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## DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY

## MINUTES OF

## SENATE MEETING

Senate met in regular session in the Board and Senate Room on Friday, 12 October 1984 at $4: 00$ P.M.

Present with Mr. W. E. Jones in the chair were the following:
Andrews, Betts, Birdsall, Blair, Bradfield, Cameron D.M., Cameron T.S., Campbell, Caty, Charles, Cohen A.D., Evans, Friedenberg, Gibbling, Gigeroff, Gratwick, Holloway, James, Josenhans, Kennedy, Klassen, Lewis, MacAulay, March, Martin, McCann, McFarlane, Mezei, Misick, Morrison, Kennedy M. (representing B.J. O'Shea), Ozier, Parks, Paquet, Rodger, Segelberg, Sinclair, Sodhi, Sprott, Stone, Stovel, Stuttard, Tingley, Tonks, TrevesGold, Varma, Wall, Waterson, Wood, Yung.

Regrets: Angelopoulos, Chaytor, Cromwell, Gold, Klein, Konok, MacKay W.A., Mencher, Murphy, O'Shea, Semple, Stern, Thiessen, Tindall, Zinck.

## 84:85. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the Senate meeting of 10 September 1984 were approved upon motion (Gigeroff/Rodger) with two typographical errors noted by Mr. Rodger namely 84:78 Stevens and 84:80.D.1, page 6, line 12 - prescriptive.

## 84:86. New Members of Senate

The chairman welcomed two new members to Senate - Professor Marjorie Stone, an elected representative from the Faculty of Arts and Science and Dr. George Mencher, newly appointed Acting Director, School of Human Communication Disorders.

## 84:87. Question Period

The chairman announced that the Senate Office had received confirmation from VicePresident Cameron that 12 November 1984 is an official university holiday. He reminded members of the Killam Lecture Series "Feminist Visions", scheduled for October, 1984.

Mr. Bradfield inquired whether there would be provision in the allocation of funds produced by the capital fund drive to set aside monies to generate revenue to offset operating expenditures of new buildings and for equipment (e.g. new computers) and buildings. Mr. Sinclair reflected that leasing of computer services should reduce operating costs and added that the committee to advise on the campaign had made suggestions as to the allocation of the 25 million dollars anticipated. Mr. Rodger was concerned about whether extra charges (e.g. debt, balance, carrying charges) would be charged against the capital campaign. Mr. Shaw responded by stating that interest charges would be charged against the capital campaign. He added that renovated building areas resulted in some reduction of operating costs. Mr. Rodger saw no reason why capital funds could not be set aside to pay for additional operating costs to which Mr. Shaw responded that funds from the capital campaign could not be set aside to simply fund operating costs. When
asked for a "definition" of capital by Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Shaw indicated that 84:93. the definition had been stretched to include professorships but that the private sector would not likely support the idea of contributing to the operating costs of the university. Mr. Rodger countered that if notification to the public was properly phrased, that this should in fact be feasible.
Mr. March noted that the November 12 holiday was not identified in the university calendar. It was noted, however, to be one of the statutory holidays according to the Collective Agreement (Clause 30:03).

Mr. Shaw advised Mr. Bradfield that the Development Office did not simply run the capital campaign but also ran the annual campaigns, and plans for corporate annual giving (i.e. the general fund raising efforts of the university). Ms. Ozier pursued Mr. Bradfield's line of questioning, and clarified the intent of the DFA's quotation, that $\$ 250,000$ of the Development Office would be charged against the operating budget not the income of the capital campaign. She reiterated the DFA's by position that if the Development Office is in place, it should be charged against its success at getting funds not against the operating budget.

## 84: 88 Awarding of Degrees

On the recommendation of the Deans or their representatives of the various faculties, the following degrees and diplomas were awarded upon motion.

## Faculty of Arts and Science (Betts/Andrews)

## Bachelor of Arts ~ <br> 67

(Honours 8)
Bachelor of Arts Honours Certificate ~ 2 (Honours 2)
Bachelor of Science ~65
(Distinction 4, Honours 3, First Class
Honours 1)
Bachelor of Science Honours Certificate ~ 4
(Honours 4)
Bachelor of Education~ 8
Bachelor of Music ~ 1
Diploma in Engineering ~ 26
TOTAL 173

## Faculty of Graduate Studies (Parks/Cameron)

Doctor of Philosophy ~ 29
(Distinction 3)

```
Master of Arts ~ 31
Master of Business Administration ~ 14
Master of Development Economics ~ 2
Master of Education ~ 13
Master of Environmental Studies ~ 5
Master of Laws ~ 7
Master of Library Service ~ 4
Master of Nursing~ 3
    (Distinction 3)
Master of Public Administration ~ 6
Diploma in Public Administration ~
Master of Science ~ 30
    (Distinction 3)
Master of Social Work ~ 14
Master of Teaching (French) ~ 1
                                    TOTAL 159 + }1\mathrm{ Diploma
Faculty of Health Professions (Tonks/Yung)
Bachelor of Nursing ~ 8
    (Distinction 2)
Diploma in Outpost & Community Health Nursing--- 4
Bachelor of Science (Pharmacy) }
Bachelor of Science in Physiotherapy ~ 1
Bachelor of Physical Education ~ 2
Bachelor of Science (Health Education) ~ 2
    (Distinction 1)
Bachelor of Recreation ~ 2
Bachelor of Social Work ~ 20
                            TOTAL 40
```


## Faculty of Management Studies (Misick/Martin)

```
Bachelor of Commerce ~ 19 (Honours 2)
Certificate in Public Administration ~ 1
TOTAL 20
Faculty of Law (Charles/Bissett-Johnson)
Bachelor of Laws ~ 2
```

Upon motion (Betts/Waterson) it was agreed
that the Deans of the respective faculties and the Registrar be permitted to add the names of any student omitted in error.

## 84:89 Notice of Motion $-=$ Faculty of Arts and Science

On behalf of the Faculty of Arts and Science, the following motion was moved and seconded (Betts/Andrews):

The Faculty strongly supports the view expressed by its Library Committee (Annual Report 1983-84) that additional funding must be made available to the Library to allow it to support the collections budget', and urges in particular that this should be made a high priority item in the fundraising campaign.

Dean Betts quoted briefly from the Library Committee's annual report, which identified a decline in the funds available for books and a shift in the funds in support of the sciences. He reported that of eight other universities surveyed across Canada, the percentage of the total university budget devoted to libraries ranged from 1.7 to $3 \%$. Dalhousie was $1.4 \%$. Mr. Andrews supplemented the Dean's comments by adding that the motion had been passed unanimously in the Faculty of Arts and Science. In response to Ms. Allen's query, Mr. Andrews indicated that the motion referred to all libraries. Mr. Kennedy wondered how Senate would determine high, medium and low priority items. Vice-President Sinclair recalled that the committee to advise on the campaign fund had identified seven areas of priority need at the university, which included libraries. Mr. Cameron reported that the Faculty of Graduate Studies supported this motion, and the Secretary read a letter from the Secretary of the Faculty of Management Studies which conveyed that Faculty's strong endorsation of this motion. Mr. Rodger believed that the advisory committee on the campaign should report its recommendations at the next meeting of Senate, because of implications of academic interest. Mr. Sinclair noted that the decisions of the committee had been discussed at FPC.

Upon motion (Martin/Misick) it was agreed
that the motion be amended to add the word "system" after Library (in the fifth line).

Mr. Evans wondered how Senate could concern itself with this priority process against unknown alternative items.

It was thereafter agreed upon motion (Rodger/Kennedy)
that the motion of the Faculty of Arts and Science be referred to the FPC, PPC and APC for consideration with a request to report back to Senate as soon as possible.

Further to Ms. Ozier's queries, Vice-President Sinclair reported that the name of the committee concerned was the Advisory Committee on the Campaign Fund which had been in existence for almost a year, which had been widely advertised and whose members were appointed by the President.

84:90. Reports and Recommendations -- Committees of Senate
A. Academic Planning Committee

1. Post-Doctoral Programme in Chemistry

The chairman noted that all references to the Faculty of Graduate Studies would be deleted, as Dean Leffek had written indicating that the proposed programme was not under the jurisdiction of that Faculty. The proposal had received the approval of APC.

It was moved and seconded (Stuttard/McAulay)
that the proposed post-doctoral programme in Clinical Chemistry be approved.
After some questioning by Mr. Rodger and MS. Ozier, responded to by Messrs. Moss and McAulay, concerning the funding implications of the proposal, it was agreed upon motion (Rodger/MacKay)
that the words "on the proviso that there would be no additional drain on the operating revenue unless additional funds were provided from external sources" be added to the motion.

The amended motion was approved.
B. Committee on Academic Administration
I. Jurisdiction of the Senate Academic Appeals Committee

Dean Charles provided an interim verbal report on behalf of the CAA. At the 14 May 1984 meeting of Senate, two questions posed by the AAC concerning their jurisdiction with respect to academic appeals were referred to the CAA for report back to the September meeting of Senate. After lengthy discussion with Mr. Cromwell from the AAC, the CAA assigned this task to Deans Charles and Leffek who would consult with Dean Cross. They had agreed, in light of the difficulty in determining "Senate's intention", to consider the
jurisdiction which Senate actually does confer on this committee, to pinpoint the difficulty faculties have had in the past, including problems of interpretation which had arisen, and recommend changes. The initial step taken had been to determine the legal implications of delegation of authority. He anticipated that they would present a completed report to the CAA in the near future, which could, once approved, be forwarded to Senate.

## 2. Faculty Responses to AAC Document "Dalhousie University Report of the Senate Academic Appeals Committee re: Academic Appeal Rules and Procedures at the Faculty Level" (84:46.B.I.b)

At the 14 May 1984 meeting it was agreed that feedback on the report (specifically on the appropriateness of the guidelines and principles from each Faculty's perspective and on the conformity of each Faculty's rules and regulations to these guidelines) would be forwarded by Faculties by September 30, 1984.

Further to a written request from the Secretary to the Dean of each Faculty and a subsequent reminder, written responses had been received from two Faculties, namely Arts and Science and Health Professions. These had been attached to the agenda for information of Senate members. Mr. Misick reported that the Faculty of Management Studies had approved their own procedures recently and that the document would be in the hands of Senate shortly. Mr. Campbell and Mr. Andrews, in response to specific queries by Ms. Allen and Mr. Friedenberg, advised that the committee of the Faculty of Arts and Science had worked hard to produce this document, which had been needed for some time, and which could be considered as a draft at this stage. As the responses had not yet been received from several faculties, the Secretary will again attempt to solicit these and they will be forwarded to the AAC for reconsideration and incorporation into their revised report, which could then be forwarded as a total package to Senate.

## 3. Design of New Hoods

Following a report by the Secretary regarding the recommendations of the departments/schools concerned and CAA, the following motion (Tonks/McCann) was approved:
that the hood for the Bachelor of Science (Occupational Therapy) be a peacock satin lining bordered with crimson satin and, that the hood for the Master Or Development Economics be a medium blue silk lining bordered with red scarlet silk.
C. Senate Discipline Committee

1. Case of Mr. Sze Kit Yeung

On behalf of the Senate Discipline Committee, it was moved by Ms. Ozier and seconded by Mr. McCann

## that the Diploma in Engineering awarded to Mr. Sze Kit Yeung in May 1984 be revoked.

The chairman reported that ratification of Senate was only required for this segment of the committee's recommendations, following a query by Ms. Waterson.

Lengthy debate ensued about the relative merits and disadvantages of the remaining portion of the committee's initial recommendation "and the. . . equivalent" participated in by Messrs.
Friedenberg, McCann,Rodger,Kennedy, D. Cameron, Betts, Lewis, Stovel and Martin. Ms. Ozier and Ms. Allen provided the rationale and background for the committee's decision. For
example, Ms. Ozier reported that there was no question of whether the student was guilty or not in this instance,as the student had admitted the offence. She added that all parties had reached a unanimous agreement regarding a process which was satisfactory to all
but which would not impede or destroy the student's chances for advancement. They had in fact attempted to design a remedy which would reflect the seriousness of the case and further be of the most benefit to the student.

Upon vote, the motion carried.

## 84:91. Other Business <br> 1. Case of Colette Power

The chairman of Senate briefly reviewed the history of the Colette Power case and relayed the contents in undated correspondence received from the lawyer regarding a possible out of court settlement. This was further to a formal litigation launched by Ms. Power against Senate's decision not to ratify the recommendations of the hearing panel which considered her case.

After some discussion, contributed to by Messrs. Rodger, D. Cameron, Jones, Sinclair, Josenhans, Evans, Friedenberg, Ms. Allen and Ms. Ozier, it was agreed upon motion (D. Cameron/Tonks)
that the Officers of Senate would explore the possibility of an out of court settlement and report the results of these discussions to the November meeting of Senate.

Members concurred with Ms. Waterson's suggestion that a special meeting of Senate would be called if necessary.

84:92. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:25 P.M.

