The role of the architect in New France is generally described as comprising two major trends: the architect-builder trained in the craftsman's tradition, on the one hand, and the military engineer with a deeper knowledge of the classical language of architecture, on the other. Claude Baillif (ca. 1635-1698), the best known architect-builder from the seventeenth century, came to Canada in 1675 as a stone cutter hired in Paris by the Séminaire de Québec; he was the main architect of Quebec's first cathedral, whose construction started in 1683, and he built several merchants' houses in Lower Town.1 The most important military engineer during the French Regime, Gaspard-Joseph Chaussegros de Léry (1682-1756), arrived in Canada in 1716 and in addition to his military work, he designed official palaces and religious buildings such as the facade of Notre-Dame de Montréal in 1721, the Palais de l’Intendant in Quebec City in 1726, and the new church of Notre-Dame de Québec in 1744.2 This characterization of the architectural practice in New France remains however somewhat incomplete, as other figures presenting themselves as architects—such as Hilaire Bernard de La Rivière and François de Lajoüe in Quebec City—do not quite fit in either category, even though they are responsible for significant architectural projects. In this article, I would like to focus on the activity of Hilaire Bernard de La Rivière (ca. 1640-1729), whose role is largely overlooked in historiography. In fact, La Rivière is better known as the earliest itinerant notary in Canada, one of the occupations that filled the latter part of his life.3 But a better understanding of his
involvement in architecture would help to bring necessary qualifications to the way the architect in New France is generally portrayed.

BISHOP SAINT-VALLIER’S ARCHITECT

Hilaire Bernard de La Rivière (France, ca. 1640 - Quebec City, 1729) arrived in Quebec City during the spring of 1688, hired before his departure from Europe by Jean-Baptiste de Saint-Vallier, second bishop of New France. His contract with Saint-Vallier can be found in the Archives nationales in Paris. It clearly portrays his situation at that time:

> Mgr Jean-Baptiste de la Croix de Saint-Vallier évêque de Québec en la Nouvelle-France […] de conduire durant trois années qui ne commenceront à courir que du jour que le dit sieur de Larivière sera arrivé à Québec tous les ouvrages de maçonnerie que le dit seigneur évêque fera faire dans le dit pays pour la construction d’églises et autres bastiments qu’il lui ordonnera, auxquels ouvrages le dit Larivière travaillera de la main lors qu’il le pourra.6

It is certainly owing to that contract that Saint-Vallier hired around the same time four carpenters and four stone cutters who agreed to come and work for him in New France.5 La Rivière thus arrived in New France with a team of craftsmen with the task of performing construction work for the new bishop for a three-year period. Hierarchy between them was also clearly determined, as La Rivière was to be paid one thousand two hundred livres per year, while each carpenter received one hundred and fifty livres per year, the typical salary of journeymen in Quebec City at the time.

The new bishop arrived in Canada in July 1688, at which time La Rivière and his team of craftsmen had already started working on the cathedral Notre-Dame de Québec. Saint-Vallier had begun developing an idea of the building projects he would undertake as bishop of New France during his first trip to Canada two years earlier. In the published account of this visit to his future diocese, Saint-Vallier commented on the incomplete state of the Quebec cathedral: “La même église sert de cathédrale et de paroisse ; le bâtiment n’en est pas encore achevé, et le Roy donne chaque année une gratification pour consommer peu à peu l’ouvrage qu’on a commencé.”6 He also expressed his deception in the
churches of the Côte-de-Beaupré and the Île d'Orléans, most of them built in wood and in poor condition. And between Quebec City and Montreal:

If Claude Baillif can be said to be Bishop François de Laval’s architect, La Rivièrev is even more obviously Bishop Saint-Vallier’s (his successor) architect. Indeed, when Baillif was hired, he was considered as a stone cutter and was paid one hundred and eighty livres per year, a salary appropriate for a journeyman in the specialized trade of stone cutting, while La Rivièrev’s contract specifically identified him as architect, and awarded him a salary six to seven times higher. Even considering the fact that La Rivièrev’s salary covered his room and board, in addition to the salary of a nephew who accompanied him to Canada, the difference was indeed significant.

In such conditions, it is not surprising that La Rivièrev took over from Baillif the direction of the work on the cathedral as soon as he arrived in Canada. And it is possible to demonstrate that La Rivièrev also contributed some elements of design during the completion of that building. The condition of the church in the spring of 1688 was not very promising: construction had started four years earlier under Baillif’s direction, according to his own plans of December 1683. The original project consisted in erecting a new facade fifty feet away from the old parish church of 1647, so that the nave could eventually be lengthened in order to accommodate a larger attendance. However, Baillif and Bishop Laval soon considered other more monumental designs—variations on a basilical church with three naves and a three-level facade flanked by towers—and one version of these more elaborate plans actually replaced the original project agreed upon in 1683 (fig. 1). However, the construction made slow progress during the following years, partly because it had to be adjusted to the funds awarded by King Louis XIV, and partly because structural problems were encountered when the masonry began to rise above ground. Thus, it is not clear which design was being followed during the spring of 1688: had Baillif and Bishop Laval already reverted to the original smaller project? Or were they trying to find a solution intended to save the basilica-type project? Or perhaps nothing was actually decided in expectation of La Rivièrev’s arrival. In any case, one sheet of drawings preserved in the archives of the Séminaire de Québec obviously has to do with the problems and questions of that moment (figs. 2-3). In our view, these drawings should be attributed to La Rivièrev. On the front of the page (fig. 2), one sees the interior elevation of a church with arcades and clerestory windows flanked, on the right-hand side, by the outline of a tower and the cross-section of the facade wall. These figures, drawn with a pen and enhanced with washes, look very different from Baillif’s strictly linear plans (fig. 1). The back side of the page shows the sketch of a two-storey facade characterized by a second level narrower than the first, with superposed classical orders, a pediment, and scrolls to compensate for the difference in width (fig. 3): a typical two-storey church facade based on the popular Italian formula. These drawings could have been made by Baillif, but the peculiarities of the drawing style, with washes on one side and a sketchy free-hand composition on the other, in addition to the novelty of the two-storey articulation of the facade—Baillif stuck to a Parisian three-storey scheme in all the drawings that can be securely attributed to him—it seems more likely that they were the work of La Rivièrev, who reasonably may have tried to find a practical solution to preserve the monumentality of Baillif’s revised project.

The first action taken under La Rivièrev’s supervision, however, was the completion of one tower, for which he revised the existing designs. Indeed, a contract dated November 1688 specifies that the carpenters Jean Caillet and Pierre Ménage were to build: “un double dôme en octogone pour la tour ou clocher de l’église cathédrale de cette ville [...] le tout conformément aux plans profils et élévations que le sieur de La Rivièrv a fait de ces dits ouvrages.” These drawings cannot be found today, but it is clear from the contract that La Rivièrev redesigned the crowning elements on Baillif’s tower, replacing the single dome (fig. 1) with the two superimposed domes that were finally built (fig. 4).

The completion of the cathedral, however, came about slowly, perhaps a sign of Saint-Vallier’s waning interest for a building whose architectural unity was irremediably
flawed. It is only in 1697 that the cathedral was finished on the basis of something resembling the original project, that of simply lengthening the old parish church in order to connect it with the new facade, which was never decorated. Moreover, La Rivièrc was no longer involved in the project at that time, while Baillif remained in charge of the masonry work. Thus, La Rivièrc’s main contribution to the design of the cathedral consists in the belfry of its single tower. Interestingly, this is part of the building that Father Charlevoix, author of the first general history of New France (Histoire et description générale de la Nouvelle-France, 1744), argued to be the best: “Son architecture, son chœur, son grand autel, ses chapelles sentent tout à fait l’église de campagne. Ce qu’elle a de plus passable est une tour fort haute, solidement bâtie, et qui de loin a quelque apparence.”

NOTRE-DAME DES VICTOIRES

Another building in which La Rivièrc’s involvement should be underlined is the church of Notre-Dame des Victoires. It is known that Bishop François de Laval had wanted to have a chapel built in Quebec City’s Lower Town at least since 1680. The contract for a first section of the masonry was awarded to Baillif at the end of 1687. But it is Saint-Vallier, and not Laval, who was able to secure from the king the funding necessary for the completion of the church: “C’est le roi qui par un surcroît de bonté et de magnificence m’a accordé une abbaye pour unir à l’Évêché de Québec, un fonds pour élever dans la basse ville une chapelle qui serve d’aide à la paroisse, et de quoy payer non seulement mes Bulles, mais de surcroit une maison épiscopale [...]” Thus, in 1688, the same carpenters who were hired for the cathedral also agreed to build the roof frame, the belfry, and the wooden vault of the church: “un comble de soixante pieds de longueur et de trente cinq de largeur,” whose structure “sera cintrée par dessous en anse de pannier pour recevoir et poser un lambris,” in addition to “un petit clocher de huit pieds carré ayant deux petits domes l’un sur l’autre.” And the remark about the authorship of the belfry of the cathedral also applies here: “le tout conformément aux plans profils et élévations que le Sieur de La Rivièrc a fait des dits ouvrages.” La Rivièrc thus designed important parts of the church of Notre-Dame-des-Victoires, taking over, in this case, a building whose finished form was probably not entirely planned before he intervened.

THE PARISH CHURCH OF SAINTE-ANNE DE BEAUPRÉ

But the most interesting case of church design by La Rivièrc is that for the parish of Sainte-Anne-de-Beaupré, located on the St. Lawrence River approximately thirty-five kilometres northeast of Quebec City, built from 1689 to 1697 (fig. 5). In the historiography, the church is generally attributed to Claude Baillif, who acted as contractor, and it is seen as a good example of the kind of parish churches Bishop Laval favoured in the colony: built in stone coated with roughcast, covered with a high-pitched roof, on a single-aisle plan with round apse and transept chapels. The attribution of the church is based on a document in the parish records saying that fifty livres were paid to the architect Claude Baillif in 1689, which is the source used by art historian Gérard Morisset in an article published in 1965. A building contract dated December 17, 1688 apparently confirms this information, since it identifies Baillif as the builder in charge of the construction, and it is therefore not surprising that the later publications accept Morisset’s interpretation.

However, the interpretation of these documents is complicated by the fact that notarial records also contain two drafts of the same contract, clearly identifying La Rivièrc as the author of the architectural drawings that Baillif had to follow. These two drafts are nearly identical, except that the first one is left incomplete. In the second draft, it is said that Baillif had to build stone walls with apertures “aux lieux et endroits marqués par le plan, profil et élévations qui en ont esté faits par le dit Sieur de La Rivièrc signez du dit sieur Évesque et du dit Entrepreneur.” The first draft says exactly the same thing except that the list of drawings is not detailed. These drafts therefore suggest that Baillif, as contractor, had to execute plans prepared by La Rivièrc, who must be recognized as the true architect of the building.
Of course, there remains the question of why La Rivièr'e's name has been left out from the final version of the contract. But this point can be explained by the extraordinary circumstances that allowed La Rivièr'e's to be included in the first place: La Rivièr'e, acting on his own, had the contract drafted while Saint-Vallier was absent from Quebec City, and he visibly used that opportunity to have his name featured prominently in the official document. The two drafts actually begin by identifying La Rivièr'e as Saint-Vallier's representative, and the bishop was simply expected to ratify the document on his return, as blank spaces were left on the complete draft for the signatures. But Saint-Vallier, who is known to be punctilious about questions of rank and prestige, apparently did not appreciate La Rivièr'e's initiative, and had the contract rewritten under his own name, cancelling out La Rivièr'e's entirely from the text. Since this change of names is the only significant difference between the drafts and the final version of the contract, the accompanying drawings must be the same, even though La Rivièr'e's name is left out. There is no reason to believe that the plans were modified.

**THE ARCHITECTE-BOURGEOIS**

The episode of Sainte-Anne de Beaupré is interesting in itself as a clue to the ambiguous status of architects in New France. Indeed, when building contracts mention the existence of architectural drawings, these documents often remain unattributed, unless they are prepared by military engineers, who also had the authority to supervise construction. Obviously, there is no reason to believe that such unattributed drawings were always made by the builders who signed the contracts: they could also have been drawn by clients, by an unidentified architect, they might have been borrowed from another contract, etc.²³

The situation of La Rivièr'e in New France—an "architect" whose status as a designer was not fully recognized—was not uncommon in France in the same period. Jean-Michel Savignat has shown the complexity in the architectural practice in Paris at the time of Louis XIV, when the unregulated title of architect was commonly used to obtain building contracts outside the corporation of masons.²⁴ This is a period of important change, as the old Parisian corporations of masons and carpenters, weakened by a variety of measures, gradually lost their monopoly over building contracts. In 1690, they also lost control over the legal expertise of construction work, replaced by a new body of experts composed in equal numbers of architectes-entrepreneurs and architectes-bourgeois.²⁵ The architect in the sense of a building contractor with a basic knowledge of classical architecture—whether or not he was fully trained as a craftsman—was therefore quickly becoming a common figure in Paris at the end of the seventeenth century.

In this context, La Rivièr'e's career after the expiration of his contract with Saint-Vallier is quite interesting, as it shows an orientation clearly different from Bailli's. On the whole, La Rivièr'e tried to behave as a member of the bourgeoise rather than as a mere craftsman. He continued to work as an architect-builder in the 1690s, as he took apprentices in masonry,²⁶ hired craftsmen, and obtained contracts for important buildings. For example, La Rivièr'e was responsible for the construction of the seminary chapel in 1691—a building that was destroyed by fire about ten years later, and known mostly through written descriptions, as no architectural drawings remain today.²⁷ Contracts show that La Rivièr'e conducted the work, ordered building materials to be delivered at the seminary, and hired masons and stone cutters specifically for that project.²⁸ In the historiography, the chapel wing of the seminary is correctly attributed to him, although little is known about its architectural features apart from the fact that it contained the chapel and joined the wing erected by

---

²³ Livernois, Jean-É. 1875. Jules-Ernest Livernois, architecte de Sébastien de Beaupré de la Rivière (1689-1697), photographie, Ca. 1875. Centre d'archives de du Québec, Sainte-Anne de Beaupré.


Baillif about ten years earlier, forming the south side of an incomplete quadrangle.

In the same period, La Rivièrem can also be found in association with François de Lajoüe, another important architect-builder, who lived in Quebec City between 1689 and 1715. In 1692, La Rivièrem and Lajoüe together agreed to build a new palace for Governor Frontenac, the building known as Château Saint-Louis, destroyed by fire in 1834. The better building known as Château Saint-Louis, palace for Governor Frontenac, the Lajoüe together agreed to build a new.
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that a year later, in June 1693, they both agreed to erect St. John's gate, designed by Dubois Berthelot de Beaumont as part of the main city walls.33

But when such major contracts with the state or religious institutions were not available, La Rivièrem's occupations shifted to land surveying, an activity for which he obtained the necessary commission about one year after his arrival in the colony, in recognition of his demonstrated ability and former experience in France.34 La Rivièrem did a certain amount of land surveying for the royal administration, as Intendant Bochart de Champigny acknowledged in his commission, and he also regularly worked for religious communities and for local merchants. He also surveyed seigneurial land in the Quebec region, as can be seen in his 1698 plan of the Seigneury of Tilly (fig. 6).

Moreover, as André Vachon reports in his biography, this is not the only official commission that La Rivièrem was able to obtain from the royal authorities in the latter part of his life. In 1707, he was appointed process-server and notary "dans les costes du gouvernement de cette ville tant qu'il n'y aura point d'autres notaires et d'autres sergens établis dans les dits endroits"35 (authorized to practice wherever no such official was already established); La Rivièrem thus became the first itinerant notary in the Quebec region.36

In addition, as Vachon reports again, in 1711, La Rivièrem obtained from Georges Regnard Duplessis, an important colonial administrator,37 the responsibility of seigneurial attorney (procureur fiscal) in his Seigneury of Lauzon.38

These different appointments punctuating La Rivièrem’s life should not be interpreted as a sign of instability and shifting career, as they might be perceived today, but rather as the indication of a social ascension typical of Ancien Régime French society. Looking generally at his architectural activity, it seems that La Rivièrem did not try to compete with Baillif, who took the lion's share of house construction in Quebec City, and who remained a craftsman his entire life. La Rivièrem, in contrast, tried to maintain activities more typical of a bourgeois, heading Saint-Vallier’s team of craftsmen at his arrival in Canada, looking for important building contracts with institutions, preparing drawings when required, and practicing surveying as a supplement to his architectural activity. Then, the production of legal documents through surveying apparently opened him the doors to other official responsibilities, which occupied most of his time in the latter part of his life.

Another interesting episode in La Rivièrem’s life is an unsuccessful attempt at becoming a merchant in 1693. Given the limited access to finished products in the colony, anybody travelling to France at the time could be tempted to bring back some merchandise in order to sell it at a much higher price. This is apparently what La Rivièrem and his wife Maguerite Gillet attempted in 1693; they sold most of their belongings in order to invest in such a trip, as notary Louis Chambalon explains in the inventory he made in 1694: “Luy et sa dite femme s’estoient épousés et avoient vendu tous leurs principaux meubles et autres effects pour faire une somme d’argent assez considérable pour faciliter le passage de sa dite femme en France.”39

FIG. 6. HILAIRE BERNARD DE LA RIVIERE, SURVEY OF THE SEIGNEURY OF TILLY, NEAR QUEBEC CITY, INK AND WATERCOLOUR ON PAPER, 1698. [Fonds cour supérieur, district de Québec, no. CA001, s36, Centre d’Archives de Québec, BAQ.]
et pour fournir les moyens d’apporter en ce pays des marchandises à négocier pour leur ayder à subsister le reste de leurs vies auquel voyage elle a malheureusement pery. 39 In a very unfortunate turn of events, Marguerite, it is explained, died in a shipwreck, and we can find hints of the notary’s compassion in his text, even though such a document should normally remain neutral and strictly matter-of-fact. But the couple’s project in itself should not appear too surprising, as it follows the typical means by which artisans tried to improve their social status in seventeenth-century France. As Evelyne Saive-Lever explains, in a society dominated by rank, craftsmen tried to improve their social status by having one member of their family become master of his craft, and then, from one generation to the other, bring the family into trading, ultimately aiming at buying a royal office.40 At the turn of the seventeenth to the eighteenth century, social mobility had increased considerably, and New France offered its own set of possibilities, which seems to have tempted a number of Parisian craftsmen. La Rivière juggled with the opportunities of his epoch in his own particular way, and other factors, such as health, might have played a role in the course of his career. But it is clear that even though his 1688 contract with Saint-Vallier specified that he should contribute to the work with his own hands whenever possible, he did not consider himself as a simple craftsman, but rather as an architect as well as a bourgeois, that is, an architecte-bourgeois.
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17. The church was built in two consecutive phases that probably belonged to the same project. The main body of the church was erected between 1689 and 1693, while the façade of an older church was preserved, and a new façade was begun in 1694.
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