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ABSTRACT

Satellite mounted with OCI [Ocean Colour Instrument] must be validated and calibrated periodically while commissioned using hyper spectral radiometers. Absolute calibration of Hyper Spectral Radiometers is done in the air in the optical laboratories, and they are deployed in the ocean [Other than air] while calibrating OCI. Sensors response changes compare to air [Lab] while they are operating in the ocean. Calculated calibration coefficients in air must be corrected as sensors response changes due to changes in refractive index of the medium. Two things affect radiance Measurements. First, Sensor’s solid angle is reduced in-water compare to in-air and therefore lesser light reaches to the sensor. Second, Refractive index of the medium changes when sensor is submerged in water. Value of RI [refractive index] of the sensor’s window is closer to the water value compare to RI of air. Changes in sensors’ response is calculated and measured by experiments so called Immersion Factors and then Immersion factors are applied to the calibrated coefficient calculated in the air. As PACE is designed to operate in UV [350-400nm], Visible [400-700nm] and Near Infrared [700-900nm], it is important for HyperNav, a Hyper Spectral Radiometer to obtain Immersion Factors closer to actual values for the full spectrum range.
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<th>Abbreviation</th>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HN</td>
<td>HyperNav</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper-OCR</td>
<td>Hyper Ocean Colour Radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE</td>
<td>Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARGO</td>
<td>Ship named “ARGO” by which first float was deployed in Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI</td>
<td>Ocean Colour Instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Particulate Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>Aerosol optical Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOA</td>
<td>Harmful Algae Bloom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIIRS</td>
<td>Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZCS</td>
<td>Coastal Zone Colour Scanner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS</td>
<td>Surface Acquisition System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Immersion Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APM</td>
<td>Autonomous Profiling Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>Continuous Profiling Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>National Institute of Standard and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Radiance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Irradiance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ED  Irradiance Down welling
EU  Irradiance Upwelling
ES  Irradiance Surface
LU  Radiance Upwelling
LI  Radiance Indirect [Sky]
Lt  Radiance total
DN  Digital Number
DN_p(0^-)  In Water Measurements
DN_p(0^+)  In Air Measurements
C_c  Spectral Calibration Coefficient
L_w  In Water Radiance
L_a  In-Air Radiance
ADC  Analogue to Digital Conversion
HN#x  HyperNav Radiometer,
        Where, # Represents Head No: 1 to 6 & x Presents Experiment
FEL  Free Electron Lamp
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CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

In this Chapter, I have covered the information for the entire big research project from where my research idea was discovered, followed by the research objectives and thesis outline.

1.1 PACE Satellite

PACE [Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System] is NASA’s newest satellite, which is being built to know the health of the current ocean ecosystem and provide the data with their most advanced and next generation Ocean Colour Satellite sensors also known as hyper spectral radiometers [25].

1.1.1 Planktons and Aerosol

Planktons are the live organisms in the ocean, which are responsible for maintaining marine food cycle. They absorb the carbon dioxide and with the help of the sunlight make the food for the other living creature in the ocean [22]. Their bloom and concentration depend on concentration of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, water temperature, salinity of the ocean, nitrogen phosphate, calcium and concentration of chlorophyll.
Aerosols are known as the particle present in the atmosphere, which absorbs and scatters sunlight. They are also represented as a site for chemical reactions where various toxic gases in atmosphere react with these particles. There are basically their types of aerosols present in the atmosphere. Human made Aerosol, Desert dust particles and Volcanic Aerosols. It is important to know what kind of aerosols are present in different parts of the world as they are mainly responsible for what type of clouds will be formed, what kind of rain will be there in particular area and also what amount of sunlight will reach to ground.

1.1.2 History

What is PACE [Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System]? PACE is NASA’s satellite equipped with next generation of Ocean Colour Sensors. In 1978 NASA have launched their first to observe chlorophyll named as CZCS [Coastal Zone Colour Scanner]. CZCS was equipped with multi-spectral radiometer and was capable of collecting data a certain specific wavelength only [12].

In 2011, VIIRS [Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite] was launched to observe ocean colour, Land, aerosol and cloud research. New Radiometers were added on in the following years to maximize the measurements at multiple wavelengths over the spectrum.

PACE will be launched in 2022, which will be equipped with Hyper Spectral Ocean colour Radiometers, capable of taking measurements at <5nm over the spectrum. PACE
will collect data for UV [350-400nm], Visible [400-700nm] and Near Infrared [700-900nm].

1.1.3 Applications

- **Air Quality:** It is measured in PM [Particulate matter concentration] and sometimes it is also predicted from AOD [Aerosol optical Depth]. It is important to know the PM of the particular area/country as it affects the health of the humans living that area [25].

- **Fisheries:** Based on the satellite images from PACE and ocean colour, NASA will be able to predict the fish concentration in particular oceans. It will directly benefit the NOAA and Local fishermen [25].

- **Harmful Algae Bloom:** Harmful Algae Bloom can kill fish and create imbalance in the ocean eco system. It has to be monitored for healthy ocean eco system. With PACE satellite, we will be able to observe algae bloom in various oceans [25].

- **Improving Hazard Assessments and Aviation Safety:** Aviation operations depend on aerosol present in the atmosphere [25]. With Volcanic plums it can be affected. PACE will provide the knowledge, amount, location of the volcanic ash and other harmful aerosol, which can be helpful in Aviation Safety.
1.2 Argo Float Map

As these Satellites provides images and data for the Earth and Ocean. They need routine maintenance and calibration, which can be done remotely through floats in the Ocean. ARGO Floats are currently having a network of close to 4000 floats across the world, which are monitored by ARGO. Not all of the floats are used for Satellite calibrations. Most of them are used to monitor fishing activities; salinity and temp of the ocean, or to predict weather and seasons by local governments. First float was deployed in ocean in 2000 and from then every year hundreds of new floats are added.

At Satlantic, I was working on a research project to design one of these floats to calibrate PACE satellite, which is scheduled to launch in 2022.

1.3 Research Objective

While designing an instrument in the lab it is very important to calibrate the instrument to maintain the instrument accuracy. Calibration process is a technique used by the industry to operate the instrument for the best results with given parameters within acceptable Range.

Radiometers are usually calibrated in the lab in air and then they are used in another medium, more often in the water. Instruments response changes when they are deployed
in the different medium other than they are calibrated in. Most of the time theoretical data is used to correct the radiometric data after the data is collected in operating medium. Immersion coefficients are one of the main correction parameters applied on the instruments radiometric data.

**Research objective were:**

1. To calculate and measure the practical immersion coefficients in operating medium, which can be simultaneously applied to the radiometric data of the radiometers while data is being collected.

2. To extend the range for practically obtained immersion coefficients from 300-900nm to match the PACE’s wavelengths in Ultraviolet and near infrared regions.

**1.4 Thesis Outline**

In First chapter thesis objective is described as a part of a big research project, PACE [Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System]. In Second chapter Background, Motivation and History is described. Design of the Radiometer is also described alongside with the various radiometers at Satlantic used in the research to collect and analyze data. In Third Chapter theoretical and practical approaches are shown to calculate and measure the data. In Fourth Chapter series of experiments are shown and analysis is also shown after each experiment. All the modifications are described as they were done after each experiment. Final chapter summarised the conclusion and possible future work for this research.
CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND

In this chapter, I have described different terminologies used in spectroscopy and in ocean science to better understand Immersion effect, Immersion coefficients and its effect on radiometric data. Classification of various Radiometers is also described in this chapter. Some of these radiometers were used in field experiments to obtain the research objective.

2.1 Radiometers

Radiometer is a device used to measure the radiant energy from a source ranging from Ultraviolet to Infrared regions. There are two ways to collect the light, Radiance [From Certain angle] or Irradiance [From all angles]. Spectrometer is often described as a device used to process the light measured by the radiometer. Spectrometers are not a full system and that’s why they need to combine with Radiometers often known as Spectroradiometer [12]. They are a complete independent system and can be used without using PC as they have on board processing mechanism.

Radiometers have mainly two parts. It has an optical system which collects the radiance/irradiance energy form a source/object through an aperture and disperse it
through filters and finally focus on a field stop also known at detector. A detector converts this radiant energy into Analogue Electrical Signal. In Spectroradiometer there is also a third component which converts Analogue signal to Digital counts, which can be measured and plotted.

2.2 Spectral Resolution

Spectroradiometer is device used to measure the light emitted from the light source. Spectral resolution defines the characteristics of the Spectroradiometer, which can be also described as the channel width in the given bandwidth. Higher the channel gap lesser the spectral resolution and vice versa. Spectral resolution is the factor used to distinguish between Multispectral and Hyper Spectral Radiometers. A sensor will have lower spectral resolution if it has lesser number of bands capable of taking measurements in given bandwidth.

Low Spectral Resolution means a large band with all the information located in one channel over the full bandwidth. Whereas high spectral resolution means a large band divided into multiple channels where each band has information [10].
More often Spectroradiometer is classified in to two categories.

- Multispectral Radiometers
- Hyper-Spectral Radiometers.

Multispectral Radiometers has usually less than 20 bands in the full bandwidth. And Hyper-Spectral Radiometers has more than 20 bands in the full bandwidth. In the Figure below Difference between Multispectral and Hyper-Spectral Radiometers are shown.
Figure 2  VIIRS [Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite] is NASA’s current ocean colour observing satellite, which has multispectral OCI, collecting data at five wavelengths in a bandwidth [350-700nm]. Image by NASA From [https://pace.oceansciences.org/]
Figure 3  PACE [Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System], a satellite which is about to launch in 2022 will have a hyper-spectral OCI, collecting data at 5 nm. Image by NASA From [https://pace.oceansciences.org/]

2.3 Radiance and Irradiance Sensors

Energy can be measured in two ways. It is Either Radiance or Irradiance. Radiant energy is defined as energy radiated from the light source and which can be measured at certain angle [5]. Sensor, which is built to measure radiance energy, is often known as Radiance Sensor. Radiance is measure of the energy or power emitted per unit area by the surface at specified angle [20]. Radiance of the sensor is measured in $W \text{Sr}^{-1} \text{m}^2$ denoted by $L$. Irradiance is measure of the energy or power received per unit surface area denoted by $E$. Irradiance of the sensor is measured in $W \text{m}^2$. 


In the Figure above, SAS system is shown which is a combination of Radiance and Irradiance sensors to collect data for above water measurements. Surface Irradiance sensor $Es$, mounted on the top measure’s sunlight from every direction, which will work as a reference sensor while collecting the Spectral Radiance. Another Radiance sensor is mounted on it, $Li$, will also work as reference radiance sensor.
Total Radiance Sensor, Lt will collect light coming from the ocean. As sunlight penetrates through ocean, most of it will get absorbed while some of it will get reflected. Radiance Sensor measures reflected light.

**Figure 5**  
Hyper-Pro Profiler is shown in the Figure which is used to collect data below surface. [Ronnie Van Dommelen, Satlantic Inc.]

Hyper-Pro Profiler is a device used to collect light from the ocean floor, which can be used to better understand the ocean’s eco-system. Which consist of Ed, Downwelling Irradiance and Lu, Upwelling Radiance sensor.
2.4 HyperNav Spectroradiometer

HyperNav is the System going to be used as Calibration system for PACE [Plankton Aerosol Cloud Ocean Eco-System] Satellite for 2022 [25]. It Consist of Pressure Sensor, Temperature Sensor, Tilt Sensor and Compass as well as two spectrometers and Hardware to process data onboard.

HyperNav Spectroradiometer has 2048 channels in the spectrum ranging from 180nm to 1080nm, which makes channel length less than 1nm. PACE will collect data for UV [350-400nm], Visible [400-700nm] and Near Infrared [700-900nm] [25]. PACE is operating from 350-900 nm range, which will make HyperNav most sophisticated device to calibrate NASA’s Next generation satellite.

HyperNav is capable of taking measurements at 1000m below sea level as well as transferring the collected data to satellite when it ascends to surface using Modem.
Figure 6  HyperNav Spectroradiometer with Tilt sensor, Temperature sensor; pressure sensor and Reference Radiance Sensor [OCR 504] mounted on Navis Float.
HyperNav is designed and tested for

- Immersion
- Linearity
- Stray Light
- Thermal effects

2.4.1 Operation

HyperNav is designed to operate in Ocean. Using the boat, HyperNav is deployed in the ocean with the NAVIS float. NAVIS Float has Batteries and weights, which is capable of taking HyperNav at 1000m depths in the ocean. When it reaches required depth, HyperNav ascends to the surface slowly as programmed in the on-board electronics taking the measurements at certain depth values.

When it comes closer to the surface, it takes continuous measurements. And it is counted as one profile. Once profile is completed NAVIS program handover control to onboard antenna to transfer this profile to satellite to match the Data. When Data Transfer is complete, NAVIS descends the whole system into the ocean at maximum possible depth and stays there until next profile. By keeping HyperNav at the maximum depth of the ocean it prevents system from Bio fouling. Bio fouling [33] is the term used in ocean science, which refers to microbial biofilms on the surface of the systems in the ocean, which can damage the system over the period of the time.
2.5 Immersion

Radiometers are usually calibrated in the Air and then they are used in other medium than air [e.g. Water]. Instrument’s response changes due to changes in the refractive index of the medium. Difference between in-air and in-water response of the radiometers is described by Immersion effect and measured by the immersion coefficient. Change in Response of the instrument is a necessary factor to measure and calculated for as it adds uncertainty to the measured data [29]. Immersion effects were first observed and studied by Atkins and Poole [2] in 1933. They did the experiment to describe the internal reflection factors and external reflection factors when instrument is submerged in to water. Air-Glass and Water Glass refractive indices were taken into measurements and they have concluded the immersion factor 1.09 at end of the experiment.
CHAPTER 3 THEORY AND APPROACH

Now we know the immersion effect, immersion coefficient and its significance in radiometry, I have covered theoretical calculations for the immersion coefficient in this Chapter and also in the end setup of experiment and calculations are covered.

Absolute calibration of Hyper Spectral Radiometers is done in the air in the optical laboratories, and they are deployed in the ocean [Other than air] while calibrating OCI. Sensors response changes compare to air [Lab] while they are operating in the ocean. Calculated calibration coefficients in air must be corrected as sensors response changes due to changes in refractive index of the medium [28] [32]. Two things affect radiance Measurements. First, Sensor’s solid angle is reduced in-water compare to in-air and therefore lesser light reaches to the sensor. Second, Refractive index of the medium changes when sensor is submerged in water. Value of RI [refractive index] of the sensor’s window is closer to the water value compare to RI of air. Changes in sensors’ response is calculated and measured by experiments so called Immersion Factors and then Immersion factors are applied to the calibrated coefficient calculated in the air.

3.1 Theoretical Calculations

Effect of Immersion Factors has been first calculated and observed in 1930’s by Atkins and Poole [2]. Westlake 1965 [26], Aas & Smith 1969 [1] [21], Austin 1976 [3] and Zibordi 2004 and 2005 [29] [30] carried further research and development forward. Various measurements techniques and Theoretical models were analysed and proposed
by Smith 1969 [21], Muller and Austin 1995 [16] and following revisions in 2003 [15], which were adopted by Ocean science community as standard. Zibordi have made changes in measurements technique the proposed model in 2004 and subsequent changes in 2006 [29] [31].

Spectral Radiance power of any given sensor is given by [31];

$$\Phi_m = L_m A \Psi_m t_{mg} T_o$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where; $\Phi_m$ represents Spectral Radiance Power, $L_m$ is Radiance detected in the medium with refractive index of $n_m$, $A$ represents Active surface of detector, $\Psi_m$ is Viewing angle defined by sensor half field of view denoted by $\theta_m$. $t_{mg}$ represents Transmittance of the sensor’s window with intervening medium. $T_o$ defined as Transmittance of the other optical components.

Spectral Radiance Power is also described as (Zissis 1993) [27];

$$\Phi_m = \frac{DN_m}{R_\Phi}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $DN_m$ is Spectral Radiance measured by Radiometer and $R_\Phi$ is Spectral responsivity of the Radiometer detector.
Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) should be written for two mediums using notations \( a \) for air and \( w \) for water.

\[
\phi_a = L_a A \Psi_a t_{ag} T_o \tag{3}
\]

\[
\phi_w = L_w A \Psi_w t_{wg} T_o \tag{4}
\]

\[
\phi_a = \frac{DN_a}{R_\phi} \tag{5}
\]

\[
\phi_w = \frac{DN_w}{R_\phi} \tag{6}
\]

By comparing ratios of Eq. (3) & (4) and (5) & (6),

\[
\frac{\phi_a}{\phi_w} = \frac{DN_a}{DN_w} = \frac{L_a A \Psi_a t_{ag} T_o}{L_w A \Psi_w t_{wg} T_o}
\]

\[
L_w = L_a \frac{\Psi_a t_{ag} DN_w}{\Psi_w t_{wg} DN_a} \tag{7}
\]

Spectral Radiance of any given sensor looking at light source is directly dependant on radiometric measurements in any given medium [31] denoted as \( L_m \);

\[
L_m \propto DN_m
\]
\[ L_m = C_c I_{f_m} DN_m \]  

(8)

Where \( C_c \) is Spectral Calibration coefficient calculated in the lab through experiments. 
\( I_{f_m} \) represents Spectral Immersion factor calculated based on theory and refractive indices of mediums and Glass window material.

Using \( a \) for air and \( w \) for water, Eq. (8) can be written as

\[
L_w = L_a \frac{DN_w I_{f_w}}{DN_a} 
\]

(9)

By Comparing Eq. (7) and Eq. (9) Immersion Factor \( I_{f_w} \) is given as;

\[
I_{f_w} = \frac{\Psi_a t_{ag}}{\Psi_w t_{wg}} 
\]

(10)

Where \( I_{f_w} \) represents Spectral Immersion Factor in given medium [Water in our case]. 
\( \frac{\Psi_a}{\Psi_w} \) is change in sensor’s field of view when it is submerged into water. \( \frac{t_{ag}}{t_{wg}} \) is change in transmittance of the glass window when it is operated in the water compare to air.

When Radiometer is submerged into other medium, Sensor’s field of view becomes smaller and approximated by;

\[
\Psi_m = \pi \theta_m^2 
\]

(11)

Where, \( \Psi_m \) is the Sensor’s viewing angle and \( \theta_m \) is the half angle for the sensor.

According to Snell’s Law when light passes form one medium to another medium [18],
\[
\frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \theta'} = \frac{n'}{n} \tag{12}
\]

Where, \( \theta \) is the angle incident ray makes with normal perpendicular to interface. And \( \theta' \) is the angle refractive way makes with normal perpendicular to interface. \( n \) is the refractive index of the incident medium. And \( n' \) is the refractive index of the passing medium where light is being passed.

Above Equation can be written by using notations \( a \) for air and \( w \) for water.

\[
\frac{\sin \theta_w}{\sin \theta_a} = \frac{n_a}{n_w} \tag{13}
\]

For Smaller field of view and \( n_a = 1 \), Eq. (13) will be given as;

\[
\frac{\theta_w}{\theta_a} = \frac{1}{n_w} \tag{14}
\]

From Eq. (11) and Eq. (14) Law of Radiance \((n_w^2)\) is given by

\[
\frac{\Psi_a}{\Psi_w} = n_w^2 \tag{15}
\]

According to Fresnel’s Equation [7] for reflectance and transmittance, Transmittance of the given window is given by;

\[
t_{mg} = 1 - \frac{(n_m - n_g)^2}{(n_m + n_g)^2} \tag{16}
\]
Where $t_{mg}$ is the transmittance of the sensor’s window in given medium. $n_m$ is the refractive index of the medium in which sensor is being operated. $n_g$ is refractive index of the sensor’s window.

Eq. (16) should be written for two mediums using notations $a$ for air and $w$ for water.

$$t_{ag} = 1 - \frac{(n_a - n_g)^2}{(n_a + n_g)^2}$$ (17)

$$t_{wg} = 1 - \frac{(n_w - n_g)^2}{(n_w + n_g)^2}$$ (18)

By taking ratios of Eq. (17) & (18)

$$\frac{t_{ag}}{t_{wg}} = \frac{\left( (n_a + n_g)^2 - (n_a - n_g)^2 \right) * (n_w + n_g)^2}{\left( (n_w + n_g)^2 - (n_w - n_g)^2 \right) * (n_a + n_g)^2}$$

It can be further simplified and written as;

$$\frac{t_{ag}}{t_{wg}} = \frac{n_a(n_w + n_g)^2}{n_w(n_a + n_g)^2}$$ (19)

$$\frac{t_{ag}}{t_{wg}} = \frac{(n_w + n_g)^2}{n_w(1 + n_g)^2}$$ (20)

Using Eq. (10), (15) and (20) Immersion Co efficient is defined as;
\[ I_{fm} = \frac{n_w(n_w + n_g)^2}{(1 + n_g)^2} \]  

(21)

As Immersion co efficient is wavelength dependent it can be also denoted as \([17]\);

\[ I_{fm}(\lambda) = \frac{n_w(\lambda)(n_w(\lambda) + n_g(\lambda))^2}{(1 + n_g(\lambda))^2} \]  

(22)

Immersion co efficient is wavelength dependent. While calculating, IC, we need to consider refractive index of medium and sensor’s window as wavelength dependent as well. According to Austin and Halikas \([3]\), wavelength dependence for Water RI, \(n_w(\lambda)\) can be approximated by

\[ n_w(\lambda) = 1.3251 + \frac{6.6096}{\lambda - 137.1924} \]  

(23)

Sensor’s window glass is made of BK-7 Schott glass. Whose wavelength dependent refractive indices \(n_g(\lambda)\) are obtained from data sheet. BK-7 glass is designed to operate in 330nm – 2100nm range.

3.2 Experimental Calculations

Radiometer is deployed in the water while operating in the field. Immersion co efficient from theoretical calculations should be applied to correct the radiometric data after measurements but it is very important if we can collect radiometric data with corrected immersion factors practically.
3.2.1 Setup

In Fig. (7) Experimental setup is shown to calculate & characterize the immersion coefficient. Two tanks are shown in the figure where Storage tank is used to store the extra water while running the experiment and Main tank is used to measure the immersion data. Water is filled up at 30cm height in the main tank at the beginning where sensor window is just touching the water surface. Using the siphon and valve, water level is
lowered 2cm at each measurement throughout experiment finishing at 2cm water level. 15 Measurements are taken starting from Water point (30cm) to in Air point (2cm).

Lamp [Light Source] is mounted at the bottom of main tank 25cm above bottom of the setup with a lamp cover located at 10cm above lamp. Three Diffusers are used at the bottom of the main tank to give uniform light visible to sensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specification/ Value [cm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor – Lamp Distance</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Tank Size [H<em>W</em>L]</td>
<td>42<em>37</em>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Tank Size [H<em>W</em>L]</td>
<td>37<em>34</em>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp – Lamp Cover Distance</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp – Diffuser Distance</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window – Diffuser top Distance</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Lamp Screen Aperture</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Visible Source [At Bottom of Main Tank]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Specification and distances of various quantities in the experimental setup for immersion coefficient $I_{fm}$ measurements.

3.2.2 Data Collection & Processing

Spectral radiance of radiometer in water is given by $DN_{p}(0^-)$ [In Water Measurements] in W S r$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$ when instrument is submerged in to water and taking measurements. $Zi$ is the Distance from Sensor’s window to the water surface as shown in figure below.
**Figure 8**  Diagram for various distances and quantities used in taking practical determination of $I_{fw}$ measurements. $L_p$ Indicates Light Source located at the bottom of the tank.

$DN_p(0^\circ)$ represents in air measurements, if we take this measurement at $z=0$ then water touches the sensor’s window and it will be in water measurement. $DN_p(0^\circ)$ is extrapolated by least square method to get to the point where water just touches the sensor’s window. For any given wavelength, sensor’s spectral radiance is denoted as function of $Zi$ and is given by;
\[
DN_p(i) = DN_p(d, z_i) \frac{T_w(d, 0)}{T_w(d, z_i)}
\]

(24)

Where, \(DN_p(d, z_i)\) is calculated from the data collected by radiometer at various distances ranging from 0cm to 30cm. Where \(d\) is the distance from bottom of the main tank to water surface as shown in Fig. (8). \(d - z_i\) is the depth of the water at given measurement visible from sensor’s window. Transmittance \(T_w(d, z_i)\) is given by;

\[
T_w(d, z_i) = e^{-c(d - z_i)}
\]

(25)

Where; \(c\) is spectral beam attenuation as a result of absorption and scattering effect.

\(DN_p(0^+)\) Measurements are taken in the experiment by lowering water level to 2cm each time starting from in water point \(DN_p(0^-)\).

After collecting all 15 data points, From Eq. (7) and Eq. (10), \(I_{f_m}\) is determined by

\[
I_{f_w} = \frac{DN_p(0^+) \Psi_a}{DN_p(0^-) \Psi_w t_{wa}}
\]

(26)

Where, \(\frac{\Psi_a}{\Psi_w}\) is change in sensor’s field of view when it is submerged into water.
CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTS, RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this Chapter progress of experiments is shown and at end of each experiment, results are discussed and analysed. Based on the analysis and conclusions, modifications were done in experimental setup.

Figure 9  Experimental Setup with Basic component shown. Radiometer head is facing down, pointed at Light source.
3.1 HyperOCR 444 Radiance Sensor [256 Channel]

To determine the immersion coefficient of Radiometers, HyperOCR 444 was used in the initial experiments. HyperOCR 444 is a radiance sensor. Actual experimental Laboratory setup is shown in the Figure below.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10**  Actual Experiential lab setup while taking measurements with HyperOCR 444 Radiance sensor.
HyperOCR 444 was mounted on top of the main tank and tank was filled up to 30cm with tap water. Siphon and valve were used to lower the water level 2cm each time while taking measurements, finishing the one experiment at 2cm water level. Using HyperOCR 444 multiple experiments were carried out to determine Immersion coefficient practically and they were compared with theoretical values. Results for two of these experimental runs are shown in the figures below.

**Figure 11**  Plot for Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 444 Radiance sensor.
Figure 12  Plot for difference in Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 444 Radiance sensor.

Analysis:

- At end of each experiment, main tank was filled up with water again from the storage tank using motor. And next experiment was carried out subsequently. So, there was not enough time given to water for settle down. Which have resulted in less light compare to first experiment.

- As tank was filled up with motor from storage tank, few solid particle dusts were also added to main tank, which did have affected the measurements in subsequent experiments.
3.2 HyperOCR 191 Radiance Sensor [256 Channel]

Modifications:

- To avoid solid particle dust 5micron filter were installed in the next series of experiments between main tank and storage tank while refilling.
- 2 hours of time were kept between each experiment to let water settle.
- HyperOCR 191 In water radiance sensor was used in further experiments.

Figure 13  Modified Experiential lab setup with 5-micron filter while taking measurements with HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor.
HyperOCR 191 was mounted on top of the main tank facing light source. A 5-micron filter was used to filter the water while refilling. Using HyperOCR 191 multiple experiments were carried out to determine Immersion coefficient practically and they were compared with theoretical values. Results for two of these experimental runs are shown in figures below.

![Immersion coefficient plot](image)

**Figure 14**  Plot for Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor.
Analysis:

- From Fig. (14) it was concluded that excellent repeatability was observed between two experiments and <1% data mismatching was observed.

- Results differ from theoretical calculation might be due to tap water and extra light added from the surroundings.

Figure 15  Modified experiential lab setup with tank cover to block extra lights from surroundings.
Modifications:

- Main tank was covered with additional black paper to block extra light from surroundings.
- Ultra pure water was used in subsequent experiments to achieve minimum discrepancy and maximum repeatability.

After all suggested modifications five experiments were carried out to determine Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 191 and results were plotted in the figure shown below.

Figure 16: Plot for Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor after adding tank cover and ultra-pure water.
Figure 17  Plot for difference in measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor for five subsequent runs.

Analysis & Modification:

- From Fig. (17) it was concluded that experimental repeatability was poor that might have resulted from poor light distribution from lamp. So, lamp cover was designed as further modification, which will be mounted on post at 10cm from the lamp.
- New bucket was designed to put inside the main tank to block extra light from surroundings.
- Lamp Stability was also monitored for the whole experiment using reference sensor HyperOCR 426 and it was plotted to monitor any deviation in the lamp intensity.
Figure 18  Lamp Cover to form light beam for uniform light distribution and to prevent reflections.
Figure 19  Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Co efficient plotted for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor after adding new bucket and observed lamp stability.

4.2.1 Lamp Stability

Lamp stability is important factor to take into consideration while determining immersion co efficient. Each experiment takes about 2-3 hours to complete and during this period of time lamp must work as a stable source. NIST Calibrated 1000w FEL Lamp was used during experiments.

In the previous experiments, lamp stability wasn’t observed, and it may have affected the results. Using HyperOCR 426 lamp stability was observed and plotted simultaneously while running an experiment.
Analysis:

- From Fig. (20), Y axis, On Left hand side of the plot, Radiance measurement for Light count is shown [Red curve][Averaged] and Y axis, On Right hand side of the plot, Radiance measurement for the Dark Count [Green Curve] is shown. By looking at the Red curve it was concluded that lamp was stable during the experiments for HyperOCR 191.

- To check the lamp stability HyperOCR 426 is mounted permanently for the subsequent runs.
Modification:

- To make experimental setup more stable, vernier scale was implemented to control distance between sensor’s window and base of the tank.
- Tank level was checked at the base to make sure we are getting vertical half angle view and sensor is not tilted.

![Sensor’s window shown on left hand side. Vernier scale to set distance between sensor’s window and Bottom of the tank.](image1)

**Figure 21**  
Sensor’s window shown on left hand side. Vernier scale to set distance between sensor’s window and Bottom of the tank.

After all suggested modifications five experiments were carried out to determine Immersion Co efficient for HyperOCR 191 and results were plotted in the figure shown below.
Figure 22  Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Co efficient plotted for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor after adding vernier scale and tank stability.

Figure 23  Plot for difference in measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Co efficient for HyperOCR 191 Radiance sensor.
3.2 HyperNav Radiance Sensor [2048 Channel]

Complete Experimental setup is shown in the figure below with all the previous modifications with HyperNav mounted in the setup.

Figure 24   HyperNav Experimental setup to determine immersion co efficient.
Analysis:

- In Fig. (25) HyperNav System 1, Head 2 Data is shown for two experiments. Stray Light effect comes in to picture in near infrared region of the spectrum.
- Stray light is unwanted signal from the effect of reflection and scattering of the light. Sensor cannot differentiate between actual light and stray light. Stray light effect is high in UV and near Infrared region.
Figure 26  Field Experiment in Bedford basin with HyperNav System 1 and 3 shown in picture 1&2. Picture 3 is the reference sensor used to monitor sunlight. Picture 4 shows the deployment of HyperNav system 2 in the Hawaii.
Figure 27  Measured and theoretically calculated Immersion Coefficient plotted for HyperNav Radiance sensor [2048 Channel] for all 3 systems and 6 sensors from 350-900nm.
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

In this chapter, I have summarised the conclusion for the research carried out based on research objectives stated in Chapter 1.

5.1 My Contribution

- Immersion co-efficient were theoretically calculated based on the model described in Chapter 3 and also practically determined from the lab experimental setup with various Hyper spectral radiometers HyperOCR 444 [256 Channel], HyperOCR 191 [256 Channel] and HyperNav [2048 Channel]. HyperNav is the prototype float currently in development, which is going to be used for calibration of NASA’s PACE satellite.

- Immersion co-efficient were calculated and measured for the full spectrum range, UV [350-400nm], Visible [400-700nm] and Near Infrared [700-900nm], Which is also PACE’s operating spectrum range.

5.2 Conclusion

- Immersion co-efficient are sensitive to stray light and extra light that is added from surroundings. Only precisely completed experiment gives the results that are closer to actual.

- Immersion co-efficient are also sensitive to change in lamp stability over the period of time. They are also dependent on glass window that is being used while making sensor’s window.

- Theoretical and practically determined immersion co-efficient differ <1% in Visible range [400-700nm] and <2% in near infrared regions.
In nutshell, accuracy and repeatability of the experiments in determining immersion coefficient depends on the following factors.

- Water being used while doing experiments.
- Lamp Stability
- Distance from where measurements are taken
- Stray Light and Extra Light in the room.
- Accuracy of the components used while designing experiment.

5.3 Recommendation for Setup

1. As the immersion coefficients depend on Lamp Stability, it is recommended to use the NIST calibrated FEL lamp while doing experiments.

2. Immersion coefficients are also sensitive to extra light being reflected and transmitted from objects present in the lab and also from the setup itself. So, it is advised to use non-reflective black curtain to cover the main tank. Also, Non-reflective round bucket inside the main tank while doing experiments.

3. Next factor to consider is the temperature. As Immersion coefficients are highly sensitive to temperature it is recommended to maintain a stable temperature while doing experiments.

4. Accuracy, while lowering the water level, is also a critical factor. When water level is being lowered from 30cm to 2cm while doing experiments, at each measurement it is recommended to check if siphon is adding any more water after valve is closed. If it does then it will be a failed data point.

5. If tank is not balanced, sensor’s field of view is tilted, and it will not point at uniform light distribution, sensor will have less light to observe. So, it is also critical to have balanced tank setup.
5.4 Future Work

- During this research work, experimental setup was analysed and slowly modified after each experiment where many of the components used were hard to setup due to accuracy in distances. Lego parts can be used in future to design more robust and accurate setup which can be used for multiple sensors.

- Magenta filter can be used to explore sensor’s response in ultraviolet and near infrared regions and to achieve more accurate results in Ultraviolet [350-400nm] and Near Infrared [700-900nm] regions.
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