or normal, local star-forming galaxies than with ULIRGs. Such a scenario is often quoted in the recent SMG literature from a variety of other arguments, namely the large sizes of SMGs (Chapman et al. 2004; Bennert et al. 2010), or the suppressed PAH line ratios (Menéndez-Delmestre et al. 2009).

In order to bring these low-\( C_0 \) SMGs to the median of the literature SMG sample, the ratio of \( L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}} \) need to be lowered by a factor \( \sim 4 \). However the \( L_{\text{FIR}} \) values are well constrained since we use a combination of 2 mm, 850 mm, Herschel-SPIRE and radio fluxes to constrain the SEDs (Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012), leaving less than a factor two in uncertainty. Therefore we suggest that the low radiation field values are more likely due to boosted \([CII] \) fluxes relative to the \( L_{\text{FIR}} \). Enhanced \([CII] \) is predicted by Papadopoulos et al. (2004) to occur in star forming environments since the processes which make \([CII] \) present throughout a typical giant molecular cloud act to enhance it further in a star forming or dynamically fast-evolving environment (for example, non-equilibrium chemical states and turbulent diffuse mixing). However, it is also possible that high densities \((n>10^4, \text{which is the case for the SMGs}) \) that prevail in the ISM of merger and starburst systems may also counteract this boosting and suppress the \([CII]/H_2 \) abundance (Papadopoulos & Greve 2004), therefore there are a number of uncertainties in this prediction since the balance between the two effects is not well constrained. The PDR models also assume a certain geometry and a homogeneous medium (Danielson et al. 2011) which may result in lower predicted \([CII] \) in the models compared to our observations.

We note that the large \( L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4-3)} \) and \( L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}} \) values (Fig. 8), causing low \( C_0 \) values in some SMGs, may be a result of a more extended, cool \( H_2 \) gas distribution. This “non-star-forming gas” would be weaker in the far-infrared and \( ^{12}\text{CO}(4–3) \) emission but strong in \([CII] \). Furthermore, if only a small fraction of the \([CII] \) emission comes from PDRs (Section 4.3.1) then the PDR-only ratios of \( L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{CO}(4-3)} \) and \( L_{[CII](1-0)}/L_{\text{FIR}} \) in Fig. 8 should be lower since the non-PDR contribution to the \([CII] \) emission should be subtracted from the observed values. This would imply that the density and radiation field values should be higher still for the PDR-related gas.

In order to explore the extent of the star formation in the SMG sample we derive sizes of the star forming regions using the molecular gas masses inferred in Section 4.3 and the densities determined from the PDR analysis in Section 4.4. We first note that the densities derived from the PDR analysis may not represent the true densities owing the large evidence that \([CII] \) does not have a PDR-like distribution, as discussed in detail in Section 4.3.1. However since we are only considering the average densities of the whole galaxy systems to gain approximate size estimates we continue to use the PDR-derived densities. We use the \( H_2 \) masses derived from the \( ^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) luminosities, applying a correction factor of 1.36 \( \times \) to account for the total mass of Helium and Hydrogen to gain the total molecular gas mass (Tacconi et al. 2010). Using these corrected masses we determine a median diameter of the SMGs of 800 \( \pm \) 100 pc assuming a geometry of a 500 pc thick disc (Lockman et al. 1988) (800 \( \pm \) 80 pc assuming spherical geometry). These derived diameters are smaller than we expect for SMGs where there is numerous evidence that the star formation on average is extended on scales greater than 1 kpc. The extended star formation has been suggested through spectroscopy of PAH features, resolved radio continuum, and large resolved \( H_\alpha \) distributions – Menéndez-Delmestre et al. (2009; Chapman et al. 2004; Bennert et al. 2010).

Furthermore, bothwell et al. (2010) find extended \( ^{12}\text{CO} \) structures in three SMGs, with a mean radius of 3.1 kpc. Indeed for a number of the SMGs in our sample the sizes have been derived from high resolution \( ^{12}\text{CO} \) and \( H_\alpha \) observations. We find that the sizes derived from the densities and masses in this work underestimate these sizes (Tacconi et al. 2008) measure diameters of 1.9 \( \pm \) 0.8 kpc and 2.1 \( \pm \) 1 kpc for J123549 and J163658 respectively whereas we derive diameters of 0.9 \( \pm \) 0.1 kpc and 1.0 \( \pm \) 0.2 kpc. In Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2013) we derive \( H_\alpha \) sizes for SXDF7, SXDF4a and SA22.96 finding diameters of 5.2 \( \pm \) 0.1 kpc, 5.8 \( \pm \) 0.1 kpc and 8.4 \( \pm \) 0.6 kpc respectively which are larger than the \( H_2 \) mass derived diameters of 1.0 \( \pm \) 0.1 kpc, 0.7 \( \pm \) 0.1 kpc and 1.0 \( \pm \) 0.2 kpc.

However, the SMGs often comprise multiple star-forming components with clumps of star formation across the complicated systems. Clear examples are demonstrated by the \( H_\alpha \) observations of SMGs in Alaghband-Zadeh et al. (2013), or the clumps in the lensed ‘Eyelash’ SMG (Swinbank et al. 2010). The derived sizes are therefore minimum sizes since the effects of mass clumping would be to make the derived sizes larger. For spherical geometry the radius of the region is defined as:

\[
r = \left( \frac{3M_{\text{H}_2}}{4\pi f_c n_c} \right)^{1/3}
\]

where \( f_c \) is the density clumping factor, equal to the ratio of the mean gas density \((n) \) to the clump gas density yielded from line ratio models for the average molecular cloud ensemble \((n_c) \). For \( f_c < 1 \) the radii derived are therefore minimum values. Since the median 800 \( \pm \) 100 pc size is a minimum, we find that the sizes are therefore likely consistent with being extended on kpc scales.

We also note that the derived \( H_2 \) masses from the \( ^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) luminosities assume a conversion factor from \( ^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) luminosity to \( H_2 \) mass of \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} = 1.0 M_\odot (K\text{km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^2)^{-1} \). It is possible that this value may be larger in some star forming galaxies. There is evidence for \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \sim 0.8 – 5 M_\odot (K\text{km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^2)^{-1} \) in a range of star forming galaxies (Tacconi et al. 2008; Daddi et al. 2010; Ivison et al. 2011; Hodge et al. 2012). Furthermore, there is evidence that \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) can be as high as \( 3 – 6 M_\odot (K\text{km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^2)^{-1} \) (near Galactic) in local ULIRGs from the work of Papadopoulos et al. (2012). We note that in this case (of \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \sim 6 M_\odot (K\text{km s}^{-1}\text{pc}^2)^{-1} \)) the \([CII]/H_2 \) abundance must be \( \sim 6 \) times smaller in order for the \( H_2 \) masses derived to match the \( ^{12}\text{CO}(1-0) \) derived gas masses. This may be achieved if the high average gas densities in the SMGs suppress the average \([CII]/H_2 \) abundance (Papadopoulos & Greve 2004) while the same high gas densities boost \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \). Papadopoulos et al. (2013) suggest that well-sampled high-J \( ^{12}\text{CO} \) lines in combination with heavy rotor molecules such as HCN are required to constrain the \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) in ULIRGs where the turbulent gas, with a strong high-density component, will have a high \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) which dominates in the system. There are further uncertainties in the values of \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) in different environments as detailed by Bolatto et al. (2013) such as a dependence of \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) on metallicity and large differences within galaxies from the centres to the outer disk regions.

Furthermore, the majority of SMGs are merger systems (Engel et al. 2010; Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012) and we note that torque induced by a merger event creates a more centralised, homogeneous molecular ISM, in which pressure plays a significant role in triggering a star forming event as opposed to in normal star formation which occurs in gravitationally bound molecular clouds (which exist as well defined separate structures in the ISM). It is therefore possible that in these merger systems the ISM could be
approaching a high pressure, continuous medium, rather than the well separated giant molecular clouds found in a lower density starburst environment. In this case there is evidence that a different $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ is required (Ivison et al. 2011). There are therefore many issues to consider when choosing the value of $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ to use, with further modelling and observations required to constrain the value across a range of environments.

We find that by adopting a conversion factor in the middle of the ranges discussed above ($\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 3 M_{\odot}.GetText{(Kkms^{-1}pc^{-2})^{-1}}$) the sizes we derive increase to >1 kpc which is already consistent with what we expect and provides further support for extended star formation occurring in SMGs.

5.2 $^{12}$CO(4–3)/[CI](1–0) as an indicator of Mergers versus Disks

Finally, we explore whether the $^{12}$CO(4–3)/[CI](1–0) ratio might act as a useful indicator of major mergers in SMGs. The extended star formation suggested by the large derived sizes in the SMGs (assuming a mid-range $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 3 M_{\odot} (Kkms^{-1}pc^{-2})^{-1}$) may provide further support for the hypothesis that SMGs are merger systems (Engel et al. 2010; Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2012) since the star formation which occurs outside the central nuclei and across the systems may be the relics of the progenitor galaxies of the merger, suggesting that we are observing multiple components in the early stages of a merger. This implies that the ultra-luminous phase occurring in these systems may be triggered at the first stages of a merger, a possibility suggested in the hydrodynamical models of Narayan et al. (2009), proving a valuable insight into the merger and star formation trigger processes. We note that this contrasts with observations of merger-induced local ULIRGs which are found to be compact (Sanders et al. 1988; Sakamoto et al. 2008) offering a difference between the ULIRG triggers at low and high redshift. We require high-resolution observations of the $^{12}$CO and [CI] transitions to explore this further.

Papadopoulos & Geach (2012) probe the use of the $^{12}$CO(4–3) to [CI](1–0) flux ratio as an indicator of the star formation mode in a system, suggesting whether a system is merger-driven or disk-like. They find that there is a difference of up to a factor of 10 between these two types of systems from a compilation of literature values of the ratio. They obtain $\langle r_{\text{CO}(4-3)/[\text{CI}(1-0)} \rangle = 4.6 \pm 1.5$ for merger-driven starbursts in ULIRGs and $\langle r_{\text{CO}(4-3)/[\text{CI}} \rangle = 0.45 \pm 1.3$ in disk-dominated star forming systems. We measure a median $L_{\text{CO}(4-3)}^\text{SMG}/L_{\text{CI}(1-0)}^\text{SMG}$ of $2.5 \pm 0.2$ providing evidence that the SMGs are merger-driven systems as opposed to quiescent, disk-like systems.

6 CONCLUSIONS

New [CI](1–0) and $^{12}$CO(4–3) observations of five SMGs are presented and combined with literature SMG [CI] detections to probe the gas distribution and properties within these massive merging systems. We compare the measured line luminosity ratios of [CI](1–0), various $^{12}$CO transitions and the far-infrared luminosity to the outputs of PDR models to constrain the physical conditions in the ISM of these systems, finding that the SMGs have densities and radiation field values that are consistent with local ULIRGs with 5 of the sample of 14 extending to lower densities and weaker radiation fields, more similar to the local starbursts, providing further support that star formation in SMGs can be extended. We find a sample of quasars have higher densities and radiation fields on average than the SMGs, consistent with the more extreme local ULIRGs, and reinforcing their interpretation as transition objects. Besides the standard interpretation of line ratios such as $[\text{CI}](1-0)$/$^{12}$CO(4–3) in terms of PDRs and the PDR-model link to the average far-UV fields we also consider a non-PDR origin of the [CI] line emission, with much of it actually tracing the bulk H$_2$ gas rather than the H$_2$ gas near star formation sites. We therefore explore the use of [CI] as a tracer of the H$_2$ gas finding that it provides a mass that is consistent with the H$_2$ gas mass determined from the $^{12}$CO observations, providing an alternative measure of the H$_2$ gas in high-redshift systems without $^{12}$CO observations. [CI] therefore provides an H$_2$ tracer which is of great use for high-redshift objects in which the low-J $^{12}$CO lines are redshifted to frequencies lower than the ALMA operating frequencies. Owing to the likely clumping in SMGs and the low value of the conversion between $^{12}$CO luminosity and H$_2$ gas mass used, the SMG sizes we derive are minima. We find that the minimum sizes are likely consistent with the sizes derived from high-resolution $^{12}$CO and H$_\alpha$ observations, providing further support for extended star formation in SMGs. Finally, the uncertainties in the excitation temperature highlight the need for complementary [CI](2–1) observations in these SMGs to enable [CI] to be used as a reliable tracer of molecular gas in high-z galaxies and therefore to probe galaxy evolution out to the epoch of the peak of star formation in the Universe.
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APPENDIX A: SUPPORTING FIGURES - CONSTRAINING DENSITIES AND RADIATION FIELDS WITH MOLECULAR CLOUD MODELS
Using [CI] to probe the Interstellar Medium in $z \sim 2.5$ SMGs

Figure A1. Contours of $L_{\text{[CI](1-0)}} / L_{\text{CO(4-3)}}$ (solid blue) and $L_{\text{[CI](1-0)}} / L_{\text{FIR}}$ (dashed green) at various levels of gas density ($n$) and radiation field ($G_0$) from the Photo-Dissociation Region (PDR) models of Kaufman et al. (1999). The bold contours represent the observed ratios for each of the SMGs with new [CI] detections presented in this work. The intersection of the two contours in each case provides a value for $n$ and $G_0$ for the SMG system. The red arrows mark the sources where there is only a limit to the [CI](1–0) luminosity and therefore we can only gain a lower limit to $n$ and $G_0$. 
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Figure A2. As Fig. [A1] for the literature SMG sample.
Using [CI] to probe the Interstellar Medium in $z \sim 2.5$ SMGs

Figure A3. As Fig. A4 for the literature quasar sample.